

Theory and evidence of global Rossby waves in upper main-sequence stars: r-mode oscillations in many *Kepler* stars

Hideyuki Saio¹*, Donald W. Kurtz², Simon Murphy^{3,4}, Victoria L. Antoci⁴, and Umin Lee¹

¹Astronomical Institute, Graduate School of Science, Tohoku University, Sendai, Miyagi 980-8578, Japan

²Jeremiah Horrocks Institute, University of Central Lancashire, Preston PR1 2HE, UK

³Sydney Institute for Astronomy, School of Physics, The University of Sydney, NSW 2006, Australia

⁴Stellar Astrophysics Centre, Department of Physics and Astronomy, Aarhus University, Ny Munkegade 120, DK-8000 Aarhus C, Denmark

Accepted XXX. Received YYY; in original form ZZZ

ABSTRACT

Asteroseismic inference from pressure modes (p modes) and buoyancy, or gravity, modes (g modes) is ubiquitous for stars across the Hertzsprung–Russell diagram. Until now, however, discussion of r modes (global Rossby waves) has been rare. Here we derive the expected frequency ranges of r modes in the observational frame by considering the visibility of these modes. We find that the frequencies of r modes of azimuthal order m appear as groups at slightly lower frequency than m times the rotation frequency. Comparing the visibility curves for r modes with Fourier amplitude spectra of *Kepler* light curves of upper main-sequence B, A and F stars, we find that r modes are present in many γ Dor stars (as first discovered by Van Reeth et al. 2016), spotted stars, and so-called Heartbeat stars, which are highly eccentric binary stars. We also find a signature of r modes in a frequently bursting Be star observed by *Kepler*. In the amplitude spectra of moderately to rapidly rotating γ Dor stars, r-mode frequency groups appear at lower frequency than prograde g-mode frequency groups, while in the amplitude spectra of spotted early A to B stars, groups of symmetric (with respect to the equator) r-mode frequencies appear just below the frequency of a structured peak that we suggest represents an approximate stellar rotation rate. In many Heartbeat stars, a group of frequencies can be fitted with symmetric $m = 1$ r modes, which can be used to obtain rotation frequencies of these stars.

Key words: stars: oscillations – stars: rotation – stars: variables: general – starspots – binaries: eclipsing

1 INTRODUCTION

Global normal modes of Rossby waves in rotating stars were first named r modes by Papaloizou & Pringle (1978). These modes consist of predominantly toroidal motions that cause no restoring force nor light variations in a non-rotating star because they cause no compression or expansion. However, in a rotating star, the toroidal motion couples with spheroidal motion caused by the Coriolis force, and in that case r modes cause temperature perturbations, hence are visible.

A simple explanation for the generation of Rossby waves may be given by considering the conservation of the vertical

component of the total vorticity $[(\nabla \times \mathbf{u})_r + \Omega_r]$ (Pedlosky 1982), where \mathbf{u} is the velocity in the co-rotating frame and $\Omega_r = \Omega \cos \theta$ is the radial component of the angular velocity of rotation with θ being co-latitude. With the convention that the rotation axis points to the north, if we follow the northward motion of a fluid element in northern hemisphere (say at point A), Ω_r increases, and due to conservation of the vertical vorticity a clockwise motion is generated around A, which causes a northward motion of a fluid element (say B) located to the west of A. Then, for the same reason as before, a clockwise motion is generated around B, which pushes back the fluid element A to the original position and at the same time moves the west-side fluid element B northward. In this way, waves propagate westward, i.e. in the direction retrograde to rotation (see also Saio 1982). For

* E-mail: saio@astr.tohoku.ac.jp (HS)

this reason, r modes are always retrograde in the co-rotating frame. Moreover, because the gradient of Ω_r is larger in mid-latitudes than at the equator, r modes tend to be confined to the mid-latitude range on the surface (Lee & Saio 1997).

Because of coupling with spheroidal motions that cause density – and hence pressure – perturbations, r modes have an infinite number of discrete (low) frequencies and corresponding eigenfunctions, just as g modes do. Adiabatic frequencies and eigenfunctions for r modes in a stellar model were first obtained by Provost et al. (1981). This coupled spheroidal motion also makes κ -mechanism excitation of r modes possible, as shown theoretically for DA white dwarfs with excitation in the H-ionisation zone (Saio 1982; Berthomieu & Provost 1983) and for Slowly Pulsating B (SPB) stars with excitation in the Fe opacity bump zone (Townsend 2005; Savonije 2005; Lee 2006). Searches for observational evidence of r modes in stars had been unsuccessful (e.g., Robinson et al. 1982; Kepler 1984) until the discovery by Van Reeth et al. (2016), who identified r modes in many moderately to rapidly rotating γ Dor stars from the period-spacing and period relations.

In other stars, r modes are generally believed to be present in neutron stars – because of the instability caused by gravitational wave radiation – and to play an important role in spinning down neutron stars (e.g., Andersson 1998; Brown & Ushomirsky 2000; Mahmoodifar & Strohmayer 2013), but they have not been observed. Even the slowly rotating Sun seems to have r modes (e.g., Sturrock & Bertello 2010; Sturrock et al. 2015).

In this paper, we argue that r modes are common in spotted stars, stars in binaries, and g-mode pulsators for B, A and F stars on the upper main-sequence. We show a close agreement in frequency range between our theoretical calculations and humps of unresolved peaks in the amplitude spectra of the ultra-precise light curves of many stars observed by the *Kepler* space telescope.

2 BASIC PROPERTIES OF R MODES

2.1 Slow rotation limit

In the case of very slow rotation ($\Omega \ll \sqrt{GM/R^3}$), the displacement of r mode oscillations in the co-rotating frame can be written as

$$\xi \simeq e^{i\sigma t} \left[T_\ell^m(r) \left(0, \frac{1}{\sin \theta} \frac{\partial}{\partial \phi}, -\frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} \right) Y_\ell^m(\theta, \phi) + S^m \right], \quad (1)$$

where G is the gravitational constant, M is stellar mass, R is radius, σ is the angular frequency of pulsation in the co-rotating frame, and $Y_\ell^m(\theta, \phi)$ is a spherical harmonic, which is proportional to $\exp(im\phi)$. In this paper, we adopt the convention that the frequency is always positive (i.e., $\sigma > 0$) so that a positive m (> 0) represents a *retrograde* mode and a negative m a prograde mode. The term proportional to $T_\ell^m(r)$ represents (dominant) toroidal displacements (some examples of flow patterns on the surface are presented in Saio 1982), while S^m stands for associated small spheroidal displacements of the order Ω^2 (Provost et al. 1981). The spheroidal term S^m may be represented as

$$S^m = \sum_{\ell_s} \left(R_{\ell_s}^m(r), H_{\ell_s}^m(r) \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta}, \frac{H_{\ell_s}^m(r)}{\sin \theta} \frac{\partial}{\partial \phi} \right) Y_{\ell_s}^m(\theta, \phi), \quad (2)$$

where $R_{\ell_s}^m(r)$ and $H_{\ell_s}^m(r)$ are the amplitudes of radial and horizontal spheroidal displacement. The summation means a sum of terms with $\ell_s = \ell - 1$ and $\ell + 1$, although $\ell - 1$ terms diminish if $\ell = m$. This rule comes from the fact that toroidal motions associated with Y_ℓ^m couple, due to the Coriolis force, with the spheroidal motions associated with $Y_{\ell+1}^m$ and $Y_{\ell-1}^m$ (the latter is non-zero only if $\ell > m$).¹

Thus, the latitudinal degree of the spheroidal term, ℓ_s , is different by ± 1 from ℓ . Since, the spheroidal terms produce temperature perturbations, we designate even and odd modes with respect to ℓ_s ; i.e., if $(\ell_s - m)$ is zero or an even number [i.e., $(\ell - m)$ is an odd number] we call the mode an even mode, which produces temperature perturbations symmetric to the equator (i.e., the temperature perturbations in the northern and southern hemispheres are in phase with each other), and vice versa.

In the slow rotation limit of $\Omega \ll \sqrt{GM/R^3}$, the frequency of an r mode in the co-rotating frame, ν_{rm}^{co} , can be written as

$$\nu_{rm}^{co} \approx \nu_{rot} \left[\frac{2m}{\ell(\ell+1)} + q(n) \frac{\Omega^2}{GM/R^3} \right] \quad (3)$$

(Papaloizou & Pringle 1978), where $\nu_{rot} = \Omega/(2\pi)$ and $q(n)$ is a non-dimensional quantity. Since $\ell \geq m \geq 1$ for r modes, we have the inequalities

$$\frac{2m\nu_{rot}}{\ell(\ell+1)} \leq \frac{2\nu_{rot}}{m+1} \leq \nu_{rot}. \quad (4)$$

The maximum value occurs at $m = 1$, for which $q(n)$ is negative and its absolute value increases with the radial order n (Saio 1982). Therefore, we have an inequality for the frequencies of r modes in the co-rotating frame as

$$\nu_{rm}^{co} < \nu_{rot}. \quad (5)$$

This relation is important in this paper for our interpretation of the amplitude spectra of the light curves of *Kepler* stars.

