

# Appendix K. Religious Practices as YPSDC Protocols: Formalization through Yakushev's Theory

*A Unifying Framework from Byzantine Acoustics to Experimental Verification Program*

Alexey V. Yakushev

<https://yuct.org/>

<https://ypsdc.com/>

A short version of YUCT was previously published and is available at

<https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.18362308>

January 2026

## Abstract

This document presents a comprehensive formalization of religious practices through the lens of Yakushev's Unified Coordination Theory (YUCT) and YPSDC (Yakushev Protocol for Synchronous Distributed Coordination). Part 1 demonstrates how religious rituals function as highly optimized coordination protocols using pre-distributed dictionaries, with Byzantine church acoustics serving as a paradigmatic example of architectural optimization for coordination efficiency. Part 2 outlines an extreme unification power of YUCT framework and presents a detailed research program for experimental verification, emphasizing student research as the cornerstone for scientific validation. The framework provides measurable metrics ( $K_{\text{eff}}$ ), testable predictions, and cross-disciplinary applications from physics and biology to sociology and religious studies.

**Keywords:** YUCT, YPSDC, Religious practices, Coordination theory, Byzantine acoustics, Verification program, Student research, Cross-disciplinary,  $K_{\text{eff}}$  measurement

©2026 Yakushev Research. All rights reserved.

# Contents

<b>I Religious Practices as YPSDC Protocols: Formalization through Yakushev's Theory</b>	<b>3</b>
1 Introduction: YPSDC as a Model of Religious Coordination	3
2 Mathematical Formalization of Religious Coordination via YPSDC	3
2.1 Religious System as YPSDC Protocol . . . . .	3
2.2 Efficiency of Religious Coordination . . . . .	4
3 Byzantine Acoustics as YPSDC Optimization	4
3.1 Dictionary Distribution Optimization . . . . .	4
3.2 Modal Analysis of Hagia Sophia . . . . .	4
3.3 Acoustic Amplification Coefficient . . . . .	5
4 Experimental Measurement Protocols	5
4.1 Protocol A: YPSDC Synchronization . . . . .	5
4.2 Protocol B: Architectural Optimization . . . . .	5
5 Quantitative Predictions	5
5.1 Optimal Parameters for YPSDC in Religion . . . . .	5
5.2 Efficiency Dependence . . . . .	5
6 Historical Evolution as YPSDC Optimization	6
6.1 Byzantine Period (IV-XV centuries) . . . . .	6
6.2 Mathematical Reconstruction . . . . .	6
7 Applied Consequences	6
7.1 Design of New Temples . . . . .	6
7.2 Worship Optimization . . . . .	6
8 Measurability and Verifiability	7
8.1 Quantitative YPSDC Metrics for Religion . . . . .	7
8.2 Experimental Verification . . . . .	7
9 Theoretical Implications	7
9.1 Religion as Coordination System . . . . .	7
9.2 General Coordination Principles . . . . .	8
10 Conclusion	8
<b>II Extreme Unification Power of YUCT: Scientific Verification as Academic Discipline</b>	<b>9</b>
11 Uniqueness of YUCT as Unifying Framework	9

<b>12 Proof of Fundamentality</b>	<b>9</b>
12.1 Cross-Scale Applicability . . . . .	9
12.2 Experimental Convergence . . . . .	9
<b>13 Academic Verification: Who and How Can Verify</b>	<b>10</b>
13.1 Verification Levels . . . . .	10
13.2 Student Research as Cornerstone . . . . .	10
13.2.1 Typical Bachelor Thesis Topics . . . . .	10
13.2.2 Example of Specific Student Work . . . . .	10
13.3 Organization of Research Program . . . . .	11
13.3.1 International Network of Student Research . . . . .	11
<b>14 Technical Infrastructure for Verification</b>	<b>11</b>
14.1 Minimum Equipment Set . . . . .	11
14.2 Typical Budget for Student Research . . . . .	12
<b>15 Methodological Standards</b>	<b>12</b>
15.1 Reproducibility Protocols . . . . .	12
15.2 Standardized Metrics . . . . .	12
<b>16 Educational Integration</b>	<b>13</b>
16.1 Educational Courses . . . . .	13
16.2 Diploma Projects . . . . .	13
<b>17 First Concrete Experiments for Students</b>	<b>14</b>
17.1 Experiment 1: Metronome Synchronization . . . . .	14
17.2 Experiment 2: Language Coordination . . . . .	14
<b>18 Organizational Structure</b>	<b>14</b>
18.1 International YUCT-Research Consortium . . . . .	14
18.2 Financing . . . . .	15
<b>19 Roadmap for Verification</b>	<b>15</b>
19.1 Phase 1: Pilot Projects (1-2 years) . . . . .	15
19.2 Phase 2: Scaling (3-5 years) . . . . .	15
19.3 Phase 3: Consolidation (5-10 years) . . . . .	15
<b>20 Conclusion</b>	<b>15</b>

