Approximation: Greedy and Local Search

Zixuan Fan

Technische Universität München

July 2024

Agenda

- 1. Introduction
- 2. Scheduling Problems
 - Scheduling with deadlines on a Single machine
 - Scheduling on Multiple Machines
- 3. Graph Problems
 - K-center Problem
 - Travelling Salesman Problem
- 4. Conclusion

► Some problems not efficiently solvable: NP-hardness

- ► Some problems not efficiently solvable: NP-hardness
- Can we find a flawed solution?

- ► Some problems not efficiently solvable: NP-hardness
- ► Can we find a flawed solution?
- ► How flawed/good is this solution?

- Some problems not efficiently solvable: NP-hardness
- Can we find a flawed solution?
- ► How flawed/good is this solution?
- ▶ What is the limit of this flawed solution?

Quick Recap: Approximation Ratio

Opt*: the optimal solution

Opt: the suboptimal solution we compute

For minimization problems, we have

$$lpha = rac{|\mathit{Opt}|}{|\mathit{Opt}^*|} > 1$$

And for maximization problems

$$\alpha = \frac{|\mathit{Opt}|}{|\mathit{Opt}^*|} < 1$$

1. Randomization: MAXSAT, MAXCUT

- 1. Randomization: MAXSAT, MAXCUT
- 2. Dynamic Programming: Knapsack, Bin Packing

- 1. Randomization: MAXSAT, MAXCUT
- 2. Dynamic Programming: Knapsack, Bin Packing
- 3. Linear & Integer Programming: Primal-Dual, Semidefinite Program

- 1. Randomization: MAXSAT, MAXCUT
- 2. Dynamic Programming: Knapsack, Bin Packing
- 3. Linear & Integer Programming: Primal-Dual, Semidefinite Program
- 4. Greedy & Local Search

Greedy and Local Search

▶ Both strategies attempt to make the best decision

Greedy and Local Search

- ▶ Both strategies attempt to make the best decision
- Greedy algorithms form a solution step by step

Greedy and Local Search

- ▶ Both strategies attempt to make the best decision
- Greedy algorithms form a solution step by step
- Local Search starts search from an arbitrary solution

Scheduling with deadlines on a Single machine

Problem Statement: Given *n* jobs to be processed on a single machine. How can we schedule them such that they will finish as early as possible.

▶ Suppose d_j < 0 to reduce complexity

Scheduling with deadlines on a Single machine

Problem Statement: Given *n* jobs to be processed on a single machine. How can we schedule them such that they will finish as early as possible.

- ▶ Suppose d_j < 0 to reduce complexity
- How do we define earlieness/lateness?

Scheduling with deadlines on a Single machine

Problem Statement: Given *n* jobs to be processed on a single machine. How can we schedule them such that they will finish as early as possible.

- ▶ Suppose $d_j < 0$ to reduce complexity
- How do we define earlieness/lateness?
- ▶ Suppose job j is finished at time C_i , the lateness is

$$L_j := C_j - d_j$$

The lateness of all jobs is

$$L_{max} = \max_{i \in [n]} L_i$$

Scheduling with deadlines on a Single machine - Formal Definition

Input: n jobs with release time r_j , processing time p_j , and deadline $d_i < 0$.

Output: a schedule such that L_{max} is minimized.

Algorithm - Intuition

If the job j is finished before the deadline we don't get penalty for it, so

What if we always choose the job with the earliest deadlines?

Earliest Deadline Rule

Recall
$$L_{max}=C_j-d_j$$
 for some j , it suffices to show
$$c_1L_{max}^*\geq C_j, c_2L_{max}^*\geq -d_j$$

We start with an observation. Let S be a subset of jobs,

- $ightharpoonup r(S) := \min_{j \in S} r_j$
- $\triangleright p(S) := \sum_{j \in S} p_j$
- $b d(S) := \max_{j \in S} d_j$

We claim that

$$L_{max}^* \ge r(S) + p(S) - d(S)$$

By considering the optimal schedule.

$$L_{max}^* \ge r(S) + p(S) - d(S)$$

This leads directly to

$$L_{max}^* \ge r(\{j\}) + p(\{j\}) - d(\{j\}) \ge -d_j$$

where j is the job that leads to the maximal lateness.