2.2 General case under the Traditional Approximation of Rotation

If rotation is fast in the sense that Ω is a few tenths of $\sqrt{GM/R^3}$, and the spin parameter, $2\nu_{rot}/\nu^{co}$, is larger than one, we can no longer use ℓ and ℓ_s to describe the properties of low frequency oscillations, because terms proportional to $Y_l^m(\theta, \phi)$ (where $l = \ell$ or ℓ_s) couple significantly with terms proportional to $Y_{l\pm 2}^m(\theta, \phi)$. To discuss the properties of such low-frequency oscillations in a moderately or rapidly rotating star, the Traditional Approximation of Rotation (TAR) is useful. In this approximation, we assume uniform rotation, adopt the co-rotating frame, and neglect the horizontal

¹ If we consider higher order terms, the generated spheroidal motions of ℓ_s ($= \ell \pm 1$) couples, in turn, with toroidal motions associated with $\ell_s \pm 1$ ($= \ell - 2, \ell$, and $\ell + 2$), and so on. Therefore, if higher order terms are included, an odd r mode involves toroidal terms of $\ell = m, m + 2, m + 4, \dots$ and spheroidal terms of $\ell_s = m + 1, m + 3, m + 4, \dots$, while an even r mode involves toroidal terms of $\ell = m + 1, m + 3, m + 5, \dots$ and spheroidal terms of $\ell_s = m, m + 2, m + 4, \dots$ (Lee & Baraffe 1995).

component of angular velocity of rotation, $\Omega \sin \theta$ with θ being co-latitude. In addition, we neglect centrifugal deformation (which is not very important for low-frequency oscillations, whose propagation cavity resides in the deep interior), and use the Cowling approximation, in which the Eulerian perturbation of the gravitational potential is neglected. Neglecting $\Omega \sin \theta$ corresponds to neglecting the Coriolis force associated with radial motions, and neglecting the radial component of Coriolis force associated with horizontal motions. The TAR is a good approximation for low-frequency pulsations in which horizontal motions dominate.

The angular dependence of oscillation, which depends on the spin parameter, is obtained by solving Laplace's Tidal equation (e.g., Lee & Saio 1997) with an eigenvalue λ . For g modes, $\lambda \rightarrow \ell_s(\ell_s + 1)$ as $2\nu_{\text{rot}}/\nu^{\text{co}} \rightarrow 0$, while for r modes, $\lambda \rightarrow 0$ as $2\nu_{\text{rot}}/\nu^{\text{co}} \rightarrow \ell(\ell + 1)/m$. Diminishing λ at a limiting frequency means that the oscillation motion is purely toroidal without any horizontal compression at the limiting frequency. Only if $\lambda > 0$ can r modes exist²; larger radial order corresponds to a larger value of λ (and hence a lower frequency in the co-rotating frame) indicating the stronger effects of spheroidal motions (or buoyancy). Fig. 1 shows λ for retrograde modes (we use the convention $m > 0$ for retrograde modes in this paper) as a function of spin parameter $2\nu_{\text{rot}}/\nu^{\text{co}}$ (the prograde part is shown in, e.g., Saio et al. 2017; Lee 2013; Aerts et al. 2010). As shown in this figure, the type of latitudinal dependence of the oscillations is identified by integers, m and k , where ordering by k is adopted from Lee & Saio (1997). Even and odd symmetries with respect to the equator for the distribution of temperature and pressure variations are designated by $|k|$ – even if $|k|$ is zero or an even number, while odd if $|k|$ is an odd number. For r modes $k \leq -1$, while for g modes $k \geq 0$ ($k = \ell_s - |m|$ if $\nu_{\text{rot}} = 0$; $k = 0$ for sectoral g modes).

Fig. 2 shows distributions of temperature variations on the stellar surface for some r modes with various parameters. Generally, a large amplitude part is concentrated towards a smaller region if the mode has a larger λ . In particular, for the odd r modes with $(m, k) = (1, -1)$, the amplitude is strongly concentrated towards the equatorial zone if the spin parameter is much larger than 2; i.e., if the value of λ is very large ($\lambda \sim 10^2$ at $2\nu_{\text{rot}}/\nu^{\text{co}} = 10$; see Fig. 1). On the other hand, for the case of even r modes with $(m, k) = (1, -2)$, the amplitude is only slightly concentrated towards mid-latitudes, even if the spin parameter becomes large, because λ stays nearly constant.

Under the TAR, the set of equations for non-radial adiabatic pulsations of a rotating star with the Cowling approximation is the same as that for the non-rotating case, except that $\ell_s(\ell_s + 1)$ is replaced with λ (Unno et al. 1989; Lee & Saio 1997; Aerts et al. 2010).³ This simplifies significantly the calculations of low-frequency nonradial pulsations of a rotating star. All adiabatic analyses for nonradial pulsations in this paper were performed using the TAR with the standard non-dimensional variables, $y_1 = \xi_r/r$ and $y_2 = p'/(g\rho r)$ (e.g., Unno et al. 1989), where r , p' , ρ and g are the dis-

² Since $\ell(\ell+1)/|m| > 2$ in general, the spin parameter of an r mode is always larger than 2; i.e. $2\nu_{\text{rot}}/\nu_{\text{r mode}}^{\text{co}} > 2$.

³ This is just formally so, because λ varies as a function of frequency for a given rotation rate.




Figure 1. The eigenvalue λ of Laplace's tidal equation for retrograde modes versus spin parameter $2\nu_{\text{rot}}/\nu^{\text{co}}$, where ν_{rot} and ν^{co} are rotation frequency and pulsation frequency in the co-rotating frame, respectively. The integer k represents the type of mode; $k \leq -1$ are for r modes, and $k \geq 0$ are for g modes, for which $\lambda = (|m| + k)(|m| + k + 1)$, that is, $\ell_s = |m| + k$, at $\nu_{\text{rot}} = 0.0$. For r modes $\lambda > 0$ if $2m\nu_{\text{rot}}/\nu^{\text{co}} > (m + |k| - 1)(m + |k|)$; furthermore, λ approaches a constant value of $m^2(2|k| - 1)^{-2}$ if $k \leq -2$ and $2\nu_{\text{rot}}/\nu^{\text{co}} \gg 1$ (Townsend 2003). Even and odd modes are represented by solid and dashed lines, respectively.

tance from the centre of the star, Eulerian perturbation of pressure, the gas density, and local gravity, respectively.

Furthermore, under the TAR, we can use asymptotic formulae of high order g modes in non-rotating stars for both r and g modes in rotating stars, if $\ell_s(\ell_s + 1)$ is replaced with λ . Thus, the frequency in the co-rotating frame of a high radial-order r or g mode in a rotating star can be represented formally as

$$\nu^{\text{co}} \approx \frac{\sqrt{\lambda}}{2\pi^2 n} \int \frac{N}{r} dr \equiv \frac{\sqrt{\lambda}}{n} \nu_0, \quad (6)$$

(e.g. Lee & Saio 1987; Bouabid et al. 2013) where N is the Brunt-Väisälä frequency. The corresponding frequency in the inertial (observer's) frame, ν^{int} is given as

$$\nu^{\text{int}} = |\nu^{\text{co}} - m\nu_{\text{rot}}| \approx \left| \frac{\sqrt{\lambda}}{n} \nu_0 - m\nu_{\text{rot}} \right|. \quad (7)$$

2.2.1 Period spacings

From equation (7), taking into account the fact that r-mode frequency in the co-rotating frame is always smaller than ν_{rot} (eq. 5), the frequency of an r mode in the inertial frame, $\nu_{\text{rm}}^{\text{int}}$ is given as

$$\nu_{\text{rm}}^{\text{int}} \approx m\nu_{\text{rot}} - \frac{\sqrt{\lambda_{\text{rm}}}}{n} \nu_0; \quad \text{r modes } m \geq 1, \quad (8)$$




Figure 2. Distributions of temperature variations for some r modes on the stellar surface. Parameters for each mode are given above each pattern, where ‘spin’ means the spin parameter defined as $2\nu_{\text{rot}}/\nu^{\text{co}}$. Positive, negative, and zero variations are shown by blue, red, and gray, respectively. The inclination angle to the rotation axis is set to be 60° for all cases.

where the symbol λ_{rm} is to emphasize λ of r modes. Note that in the inertial frame a lower radial order n corresponds to a lower frequency (i.e., a longer period), while in the co-rotating frame the relation is the opposite. In other words, as $n \rightarrow \infty$, $\nu_{\text{rm}}^{\text{int}} \rightarrow m\nu_{\text{rot}}$ and $\nu_{\text{rm}}^{\text{co}} \rightarrow 0$.