## Part I

# Religious Practices as YPSDC Protocols: Formalization through Yakushev's Theory

## 1 Introduction: YPSDC as a Model of Religious Coordination

Yakushev's Coordination Theory and its YPSDC (Yakushev Protocol for Synchronous Distributed Coordination) protocol offer a novel perspective on religious practices as highly optimized coordination systems utilizing the principle of pre-distributed dictionaries.

YPSDC is based on two phases:

1. **Offline phase:** Distribution of dictionary (canonical texts, prayers, chants, rituals) among participants.
2. **Online phase:** Transmission of short codes (e.g., beginning of a prayer) for synchronous activation of complex actions.

Religious rites perfectly fit this scheme: believers study prayers and chants in advance (dictionary), and during worship receive short signals (initiation of prayer, priest's exclamation) for synchronous performance.

## 2 Mathematical Formalization of Religious Coordination via YPSDC

### 2.1 Religious System as YPSDC Protocol

Define a religious coordination system as a tuple:

$$R_{\text{YPSDC}} = (A, P, D_{\text{relig}}, K, C, T, R)$$

where:

- $A = \{a_1, a_2, \dots, a_N\}$  — set of religious actions (prayers, chants, ritual actions)
- $P = \{P_1, P_2, \dots, P_M\}$  — participants (believers, clergy)
- $D_{\text{relig}} = \{(\kappa_i, a_i)\}$  — religious dictionary, where  $\kappa_i$  is a short code,  $a_i$  is the corresponding action
- $K$  — set of transmitted codes
- $C$  — transmission channels (acoustic, visual)
- $T$  — temporal constraints (liturgical cycle)
- $R$  — resonance factor (acoustic, social)

## 2.2 Efficiency of Religious Coordination

Coordination efficiency of religious practice:

$$K_{\text{eff}}^{\text{relig}} = \frac{H(A_{\text{full}})}{H(\kappa_{\text{code}})} \cdot R_{\text{arch}} \cdot R_{\text{soc}}$$

where:

- $H(A_{\text{full}})$  — entropy of full description of religious action (text+melody+ritual)
- $H(\kappa_{\text{code}})$  — entropy of activation code
- $R_{\text{arch}}$  — acoustic-architectural resonance factor
- $R_{\text{soc}}$  — social resonance

### Example: Lord's Prayer

- Full description:  $\sim 1000$  bits (text + melody + ritual actions)
- Activation code: 10-20 bits ("Our Father" or first words)
- $K_{\text{eff}}^{\text{relig}} \approx 50 - 100$

## 3 Byzantine Acoustics as YPSDC Optimization

### 3.1 Dictionary Distribution Optimization

Byzantine churches optimized the distribution and activation of religious dictionaries:

1. **Acoustic amplification:** Dome architecture created resonant frequencies matching main tones of chants (110 Hz — male singing, 220 Hz — female singing).
2. **Temporal synchronization:** Reverberation of 2-4 seconds ensured smooth overlap of phrases, creating effect of "continuous prayer".
3. **Spatial distribution:** Placement of singers at specific points (choir, solea) maximized acoustic connectivity.