How about $L_{max}^* \geq C_j$

▶ We consider it in a way of calculus

- ▶ We consider it in a way of calculus
- Find the earliest time t such that $[t, C_i]$ has no idle time

- ▶ We consider it in a way of calculus
- Find the earliest time t such that $[t, C_i]$ has no idle time
- Denote jobs processed in this interval with S.

- ► We consider it in a way of calculus
- Find the earliest time t such that $[t, C_i]$ has no idle time
- Denote jobs processed in this interval with S.
- ▶ $r(S) = t, p(S) = C_j t$

- We consider it in a way of calculus
- Find the earliest time t such that $[t, C_i]$ has no idle time
- ightharpoonup Denote jobs processed in this interval with S.
- ► r(S) = t, $p(S) = C_j t$
- ▶ Thus $C_j = r(S) + p(S) \le L_{max}^*$

Summarizing two results $L^*_{max} \ge -d_j$ and $L^*_{max} \ge C_j$, we obtain $L_{max} = C_i - d_i \le 2L^*_{max}$

Scheduling on Identical Paralle Machines

Problem Statement: Given n jobs to be processed on k machines, How can we schedule them such that they will finish as early as possible.

- What if there is not deadline?
- ► How do we define earlieness/lateness?

Scheduling on Identical Paralle Machines - Formal Definition

More machines ⇒ more complexity

So the problem is NP-hard even if

we don't consider release time and deadlines

Scheduling on Identical Paralle Machines - Formal Definition

Input: n jobs with processing time p_j and k machines

Output: A schedule that minimizes

$$\max_{j \in [n]} C_j$$

where C_i is the completion time of job j.

Recap: Local search

starts with a valid solution

- starts with a valid solution
- searches greedily until

- starts with a valid solution
- searches greedily until
- no better strategy can be made

- ► How do we find a valid solution?
- ► How to make greedy strategy?

- ► How do we find a valid solution?
- ► How to make greedy strategy?

Algorithm - Local Search

Recap: Local search

- ► How do we find a valid solution?
- Finish all jobs in one machine.
- ► How to make greedy strategy?

Algorithm - Local Search

Recap: Local search

- ► How do we find a valid solution?
- Finish all jobs in one machine.
- How to make greedy strategy?
- Separate those jobs to other machines.

Local Search - Seperation of Jobs

- ▶ Pick the last job j at the machine M with the latest running time
- ▶ Let t be the processing time of all other jobs on M
- Find another machine M' such that t' < t is minimized
- ► Add *j* to *M'*

Local Search - Seperation of Jobs

- ▶ Pick the last job j at the machine M with the latest running time
- Let t be the processing time of all other jobs on M
- Find another machine M' such that t' < t is minimized
- ightharpoonup Add j to M'

But, can we go into LOOPs?

We show that a job is only assigned **once** in our local search algorithm.

Suppose that a job j on machine $M \neq M_1$ is assigned to another machine M'.

We show that a job is only assigned **once** in our local search algorithm.

Suppose that a job j on machine $M \neq M_1$ is assigned to another machine M'.

 \implies machine M has the latest running time now

We show that a job is only assigned **once** in our local search algorithm.

Suppose that a job j on machine $M \neq M_1$ is assigned to another machine M'.

 \implies machine M has the latest running time now

 \implies on M' it holds t' < t

We show that a job is only assigned **once** in our local search algorithm.

Suppose that a job j on machine $M \neq M_1$ is assigned to another machine M'.

 \implies machine M has the latest running time now

 \implies on M' it holds t' < t

BUT: by our strategy t has to be minimal when j was assigned to M at an earlier step.