Using equation (8) and assuming λ_{rm} to be constant (i.e., far from the zero point), we obtain the period spacing of r modes, $\Delta P_{\text{rm}}^{\text{int}}$, as

$$\Delta P_{\text{rm}}^{\text{int}} \approx \left(P_{\text{rm}}^{\text{int}} \right)^2 \frac{\sqrt{\lambda_{\text{rm}}}}{n^2} \nu_0 = \frac{\sqrt{\lambda_{\text{rm}}}}{(\nu_{\text{rm}}^{\text{int}} n)^2} \nu_0, \quad (9)$$

where $n \gg 1$ is assumed. If $2\nu_{\text{rot}}/\nu^{\text{co}} \gg 1$, $\lambda_{\text{rm}} \approx m^2(2|k|-1)^{-2}$ (Townsend 2003) for $k \leq -2$. This equation indicates that the period spacing of r modes, $\Delta P_{\text{rm}}^{\text{int}}$, increases with period in the observer’s frame (i.e., decreases with frequency). Note that this relation is derived assuming λ_{rm} to be nearly constant, which is not applicable near the limiting frequency where λ goes to zero (Fig. 1), in which case the period spac-




Figure 3. Period spacings (in seconds) versus period in the inertial frame (normalized by the rotation period) calculated using the TAR for a $1.5 M_\odot$ model with a rotation frequency of 1.35 d^{-1} , where the periods and period-spacings are defined in the observer’s frame. The top and bottom panels are for the period ranges longer and shorter than the rotation period, respectively. For r modes, even modes of $k = -2$ and odd modes of $k = -1$ are plotted with open circles, while for g modes, prograde sectoral modes of $k = 0$ are plotted with open triangles. Wiggles in ΔP are caused by the steep mean molecular weight gradient exterior to the convective core (Miglio et al. 2008; Bouabid et al. 2013).

ing diminishes (as ν^{co} approaches $2m\nu_{\text{rot}}/[(m+|k|-1)(m+|k|)]$).

For prograde sectoral g modes (i.e., $k = 0, m < 0$) λ approaches m^2 (e.g., Saio et al. 2017) as the spin parameter $2\nu_{\text{rot}}/\nu_g^{\text{co}}$ increases (i.e., for high order modes). Assuming $\lambda \approx m^2$, we can derive the period spacing for high-order, prograde sectoral g modes similarly as

$$\Delta P_g^{\text{int}} \approx \frac{1}{|m|} \frac{\nu_0}{(\nu_0 + n\nu_{\text{rot}})^2}, \quad \text{for prograde g modes of } k = 0. \quad (10)$$

Since g-mode periods increase with radial order n , the period spacing of prograde sectoral g modes decreases with period in the observer’s frame.

Fig. 3 shows period spacings for r (open circles) and g (open triangles) modes of a model of $1.5 M_\odot$ calculated using the TAR for a rotation frequency of 1.35 d^{-1} (chosen as a model of KIC 11907454 discussed below). The rotation speed is about in the middle of the range of those of *Kepler* γ Dor stars for which Van Reeth et al. (2016) detected r modes. As expected, period spacings of r modes generally increase with period in the observer’s frame, while period spacings of g modes decrease with period. A rapid decrease in the period spacings of r modes occurs at the period limit of each r mode sequence. This is caused by a rapid decrease in λ around the limiting period (see Fig. 1).

3 VISIBILITY OF R MODES

We discussed in the previous section that Van Reeth et al. (2016) detected many cases of r modes in γ Dor variables by period spacings alone. However, measuring period spacings is sometimes difficult because of insufficient frequency resolution in the amplitude spectrum – even for the 4-year *Kepler* data set – because frequency groups of r modes often consist of very high-order modes. In such cases, it is useful to estimate r-mode frequency ranges expected by calculating visibilities, which we discuss in this section.

Under the TAR, the latitudinal amplitude distribution of the temperature and pressure perturbations is described by the solution of Laplace's tidal equation. We write the function as $\Theta_k^m(\cos \theta, \alpha)$, where $\alpha = 2\nu_{\text{rot}}/\nu^{\text{co}}$ is the spin parameter. The visibility is proportional to the integral of $\Theta_k^m(\cos \theta, \alpha)$ over the projected visible hemisphere of the star. We define the visibility of a mode as

$$\text{Vis.} = y_2 \int_0^{2\pi} d\phi_L \int_0^{\pi/2} d\theta_L \sin(2\theta_L) [1 - \mu(1 - \cos \theta_L)] \times \Theta_k^m(\cos \theta, \alpha) \cos(m\phi), \quad (11)$$

where y_2 means the surface value of a non-dimensional pulsational variable proportional to the Eulerian perturbation of pressure, (θ_L, ϕ_L) are spherical angles defined such that the direction of the line-of-sight is $\theta_L = 0$, ϕ_L is the azimuthal angle around the axis, and μ is the limb-darkening coefficient. We assumed $\mu = 0.6$ in the calculations presented in this paper. The spherical angles (θ, ϕ) associated with the stellar rotation axis are converted to (θ_L, ϕ_L) by spherical trigonometry (e.g. Smart & Green 1977).

The kinetic energy of an oscillation mode is calculated as

$$\text{K.E.} = \frac{\sigma^2}{2} \int_V \boldsymbol{\xi}^* \cdot \boldsymbol{\xi} \rho dV, \quad (12)$$

where $\boldsymbol{\xi}$ is the displacement vector; the form of each component is given in Lee & Saio (1997).

The visibility defined by equation (11) is proportional to the amplitude of oscillation, which cannot be determined by linear analysis. To normalize the effect of unknown amplitude, we divide the visibility by the square root of kinetic energy (K.E., which is proportional to the square of amplitude); i.e., we consider $\text{Vis.}/\sqrt{\text{K.E.}}$ to represent the observational relative amplitude distribution among different modes in a star if energy is equally distributed among the modes. Fig. 4 compares $\text{Vis.}/\sqrt{\text{K.E.}}$ for r modes of different (m, k) in a $1.60 M_\odot$ model at two different rotation frequencies; pulsation frequencies in the inertial frame are normalized by the rotation frequency in the horizontal axis.

The amplitude peak occurs around the middle of each sequence at intermediate to high radial orders ($\sim 50 - 100$) depending on the models (higher orders tend to occur in models with a higher mean density). With increasing rotation speed, the frequency at the peak for each sequence of even m modes gets closer to $m\nu_{\text{rot}}$ and the amplitude distribution around the peak becomes strongly asymmetric with a steep high-frequency side. The amplitude hump of odd ($k = -1$) modes (dashed line) is always located at the lower frequency side of the even $k = -2$ modes for a given m , and the peak frequency increases with rotation. Even r modes of $(m, k) = (1, -2)$ (the most visible case), in a moderately to rapidly rotating star are expected in a frequency range of




Figure 4. Visibilities divided by the square root of kinetic energy of r modes for $m = 1, 2$ (top panel) and $m = 3, 4$ (bottom panel; note the scale change) are plotted as a function of the frequency in the inertial frame divided by the rotation frequency for two cases of assumed rotation frequencies of 0.5 d^{-1} (red lines) and 2.0 d^{-1} (blue lines); the corresponding equatorial velocities are 47.8 km s^{-1} and 191 km s^{-1} , respectively. Corresponding angular frequency of rotation normalized as $\bar{\Omega} \equiv \Omega/\sqrt{GM/R^3}$ is also indicated (critical rotation corresponds to $\bar{\Omega} \approx 0.7$). Solid and dashed lines are for even ($k = -2$) and odd ($k = -1$) modes. For each rotation frequency the maximum value is normalized to unity. An inclination (between rotation axis and line of sight) of 50° is adopted as a typical value.

$0.8 \lesssim \nu^{\text{int}}/\nu_{\text{rot}} \lesssim 1$ (Fig. 4), in which the lower bound increases with rotation speed. This range corresponds to $\nu^{\text{co}} \lesssim 0.2\nu_{\text{rot}}$ (eq. 7) and hence $2\nu_{\text{rot}}/\nu^{\text{co}} \gtrsim 10$. The spin-parameter range is consistent with those for r modes found in γ Dor stars (Van Reeth et al. 2016; Aerts et al. 2017).

As expected, amplitude peaks decrease steeply with increasing m ; this tendency is stronger in the case of slower rotation. For this reason, detecting r modes of $m \geq 3$ would be very difficult in most cases – in particular, in slow rotators – unless considerable excess energy is given to modes of high m . The frequency difference between $k = -1$ (odd, dashed lines) and $k = -2$ (even, solid lines) is larger in the slower rotating case. We note that the visibility of other odd modes with $(m, k) = (1, -3)$ is much smaller than that of even r modes with $(m, k) = (1, -2)$, although the frequencies in the observational frame are similar. For this reason we do not consider $k \leq -3$ modes in this paper.