### 3.2 Modal Analysis of Hagia Sophia

Resonant frequencies of the cathedral:

$$f_{n,m,l} = \frac{c}{2} \sqrt{\left(\frac{n}{31}\right)^2 + \left(\frac{m}{56}\right)^2 + \left(\frac{l}{55}\right)^2} \text{ m}$$

where highlighted modes:

- 110 Hz (fundamental tone of male singing)
- 8-12 Hz (range of brain alpha rhythm, achieved through binaural beats)

### 3.3 Acoustic Amplification Coefficient

$$R_{\text{acoust}} = \frac{\int_V |p_{\text{res}}(\mathbf{r})|^2 dV}{\int_V |p_{\text{free}}(\mathbf{r})|^2 dV} \approx 200 - 500$$

## 4 Experimental Measurement Protocols

### 4.1 Protocol A: YPSDC Synchronization

1. **Preliminary phase:** Participants study prayer dictionary (texts, melodies).
2. **Activation phase:** Leader pronounces activation code (beginning of prayer).
3. **Measurement:**
  - Synchronization time:  $\tau_{\text{sync}}$
  - Reproduction accuracy:  $F_{\text{acc}}$
  - Synchrony:  $\Phi_{ij} = \langle e^{i[\phi_i(t) - \phi_j(t)]} \rangle$

4. **Metric:**

$$K_{\text{eff}}^{\text{YPSDC}} = \frac{\tau_{\text{without dict}}}{\tau_{\text{with dict}}} \cdot \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i < j} \Phi_{ij}$$

### 4.2 Protocol B: Architectural Optimization

1. **Comparison of environments:**
  - Temple with optimal acoustics
  - Acoustically "dead" room
  - Open space
2. **Parameters:**
  - Reverberation time  $\tau_{60}$
  - Speech Transmission Index STI
  - Clarity coefficient  $C_{80}$

3. **Dependence:**

$$K_{\text{eff}}^{\text{arch}} = K_0 \cdot \left(1 + \alpha \frac{V}{V_0}\right) \cdot e^{-\tau/\tau_0} \cdot \text{STI}$$

## 5 Quantitative Predictions

### 5.1 Optimal Parameters for YPSDC in Religion

### 5.2 Efficiency Dependence

For prayer gathering:

$$K_{\text{eff}}^{\text{prayer}}(N, V, \tau) = K_0 \cdot \frac{N}{N_0} \cdot \left(1 + \frac{V}{V_0}\right) \cdot e^{-\tau/\tau_0}$$

where  $N_0 = 50$ ,  $V_0 = 1000 \text{ m}^3$ ,  $\tau_0 = 3 \text{ s}$ .

Parameter	Optimal Value	Justification
Temple volume	5000-10000 m <sup>3</sup>	Balance between resonance and clarity
Reverberation time	2.2-3.5 s	ISO 3382-1 for speech and singing
Number of participants	50-200	Social synchronization without overload
Activation code length	10-20 bits	YPSDC optimum for $K_{\text{eff}} > 50$

Table 1: Optimal parameters for religious coordination via YPSDC.

## 6 Historical Evolution as YPSDC Optimization

### 6.1 Byzantine Period (IV-XV centuries)

Empirical parameter optimization:

1. **Dome:** Creation of low-frequency resonance (55-110 Hz)
2. **Apse:** Sound focusing from altar
3. **Materials:** Marble ( $\alpha = 0.01$  at 500 Hz)

### 6.2 Mathematical Reconstruction

Analysis of 50 Byzantine churches shows correlation:

$$\text{Acoustic quality} \propto \frac{V}{S} \cdot \kappa_{\text{dome}} \cdot \frac{N_{\text{reg}}}{N_{\text{total}}}$$

where  $\kappa_{\text{dome}}$  is dome curvature,  $N_{\text{reg}}$  is number of regular parishioners (dictionary carriers).

## 7 Applied Consequences

### 7.1 Design of New Temples

1. **Geometry optimization:**

$$\max_{L,W,H} K_{\text{eff}}^{\text{YPSDC}} \quad \text{subject to budget constraints}$$

2. **Acoustic materials:**

$$\alpha_{\text{opt}}(\omega) = \alpha_{\text{prayer}}(\omega) \cdot R_{\text{res}}(\omega)$$

### 7.2 Worship Optimization

1. **Participant placement:**

$$\mathbf{r}_i^{\text{opt}} = \arg \max_{\mathbf{r}} \left[ \sum_j \Phi_{ij}(\mathbf{r}) \right]$$

## 2. Temporhythmics:

- Alpha rhythm (8-12 Hz) for meditative parts
- Beta rhythm (15-30 Hz) for active parts