We show that a job is only assigned **once** in our local search algorithm.

Suppose that a job j on machine $M \neq M_1$ is assigned to another machine M'.

 \implies machine M has the latest running time now

 \implies on M' it holds t' < t

BUT: by our strategy t has to be minimal when j was assigned to M at an earlier step.

We denote completion time on M' at this step as t'_0 , it holds

$$t' \geq t'_0 \geq t$$
 §



Algorithm - Greedy and More

- ► This is a 2-approximation.
- There exists greedy algorithm that solves problem with $\alpha=2,\frac{4}{3}$
- With rounding and DP, the problem can be approximated with $\alpha=1+\epsilon$ for any $\epsilon>0$

K-Center Problem

Problem Statement: Given n points in a space, choose k centers from them such that the maximal distance between each point and its nearest center is minimized.

K-Center Problem - Formal Definition

```
d(p,q): distance between p,q
d(p, S) := \min_{q \in S} d(p, q)
```

Input: S, k

Output: $C \subseteq S$ s.t. |C| = k and $r = \max_{p \in S} d(p, C)$ is minimized

K-Center Problem - Formal Definition

```
d(p,q): distance between p,q

d(p,S) := \min_{q \in S} d(p,q)
```

Input: S, k

Output: $C \subseteq S$ s.t. |C| = k and $r = \max_{p \in S} d(p, C)$ is minimized

We don't require constraints on distance, as we need to do to TSP

Algorithm - Intuition

How do we search greedily?

If we have a temporary center |C| < k, how to build |C'| = |C| + 1?

Algorithm - Description

Find $v \in S \setminus C$ s.t. d(v, C) is maximized.

$$C' = C \cup \{v\}$$

Algorithm - Description

But, what is C at the beginning of the iteration?

Algorithm - Description

But, what is C at the beginning of the iteration?

Any arbitrary point would do!

Approximation ratio: Base

Suppose each optimal cluster contains exactly one center by our algorithm.

Approximation ratio: Base

Suppose each optimal cluster contains exactly one center by our algorithm.

If all points in this cluster is covered by the cluster of this new center

$$r \leq 2r^*$$

Approximation ratio: Base

Suppose each optimal cluster contains exactly one center by our algorithm.

If all points in this cluster is covered by the cluster of this new center

$$r \leq 2r^*$$

Guarantees
$$\alpha = \frac{r}{r^*} = 2$$

Approximation ratio: General

What if one optimal cluster contains two or even more centers?

This is solved by our greediness. HOW?

Approximation ratio: Improvement?

Can we have a better approximation?

Sadly, NO

Dominating Set

If there is $\alpha < 2 \implies$ Dominating Set is solvable in polytime.

Input: Graph G = (V, E)

Output: $D \subseteq V$ and integer k s.t. |D| = k and each vertice is V is either in D or is adjacent to a vertice in D

Dominating Set - Reduction

We reduce the instance of dominating set to k-center.

- ▶ For each vertice $u, v \in V$
 - 1. If u, v are adjacent: d(u, v) = 2
 - 2. If not: d(u, v) = 1
- ▶ If there is |D| = k: each cluster in k-center has radius $r^* = 1$
- ▶ If |D| > k: there exists cluster with $r^* = 2$
- ▶ If we can approximate k—center with α < 2

$$r \le \alpha r^* = \alpha < 2$$

This solves dominating set directly.

Travelling Salesman Problem(TSP)

Problem Statement: Given a complete weighted graph of *n* vertices, find the round tour with the minimal cost.

TSP - Formal Definition

Input: G = (V, d)Output: Path π s.t. $\sum_{i=1}^{n-1} w(\pi(i), \pi(i+1))$ is minimized

TSP - Formal Definition

Input: G = (V, d)

Output: Path π s.t. $\sum_{i=1}^{n-1} w(\pi(i), \pi(i+1))$ is minimized

What is the distance function? Or what property does the distance function have?

