# **An Open Pediatric Brain Tumor Atlas**

This manuscript (<u>permalink</u>) was automatically generated from <u>AlexsLemonade/OpenPBTA-manuscript@22343e8</u> on April 8, 2022.

### **Authors**

### · Joshua A. Shapiro

Childhood Cancer Data Lab, Alex's Lemonade Stand Foundation, Philadelphia, PA, USA · Funded by Alex's Lemonade Stand Foundation Childhood Cancer Data Lab (CCDL)

#### Candace L. Savonen

Childhood Cancer Data Lab, Alex's Lemonade Stand Foundation, Philadelphia, PA, USA · Funded by Alex's Lemonade Stand Foundation Childhood Cancer Data Lab (CCDL)

### · Chante J. Bethell

© 0000-0001-9653-8128 · ♥ cbethell · ♥ cjbethell

Childhood Cancer Data Lab, Alex's Lemonade Stand Foundation, Philadelphia, PA, USA · Funded by Alex's Lemonade Stand Foundation Childhood Cancer Data Lab (CCDL)

### • Krutika S. Gaonkar

Center for Data-Driven Discovery, Children's Hospital of Philadelphia; Division of Neurosurgery, Children's Hospital of Philadelphia; Department of Bioinformatics and Health Informatics, Children's Hospital of Philadelphia

#### Run Jin

D 0000-0002-8958-9266 · ☐ runjin326 · У runjin

Center for Data-Driven Discovery, Children's Hospital of Philadelphia; Division of Neurosurgery, Children's Hospital of Philadelphia

### Yuankun Zhu

🔟 <u>0000-0002-2455-9525</u> · 🞧 <u>yuankunzhu</u> · 🎔 <u>zhuyuankun</u>

Center for Data-Driven Discovery, Children's Hospital of Philadelphia; Division of Neurosurgery, Children's Hospital of Philadelphia

### Miguel A. Brown

© 0000-0001-6782-1442 · ♠ migbro · ♥ migbro

Center for Data-Driven Discovery, Children's Hospital of Philadelphia; Division of Neurosurgery, Children's Hospital of Philadelphia

#### Nhat Duong

© 0000-0003-2852-4263 · ♥ fingerfen · У asiannhat

Department of Bioinformatics and Health Informatics, Children's Hospital of Philadelphia

#### Komal S. Rathi

Center for Data-Driven Discovery, Children's Hospital of Philadelphia; Department of Bioinformatics and Health Informatics, Children's Hospital of Philadelphia

### Nighat Noureen

**(D** 0000-0001-7495-8201 ⋅ **(Q** NNoureen

Greehey Children's Cancer Research Institute, UT Health San Antonio

### Bo Zhang

Center for Data-Driven Discovery, Children's Hospital of Philadelphia; Division of Neurosurgery, Children's Hospital of Philadelphia

#### Brian M. Ennis

© 0000-0002-2653-5009 · ♥ bmennis

Center for Data-Driven Discovery, Children's Hospital of Philadelphia; Division of Neurosurgery, Children's Hospital of Philadelphia

### · Stephanie J. Spielman

Childhood Cancer Data Lab, Alex's Lemonade Stand Foundation, Philadelphia, PA, USA<sup>®</sup>; Rowan University, Glassboro, NJ, USA · Funded by Alex's Lemonade Stand Foundation Childhood Cancer Data Lab (CCDL)

© Current affiliation

### Laura E. Egolf

Cell and Molecular Biology Graduate Group, Perelman School of Medicine at the University of Pennsylvania; Division of Oncology, Children's Hospital of Philadelphia

### Bailey Farrow

Center for Data-Driven Discovery, Children's Hospital of Philadelphia; Division of Neurosurgery, Children's Hospital of Philadelphia

#### Nicolas Van Kuren

© 0000-0002-7414-9516 · ♥ nicholasvk

Center for Data-Driven Discovery, Children's Hospital of Philadelphia; Division of Neurosurgery, Children's Hospital of Philadelphia

#### Meen Chul Kim

0000-0002-0308-783X • liberaliscomputing

Center for Data-Driven Discovery, Children's Hospital of Philadelphia; Division of Neurosurgery, Children's Hospital of Philadelphia

### • Tejaswi Koganti

© 0000-0002-7733-6480 · ♥ tkoganti

Center for Data-Driven Discovery, Children's Hospital of Philadelphia; Division of Neurosurgery, Children's Hospital of Philadelphia

### Shrivats Kannan

Center for Data-Driven Discovery, Children's Hospital of Philadelphia; Division of Neurosurgery, Children's Hospital of Philadelphia

#### Pichai Raman

Center for Data-Driven Discovery, Children's Hospital of Philadelphia; Department of Bioinformatics and Health Informatics, Children's Hospital of Philadelphia

### Jennifer Mason

· 🕝 jenn0307 · 🛩 jenn0307

Center for Data-Driven Discovery, Children's Hospital of Philadelphia; Division of Neurosurgery, Children's Hospital of Philadelphia

### Daniel P. Miller

D 0000-0002-2032-4358 · C dmiller15

Center for Data-Driven Discovery, Children's Hospital of Philadelphia; Division of Neurosurgery, Children's Hospital of Philadelphia

### Anna R. Poetsch

**(D** 0000-0003-3056-4360 **(C** arpoe **) A**Poetsch

Biotechnology Center, Technical University Dresden, Germany; National Center for Tumor Diseases, Dresden, Germany

### Payal Jain

Center for Data-Driven Discovery, Children's Hospital of Philadelphia; Division of Neurosurgery, Children's Hospital of Philadelphia

#### Adam A. Kraya

© 0000-0002-8526-5694 · ♥ aadamk

Center for Data-Driven Discovery, Children's Hospital of Philadelphia; Division of Neurosurgery, Children's Hospital of Philadelphia

#### Allison P. Heath

Center for Data-Driven Discovery, Children's Hospital of Philadelphia; Division of Neurosurgery, Children's Hospital of Philadelphia · Funded by NIH U2C HL138346-03; NCI/NIH Contract No. 75N91019D00024, Task Order No. 75N91020F00003; Australian Government, Department of Education

### Mateusz P. Koptyra

Center for Data-Driven Discovery, Children's Hospital of Philadelphia; Division of Neurosurgery, Children's Hospital of Philadelphia

#### Shannon Robbins

Center for Data-Driven Discovery, Children's Hospital of Philadelphia; Division of Neurosurgery, Children's Hospital of Philadelphia

### • Yiran Guo

D 0000-0002-6549-8589 · ☐ Yiran-Guo · У YiranGuo3

Center for Data-Driven Discovery, Children's Hospital of Philadelphia; Division of Neurosurgery, Children's Hospital of Philadelphia

### Xiaoyan Huang

Center for Data-Driven Discovery, Children's Hospital of Philadelphia; Division of Neurosurgery, Children's Hospital of Philadelphia

#### Jessica Wong

Center for Data-Driven Discovery, Children's Hospital of Philadelphia; Division of Neurosurgery, Children's Hospital of Philadelphia

#### Mariarita Santi

**(i)** 0000-0002-6728-3450

Department of Pathology and Laboratory Medicine, Children's Hospital of Philadelphia; Department of Pathology and Laboratory Medicine, University of Pennsylvania Perelman School of Medicine