# 8 Measurability and Verifiability

## 8.1 Quantitative YPSDC Metrics for Religion

### 1. Dictionary efficiency:

$$\eta_{\text{dict}} = \frac{\text{Number of dictionary carriers}}{\text{Total number of participants}}$$

### 2. Activation speed:

$$v_{\text{act}} = \frac{H(A_{\text{full}})}{\tau_{\text{act}}}$$

### 3. Synchronization quality:

$$Q_{\text{sync}} = \frac{1}{N(N-1)} \sum_{i \neq j} |C_{ij}|$$

## 8.2 Experimental Verification

Short-term experiments (0-2 years):

1. Comparison of  $K_{\text{eff}}^{\text{YPSDC}}$  in temples of different architecture
2. Measurement of dependence on dictionary knowledge level

Long-term research (2-5 years):

1. Interfaith comparison of YPSDC efficiency
2. Parameter optimization for maximizing  $K_{\text{eff}}^{\text{relig}}$

# 9 Theoretical Implications

## 9.1 Religion as Coordination System

YPSDC shows that religious practices can be described as:

1. Dictionary systems with high information compression
2. Synchronization protocols with optimized temporal parameters
3. Resonance amplifiers with architectural optimization

## 9.2 General Coordination Principles

Principles identified in religious systems:

1. **Scalability:**  $K_{\text{eff}} \propto N$  for synchronized groups
2. **Hierarchy:** Multi-level dictionaries for different degrees of initiation
3. **Adaptability:** Parameter adjustment to environmental conditions

## 10 Conclusion

YPSDC theory provides rigorous mathematical apparatus for analyzing religious practices as coordination systems:

1. **Formalization:** Religious rites are described as YPSDC protocols with pre-distributed dictionaries.
2. **Measurability:** Quantitative metrics  $K_{\text{eff}}^{\text{relig}}$ ,  $\eta_{\text{dict}}$ ,  $Q_{\text{sync}}$  are introduced.
3. **Historical confirmation:** Byzantine architecture demonstrates empirical optimization for YPSDC.
4. **Practical applicability:** Possibility of optimizing architecture and liturgical practice.
5. **Scientific verifiability:** All predictions are testable, all parameters are measurable.

YUCT makes no claims about theological truth of religious practices, but shows they represent highly optimized coordination systems using principles that are mathematically formalizable and experimentally testable.

This opens new possibilities for:

- Comparative analysis of religious traditions
- Optimization of liturgical practice
- Design of religious buildings
- Understanding mechanisms of socio-religious coordination

All statements are testable, all parameters are measurable, all conclusions satisfy Popper's criterion of falsifiability.

## Part II

# Extreme Unification Power of YUCT: Scientific Verification as Academic Discipline

## 11 Uniqueness of YUCT as Unifying Framework

YUCT represents unique unifying power as it provides unified mathematical apparatus for analyzing coordination systems in:

1. **Physics:** Quantum entanglement, gravity, cosmology
2. **Biology:** Neural networks, collective behavior, genetic codes
3. **Sociology:** Social networks, economic systems, political coordination
4. **Technology:** Communication protocols, distributed systems, AI
5. **Religious practices:** Liturgical systems, meditation techniques

Extremeness manifests in YUCT's ability to formalize and quantitatively compare systems previously considered incomparable.

## 12 Proof of Fundamentality

### 12.1 Cross-Scale Applicability

YUCT demonstrates scale invariance:

$$K_{\text{eff}}(D) = 1 + \frac{D}{L_0}$$

where:

- Quantum systems:  $L_0 \rightarrow 0$ ,  $K_{\text{eff}} \rightarrow \infty$
- Biological systems:  $L_0 \sim 1 \text{ m} - 1 \text{ km}$ ,  $K_{\text{eff}} \sim 10^2 - 10^6$
- Social systems:  $L_0 \sim 10^3 - 10^6 \text{ m}$ ,  $K_{\text{eff}} \sim 10^3 - 10^9$
- Cosmological systems:  $L_0 \sim R_H$ ,  $K_{\text{eff}} \rightarrow 0$

### 12.2 Experimental Convergence

YUCT methodology enables:

1. Comparing experimental data from different disciplines
2. Identifying universal coordination patterns
3. Creating cross-disciplinary predictions

## 13 Academic Verification: Who and How Can Verify

### 13.1 Verification Levels

Level	Target Group	Example Research	Timeline
Level 1: Student works	Bachelor/Master students	Qualitative verification of specific aspects	6-12 months
Level 2: Dissertation research	PhD students	Quantitative verification, experimental setups	2-4 years
Level 3: Laboratory programs	Research groups	Large-scale experiments, technological applications	3-5 years
Level 4: Interdisciplinary consortia	University consortia	Complete theory verification	5-10 years

Table 2: Verification levels for YUCT framework.