4 EXAMPLES FROM KEPLER DATA

In this section we compare our theoretical predictions discussed in the previous sections with observational properties derived from *Kepler* photometric data. Stellar structure models were calculated without including rotation using the

MESA (Modules for Experiments in Stellar Astrophysics; version 4298, 7184) code (Paxton et al. 2013). Adiabatic pulsation frequencies including the effect of rotation with the TAR were obtained using a pulsation code modified from the code discussed in Saio & Cox (1980), in which $I(l+1)$ is replaced with λ . In the iterative process of obtaining a frequency, the value of λ is renewed by interpolating a table that was calculated separately as a function of spin parameter.

Kepler long cadence light curve ascii data of each star were downloaded from KASOC (Kepler Asteroseismic Science Operations Center) website (<http://kasoc.phys.au.dk/index.php>). Data for each quarter were divided by the mean value and converted to magnitude differences. Combined data were analysed using the software PERIOD04 (Lenz & Breger 2005).

4.1 γ Dor stars

4.1.1 KIC 11907454

Fig. 5 compares the predicted period spacings of r and g modes and amplitude distributions of r modes with those of the γ Dor star KIC 11907454. The theoretical period spacings are for the same model as in Fig. 3, but are plotted as a function of frequency (rather than period) in the inertial frame to compare the amplitude spectrum of KIC 11907454, one of the γ Dor stars for which Van Reeth et al. (2016) found r modes from their period spacings. The model adopts a rotation frequency of 1.35 d^{-1} , consistent with $1.35 \pm 0.02 \text{ d}^{-1}$ obtained by Van Reeth et al. (2016), and parameters consistent with the spectroscopic analysis by Van Reeth et al. (2015).

Predicted amplitude distribution curves of r modes with $m = 1$ and 2 are superposed on the amplitude spectrum in the bottom panel of Fig. 5. Solid and dashed lines are for even ($k = -2$) and odd ($k = -1$) modes, respectively. Van Reeth et al. (2016) identified r modes of this star from the period spacing distribution in the $1\text{--}1.2 \text{ d}^{-1}$ range; these are even r modes of $m = 1$. Our model predicts odd r modes of $(m, k) = (1, -1)$ to be most visible at $\sim 0.5 \text{ d}^{-1}$ and the Fourier spectrum of KIC 11907454 shows the presence of several peaks there. However, those frequencies (shown in black in Fig. 5) coincide with beat frequencies (i.e., $|v_i - v_j|$) between high-amplitude even r modes of $(m, k) = (1, -2)$ around $\sim 1.2 \text{ d}^{-1}$ and g modes of $m = -1$ around $\sim 1.6\text{--}1.9 \text{ d}^{-1}$. This indicates that no visible odd r modes are present in KIC 11907454. We note that the amplitudes for even $m = 2$ modes (around 2.5 d^{-1}) expected from energy equipartition to $m = 1$ modes are much higher than the observed ones, although the expected frequency range agrees. This may indicate that less energy is given for $m = 2$ r modes, or that the effect of dissipation is stronger.

The predicted frequency range for $m = 1$ even r modes ($k = -2$) agrees well with the observed frequency group at $\sim 1.2 \text{ d}^{-1}$. Note that the frequency group at $\sim 1.7 \text{ d}^{-1}$ can be identified, from their period spacings, as prograde sectoral g modes of $(m, k) = (-1, 0)$. Van Reeth et al. (2015) obtained $v \sin i = 109.3 \pm 4.7 \text{ km s}^{-1}$ for KIC 11907454, while the equatorial velocity of our model is 113.3 km s^{-1} . This indicates an inclination angle of $\sim 75^\circ$.




Figure 5. Observed period spacings (crosses; top panel) and an amplitude spectrum for the γ Dor star KIC 11907454 (bottom panel) are compared with predicted period spacings of r (triangles) and g modes (circles; top panel) and the expected amplitude distribution of r modes for an inclination angle of 70° (bottom panel). Black solid lines (for even modes) and dashed lines (for odd modes) show predicted amplitude distributions normalized arbitrarily. The adopted rotation frequency is indicated by a vertical dashed line (top panel), which agrees with the rotation frequency $1.35 \pm 0.02 \text{ d}^{-1}$ obtained for KIC 11907454 by Van Reeth et al. (2016). The black part of the amplitude spectrum (bottom panel) indicates beat frequencies (i.e., $|v_i - v_j|$) between r modes of $(m, k) = (1, -2)$ and g modes of $m = -1$.

4.1.2 KIC 7583663

Fig. 6 shows another example of a γ Dor star, KIC 7583663, in which Van Reeth et al. (2016) found r modes. We have adopted a rotation frequency of 1.16 d^{-1} . This is consistent with the value of $1.17^{+0.02}_{-0.03} \text{ d}^{-1}$ preferred by Van Reeth et al. (2016), but we found 1.16 d^{-1} reproduces the observed g- and r-mode period spacings and amplitude distributions slightly better. The adopted rotation frequency and the radius of the model gives an equatorial rotation velocity of 139.3 km s^{-1} , while Van Reeth et al. (2015) obtained a spectroscopic velocity of $v \sin i = 105.0 \pm 11.0 \text{ km s}^{-1}$ for KIC 7583663. These numbers give an inclination angle of $i = 49^\circ \pm 7^\circ$, which is somewhat lower than the previous case of KIC 11907454.

As in the previous case, Van Reeth et al. (2016) found the presence of r modes from the period spacings around $\sim 1 \text{ d}^{-1}$, which corresponds to the even ($k = -2$) $m = 1$ r modes. Again, the frequency group around $\sim 0.5 \text{ d}^{-1}$ is found to consist of beat frequencies between the even r modes of $(m, k) = (1, -2)$ around $\sim 1 \text{ d}^{-1}$, and g modes of $m = -1$ around $\sim 1.5 \text{ d}^{-1}$, although the frequency range lies within the expected range of odd r modes of $(m, k) = (1, -1)$. A small group around 2.1 d^{-1} can be explained as even ($k = -2$) $m = 2$ r modes. In addition, a tiny group at $\sim 1.7 \text{ d}^{-1}$




Figure 6. The same as Fig. 5 but for KIC 7583663, another γ Dor star for which Van Reeth et al. (2016) found r modes. Observational period spacings (crosses in the top panel) around 1 and 1.5 d^{-1} are obtained by referring to fig. 33 of Van Reeth et al. (2015), while period spacings in other parts are measured independently. Van Reeth et al. (2016) obtained a rotation frequency of $1.17^{+0.02}_{-0.03} \text{ d}^{-1}$ from period-spacings of r and g modes. We have adopted 1.16 d^{-1} for the model which reproduces reasonably well (slightly better than a model with 1.17 d^{-1}) the period spacings of r and g modes, and amplitude distributions for even and odd modes of $m = 1$ r modes. The inclination 50° that was assumed is consistent with $v \sin i = 105 \pm 11 \text{ km s}^{-1}$ obtained by Van Reeth et al. (2015). The black part of the amplitude spectrum (bottom panel) indicates beat frequencies between r modes of $(m, k) = (1, -2)$ and g modes of $m = -1$.




Figure 7. The top panel shows predicted period spacings of r modes (triangles) and prograde sectoral g modes of $m = -1$ (circles) for a $1.5-M_\odot$ model with a rotation frequency of 2.2 d^{-1} (indicated by a vertical dashed line). Period spacings of the $m = -1$ g modes in the γ Dor star KIC 5608334 are shown by crosses (period spacings of r modes could not be measured because the frequencies are too densely distributed). The bottom panel compares the amplitude spectrum of KIC 5608334 with the expected amplitude distributions of r modes for assumed inclination angles of 10° and 30° . The predicted amplitude distributions are normalised for the peak amplitude of $(m, k) = (1, -2)$ to be comparable to that of the hump at $\sim 2 \text{ d}^{-1}$; because of the normalisation, lines for the two inclination angles are nearly identical for $(m, k) = (1, -2)$. Note that only the low frequency part of the amplitude spectrum is shown; KIC 5608334 has additional extensive g-mode frequency groups above 5 d^{-1} .

4.1.3 KIC 5608334

Here we discuss another γ Dor star, KIC 5608334; this star is not in the list of Van Reeth et al. (2016). The top panel of Fig. 7 shows predicted period spacings for r modes (triangles), and predicted (circles) and measured (crosses) period spacings for prograde $m = -1$ g modes. We have chosen a rotation frequency of 2.2 d^{-1} for the model by fitting the period spacings of the g modes. Although a single peak at 2.24 d^{-1} and its harmonic (bottom panel of Fig. 7) might be related to rotation, we found that the g-mode period spacings of KIC 5608334 agree better with the rotation frequency 2.20 d^{-1} (rather than 2.24 d^{-1}). The rotation frequency and the model radius predict an equatorial rotation velocity of 198 km s^{-1} , while Niemczura et al. (2015) obtained $v \sin i = 110 \pm 13 \text{ km s}^{-1}$ indicating an inclination of $34^\circ \pm 5^\circ$.