### Angela Viaene

© 0000-0001-6430-8360

Department of Pathology and Laboratory Medicine, Children's Hospital of Philadelphia; Department of Pathology and Laboratory Medicine, University of Pennsylvania Perelman School of Medicine

#### Laura Scolaro

Division of Oncology, Children's Hospital of Philadelphia

### Angela Waanders

Department of Oncology, Ann & Robert H. Lurie Children's Hospital of Chicago; Department of Pediatrics, Northwestern University Feinberg School of Medicine

#### Derek Hanson

© 0000-0002-0024-5142

Hackensack Meridian School of Medicine; Hackensack University Medical Center

### · Hongbo M. Xie

Department of Bioinformatics and Health Informatics, Children's Hospital of Philadelphia

### Siyuan Zheng

Greehey Children's Cancer Research Institute, UT Health San Antonio

#### Cassie N. Kline

© 0000-0001-7765-7690 · **У** cnkline13

Division of Oncology, Children's Hospital of Philadelphia

#### Jena V. Lilly

© 0000-0003-1439-6045 · ♠ jvlilly · ❤ jvlilly

Center for Data-Driven Discovery, Children's Hospital of Philadelphia; Division of Neurosurgery, Children's Hospital of Philadelphia

#### Philip B. Storm

© 0000-0002-7964-2449

Center for Data-Driven Discovery, Children's Hospital of Philadelphia; Division of Neurosurgery, Children's Hospital of Philadelphia · Funded by Alex's Lemonade Stand Foundation (Catalyst); Children's Hospital of Philadelphia Division of Neurosurgery

### Adam C. Resnick

Center for Data-Driven Discovery, Children's Hospital of Philadelphia; Division of Neurosurgery, Children's Hospital of Philadelphia · Funded by Alex's Lemonade Stand Foundation (Catalyst); Children's Brain Tumor Network; NIH 3P30 CA016520-44S5, U2C HL138346-03, U24 CA220457-03; NCI/NIH Contract No. 75N91019D00024, Task Order No. 75N91020F00003; Children's Hospital of Philadelphia Division of Neurosurgery

### Casey S. Greene

D 0000-0001-8713-9213 · 🗘 cgreene · 💆 greenescientist

Department of Systems Pharmacology and Translational Therapeutics, Perelman School of Medicine, University of Pennsylvania, Philadelphia, PA, USA; Childhood Cancer Data Lab, Alex's Lemonade Stand Foundation, Philadelphia, PA, USA; Center for Health AI, University of Colorado School of Medicine, Aurora, CO, USA; Department of Biochemistry

and Molecular Genetics, University of Colorado School of Medicine, Aurora, CO, USA · Funded by Alex's Lemonade Stand Foundation Childhood Cancer Data Lab (CCDL)

### Jo Lynne Rokita\*

Center for Data-Driven Discovery, Children's Hospital of Philadelphia; Division of Neurosurgery, Children's Hospital of Philadelphia; Department of Bioinformatics and Health Informatics, Children's Hospital of Philadelphia · Funded by Alex's Lemonade Stand Foundation (Young Investigator, Catalyst); NCI/NIH Contract No. 75N91019D00024, Task Order No. 75N91020F00003

### Jaclyn N. Taroni\*

Childhood Cancer Data Lab, Alex's Lemonade Stand Foundation, Philadelphia, PA, USA · Funded by Alex's Lemonade Stand Foundation Childhood Cancer Data Lab (CCDL)

- Children's Brain Tumor Network
- Pacific Pediatric Neurooncology Consortium

### **Contact information**

\*Correspondence: jaclyn.taroni@ccdatalab.org, rokita@chop.edu

### In Brief

# **Highlights**

# Summary

# **Keywords**

pediatric brain tumors, somatic variation, open science, classification

# Introduction

Pediatric brain and spinal cord tumors are the second most common tumors in children after leukemia, yet they represent the leading disease-related cause of death in children [1]. Five-year survival rates vary widely across different histologic and molecular classifications of brain tumors. For example, most high-grade and embryonal tumors carry a universally fatal prognosis while children with pilocytic astrocytoma have an estimated 10-year survival rate of 92% [2]. Despite their relative rarity, the years of potential life lost due to brain tumors in 2009 was estimated at 47,631 years for children and adolescents aged 0-19 in the United States [???]. The low survival rates for some tumors are clearly multifactorial but can be explained in part by our lack of understanding of the everevolving array of brain tumor molecular subtypes, difficulty drugging these entities, and the shortage of drugs specifically labeled for pediatric malignancies. Historically, some of the most fatal, inoperable

brain tumors, such as diffuse midline gliomas, were not routinely biopsied due to perceived risks of biopsy and the paucity of therapeutic options that would require tissue. Limited access to tissue to develop patient-derived cell line and mouse models has been a barrier to research. Furthermore, the incidence of any single molecular tumor entity is relatively low due to the rarity of pediatric tumors in general. Together, these factors have hindered research progress and have led to multiple national and international center and consortia efforts to collaboratively share specimens and data to accelerate breakthroughs and clinical translation.


There has been significant progress in recent years to elucidate the landscape of somatic variation responsible for pediatric brain tumor formation and progression, however, translation of therapeutic agents to phase II or III clinical trials and subsequent FDA approval has been slow. Within the last 20 years, the FDA has approved only five drugs for the treatment of pediatric brain tumors: mTOR inhibitor, everolimus, for subependymal giant cell astrocytoma; anti-PD-1 immunotherapy, pembrolizumab, for microsatellite instability-high or mismatch repair-deficient tumors; NTRK inhibitors larotrectinib and entrectinib for tumors with an NTRK 1/2/3 gene fusions; MEK1/2 inhibitor, selumetinib, for neurofibromatosis type 1 (NF1) and symptomatic, inoperable plexiform neurofibromas. This is, in part, due to pharmaceutical company priorities and/or concerns regarding toxicity that have resulted in an inability to obtain drugs for pediatric clinical trials, ultimately delaying access to new agents. An amendment to the Pediatric Research Equity Act called the Research to Accelerate Cures and Equity (RACE) for Children Act mandates that as of August 18, 2020 all new adult oncology drugs also be tested in children when the molecular targets are relevant to a particular childhood cancer. Here, we present a comprehensive, collaborative, open genomic analysis of 943 patient tumors from 59 distinct brain tumor histologies which can be used to support the RACE Act and accelerate rational clinical trial design.

# **Results**

# Crowd-sourced Somatic Analysis to create an Open Pediatric Brain Tumor Atlas

We previously performed whole genome sequencing (WGS), whole exome sequencing (WXS), and RNA sequencing (RNA-Seq) on matched tumor and normal tissues as well as selected cell lines from 943 patient tumors from the Pediatric Brain Tumor Atlas (PBTA) [3], consisting of samples from the Children's Brain Tumor Network (CBTN) [4] and the PNOC003 DMG clinical trial [5] of the Pacific Pediatric Neuro-Oncology Symposium (PNOC) (**Figure 1A**). We then harnessed the benchmarking efforts of the Kids First Data Resource Center to develop a robust and reproducible data analysis workflow within the CAVATICA platform to perform the following primary somatic analyses: variant calling of single nucleotide variants (SNVs), copy number variants (CNVs), structural variants (SVs), and fusions (**Figure S1** - red boxes and **STAR Methods**). Next, we created a public Github analysis repository (https://github.com/AlexsLemonade/OpenPBTA-analysis) with continuous integration to ensure analysis reproducibility. We additionally created a GitHub manuscript repository (https://github.com/AlexsLemonade/OpenPBTA-manuscript) with ManuBot [6,7] integration to enable manuscript creation using Markdown within GitHub.

