

## Lecture notes and Problem sheet 5, 05-05-2025

Problems coming from Chris Bowman's book *Diagrammatic algebra* are referenced as the preliminary January version of the book available to the participants of the course by sending out an email to me: [langlois@uni-bonn.de](mailto:langlois@uni-bonn.de)

### Extra material and preliminaries of representation theory of algebra

The extra material on the representation theory of finite-dimensional algebras was taken from [Mat99; CR66], and the extra material on cellularity comes from [Mat99].

This extra material will be found on the course notes (along with a small recap of what we did lecture by lecture).

Still, let me just precise one notion from lecture. The radical  $\text{Rad}(\mathcal{A})$  of a finite-dimensional  $\mathbb{F}$ -algebra  $\mathcal{A}$  was defined as the sum of all nilpotent ideals (so ideal  $I$  for which there exists an  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $I^n = 0$ ). Then we define the radical of a submodule  $M \subset \mathcal{A}$  as  $\text{Rad}(M) := \text{Rad}(\mathcal{A}) \cap M$ .

### Problem sheet 5

#### 0. (Drill)

1. Write back the Gram matrices of  $\text{TL}_4(\beta)$  and identify the values where they have a radical (we did it in class).

**1. Temperley–Lieb non-semisimple** In class, we studied the representation theory of  $\text{TL}_4(\beta)$  for special  $\beta = 0, 1$ . Give the decomposition matrix  $D = ([V^d : L^{d'}])_{d,d'=0,2,4}$  for the last case we did not work out:  $\beta = \sqrt{2}$ .

**2. Oriented Temperley–Lieb algebras [Chris' 5.5]** Read the definition of the oriented Temperley–Lieb algebra. Pay attention,  $q$  there is not an element of the field, it's an abstract element, and the reason this algebra is infinite-dimensional.

**$q$ -numbers** Read Chapter 7.1 of Chris' book, but skip the definition of quantum number. There is a small typo in the version (the  $n$  of the middle member should be  $n - 1$ ):

$$[n]_q := q^{n-1} + q^{n-2} + \cdots + q + 1 = \frac{1 - q^n}{1 - q}$$

Often, especially in quantum group, it makes more sense to use a different notion of quantum number:

$$[[n]]_q := \frac{q^n - q^{-n}}{q - q^{-1}}$$

In particular,  $[[2]]_q = q + q^{-1}$  which is a convenient parametrisation of the parameter  $\beta$  in Temperley–Lieb algebras.

The exercise is then to give the relation between the two notions  $[n]_q$  and  $[[n]]_q$ . (Note that both of them define a generalisation of number and, indeed, retrieve  $n$  when  $q \rightarrow 1$ ).

### References

- [CR66] C. W. Curtis and I. Reiner. *Representation theory of finite groups and associative algebras*. Vol. 356. American Mathematical Soc., 1966.
- [Mat99] A. Mathas. *Iwahori–Hecke algebras and Schur algebras of the symmetric group*. Vol. 15. American Mathematical Soc., 1999.