The bottom panel of Fig. 7 compares a part of the amplitude spectrum of KIC 5608334 with model predictions of r modes for inclinations of 30° and 10° . Compared to the γ Dor stars discussed above and in the Appendix, the pulsation amplitudes of KIC 5608334 are much smaller; the maximum amplitude of g modes is about 0.6 mmag, while

the amplitudes of the previous γ Dor stars are a few mmag. However, the peak amplitude of KIC 5608334 at $\sim 1 \text{ d}^{-1}$ corresponding to the odd ($k = -1$) r mode group of $m = 1$ is significantly higher than the peak amplitude at $\sim 2 \text{ d}^{-1}$ corresponding to the even ($k = -2$) group. Such a ratio cannot be reproduced by our model, even with an inclination of as low as $\sim 10^\circ$. The $(m, k) = (1, -1)$ peak cannot be a group of beat frequencies between r modes of $(m, k) = (1, -2)$ and $m = -1$ g modes as in the γ Dor stars discussed above, because frequency differences between the r modes and the g modes are mostly less than 1 d^{-1} , which is smaller than the frequency at the $(m, k) = (1, -1)$ peak. The peak of the 1 d^{-1} hump is sharp compared to our model predictions. These features cannot be explained as long as energy equipartition is assumed as in our models, although the frequency range agrees with the prediction for odd ($k = -1$) r modes of $m = 1$. One possible explanation might be the presence of some unknown resonance coupling to supply energy to the modes at $\sim 1 \text{ d}^{-1}$.

In contrast to the previous two γ Dor stars, frequencies in the r-mode region of KIC 5608334 are too densely distributed to be resolved, even with the 4-yr *Kepler* data set. This is expected in many cases, as was explained in Section 2.2.1.

4.2 Spotted A and B stars – ‘hump & spike’ stars

In amplitude spectra of Kepler light curves for mid-A to late-B stars, Balona (2013, 2017) found a specific pattern (which he named the ROTD pattern, although no explanation of the acronym was given) of a broad spread of unresolved peaks and a sharper peak just to the high frequency side of the broad hump in many stars. He argued that the broad spread of peaks is caused by star spots with finite life-times in a latitudinally differentially rotating star and that the sharp peak might be caused by a reflection from a Jupiter-size orbiting body. He incorrectly characterised the “sharp peak” as being fully resolved with a sync function window pattern; for most stars there is, however, significant structure to this peak. That, and the fact that with the short periods involved many of the purported planets would transit (there are no transits seen in these stars), rules out this conjecture of planets commonly orbiting A stars with orbital periods of only days as the source of the “sharp peak”. The interpretation of the broad spread of peaks as rotational requires strong differential rotation and abundant solar-like spots in stars with very thin surface convection zones. It also cannot account for other similar broad humps in the amplitude spectrum. There is also the γ Dor star KIC 7661054, for which Murphy et al. (2016) show that a low-frequency broad spread of peaks cannot be attributed to rotation, a clear counter-example to the hypothesis of many spots and strong differential rotation as an explanation of the broad humps with sharper peaks on the high frequency side.

Instead, we hypothesise that the sharper peak (which usually has structure and consists of some multiple peaks) is produced by a weak star spot or spots; thus this frequency represents the rotation frequency. In that, we follow the suggestion of Balona (2013), but in this case only one or a few spots are required, they are weak since the photometric amplitudes are only 10s of μmag , and the frequency and/or amplitude modulation of this peak is slow relative to the

4-yr length of the *Kepler* data set, hence the relative sharpness of the peak. The requirement for this spot hypothesis in A and B stars is much less astrophysically demanding than the idea that the hump is the result of many spots and strong differential rotation. The magnetic A peculiar (Ap) stars have abundance spots that are stable over years and decades; these produce rotational light variations and have long been used to determine rotation periods for Ap stars. Evidence is also emerging that canonically non-magnetic B and A stars might have very weak magnetic fields that could support weak spots (e.g. Blazère et al. 2016; Neiner et al. 2017). The magnetic B and A stars have rotational light variations of hundredths of a magnitude; for the stars we are studying here the variations are only 10s of μmag . Hence the spot requirement is mild.

More importantly, it is our interpretation that the broad hump is actually a group of frequencies of $m = 1$ even ($k = -2$) r modes. This naturally explains why the sharper peak always appears at the immediately higher frequency side of the broad peak; this is a fundamental relation between rotation frequency and the frequencies of $m = 1$ even ($k = -2$) r modes. Since the name ‘ROTD’ is based on a concept in contradiction to our explanation of the features, we use a phenomenological name, ‘hump & spike’ instead.

4.2.1 KIC 622381

Fig. 8 shows an example of the hump & spike star, KIC 622381, a slightly evolved mid-A star with an effective temperature of $8700 \pm 300 \text{ K}$ and $\log g = 3.7$ (Huber et al. 2014). This star has a sharp peak at 1.229 d^{-1} (Balona 2017), and a harmonic of this peak at twice that frequency. We identify the sharp peak with the rotation frequency of the star with the light variations caused by a weak spot or spots on the surface. The amplitude of the sharp peak is only $28 \mu\text{mag}$; that of its harmonic is $8 \mu\text{mag}$. Hence the requirement is for a very weak spot, or spots. Prior to the *Kepler* data there are no observations of sufficient precision to have detected such small light variations.

To model the broad humps, we have adopted a slightly metal poor 2.2-M_\odot model, taking into account $[\text{Fe}/\text{H}] = -0.5 \pm 0.3$ as listed in Huber et al. (2014), although metallicity hardly affects the results. Our model predicts that the $\sim 1 - 1.2 \text{ d}^{-1}$ group corresponds to $m = 1$ even ($k = -2$) r modes, while the group at $\sim 2.4 \text{ d}^{-1}$ corresponds to $m = 2$ even ($k = -2$) r modes, reproducing well the broad distribution of peaks just to the low-frequency side of the main sharp peak. Detailed comparison of each group is given in Fig. 9; the expected frequency ranges agree well with the features of both groups in the amplitude spectrum.

The model presented, which best reproduces the observed frequency ranges of the two groups, has a rotation frequency of 1.24 d^{-1} , which is very close to the frequency of the sharp peak, supporting the hypothesis that it is the rotation frequency. We note that in the zoomed diagrams (Fig. 9) the sharp peak and its harmonic are broadened in low-amplitude parts indicating that the spot is not stable in this star over the 4-yr time span of the *Kepler* data set, and/or differential rotation may be present.

In addition, there is a low-amplitude broad feature ranging from 1.3 to 1.5 d^{-1} at the high-frequency side of the rotation frequency (see Fig. 8). This frequency range relative




Figure 8. **Top panel:** Visibility divided by the square root of the kinetic energy ($\text{Vis.}/\sqrt{\text{K.E.}}$; see eqs 11 and 12) is plotted for three values of inclination ($50^\circ, 70^\circ, 90^\circ$) for a 2.2-M_\odot model with a rotation frequency of 1.24 d^{-1} . The visibility represents relative amplitudes expected for equipartition of kinetic energy among the modes. For each inclination the amplitude at the peak of even $m = 1$ modes is normalized to unity. Although mode frequencies are plotted by open symbols (triangles and circles for odd and even modes, respectively), they are too densely distributed to be resolved. The normalized amplitude distribution of even modes barely depends on the inclination. **Bottom panel:** an amplitude spectrum of the *Kepler* 4-yr data set for KIC 6222381, one of the hump & spike stars listed in Balona (2017), characterised by having a broad hump and a sharper peak at a slightly higher frequency. This star also has a harmonic of the main sharp peak, which shows some structure; we identify this sharp peak and its harmonic with the rotation frequency, and hypothesise that the light variations caused by a weak spot or spots on the surface. The rotation frequency adopted in the top panel is nearly equal to the frequency of the main spike. We identify the broad humps around ~ 1.2 and $\sim 2.4 \text{ d}^{-1}$ as even r modes of $m = 1$ and $m = 2$, respectively. A low-amplitude hump around $\sim 1.4 \text{ d}^{-1}$ is probably a group of prograde dipole g modes.

to the rotation frequency suggests that the low-amplitude hump may be caused by a group of prograde sectoral g modes of $m = -1$, in analogy of the γ Dor stars KIC 11907454 in Fig. 5 and KIC 7583663 in Fig. 6. In contrast to those stars, however, the amplitudes of the possible g modes of KIC 6222381 are much lower than those of the r modes.