We maintained a data release folder on Amazon S3 containing merged files for each analysis, downloadable from the GitHub repository or open access CAVATICA project.



**Figure 1: Figure 1. Overview of the OpenPBTA Project.** A, The Children's Brain Tumor Network and the Pacific Pediatric Neuro-Oncology Consortium collected tumor samples from 943 patients. To date, 38 cell lines were created from tumor tissue and over 2000 specimens were sequenced (N = 1035 RNA-Seq, N = 940 WGS, and N = 32 WXS or Targeted panel). Data was harmonized by the Kids First Data Resource Center using an Amazon S3 framework within CAVATICA. B, Processed data was released through the OpenPBTA GitHub project, through which contributors filed issues and developed code for analyses within docker. Additional analysis details can be found in **Figure S1**. Simultaneously, this manuscript was written in GitHub using Manubot. All analysis and manuscript code was reviewed and continuously integrated using CircleCI. C, Stacked bar plot summary of the number of biospecimens per phase of therapy per broad histology.

# **Molecular Subtyping of OpenPBTA CNS Tumors**

Over the past two decades, together with the World Health Organization (WHO), experts in neurooncology have iteratively redefined the classifications of central nervous system (CNS) tumors [??? 10.1093/jnen/61.3.215,8]. More recently, in 2016 and 2021 [???,9], molecular subtypes have been integrated into these entities. The Children's Brain Tumor Tissue Consortium (CBTTC), currently the Children's Brain Tumor Network (CBTN), opened its protocol for brain tumor and matched normal sample collection in 2011 and as such, the majority of the samples within the OpenPBTA dataset lack molecular subtype annotation. In the absence of methylation arrays for tumor classification, we utilized key genomic features of entities described by the WHO in 2016, as well as Ryall and colleagues [10], coupled with clinician and pathologist review to subtype 64% (1,281/2,007) of tumor biospecimens with high confidence (**Table S1**). Importantly, this collaborative molecular subtyping process allowed us to identify data entry errors (e.g., an ETMR entered as a medulloblastoma) and mis-identified specimens (e.g., Ewing sarcoma sample labeled as a craniopharyngioma), update diagnoses using modern terms (e.g., primitive neuro-ectodermal tumor [PNET] diagnoses), and discover rarer tumor entities within the OpenPBTA (e.g., H3-mutant ependymoma, meningioma with

*YAP1::FAM118B* fusion). **Table 1** {#tbl:subtypes} lists the subtypes we defined within the OpenPBTA, comprising of LGATs (N = 569), high-grade gliomas (N = 314), embryonal tumors (N = 229), ependymomas (N = 65), tumors of sellar region (N = 51), mesenchymal non-meningothelial tumors (N = 21), glialneuronal tumors (N = 20), and chordomas (N = 12). For methods, see **STAR Methods** and **Figure S1**.

Broad histology	molecular subtype	n
Chordoma	CHDM, conventional	4
Chordoma	CHDM, poorly differentiated	8
Diffuse astrocytic and oligodendroglial tumor	DMG, H3 K28	54
Diffuse astrocytic and oligodendroglial tumor	DMG, H3 K28, TP53 activated	26
Diffuse astrocytic and oligodendroglial tumor	DMG, H3 K28, TP53 loss	91
Diffuse astrocytic and oligodendroglial tumor	HGG, H3 G35	7
Diffuse astrocytic and oligodendroglial tumor	HGG, H3 G35, TP53 loss	2
Diffuse astrocytic and oligodendroglial tumor	HGG, H3 wildtype	74
Diffuse astrocytic and oligodendroglial tumor	HGG, H3 wildtype, TP53 activated	10
Diffuse astrocytic and oligodendroglial tumor	HGG, H3 wildtype, TP53 loss	45
Diffuse astrocytic and oligodendroglial tumor	HGG, IDH, TP53 activated	3
Diffuse astrocytic and oligodendroglial tumor	HGG, IDH, TP53 loss	2
Embryonal tumor	CNS Embryonal, NOS	24
Embryonal tumor	CNS HGNET-MN1	1
Embryonal tumor	CNS NB-FOXR2	5
Embryonal tumor	ETMR, C19MC-altered	8
Embryonal tumor	ETMR, NOS	1
Embryonal tumor	MB, Group3	24
Embryonal tumor	MB, Group4	91
Embryonal tumor	мв, ѕнн	55
Embryonal tumor	MB, WNT	20
Ependymal tumor	EPN, H3 K28	2
Ependymal tumor	EPN, PF A	6
Ependymal tumor	EPN, ST RELA	51
Ependymal tumor	EPN, ST YAP1	6
Low-grade astrocytic tumor	GNG, BRAF V600E	25
Low-grade astrocytic tumor	GNG, BRAF V600E, CDKN2A/B	2
Low-grade astrocytic tumor	GNG, FGFR	2
Low-grade astrocytic tumor	GNG, H3	2
Low-grade astrocytic tumor	GNG, IDH	4
Low-grade astrocytic tumor	GNG, KIAA1549-BRAF	10
Low-grade astrocytic tumor	GNG, MYB/MYBL1	2

Broad histology	molecular subtype	n
Low-grade astrocytic tumor	GNG, NF1-germline	2
Low-grade astrocytic tumor	GNG, NF1-somatic, BRAF V600E	1
Low-grade astrocytic tumor	GNG, other MAPK	7
Low-grade astrocytic tumor	GNG, other MAPK, IDH	2
Low-grade astrocytic tumor	GNG, RTK	6
Low-grade astrocytic tumor	GNG, wildtype	28
Low-grade astrocytic tumor	LGG, BRAF V600E	53
Low-grade astrocytic tumor	LGG, BRAF V600E, CDKN2A/B	10
Low-grade astrocytic tumor	LGG, FGFR	16
Low-grade astrocytic tumor	LGG, IDH	6
Low-grade astrocytic tumor	LGG, KIAA1549-BRAF	222
Low-grade astrocytic tumor	LGG, KIAA1549-BRAF, other MAPK	2
Low-grade astrocytic tumor	LGG, MYB/MYBL1	4
Low-grade astrocytic tumor	LGG, NF1-germline	12
Low-grade astrocytic tumor	LGG, NF1-germline, CDKN2A/B	2
Low-grade astrocytic tumor	LGG, NF1-germline, FGFR	4
Low-grade astrocytic tumor	LGG, NF1-somatic	4
Low-grade astrocytic tumor	LGG, NF1-somatic, FGFR	2
Low-grade astrocytic tumor	LGG, NF1-somatic, NF1-germline, CDKN2A/B	2
Low-grade astrocytic tumor	LGG, other MAPK	23
Low-grade astrocytic tumor	LGG, RTK	22
Low-grade astrocytic tumor	LGG, RTK, CDKN2A/B	2
Low-grade astrocytic tumor	LGG, wildtype	84
Low-grade astrocytic tumor	SEGA, wildtype	6
Mesenchymal non-meningothelial tumor	EWS	21
Neuronal and mixed neuronal-glial tumor	CNC	4
Neuronal and mixed neuronal-glial tumor	EVN	2
Neuronal and mixed neuronal-glial tumor	GNT, BRAF V600E	2
Neuronal and mixed neuronal-glial tumor	GNT, KIAA1549-BRAF	4
Neuronal and mixed neuronal-glial tumor	GNT, other MAPK	2
Neuronal and mixed neuronal-glial tumor	GNT, other MAPK, FGFR	2
Neuronal and mixed neuronal-glial tumor	GNT, RTK	4
Tumors of sellar region	CRANIO, ADAM	51
	Total	1281

