
Problem sheet 2, 14-04-2025

Problems coming from Chris Bowman's book *Diagrammatic algebra* are referenced as the preliminary January version of the book available to the participants of the course by sending out an email to me: langlois@uni-bonn.de

0. (Drill)

- 1. Write the (14) elements of $TL_4(2)$ in diagram form and compute a few examples of multiplication.
- 2. Write the (14) walks on \mathbb{Z}_2 from (0,0) to (4,4) that do not cross the diagonal and relate them to the Temperley–Lieb diagram.
- 3. Choose a (big, say at least $n \ge 7$) Temperley–Lieb diagram and express it via a product of simple arcs (as we did in the proof that Ψ was surjective).
- **1. Catalan combinatorics** Give a proof that the number of walks on \mathbb{Z}^2 from (0,0) to (n,n) that does not cross the diagonal is given by the Catalan number $C_n = \frac{1}{n} \binom{2n}{n}$. (Hint: you might find it easier to count walks from (0,0) to (n,p) that do not cross the diagonal and then specialise.)
- **2. Complete the proofs** In the lecture, we did some of the proofs only for examples. Go back to your notes and add the necessary "..." to make them work for all n.
- **3.** A trace on the algebra We define a "trace" on the Temperley–Lieb algebra $\operatorname{tr}: \operatorname{TL}_n(2) \to \mathbb{C}$ by doing the following diagrammatic construction: given a Temperley–Lieb diagram α , embed it into a bigger space and connect the top and bottom strands with loops and compute the trace via the diagrammatic rule:



What is the trace of the identity? Relate this to the first comment on the course that stated that the Temperley–Lieb algebra $\mathsf{TL}_n(2)$ was the endomorphism algebra $\mathsf{End}_{U(\mathfrak{sl}_2)}((\mathbb{C}^2)^{\otimes n})$. Does this make sense to you?

- **4. Maps on the Temperley–Lieb algebras** Flipping the diagram with respect to the horizontal axis gives an anti-involution (that is, $\iota^2 = \operatorname{id}$ and $\iota(ab) = \iota(b)\iota(a)$) on the (diagrammatic) Temperley–Lieb algebra. Define this anti-involution by its action on the generators.
- **5. Preparing the next course** The diagrammatic rules we have is

$$O = 2$$

Can we change it by

$$O = \beta$$
.

for a $\beta \in \mathbb{C}$?