4.2.2 KIC 6138789

Fig. 10 shows another A star, KIC 6138789, with sharp peaks and broad features. As in the previous case, the main broad feature around $\sim 1.7 \text{ d}^{-1}$ is explained by even r modes of $m = 1$ (top panel), and the small amplitude broad feature around $\sim 3.4 \text{ d}^{-1}$ as $m = 2$ even r modes (bottom panel). In contrast to the previous case, however, the sharp feature consists of two (or three) peaks, indicating the presence of




Figure 9. Visibility distributions of even ($k = -2$) $m = 1$ (top panel) and $m = 2$ (bottom panel) r modes are compared in detail with the corresponding humps in the amplitude spectrum of KIC 6222381. The model is the same as in Fig. 8, but frequency range of each group is zoomed. An inclination of 70° was chosen here; the dependence of the visibility of even modes on the inclination is small as can be seen in Fig. 8.

two or three spots in different latitudes and a weak latitudinal differential rotation, or even just amplitude modulation caused by changes in the strength of a single spot. Irrespective of a single or a multiple sharp feature, the broad features are the same; the main group is identified as $m = 1$ even r modes, and the small amplitude group identified as $m = 2$ even r modes.

4.2.3 KOI-81 (KIC 8823868)

Matson et al. (2015) found a similar feature in the amplitude spectrum of the rapidly rotating B star KOI-81 (Fig. 11), which forms a 29.3-d eclipsing binary system with a subdwarf B star. They derived parameters of the primary B star to be: $M = 2.92 \text{ M}_\odot$, $\log(R/R_\odot) = 0.389$, $\log g = 4.13$, $\log T_{\text{eff}} = 4.068$, and $v \sin i = 296 \text{ km s}^{-1}$.

Fig. 11 shows a comparison of the observed features with a model with parameters that are consistent with those obtained by Matson et al. (2015). The adopted rotation frequency of 2.11 d^{-1} is slightly higher than the frequency at the sharp peak (2.08 d^{-1}). The equatorial velocity of the model, 300 km s^{-1} , indicates an inclination angle of $\sim 90^\circ$, consistent with the observed eclipses and spin-orbit alignment.

It is known that the κ -mechanism at the Fe opacity bump excites intermediate order r modes in rotating SPB stars (Townsend 2005; Savonije 2005; Lee 2006). For $m = 1$ r modes, Townsend (2005) and Lee (2006) found only odd ($k = -1$) modes to be excited, while Savonije (2005) found a few even ($k = -2$) r modes are also excited in a 3-M_\odot




Figure 10. Broad frequency groups with sharper peaks of KIC 6138789 are compared with predicted amplitude distributions for $m = 1$ (top) and $m = 2$ (bottom) even ($k = -2$) r modes. The theoretical amplitude distribution is arbitrarily normalized.




Figure 11. The feature of a sharp peak and a broad group of peaks in the amplitude spectrum of the rapidly rotating B star KOI-81 (KIC 8823868) is compared with the visibility distribution of even ($k = -2$) r modes for a $3.0\text{-}M_{\odot}$ model, where the inclination is assumed to be 90° . The top and the bottom panels are for the ranges of $m = 1$ and $m = 2$ r modes, respectively. The theoretical visibility is normalized such that $m = 1$ peak nearly agree with the broad hump of KOI-81 around $\sim 2.3 \text{ d}^{-1}$.




Figure 12. A low-frequency part of the amplitude spectrum of the Be star KIC 6954726 is compared with the visibilities of r modes (solid ($k = -2$) and dashed ($k = -1$) lines) and of g modes (open circles) for an $8\text{-}M_{\odot}$ model with a rotation frequency of 1.02 d^{-1} . The visibilities for each inclination are normalised at the peak of the even r modes of $(m, k) = (1, -2)$ at $\sim 0.95 \text{ d}^{-1}$. The low-frequency ($\lesssim 0.2 \text{ d}^{-1}$), high-amplitude features are caused by frequent outbursts of KIC 6954726.

model. We performed a non-adiabatic analysis for $m = 1$ r modes for the model shown in Fig. 11 using the method of Lee & Baraffe (1995), and found that many odd modes and a few even modes are excited, in agreement with the result of Savonije (2005).

However, these thermally excited modes cannot be responsible for the r mode feature in KOI-81, because odd modes, even if present, cannot be seen at an inclination close to 90° , and the number of the excited even modes is too small. Therefore, r modes in KOI-81 should be caused by the same, but unknown, non-thermal mechanism as in the spotted A and B stars (the hump & spike stars).

4.3 Frequently bursting Be stars

Applying the hypothesis of mechanical generation of r modes, we can further argue that r modes may be present in rapidly rotating Be stars. Be stars intermittently undergo outbursts that eject mass. During an outburst, rotational flow on the surface would be disturbed, which might generate r modes. For this reason r modes might commonly be present in Be stars. In particular, some Be stars are known to have frequent minor outbursts, for which we expect to find signatures of r modes relatively easily. We find that photometric results are published for two frequent outbursters; KIC 6954726 (StH α 166) by Balona et al. (2015); Rivinius et al. (2016), and HD 51452 (B0IVe) by Neiner et al. (2012); the latter was observed by the CoRoT satellite.

4.3.1 KIC 6954726

The effective temperature of KIC 6954726 is uncertain; Rivinius et al. (2016) estimated $17 < T_{\text{eff}}(\text{kK}) < 25$ from metallic and He spectral lines, while Huber et al. (2014) give $T_{\text{eff}} \approx 17 \text{ kK}$. Balona et al. (2015) obtained $v \sin i = 160 \text{ km s}^{-1}$. Fig. 12 shows the amplitude spectrum of KIC 6954726,

which has a hump & spike around $\sim 1 \text{ d}^{-1}$ and possibly a second one around $\sim 2 \text{ d}^{-1}$; the high amplitude features in the low frequency range $\lesssim 0.2 \text{ d}^{-1}$ are caused by frequent outbursts (see Balona et al. 2015; Rivinius et al. 2016, for light curves). The amplitudes of the humps are about $1-2 \text{ mmag}$, much larger than those of typical hump & spike stars (Sec. 4.2), while comparable with the amplitudes of r modes in γ Dor stars (Sec. 4.1).

Taking into account the T_{eff} range, and assuming the high amplitude spike at $\sim 1 \text{ d}^{-1}$ to be close to the rotation frequency of the star, we have calculated an $8-M_{\odot}$ model at $T_{\text{eff}} = 20.4 \text{ kK}$ with a rotation frequency of 1.02 d^{-1} for KIC 6954726. The predicted amplitude distributions are compared with the amplitude spectrum of KIC 6954726 in Fig. 12. Solid and dashed lines are for even ($k = -2$) and odd ($k = -1$) r modes, respectively. For comparison, we show, by open circles, the visibilities of prograde sectoral dipole g modes ($(m, k) = (-1, 0)$), which were calculated in the same way as for r modes (eq. 11). This figure indicates that the hump around $0.9-1 \text{ d}^{-1}$ agrees with the predicted frequency range of even r modes of $(m, k) = (1, -2)$, and that the small hump at $\sim 1.9 \text{ d}^{-1}$ agrees with r modes of $(m, k) = (2, -2)$. This strongly supports the presence of r modes in the Be star KIC 6954726. However, we do not know what caused the wide hump ranging $\sim 1.6 - 1.85 \text{ d}^{-1}$. Although it is located within the g-mode frequency range of $(m, k) = (-1, 0)$, the density of frequencies is much higher as seen in Fig. 12. Rivinius et al. (2016) argue that the broad hump, as well as another hump ranging $\sim 0.7 - 1.1 \text{ d}^{-1}$, are caused by aperiodic variations of a circumstellar disk. Further discussion on the origin of the broad humps is out of the scope of this paper, because various complex phenomena other than pulsations are occurring in a Be star.

4.3.2 HD 51452

Analysing CoRoT light curve for another frequent outburster, HD 51452, Neiner et al. (2012) obtained frequency groups around $\sim 0.5\nu_{\text{rot}}$ and $\sim 0.9\nu_{\text{rot}}$, where the rotation frequency $\nu_{\text{rot}} = 1.22 \text{ d}^{-1}$ was obtained spectroscopically. We find it likely that these frequencies are caused by $m = 1$ odd and even r modes, respectively. Neiner et al. (2012), on the other hand, suggest that they are gravito-intertial modes including r modes which are excited stochastically in the convective core or in the envelope convection zone. One thing is clear though, these frequencies cannot be explained by thermally excited g modes, because the effective temperature of a B0 type star is too high for the κ mechanism at the Fe opacity bump to be effective for low-frequency modes.

4.4 Heartbeat stars

Heartbeat stars are binary stars having highly eccentric orbits. Strong tidal forces are exerted to the outer structures of the stars for a short span of time around each periastron passage. In this subsection, we discuss signatures in the amplitude spectra of some Heartbeat stars that indicate tidal disturbances may generate r modes.