Table 1: Molecular subtypes determined across OpenPBTA samples. {#tbl:subtypes}

# **Somatic Mutational Landscape of Pediatric Brain Tumors**

We performed a comprehensive genomic analysis of somatic SNVs, CNVs, SVs, and fusions across 1,969 tumors (N = 1,019 RNA-Seq, N = 1,719 WGS, N = 64 WXS/Panel) and 38 cell lines (N = 16 RNA-Seq, N = 22 WGS) from 943 patients. Following SNV consensus calling (**Figure S1** and **Figure S2**, **panels A-F**), we observed lower expected tumor mutation burden (TMB) **Figure S2**, **panel G** in pediatric tumors compared to adult brain tumors from The Cancer Genome Atlas (TCGA), with hypermutant (> 10 Mut/Mb) and ultra-hypermutant (> 100 Mut/Mb) tumors [ $\frac{11}{1}$ ] only found within HGATs.

## Low-grade astrocytic tumors

**Figure 2A** depicts an oncoprint of driver genes for 227 primary low-grade astrocytic tumors. As expected, the majority (62%, 140/227) of these tumors harbor a somatic alteration in *BRAF*, with canonical *BRAF::KIAA1549* fusions as the major oncogenic driver. We observed additional mutations in *FGFR1* (2%), *PIK3CA* (2%), *KRAS* (2%), *TP53* (1%), and *ATRX* (1%) and fusions in *NTRK2* (2%), *RAF1* (2%), *MYB* (1%), *QKI* (1%), *ROS1* (1%), and *FGFR2* (1%), concordant with previous studies reporting the near universal upregulation of the RAS/MAPK pathway in these tumors resulting from activating mutations and/or oncogenic fusions [10,12]. Indeed, we observed significant upregulation (ANOVA p < 0.01) of the KRAS signaling pathway in LGATs (**Figure 4B**).

# **Embryonal tumors**

**Figure 2B** shows the mutational landscape for 128 primary embryonal tumors. The majority (N = 95) are medulloblastomas and span the spectrum of molecular subtypes: WNT, SHH, Group3, and Group 4 (see **Molecular Subtyping of CNS Tumors**), with their canonical mutations. We detected canonical *SMARCB1/SMARCA4* deletions or inactivating mutations in atypical teratoid rhabdoid tumors (ATRTs) and C19MC amplification in the embryonal tumors with multilayer rosettes (ETMRs) [???,13,14,15].

# Diffuse astrocytic and oligodendroglial tumors

In **Figure 2C**, we show genomic alterations in diffuse midline gliomas (DMGs, N = 34) and non-midline high-grade gliomas (N = 26) biopsied at diagnosis. The single oligodendroglioma sample in the OpenPBTA does not contain mutations in the genes shown and is therefore not present in this oncoprint. Across HGATs, we found *TP53* (57%, 35/61) and *H3F3A* (52%, 32/61) to be the most mutated and co-occurring genes (**Figure 2A**), followed by frequent mutations in *ATRX* (30% 18/61). We found recurrent amplifications and fusions in *EGFR*, *MET*, *PDGFRA*, and *KIT*, highlighting that these tumors utilize multiple oncogenic mechanisms to activate tyrosine kinases, as has been previously reported [5,16,17,18]. Gene set enrichment analysis showed upregulation (ANOVA p < 0.01) of DNA repair, G2M checkpoint, and MYC pathways as well as downregulation of the TP53 pathway (**Figure 4B**). The two tumors with ultra-high tumor mutation burden (TMB) (> 100 Mutations/Mb) were from patients with known mismatch repair deficiency syndrome [3].

### Other CNS tumors

**Figure 2D** depicts an oncoprint for the remaining primary CNS tumors (N = 195). We observed 25% (15/60) of ependymoma tumors to be *C11orf95::RELA* (now, *ZFTA::RELA*) fusion positive ependymomas and 70% (21/30) of craniopharyngiomas to be driven by mutations in *CTNNB1*. Multiple histologies contained somatic mutations or fusions in *NF2*: 41% (7/17) of meningiomas, 5% (3/60) of ependymomas, and 27% (3/11) schwannomas. Rare fusions in *ERBB4*, *YAP1*, *KRAS*, and *MAML2* were observed in 10% (6/60) of ependymoma tumors. DNETs harbored alterations in MAPK/PI3K pathway

genes as previously reported [ $\frac{19}{2}$ ], including *FGFR1* (21%, 4/19), *PDGFRA* (10%, 2/19), and *BRAF* (5%, 1/19). Frequent mutations in rarer brain tumor histologies (N < 5) are depicted in **Figure ??A**.

**Figure 2: Figure 2. Mutational landscape of PBTA tumors.** Shown are frequencies of canonical somatic gene mutations, CNVs, fusions, and TMB (top bar plot) for the top 20 genes mutated across primary tumors within the OpenPBTA dataset. A, Low-grade astrocytic tumors (N = 227): low-grade glioma astrocytoma (N = 187), ganglioglioma (N = 35), subependymal giant cell astrocytoma (N = 2), diffuse fibrillary astrocytoma (N = 1), pilocytic astrocytoma (N = 1), and pleomorphic xanthoastrocytoma (N = 1); B, Embryonal tumors (N = 128): medulloblastomas (N = 95), atypical teratoid rhabdoid tumors (N = 24), embryonal tumors with multilayer rosettes (N = 2), other CNS embryonal tumors (N = 5), ganglioneuroblastoma (N = 1), and CNS neuroblastoma (N = 1); C, Diffuse astrocytic and oligodendroglial tumors (N = 61): diffuse midline gliomas (N = 34) and non-midline high-grade gliomas (N = 26), oligodendroglioma (N = 1); D, Other CNS tumors (N = 195): ependymomas (N = 60), dysembryoplastic neuroepithelial tumors (N = 19), meningiomas (N = 17), schwannoma (N = 11), neurofibroma plexiform (N = 7). Other CNS tumors with N < 5 are displayed in **Figure ??A**. Patient sex (germline\_sex\_estimate) and tumor histology (cancer\_group) are displayed as annotations at the bottom of each plot. Only samples with mutations in the listed genes are shown. Multiple CNVs are denoted as a complex event.