TSP-Limit of Approximation

1. No existent approximation for TSP in general

TSP-Limit of Approximation

- 1. No existent approximation for TSP in general
- 2. If there was \implies Hamilton-Cycle solvable in P!

Suppose for each vertice $u, v, w \in V$, triangular inequality holds

$$d(u,v)+d(v,w)\geq d(u,w)$$

TSP-Limit of Approximation

- 1. No existent approximation for TSP in general
- 2. If there was \implies Hamilton-Cycle solvable in P!
- 3. But: metric distance can help us!

Suppose for each vertice $u, v, w \in V$, triangular inequality holds

$$d(u,v)+d(v,w)\geq d(u,w)$$

Minimum Spanning Tree(MST). The tree that connects all vertices with minimal edge cost.

- Minimum Spanning Tree(MST). The tree that connects all vertices with minimal edge cost.
- ► Eulerian Graph: connected graph where all vertices have even degrees.

▶ What if we make a copy of MST?

- ▶ What if we make a copy of MST?
- ightharpoonup This is make a Eulerian Graph \implies Not a round tour

- ▶ What if we make a copy of MST?
- ▶ This is make a Eulerian Graph ⇒ Not a round tour
- ▶ What if we remove all but the first occurrences?

- ▶ What if we make a copy of MST?
- ▶ This is make a Eulerian Graph ⇒ Not a round tour
- ▶ What if we remove all but the first occurrences?
- ► This is a round tour!

Algorithm - A bit help from Euler

For the size of the tour:

- 1. $w_{MST} \leq w_{Opt^*}$: Round tour contains trees!
- 2. $w_G \leq 2w_{MST}$: triangular inequality

$$\implies w_G \leq 2w_{Opt^*}$$

▶ We can do the same trick to all Eulerian graphs

- ▶ We can do the same trick to all Eulerian graphs
- ► As long as it connects all vertices

- We can do the same trick to all Eulerian graphs
- As long as it connects all vertices
- ► How to make MST Eulerian?

- We can do the same trick to all Eulerian graphs
- As long as it connects all vertices
- ► How to make MST Eulerian?
- ► Make all vertices have **even** degrees

HOW?

1. Connect all vertices with odd degrees

HOW?

- 1. Connect all vertices with odd degrees
- 2. How many vertices with odd degrees?

Matching: a set of edges that don't share vertices.

Perfect Matching: a matching covering all vertices

Matching: a set of edges that don't share vertices.

Perfect Matching: a matching covering all vertices

- ▶ Remove all vertices with even degrees and their edges in G
- ► Find a PM in the result graph O
- Combine O with the MST
- Do the trick on Eulerian graph!

For the size of the tour:

- 1. $w_{MST} \leq w_{Opt^*}$: see 1st part
- 2. $w_{PM} \leq \frac{1}{2} w_{Opt^*}$:
- 3. $w_G \leq w_{MST} + wPM$: traingular inequality

$$\implies w_G \leq \frac{3}{2} w_{Opt^*}$$

Limit of Approximation(Again)

Even if it is possible to do the approximation for metric TSP, we cannot do better than $\alpha < \frac{220}{219}$ unless ${\bf P} = {\bf NP}$

Conclusion

- ightharpoonup PTAS \leftrightarrow P
- ► APX-intermeidate ↔ NP-intermediate
- \triangleright APX \leftrightarrow NP
- ightharpoonup APX-complete \leftrightarrow NP-complete

Conclusion

- Scheduling with Deadline on a Single Machine: $\alpha = 2$
- Scheduling on Identical Parallel Machines: PTAS
- ▶ K-Center Problem: $\alpha >= 2$
- ▶ Travelling Salesman Problem: $\alpha = 1.5 10^{-36}$

Conclusion

A vivid and popular field in complexity theory!

- 1. IN2004: Efficient algorithms and data structures II
- 2. CIT4100003: Approximation Algorithms