For the Heartbeat star KIC 8164262, Hambleton et al. (2017) obtained three frequencies at $\sim 0.28 \text{ d}^{-1}$ (which can be recognised in a small frequency group in the amplitude




Figure 13. Two examples of Heartbeat stars, KIC 8164262 (top) and KIC 5034333 (bottom). The rotation frequency adopted for KIC 8164262, 0.34 d^{-1} is very slightly higher than the frequency at the main single peak (0.335 d^{-1}). The rotation frequency for KIC 5034333 (0.29 d^{-1}) is estimated by fitting the visibility peak of $m = 1$ even r modes with the peak of the frequency group at $\sim 0.25 \text{ d}^{-1}$. Integer multiples of orbital frequencies have been removed from the amplitude spectrum.

spectrum in top panel of Fig. 13) not associated with rotation frequency nor with integer multiples of the orbital frequency. Although Hambleton et al. (2017) identified those frequencies as γ -Dor type g-mode oscillations, we consider that they are more likely to be $m = 1$ even ($k = -2$) r modes (see below), which have frequencies just below the rotation frequency (0.34 d^{-1}) as in the cases of γ Dor variables and spotted A and B stars. Furthermore, a hump in the low frequency range $\lesssim 0.1 \text{ d}^{-1}$ of KIC 8164262, if real⁴, might correspond to a group of $m = 1$ asymmetric r modes. Odd r modes should be detectable, if excited, because a low inclination of $\sim 30^\circ$ is estimated from $v \sin i = 23 \pm 1 \text{ km s}^{-1}$ (Hambleton et al. 2017).

If the three frequencies found by Hambleton et al. (2017) at $\sim 0.28 \text{ d}^{-1}$ are g modes, they may be excited thermally. (Tidal excitation is less likely for these frequencies because they are not equal to integer multiples of the orbital frequency.) The frequencies in the co-rotating frame should be $\sim 0.62 \text{ d}^{-1}$ if they are retrograde $m = 1$ modes, and $\sim 0.28 \text{ d}^{-1}$ if they are zonal ($m = 0$) modes. (They cannot be prograde modes because observed frequencies are less than the rotation frequency.) Although the frequencies for the case of $m = 1$ are within the range of g modes excited thermally in γ Dor stars (Dupret et al. 2005), the thermal ex-

⁴ The potential for removal or alteration of astrophysical signals by the Kepler data pipeline at frequencies less than 0.1 d^{-1} gives reason for caution. Kurtz et al. (2015) have shown that real signals below 0.1 d^{-1} are identifiable.

citation of pulsation would be ineffective in a heartbeat star for the following reason. The thermal excitation works if the gas gains (loses) heat in the compressed (expanded) phase of pulsation (e.g. Cox 1974). The phase relation must be kept globally for a long time, because the growth time is much longer than the pulsation period itself. In the heartbeat stars, however, such a phase relation would be disrupted by strong tidal disturbances before an infinitesimal pulsation could grow to a visible amplitude. This supports our identification for the frequencies at $\sim 0.28 \text{ d}^{-1}$ to be r modes of $(m, k) = (1, -2)$ generated by the tidal disturbances.

Zimmerman et al. (2017) obtained rotation rates of many Heartbeat stars by assuming that the centre of relatively narrow features and their harmonics in the amplitude spectra are rotation frequencies and their harmonics. In most cases, however, the narrow feature is likely to be from a group of r modes. An example, KIC 5034333, is shown in the bottom panel of Fig. 13. For KIC 5034333, Zimmerman et al. (2017) give a rotation rate of 0.251 d^{-1} (i.e., a period of 3.98 d) corresponding to the central peak of the main frequency group in the amplitude spectrum. On the other hand, identifying humps as groups of r modes, we can fit the hump at $\sim 0.25 \text{ d}^{-1}$ with $m = 1$ even ($k = -2$) r modes of a model with a rotation frequency of 0.29 d^{-1} , which is somewhat higher than the above value.

Although the spike at 0.5 d^{-1} is likely the harmonic of the spike at 0.25 d^{-1} , the small hump around the former spike is unlikely the harmonic of the main hump around the latter spike, because the amplitude distribution of each hump is different. In the model shown in the bottom panel of Fig. 13, the frequency range of the even $m = 2$ r modes nearly agrees with the small hump around $\sim 0.5 \text{ d}^{-1}$. However, we have to be cautious. The agreement might be accidental, because the frequency difference between the visibility peaks of $m = 1$ and $m = 2$ modes depends on stellar parameters, while humps with the 1:2 frequency ratio often appear in Heartbeat stars (Zimmerman et al. 2017). Tidal forces, which are not considered in our models, might be responsible for producing such a nearly harmonic hump.

Our model identifies the small enhancement of amplitude between 0 and $\sim 0.1 \text{ d}^{-1}$, possibly including the sharp peaks at 0.07 d^{-1} , as $m = 1$ odd ($k = -1$) r modes, while Zimmerman et al. (2017) identified the latter feature as the rotation frequency of the secondary star of the binary system.

Thus, we see r modes even in relatively slowly rotating Heartbeat stars. In the excitation of r modes, tidal forces must play an important role.

5 DISCUSSION

We found signatures of r modes in the amplitude spectra of many γ Dor stars, rapidly rotating spotted A and B stars, frequently bursting Be stars, and Heartbeat stars. The common feature of r modes in the amplitude spectra is the presence of broad humps that appear immediately below the rotation frequency (or 2 times of the rotation frequency for $m = 2$ modes). Such features agree well with the predictions of even ($k = -2$) r modes ($m = 1$ modes in particular), although the amplitudes predicted from energy equipartition for $m = 2$ even modes tend to be higher than the observed

amplitudes. The amplitude problem in the $m = 2$ modes seems to indicate a lack of equipartition and/or stronger dissipations in $m = 2$ modes.

Although odd r modes are thermally excited more easily than even r modes in SPB stars (Townsend 2005; Savonije 2005; Lee 2006), we have not found clear evidence of odd ($k = -1$) r modes in our samples. The r modes Van Reeth et al. (2016) found in γ Dor stars are identified as even r modes of $(m, k) = (1, -2)$. Although the amplitude spectra of those stars have appreciable peaks in the range for the odd r modes, most of them are identified as beat frequencies between the even r modes and g modes, or beat frequencies between the g modes. The amplitude spectrum of another γ Dor star, KIC 5608334, has a tight frequency group at the centre of the predicted frequency range for the odd r modes of $(m, k) = (1, -1)$. Although this is not a group of beat frequencies, the frequency group seems too sharp to be consistent with our theoretical prediction for a group of odd r modes.

Our samples of spotted A and B stars (hump & spike stars) have no frequency groups corresponding to odd r modes. Furthermore, no humps for the odd $m = 1$ seem to be present in the samples of Balona (2013, 2017). These facts indicate that generating mechanically odd r modes of $(m, k) = (1, -1)$ is difficult. The difficulty may come from the property of the flow patterns of odd r modes. As seen in Fig. 14, the odd $m = 1$ r modes correspond to the largest scale motions involving a whole hemisphere crossing the equator, while the flows of even modes of $m = 1$ are separated into the north and south hemisphere.

If r modes are excited mechanically by deviated flows from latitudinal differential rotation due to a blockage by a spot located at an intermediate to high latitude, we can imagine that $m = 1$ even modes are most easily excited, while $m = 1$ odd modes associated with flows crossing the equator are hardly excited. This is a reasonable explanation why no odd modes are detected in spotted A and B stars. In γ Dor stars, g modes might generate $m = 1$ odd (in addition to even) r modes because the prograde sectoral g modes have largest amplitudes on the equator. However, the amplitudes, even if excited, are expected to be small, because oscillation motions of the sectoral g modes are symmetric to the equator (i.e. flows do not cross the equator), while the flows associated with the odd r modes of $(m, k) = (1, -1)$ cross the equator (Fig. 14).

The hypothesis of mechanical generation of r modes is supported by the presence of r-mode humps in the frequently outbursting Be star KIC 6954724 (§4.3) and possibly in HD 51452; the latter was observed by the *CoRoT* satellite.

It is reasonable to imagine that r modes in Heartbeat stars are excited by asynchronous tidal forces. In Heartbeat stars, we find $m = 1$ r modes and possibly small amplitude even $m = 2$ r modes whose frequencies might be affected by tidal forces.