# Mutational co-occurrence and signatures highlight key oncogenic drivers

The top 50 mutated genes in primary tumors are shown **Figure 3** by tumor type (**A**, bar plots), with co-occurrence scores illustrated in the heatmap (**B**). We observed *TP53* to be the most frequently mutated gene across OpenPBTA tumors (8.4%, 56/666), significantly co-occurring with H3F3A (OR = 32, 95% CI: 15.3 - 66.7, q = 8.46e-17), ATRX (OR = 20, 95% CI: 8.4 - 47.7, q = 4.43e-8), NF1 (OR = 8.62, 95% CI: 3.7 - 20.2, q = 5.45e-5), and *EGFR* (OR = 18.2, 95% CI: 5 - 66.5, q = 1.6e-4). Other canonical cancer driver genes were frequently mutated: BRAF, H3F3A, CTNNB1, NF1, ATRX, FGFR1, and PIK3CA. Although LGG and embryonal tumors make up the majority of tumor types within the OpenPBTA, most of the significant gene interactions stem from HGATs (N = 847/872). At the broad histology level, CTNNB1 significantly co-occurs with TP53 (OR = 42.9, 95% CI: 7 - 261.4, q = 1.63e-3) and DDX3X (OR = 21.1, 95% CI: 4.6 - 96.3, q = 4.46e-3) in embryonal tumors, *FGFR1* and *PIK3CA* significantly co-occur in LGGs (OR = 76.1, 95% CI: 9.85 - 588.1, q = 3.26e-3), consistent with previous findings [20; 10.1186/s40478-020-01027-z]. TP53 and PPM1D mutations have been shown to be mutually exclusive in HGATs, and our data recapitulates that trend (52/54 or 96.3% of tumors have a mutation in either gene, OR = 0.188, 95% CI: 0.04 - 0.94, p = 4.13e-2, q = 5.87e-2) [21]. We next assessed the contributions of eight previously identified adult CNS-specific mutational signatures [22] (RefSig) across cancer groups Figure 3C and samples Figure ??A. Stage 0 and/or 1 tumors characterized by low TMBs **Figure ??G** such as LGGs, gangliogliomas, craniopharyngiomas, DNETs, and schwannomas are expectedly dominated by Signature 1, which results from the normal process of spontaneous deamination of 5-methylcytosine. Signature N6 is CNS-specific signature which we observe nearly universally across samples. Drivers of Signature 18, TP53, APC, NOTCH1 (https://signal.mutationalsignatures.com/explore/referenceCancerSignature/31/drivers), are also canonical drivers of medulloblastoma tumors, and indeed, we observe Signature 18 as the most common signature in medulloblastoma tumors. Signatures 3, 8, 18, and MMR2 are prevalent in HGGs, including DMGs. Finally, we observe that the weight of Signature 1 is higher at diagnosis (pretreatment) and is almost always lower in tumors at later phases of therapy (progression, recurrence, post-mortem, secondary malignancy) Figure ??B. This trend may be the result of therapy-induced mutations which produce additional signatures (e.g., temozolomide treatment drives Signature 11), subclonal expansion, and/or acquisition of additional driver mutations during tumor progression, leading to higher overall TMBs and additional signatures.

# Genomic instability of pediatric brain tumors

We developed a method for generating consensus copy number altered regions from MantaSV, CNVkit, and ControlFREEC (**STAR Methods**). This resulted in high-confidence gains, losses, amplifications, and deep deletion calls used as input for breakpoint density (**Table S2**) and

chromothripsis analysis. We observed that HGATs, followed by medulloblastomas, have the most unstable genomes (**Figure S3A**). By contrast, craniopharyngiomas generally lack somatic copy number variation. These patterns of copy number variation largely align with estimates of tumor mutational burden (**Figure S2G**). The number of SV and CNV breakpoints were significantly correlated across tumors (p = 1.08e-37) (**Figure 3D**) and as expected, the number of chromothripsis regions called increases as breakpoint density increases (**Figure S3B-C**). Chromothripsis events were observed in 41% (N = 19/46) of non-midline high-grade gliomas and 28.2% (N = 11/39) of DMGs (**Figure 3E**). We also found evidence of chromothripsis in over 15% of embryonal tumors, ependymomas, meningiomas, germinomas, glial-neuronal tumors, chordomas, metastatic secondary tumors, and sarcomas, highlighting the genomic instability and complexity of pediatric brain tumors.

# Figure 3: Co-occurrence and CN landscape




Figure 3: Figure 3. Mutational co-occurrence and signatures highlight key oncogenic drivers. A, Bar plot of occurrence and co-occurrence of nonsynonymous mutations for the 50 most commonly mutated genes across all tumor types (annotated from cancer\_group if N >= 10 or 0ther if N < 10); B, Co-occurrence and mutual exclusivity of nonsynonymous mutations between genes; The co-occurrence score is defined as  $I(-\log_{10}(P))$  where P is defined by Fisher's exact test and I is 1 when mutations co-occur more often than expected and -1 when exclusivity is more common; C, Sina plots of RefSig signature weights for signatures 1, 3, 8, 11, 18, 19, N6, MMR2, and Other across cancer

groups. Box plot lines represent the first quartile, median, and third quartile. D, The number of SV breaks significantly correlate with CNV breaks (Adjusted R = 0.436, p = 1.08e-37). E, Chromothripsis frequency across pediatric brain tumors shown by cancer\_group with N >= 3.


# **Transcriptomic Landscape of Pediatric Brain Tumors**

# Histologic and oncogenic pathway clustering

UMAP visualization of gene expression variation across brain tumors (**Figure 4A**) shows the expected clustering of brain tumors by histology. We observed medulloblastomas cluster by molecular subtype with WNT and SHH in distinct clusters and Groups 3 and 4 showing some overlap (**Figure ??A**), as expected. Of note, two samples annotated as the SHH subtype do not cluster with the MB samples and one clusters with Group 3 and 4 samples, suggesting potential subtype misclassification or different underlying biology of these tumors. Additionally, except for three outliers, *C11orf95::RELA (ZFTA::RELA)* fusion positive ependymomas fall within distinct clusters (**Figure ??B**). *BRAF*-driven low-grade gliomas (**Figure ??C**) were present in three separate clusters, suggesting that there might be distinct underlying biology within these tumors. Histone H3 G35-mutant HGATs generally clustered together, away from K28-mutant tumors (**Figure ??D**). Interestingly, although H3 K28-mutant tumors have different biological drivers than H3 wildtype tumors, they did not form distinct clusters, suggesting they may either be driven by common transcriptional programs or our sample size is too small to detect transcriptional differences.

We performed gene set variant analysis (GSVA) for Hallmark cancer gene sets, demonstrating activation of underlying oncogenic pathways (**Figure 4B**).

Figure 4: Transcriptomic Overview 1 (UMAP, GSVA, EXTEND)



**Figure 4: Figure 4. Transcriptomic overview** A, First two dimensions from UMAP of sample transcriptome data. Points are colored by cancer\_group of the samples they represent. B, Heatmap of GSVA scores for Hallmark gene sets with significant differences, with samples ordered by cancer\_group . C,