### 13.2 Student Research as Cornerstone

#### 13.2.1 Typical Bachelor Thesis Topics

1. **Physics/Computer Science:**

- "Measurement of  $K_{\text{eff}}$  in computer networks of different topologies"
- "Analysis of YPSDC protocols in distributed systems"

2. **Biology/Neuroscience:**

- "Coordination efficiency of bird flocks from video data"
- "Synchronization of cultured neural networks"

3. **Sociology/Anthropology:**

- "Measurement of  $K_{\text{eff}}$  in social media"
- "Coordination patterns in religious communities"

4. **Architecture/Acoustics:**

- "Acoustic optimization of spaces for  $K_{\text{eff}}$  maximization"
- "Comparative analysis of temple acoustics"

#### 13.2.2 Example of Specific Student Work

**Title:** "Measurement of coordination efficiency of choral singing in different acoustic environments"

**Methodology:**

1. **Experimental setup:** 3 environments (reverberation chamber, anechoic chamber, ordinary room)
2. **Participants:** Student choir ( $N = 20$ )
3. **Measured parameters:**
  - Synchronization time  $\tau_{\text{sync}}$
  - Intonation accuracy  $\sigma_{\text{freq}}$
  - Acoustic parameters of rooms
4. **Analysis:**

$$K_{\text{eff}}^{\text{choir}} = \frac{\tau_{\text{base}}}{\tau_{\text{sync}}} \cdot \frac{1}{\sigma_{\text{freq}}} \cdot R_{\text{acoust}}$$

**Expected results:** Quantitative comparison of  $K_{\text{eff}}$  in different conditions.

## 13.3 Organization of Research Program

### 13.3.1 International Network of Student Research

1. **YUCT Research Network:**
  - Centralized database of experiments
  - Standardized measurement protocols
  - Open access to data and code
2. **Annual student competition on YUCT:**
  - Categories: physics, biology, sociology, technology
  - Criteria: scientific rigor, novelty, practical significance
  - Prizes: grants for further research
3. **Summer schools on YUCT methodology:**
  - Theoretical training
  - Practical skills in experimental measurements
  - Interdisciplinary interaction

## 14 Technical Infrastructure for Verification

### 14.1 Minimum Equipment Set

For basic experiments:

1. **Measurement complex:**
  - Computer with data analysis software (\$1000)
  - Audio equipment (microphones, sound card) (\$500)

- Video cameras for motion tracking (\$300)

## 2. Biometric sensors (optional):

- Consumer-grade EEG headset (\$300)
- Pulse and GSR sensors (\$100)

## 3. Software:

- Open libraries for signal analysis
- Specialized software for  $K_{\text{eff}}$  calculation

## 14.2 Typical Budget for Student Research

Expense Item	Cost (\$)	Comments
Equipment	500-2000	Depends on complexity
Software	0-500	Mostly open-source
Participants	0-500	Incentives for participation
Publication	0-1000	APC for open access
<b>Total</b>	<b>500-4000</b>	Comparable to typical grant

Table 3: Typical budget for student research project.

## 15 Methodological Standards

### 15.1 Reproducibility Protocols

1. **PRE-registration:** Pre-registration of hypotheses and methods
2. **Open Data:** Mandatory data sharing in open access
3. **Open Code:** Publication of all analysis code
4. **Review:** Cross-disciplinary peer review

### 15.2 Standardized Metrics

#### 1. Basic metric set:

- $K_{\text{eff}}$  - coordination efficiency
- $\tau_{\text{sync}}$  - synchronization time
- $\Phi$  - phase synchronization measure
- $C$  - correlation matrices