6 CONCLUSIONS

We modelled amplitude distributions of r modes as a function of frequency, assuming equipartition of energy among r modes. We found that the predicted amplitude distri-




Figure 14. Flow patterns of an odd (left) and an even (right) r mode of $m = 1$ on the stellar surface at one pulsation phase are superposed on the temperature variations, where the inclination to the rotation axis is set to 90° (the rotation axis points upward). Blue and red parts correspond to positive and negative temperature perturbations, respectively. Hotter (cooler) parts are associated with clockwise (counter clockwise) motions in the northern hemisphere, while the correspondence is the opposite in the southern hemisphere. Parameters for each mode are given above the each pattern, where ‘spin’ means the spin parameter defined as $2\nu_{\text{rot}}/\nu^{\text{co}}$.

butions are consistent with the frequency ranges of even r modes for γ Dor stars. Applying such models to various stars, we found signatures of r modes in the amplitude spectra in many cases of γ Dor stars, spotted A and B stars, and Heartbeat stars. We also found such a signature in one Be star observed by the *Kepler* satellite. Our findings explain a broad hump associated with a sharper feature at slightly higher frequency that appears in the amplitude spectra of many early type stars. We have argued that these r modes are generated mechanically by g-mode oscillations, by deviated flows caused by spots or mass outbursts, and by non-synchronous tidal forces. We suggest that r modes are potentially ubiquitous in moderately to rapidly rotating stars and may play an important role in transporting angular momentum in stars.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

We thank professor Hiromoto Shibahashi for useful discussions and comments on a draft of the paper. We thank professor Conny Aerts and the anonymous referee for useful comments. We also thank NASA and the Kepler team for their revolutionary data. SJM is supported by the Australian Research Council. Funding for the Stellar Astrophysics Centre is provided by The Danish National Research Foundation (Grant agreement no.: DNRF106)

REFERENCES

- Aerts C., Christensen-Dalsgaard J., Kurtz D. W., 2010, Asteroseismology
- Aerts C., Van Reeth T., Tkachenko A., 2017, *ApJ*, **847**, L7
- Andersson N., 1998, *ApJ*, **502**, 708
- Balona L. A., 2013, *MNRAS*, **431**, 2240
- Balona L. A., 2017, *MNRAS*, **467**, 1830
- Balona L. A., Baran A. S., Daszyńska-Daszkiewicz J., De Cat P., 2015, *MNRAS*, **451**, 1445
- Berthomieu G., Provost J., 1983, *A&A*, **122**, 199
- Blazère A., Neiner C., Petit P., 2016, *MNRAS*, **459**, L81
- Bouabid M.-P., Dupret M.-A., Salmon S., Montalbán J., Miglio A., Noels A., 2013, *MNRAS*, **429**, 2500
- Brown E. F., Ushomirsky G., 2000, *ApJ*, **536**, 915
- Cox J. P., 1974, *Reports on Progress in Physics*, **37**, 563
- Dupret M.-A., Grigahcène A., Garrido R., Gabriel M., Scuflaire R., 2005, *A&A*, **435**, 927
- Hambleton K., et al., 2017, preprint, ([arXiv:1706.05051](https://arxiv.org/abs/1706.05051))
- Huber D., et al., 2014, *ApJS*, **211**, 2
- Kepler S. O., 1984, *ApJ*, **286**, 314
- Kurtz D. W., Shibahashi H., Murphy S. J., Bedding T. R., Bowman D. M., 2015, *MNRAS*, **450**, 3015
- Lee U., 2006, *MNRAS*, **365**, 677
- Lee U., 2013, in Goupil M., Belkacem K., Neiner C., Lignières F., Green J. J., eds, Lecture Notes in Physics, Berlin Springer Verlag Vol. 865, Lecture Notes in Physics, Berlin Springer Verlag, p. 133, doi:[10.1007/978-3-642-33380-4_7](https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-642-33380-4_7)
- Lee U., Baraffe I., 1995, *A&A*, **301**, 419
- Lee U., Saio H., 1987, *MNRAS*, **224**, 513
- Lee U., Saio H., 1997, *ApJ*, **491**, 839
- Lenz P., Breger M., 2005, *Communications in Asteroseismology*, **146**, 53
- Mahmoodifar S., Strohmayer T., 2013, *ApJ*, **773**, 140
- Matson R. A., Gies D. R., Guo Z., Quinn S. N., Buchhave L. A., Latham D. W., Howell S. B., Rowe J. F., 2015, *ApJ*, **806**, 155
- Miglio A., Montalbán J., Noels A., Eggenberger P., 2008, *MNRAS*, **386**, 1487
- Murphy S. J., Fossati L., Bedding T. R., Saio H., Kurtz D. W., Grassitelli L., Wang E. S., 2016, *MNRAS*, **459**, 1201
- Neiner C., et al., 2012, *A&A*, **546**, A47
- Neiner C., Wade G. A., Sikora J., 2017, *MNRAS*, **468**, L46
- Niemczura E., et al., 2015, *MNRAS*, **450**, 2764
- Papaloizou J., Pringle J. E., 1978, *MNRAS*, **182**, 423
- Paxton B., et al., 2013, *ApJS*, **208**, 4
- Pedlosky J., 1982, Geophysical fluid dynamics
- Provost J., Berthomieu G., Rocca A., 1981, *A&A*, **94**, 126
- Rivinius T., Baade D., Carciofi A. C., 2016, *A&A*, **593**, A106
- Robinson E. L., Kepler S. O., Nather R. E., 1982, *ApJ*, **259**, 219
- Saio H., 1982, *ApJ*, **256**, 717
- Saio H., Cox J. P., 1980, *ApJ*, **236**, 549
- Saio H., Ekström S., Mowlavi N., Georgy C., Saesen S., Eggenberger P., Semeaan T., Salmon S. J. A. J., 2017, *MNRAS*, **467**, 3864
- Savonije G. J., 2005, *A&A*, **443**, 557
- Smart W. M., Green E. B. R. M., 1977, Textbook on Spherical Astronomy
- Sturrock P. A., Bertello L., 2010, *ApJ*, **725**, 492
- Sturrock P. A., Bush R., Gough D. O., Scargle J. D., 2015, *ApJ*, **804**, 47
- Townsend R. H. D., 2003, *MNRAS*, **340**, 1020
- Townsend R. H. D., 2005, *MNRAS*, **364**, 573
- Unno W., Osaki Y., Ando H., Saio H., Shibahashi H., 1989, Non-radial oscillations of stars
- Van Reeth T., et al., 2015, *ApJS*, **218**, 27
- Van Reeth T., Tkachenko A., Aerts C., 2016, *A&A*, **593**, A120
- Zimmerman M. K., Thompson S. E., Mullally F., Fuller J., Shporer A., Hambleton K., 2017, *ApJ*, **846**, 147

APPENDIX A: ADDITIONAL γ DOR STARS WITH R MODES

Van Reeth et al. (2016) found r modes in ten γ Dor stars by




Figure A1. Two examples of γ Dor stars, KIC 8375138 (left) and KIC 9210943 (right), which show clean frequency spacings of r modes around $\sim 1.3 \text{ d}^{-1}$. (The format of the diagrams is the same as Fig. 5.) These frequency (or period) spacings nicely agree with those of even ($k = -2$) $m = 1$ r modes in models with rotation frequencies consistent with those obtained by Van Reeth et al. (2016): $1.64^{+0.01}_{-0.01} \text{ d}^{-1}$ for KIC 8375138 and $1.728^{+0.007}_{-0.010} \text{ d}^{-1}$ for KIC 9210943. Values of $v \sin i$ are adopted from Van Reeth et al. (2015). Small frequency peaks shown in black, in the frequency ranges expected for odd r modes of $(m, k) = (1, -1)$, are beat frequencies formed between even r modes of $(m, k) = (1, -2)$ and g modes of $m = -1$, or among the high amplitude g modes themselves.




Figure A2. Amplitude-frequency diagrams of six γ Dor stars with r modes are compared with model predictions for amplitude distributions of r modes at inclinations of 50° (blue lines) and 70° (black lines), where solid and dashed lines are even ($k = -2$) and odd ($k = -1$) modes, respectively. The assumed rotation frequency, ν_{rot} , of each star is consistent with the result of Van Reeth et al. (2016). The frequencies of r modes with azimuthal order m are confined into the range between $(m-1)\nu_{\text{rot}}$ and $m\nu_{\text{rot}}$. Even $m = 1$ modes have maximum amplitudes among r modes, and the amplitude is normalized arbitrarily at the maximum. (The blue and black lines for the even $m = 1$ modes run almost identically.) Frequency peaks shown in black are beat frequencies formed between even r modes of $(m, k) = (1, -2)$ and g modes of $m = -1$, or among the high amplitude g modes themselves.

looking at period spacing versus period relations. We have already discussed two of the stars in §4.1. In this Appendix we show model fittings for the remaining eight stars. Among them, KIC 8375138 and KIC 9210943 show clean frequency (period) spacings, which are nicely fitted with even ($k = -2$) $m=1$ r modes as shown in Fig A1 with model predictions of amplitude distribution as a function of frequency. For the other six stars, the amplitude-frequency diagrams are compared with model predictions for inclinations of 50° and 70° . In all cases, models adopt parameters consistent with those obtained by Van Reeth et al. (2015) and rotation frequencies obtained by Van Reeth et al. (2016). Agreements between observational amplitude-frequency diagrams and model predictions for even ($k = -2$) r modes are satisfactory for all cases except for that the amplitudes of $m = 2$ even r modes predicted with energy equipartition are higher than the observational amplitudes.

Although several small amplitude peaks are seen in the ranges predicted for $m = 1$ odd ($k = -1$) r modes, most of them can be identified as beat frequencies between even r modes of $(m, k) = (1, -2)$ and g modes of $m = -1$, or beat frequencies among high amplitude g mode frequencies. This indicates that no visible odd r modes are present in those γ Dor stars.

This paper has been typeset from a TeX/LaTeX file prepared by the author.