# Prediction of TP53 oncogenicity and telomerase activity

To understand the TP53 phenotype in each tumor, we ran a classifier previously trained on TCGA [23] to infer TP53 inactivation status. Using high-confidence SNVs, CNVs, SVs, and fusions in TP53 as true positive alterations, we achieved a high accuracy (AUROC = 0.85) for rRNA-depleted, stranded samples compared to randomly shuffled *TP53* scores (**Figure 5A**). The classifier did not perform well on the poly-A samples (Figure ??E-F), potentially due to the low number of TP53 altered (N = 29) and/or total poly-A samples in our dataset (N = 58) rather than library type, as a previous study demonstrated high accuracy of this classifier on another poly-A dataset [24]. We annotated *TP53* alterations as "activated" if samples harbored one of p.R273C or p.R248W mutations [25], "loss" if the patient had a Li Fraumeni Syndrome (LFS) predisposition diagnosis or if any other SNV, CNV, SV, or fusion fell within a functional domain. If the TP53 mutation did not reside within the DNA-binding domain or if an alteration was not detected in TP53, we annotated the tumor as "other". Interestingly, we observed that samples annotated as either "activated" or "loss" had significantly higher TP53 scores than those annotated as "other" (**Figure 5B**,  $p_{adi}$  loss vs. other < 2e-16,  $p_{adi}$  activated vs. other = 4.0e-5), suggesting that the classifier detects an oncogenic, or altered, TP53 phenotype (scores > 0.5) rather than solely TP53 inactivation, as interpreted previously [23]. Moreover, tumors with "activating" TP53 mutations had evidence of higher TP53 expression than those with TP53 "loss" mutations (Wilcoxon p = 3.5e-3, **Figure 5C**. To further validate the classifier's accuracy, we assessed *TP53* scores for patients with LFS, hypothesizing all of these tumors would have high scores. Indeed, we observed higher scores in LFS tumors (N = 8) for which we detected high-confidence TP53 somatic alterations. Although we were unable to detect canonical somatic TP53 mutations in two LFS patient tumors with low TP53 scores, we confirmed the LFS diagnosis from pathology reports and found each to have a germline variant in TP53. The tumor purity of these samples was low (16% and 37%), suggesting the classifier requires a certain level of tumor purity to achieve good performance, as we expect *TP53* to be intact in normal cells. Tumors with the highest median TP53 scores were those known to harbor somatic TP53 alterations: choroid plexus tumors, embryonal tumors, HGATs, and pineal tumors (Figure 5E), while melanocytic tumors, meningiomas, and tumors of cranial and paraspinal nerves had the lowest scores.

We next used gene expression data to predict telomerase activity using EXpression-based Telomerase ENzymatic activity Detection (EXTEND) [ $\underline{26}$ ] as a surrogate measure of malignant potential [ $\underline{26}$ ; 10.1093/carcin/bgp268]. EXTEND scores significantly correlated with TERT(R = 0.55) and TERC(R = 0.58) expression (**Figure ??G-H**).

We found aggressive tumors such as CNS lymphoma, ETMR, ATRT, DMG, and HGG had high EXTEND scores (**Figure 5G**), while benign lesions such as teratomas, dysplasias, and hemangioblastomas had the lowest scores (**Table S3**).

# Figure 5: Transcriptomic Overview 2 (TP53)



**Figure 5: Figure 5.** *TP53* and **telomerase activity** A, Receiver Operating Characteristic for *TP53* classifier run on FPKM of stranded RNA-Seq samples. B, Violin and box plots of *TP53* scores plotted by *TP53* alteration type. C, Violin and box plots of *TP53* RNA expression plotted by *TP53* activation status. D, Box plots of *TP53* scores grouped by broad\_histology . E, Box plots of EXTEND scores grouped by broad\_histology .

# **Discussion**

Stub in discussion section

# **Acknowledgments**

We graciously thank the patients and families who have donated their tumors to the Children's Brain Tumor Network and/or the Pacific Pediatric Neuro-oncology Consortium, without which, this research would not be possible. This work was funded through the Alex's Lemonade Stand Foundation (ALSF) Childhood Cancer Data Lab (JNT, CSG, JAS, CLS, CJB), ALSF Young Investigator Award (JLR), ALSF Catalyst Award (JLR, ACR, PBS), Children's Hospital of Philadelphia Division of Neurosurgery (PBS and ACR), the Australian Government, Department of Education (APH), and NIH Grants 3P30 CA016520-44S5 (ACR), U2C HL138346-03 (ACR, APH), and U24 CA220457-03 (ACR). This project has been funded in part with Federal funds from the National Cancer Institute, National Institutes of Health, under Contract No. 75N91019D00024, Task Order No. 75N91020F00003 (JLR, ACR, APH). The content of this publication does not necessarily reflect the views or policies of the Department of Health and Human Services, nor does mention of trade names, commercial products or organizations imply endorsement by the U.S. Government.

### **Author Contributions**

Author	Contributions		
Joshua A. Shapiro	Methodology, Software, Validation, Formal analysis, Investigation, Writing - Original draft, Writing - Review and editing, Visualization, Supervision		
Candace L. Savonen	Methodology, Software, Validation, Formal analysis, Investigation, Writing - Original draft, Visualization		
Chante J. Bethell	Methodology, Validation, Formal analysis, Investigation, Writing - Original draft, Visualization		
Krutika S. Gaonkar	Data curation, Formal Analysis, Investigation, Methodology, Software, Writing – original draft		
Run Jin	Data curation, Formal Analysis, Visualization, Writing – original draft		
Yuankun Zhu	Data curation, Formal Analysis, Investigation, Methodology, Supervision		
Miguel A. Brown	Data curation, Methodology		
Nhat Duong	Formal Analysis, Investigation, Methodology		
Komal S. Rathi	Formal Analysis, Investigation, Methodology, Writing – original draft		
Nighat Noureen	Formal analysis, Visualization, Writing - Original draft		
Bo Zhang	Data curation, Formal Analysis		
Brian M. Ennis	Data curation, Formal Analysis		
Stephanie J. Spielman	Validation, Formal analysis, Writing - Review and editing, Visualization, Supervision		
Laura E. Egolf	Formal analysis, Writing - Original draft		
Bailey Farrow	Data curation, Software		
Nicolas Van Kuren	Data curation, Software		

Author	Contributions
Meen Chul Kim	Data curation
Tejaswi Koganti	Formal Analysis, Investigation
Shrivats Kannan	Formal Analysis, Methodology, Writing – original draft
Pichai Raman	Conceptualization, Formal Analysis, Methodology
Jennifer Mason	Supervision
Daniel P. Miller	Formal Analysis
Anna R. Poetsch	Formal Analysis
Payal Jain	Data curation, Investigation, Validation
Adam A. Kraya	Methodology
Allison P. Heath	Project administration
Mateusz P. Koptyra	Formal Analysis, Writing – original draft
Shannon Robbins	Data curation
Yiran Guo	Formal Analysis
Xiaoyan Huang	Formal Analysis
Jessica Wong	Writing – original draft
Mariarita Santi	Investigation, Validation
Angela Viaene	Investigation, Validation
Laura Scolaro	Data Curation
Angela Waanders	Supervison
Derek Hanson	Validation
Hongbo M. Xie	Methodology, Supervision
Siyuan Zheng	Formal analysis, Visualization, Writing - Original draft, Supervision
Cassie N. Kline	Supervision
Jena V. Lilly	Conceptualization, Funding acquisition, Project administration
Philip B. Storm	Conceptualization, Funding acquisition, Resources
Adam C. Resnick	Conceptualization, Funding acquisition, Resources, Supervision
Casey S. Greene	Conceptualization, Funding acquisition, Methodology, Project administration, Software, Supervision, Writing – review & editing
Jo Lynne Rokita*	Conceptualization, Data curation, Formal Analysis, Funding acquisition, Investigation, Methodology, Software, Supervision, Writing – original draft
Jaclyn N. Taroni*	Methodology, Software, Validation, Formal analysis, Investigation, Data curation, Writing - Review and editing, Visualization, Supervision, Project administration
Children's Brain Tumor Network	Conceptualization
Pacific Pediatric Neurooncology Consortium	Conceptualization

### **Declarations of Interest**

CSG's spouse was an employee of Alex's Lemonade Stand Foundation, which was a sponsor of this research. JAS, CLS, CJB, SJS, and JNT are or were employees of Alex's Lemonade Stand Foundation, a sponsor of this research.