## 2. Measurement units:

- $K_{\text{eff}}$  - dimensionless
- $\tau$  - seconds
- $\Phi$  - radians

# 16 Educational Integration

## 16.1 Educational Courses

### 1. Introduction to Coordination Theory (bachelor level):

- Mathematical foundations of YUCT
- Examples from various disciplines
- Laboratory work on  $K_{\text{eff}}$  measurement

### 2. Advanced Coordination Theory (master level):

- Deep study of D+I•R formalism
- Methods of experimental verification
- Interdisciplinary applications

### 3. Special courses:

- "Coordination in Biological Systems"
- "Social Networks and Coordination"
- "Religious Practices as Coordination Protocols"

## 16.2 Diploma Projects

Structure of typical diploma project:

### 1. Theoretical part:

- Literature review on coordination in chosen field
- Hypothesis formulation in YUCT terms

### 2. Methodological part:

- Development of experimental protocol
- Selection and justification of metrics

### 3. Experimental part:

- Data collection
- Calculation of  $K_{\text{eff}}$  and other parameters

### 4. Analytical part:

- Comparison with YUCT predictions
- Discussion of limitations and prospects

## 17 First Concrete Experiments for Students

### 17.1 Experiment 1: Metronome Synchronization

**Goal:** Test scaling of  $K_{\text{eff}}$  with number of elements.

**Setup:**

- $N$  metronomes ( $N = 10, 20, 30, 50$ )
- Common moving platform
- Phase measurement sensors

**Measurements:**

- Time to full synchronization  $\tau_{\text{sync}}(N)$
- Calculation:  $K_{\text{eff}}(N) = \tau_{\text{base}}(N)/\tau_{\text{sync}}(N)$

**YUCT prediction:**  $K_{\text{eff}} \propto N$

### 17.2 Experiment 2: Language Coordination

**Goal:** Measure  $K_{\text{eff}}$  in communication with different dictionaries.

**Protocol:**

- Group A: Common slang (small dictionary)
- Group B: Technical terminology (large dictionary)
- Task: Joint problem solving

**Measurements:**

- Problem solving speed
- Communication error frequency
- $K_{\text{eff}}$  calculation via problem complexity to communication volume ratio

## 18 Organizational Structure

### 18.1 International YUCT-Research Consortium

**Goals:**

1. Research coordination
2. Standards development
3. Conference organization
4. Publication of "Journal of Coordination Science"

**Participants:**

- Universities (physical, biological, social faculties)
- Research institutes
- Technology companies

## 18.2 Financing

### 1. Student grants:

- Microgrants \$500-\$5000 for diploma works
- Competitions for best experiment

### 2. Research grants:

- Fundamental YUCT research
- Applied developments

### 3. Crowdfunding:

- Citizen science
- Open research projects

## 19 Roadmap for Verification

### 19.1 Phase 1: Pilot Projects (1-2 years)

- 10-20 student works in different universities
- Development of standard protocols
- First publications

### 19.2 Phase 2: Scaling (3-5 years)

- 100+ research projects annually
- Inter-laboratory comparisons
- Statistical data accumulation

### 19.3 Phase 3: Consolidation (5-10 years)

- Critical mass of data
- Theory refinement based on experiments
- Recognition by scientific community

## 20 Conclusion

YUCT possesses unique extreme unifying power because:

1. **Universal:** Applies from quantum to social systems
2. **Measurable:** Provides quantitative metrics
3. **Verifiable:** Testable at student work level

4. **Practical:** Has concrete applications
5. **Fundamental:** Reveals deep organizational principles

Student works are ideal verification mechanism because:

- Low entry threshold
- High motivation
- Scalability
- Educational value

Specific action plan:

1. Develop standard laboratory works on YUCT
2. Create open database of experiments
3. Organize annual student research conference
4. Publish collection of best works

**Conclusion:** YUCT is not just a theory — it is a research program that can and should be verified by students worldwide. Each diploma work becomes a building block in the edifice of new scientific paradigm where coordination is recognized as fundamental organizational principle from physics to sociology.

This extreme unifying power makes YUCT unique in history of science: a theory that can and should be verified massively, distributedly, at all levels — from student laboratory to international collaborations.

## Data Availability

All experimental protocols, measurement software, and data analysis templates are available at <https://github.com/Alexey-Yakushev-YUCT/YPSDC> .