# **Figure Titles and Legends**

Figure 1. Overview of the OpenPBTA Project.

### STAR METHODS

### RESOURCE AVAILABILITY

### Lead contact

Requests for access to OpenPBTA raw data and/or specimens may be directed to, and will be fulfilled by Jo Lynne Rokita (rokita@chop.edu).

### **Materials availability**

This study did not create new, unique reagents.

### Data and code availability

Raw and harmonized WGS, WXS, and RNA-Seq data derived from human samples are available within the KidsFirst Portal [27] upon access request to the CBTN (https://cbtn.org/) as of the date of the publication. In addition, merged summary files are openly accessible at https://cavatica.sbgenomics.com/u/cavatica/openpbta or via download script from https://github.com/AlexsLemonade/OpenPBTA-analysis/. Summary data are visible within Pedcbioportal at https://pedcbioportal.kidsfirstdrc.org/study/summary?id=openpbta. Links or DOIs are listed in the **Key Resources Table**.

All original code has been deposited in the following repositories and is publicly available as of the date of the publication: - Primary data analyses: https://github.com/d3b-center/OpenPBTA-workflows/ - Downstream data analyses: https://github.com/AlexsLemonade/OpenPBTA-analysis/ - Manuscript code: https://github.com/AlexsLemonade/OpenPBTA-manuscript Links or DOIs are listed in the **Key Resources Table**.

Any additional information required to reanalyze the data reported in this paper is available from the lead contact upon request.

### **METHOD DETAILS**

# **Biospecimen Collection**

The Pediatric Brain Tumor Atlas specimens are comprised of samples from Children's Brain Tumor Network (CBTN) and the Pediatric Pacific Neuro-Oncology Consortium (PNOC). The CBTN [4] is a collaborative, multi-institutional (18 institutions worldwide) research program dedicated to the study

of childhood brain tumors. The Pacific Pediatric Neuro-Oncology Consortium (PNOC) [28] is an international consortium dedicated to bringing new therapies to children and young adults with brain tumors. PNOC collected blood and tumor biospecimens from newly-diagnosed diffuse intrinsic pontine glioma (DIPG) patients as part of the clinical trial <a href="https://pnocentral.org/pnocentral.org/">PNOC003/NCT02274987</a> [5].

All CBTN data can be download from the Gabriella Miller Kids First Data Resource Center, [29]. The deidentified patient's blood and tumor tissue were prospectively collected by the consortium from patients enrolled within the CBTN.

The cell lines were generated by the CBTN from either fresh tumor tissue obtained directly from surgery performed at Children's Hospital of Philadelphia (CHOP) or from prospectively collected tumor specimens stored in Recover Cell Culture Freezing medium (cat# 12648010, Gibco). The tissue was dissociated using enzymatic method with papain as described [30]. Briefly, tissue was washed with HBSS (cat# 14175095, Gibco), minced and incubated with activated papain solution (cat# LS003124, SciQuest) for up to 45 minutes. The papain was inactivated using ovomucoid solution (cat# 542000, SciQuest), tissue was briefly treated with DNase (cat# 10104159001, Roche) and passed through the 100µm cell strainer (cat# 542000, Greiner Bio-One). Two cell culture conditions were initiated based on the number of cells available. For cultures utilizing the fetal bovine serum (FBS), a minimum density of 3×10<sup>5</sup> cells/ml were plated in DMEM/F-12 medium (cat# D8062, Sigma) supplemented with 20% FBS (cat# SH30910.03, Hyclone), 1% GlutaMAX (cat# 35050061, Gibco), Penicillin/Streptomycin-Amphotericin B Mixture (cat# 17-745E, Lonza) and 0.2% Normocin (cat# antnr-2, Invivogen). For the serum-free media conditions cells were plated at minimum density of 1×10<sup>6</sup> cells/ml in DMEM/F12 medium supplemented with 1% GlutaMAX, 1x B-27 supplement minus vitamin A (cat# 12587-010, Gibco), 1x N-2 supplement (cat# 17502001, Gibco), 20 ng/ml epidermal growth factor (cat# PHG0311L, Gibco), 20 ng/ml basic fibroblast growth factor (cat# 100-18B, PeproTech), 2.5µg/ml heparin (cat# H3149, Sigma), Penicillin/Streptomycin-Amphotericin B Mixture and 0.2% Normocin.

# Nucleic acids extraction and library preparation

# **PNOC samples**

The Translational Genomic Research Institute (TGEN; Phoenix, AZ) performed DNA and RNA extractions on tumor biopsies using a DNA/RNA AllPrep Kit (Qiagen, #80204). All RNA used for library prep had a minimum RIN of 7 but no QC thresholds were implemented for the DNA. For library preparation, 500ng of nucleic acids were used as input for RNA-Seq, WXS, and targeted DNA panel (panel). The RNA prep was performed using the TruSeq RNA Sample Prep Kit (Illumina, #FC-122-1001) and the exome prep was performed using KAPA Library Preparation Kit (Roche, #KK8201) using Agilent's SureSelect Human All Exon V5 backbone with custom probes. The targeted DNA panel developed by Ashion (formerly known as the GEM Cancer panel) consisted of exonic probes against 541 cancer genes. Both panel and WXS assays contained 44,000 probes across evenly spaced genomic loci used for genome-wide copy number analysis. For the panel, additional probes tiled across intronic regions of 22 known tumor suppressor genes and 22 genes involved in common cancer translocations for structural analysis. All extractions and library preparations were performed according to manufacturer's instructions.

### **CBTN** samples

Blood, tissue, and cell line DNA/RNA extractions were performed at the Biorepository Core at CHOP. Briefly, 10-20 mg frozen tissue, 0.4-1ml of blood or 2×10<sup>6</sup> cells pellet was used for extractions. Tissues were lysed using a Qiagen TissueLyser II (Qiagen) with 2×30 sec at 18Hz settings using 5 mm steel beads (cat# 69989, Qiagen). Both tissue and cell pellets processes included a CHCl3 extraction and were run on the QIACube automated platform (Qiagen) using the AllPrep DNA/RNA/miRNA

Universal kit (cat# 80224, Qiagen). Blood was thawed and treated with RNase A (cat#, 19101, Qiagen); 0.4-1ml was processed using the Qiagen QIAsymphony automated platform (Qiagen) using the QIAsymphony DSP DNA Midi Kit (cat# 937255, Qiagen). DNA and RNA quantity and quality was assessed by PerkinElmer DropletQuant UV-VIS spectrophotometer (PerkinElmer) and an Agilent 4200 TapeStation (Agilent, USA) for RIN and DIN (RNA Integrity Number and DNA Integrity Number, respectively). Library preparation and sequencing was performed by the NantHealth sequencing center. Briefly, DNA sequencing libraries were prepared for tumor and matched-normal DNA using the KAPA HyperPrep kit (cat# 08098107702, Roche); tumor RNA-Seq libraries were prepared using KAPA Stranded RNA-Seq with RiboErase kit (cat# 07962304001, Roche). Whole genome sequencing (WGS) was performed at an average depth of coverage of 60X for tumor samples and 30X for germline. The panel tumor sample was sequenced to 470X and the normal panel sample was sequenced to 308X. RNA samples were sequenced to an average of 200M reads. All samples were sequenced on the Illumina HiSeq platform (X/400) (Illumina) with 2 × 150bp read length.

# **Data generation**

NantHealth Sequencing Center (Culver City, CA) performed whole genome sequencing (WGS) on all paired tumor (~60X) and constitutive (~30X) DNA samples. WGS libraries were 2x150 bp and sequenced on an Illumina X/400. NantHealth Sequencing Center performed ribosomal-depleted whole transcriptome stranded RNA-Seq to an average depth of 100M reads for CBTN tumor samples. The Translational Genomic Research Institute (TGEN; Phoenix, AZ) performed paired tumor (~200X) and constitutive whole exome sequencing (WXS) or targeted DNA panel (panel) and poly-A selected RNA-Seq (~200M reads) for PNOC tumor samples. PNOC WXS and RNA-Seq libraries 2x100 bp and sequenced on an Illumina HiSeq 2500.

# **DNA WGS Alignment**

We used BWA-MEM [31] v0.7.17 for alignment of paired-end DNA-seq reads. We used version 38, patch release 12 of the *Homo sapiens* genome as our alignment reference, which we obtained as a FASTA file from UCSC [32]. Alignments were further processed using following the Broad Institute's Best Practices [33] for processing Binary Alignment/Map files (BAMs) in preparation for variant discovery. Duplicates were marked using SAMBLASTER [34] v0.1.24, BAMs merged and sorted using Sambamba [35] v0.6.3. Resultant BAMs were processing using Broad's Genome Analysis Tool Kit [GATK] (https://software.broadinstitute.org/gatk/) v4.0.3.0, BaseRecalibrator submodule. Lastly, for normal/germline input, we run the GATK HaplotypeCaller [36] submodule on the recalibrated BAM, generating a genomic variant call format (GVCF) file. This file is used as the basis for germline calling, described in the "SNV calling for B-allele Frequency (BAF) generation" section. References can be obtained from the Broad Genome References on AWS bucket, with a general description of references here [37].

# **Quality Control of Sequencing Data**

NGSCheckmate [???] was performed on matched tumor/normal CRAM files to confirm sample matches and remove mismatched samples from the dataset. CRAM inputs were preprocessed using BCFtools to filter and call 20k common single nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs) using default parameters[38] and the resulting VCFs were used to run NGSCheckmate using this workflow in the D3b GitHub repository. Per author guidelines, <= 0.61 was used as a correlation coefficient cutoff at sequencing depths >10 to predict mismatched samples. For RNA-Seq, read strandedness was determined by running the <a href="infer\_experiment.py">infer\_experiment.py</a> script on the first 200k mapped reads. If calculated strandedness did not match strandedness information received from the sequencing center, samples were removed from analysis. We required at least 60% of RNA-Seq reads mapped to

the human reference or samples were removed from analysis. MEND QC [39] was performed on aligned RNA-Seq reads using this workflow to identify mapped exonic non-duplicate reads.

### **Germline Variant Calling**

### SNP calling for B-allele Frequency (BAF) generation

Germline haplotype calls were performed following the <u>GATK Joint Genotyping Workflow</u>, except the workflow was run on an individual sample basis. This workflow was applied to the GVCF output from the alignment workflow on normal/germline samples. Using only SNPs, we applied the <u>GATK generic hard filter suggestions</u> to the VCF, with an additional requirement of 10 reads minimum depth per SNP. This filtered VCF was used as input to Control-FREEC and CNVkit (below) for generation of BAF files. GATK v4.0.12.0 was used for all steps except <code>VariantFiltration</code>, which used 3.8.0 because as of GATK 4.0.12.0, this tool was beta and known to be unreliable for this purpose. This single-sample workflow can be found in the <u>Kids First GitHub repository</u>. References can be obtained from the <u>Broad Genome References on AWS</u> bucket, with a general description of references here [37].

# **Somatic Mutation Calling**

### SNV and indel calling

For PBTA samples, we used four variant callers to call SNVs and indels from targeted DNA panel, WXS, and WGS data: Strelka2 [40], Mutect2 [41], Lancet [42], and VarDict [???]. WXS samples from TCGA were run using Strelka2, Mutect2 and Lancet. TCGA samples were captured using different WXS target capture kits and all the BED files were downloaded from GDC portal. The input interval BED files for both panel and WXS data for PBTA samples were provided by the manufacturers. For both PBTA and TCGA, all panel and WXS BED files were padded by 100 bp on each side during Strelka2, Mutect2, and VarDict runs and 400 bp for the Lancet run.

For WGS calling, we utilized the non-padded BROAD Institute interval calling list wgs\_calling\_regions.hg38.interval\_list, comprised of the full genome minus N bases, unless otherwise noted below. Strelka2 [40] v2.9.3 was run using default parameters for canonical chromosomes (chr1-22, X,Y,M), as recommended by the authors. The final Strelka2 VCF was filtered for PASS variants. Mutect2 from GATK v4.1.1.0 was run following Broad best practices outlined from their Workflow Description Language (WDL) [43]. The final Mutect2 VCF was filtered for PASS variants. To manage memory issues, VarDictJava [???] v1.58 [44] was run using 20Kb interval chunks of the input BED, padded by 100 bp on each side, such that if an indel occurred in between intervals, it would be captured. Parameters and filtering followed <u>BCBIO standards</u> except that variants with a variant allele frequency (VAF) >= 0.05 (instead of >= 0.10) were retained. The 0.05 VAF increased the true positive rate for indels and decreased the false positive rate for SNVs when using VarDict in consensus calling. The final VCF was filtered for PASS variants with TYPE=StronglySomatic. Lancet v1.0.7 was run using default parameters, except for those noted below. For input intervals to Lancet WGS, a reference BED was created by using only the UTR, exome, and start/stop codon features of the GENCODE 31 reference, augmented as recommended with PASS variant calls from Strelka2 and Mutect2 [45]. These intervals were then padded by 300 bp on each side during Lancet variant calling. Per recommendations by the New York Genome Center [45], for WGS samples, the Lancet input intervals described above were augmented with PASS variant calls from Strelka2 and Mutect2 as validation.

### VCF annotation and MAF creation

Normalization of INDELs using bcftools norm [46] was performed on all PASS VCFs using the following subworkflow [47], release v3. The ENSEMBL Variant Effect Predictor [48], reference release

93, was used to annotate variants and bcftools was used to add population allele frequency (AF) from gnomAD. SNV and INDEL hotspots from v2 of MSKCC's database [49] plus the C228T and C250T TERT promoter mutations [50] were annotated. SNVs were annotated by matching amino acid position (Protein\_position column in MAF file) with SNVs in the MSKCC database, splice sites were matched to HGVSp\_Short values in the MSKCC database, and INDELs were matched based on amino acid present within the range of INDEL hotspots values in the MSKCC database. Non-hotspot annotated variants with a normal depth of <= 7 and/or gnomAD AF > 0.001 were removed as potential germline variants. TERT promoter mutations were matched using hg38 coordinates from [50]: C228T occurs at 5:1295113, is annotated as existing variant s1242535815, C0SM1716563, C0SM1716558, and is 66bp away from TSS and C250T occurs at Chr5:1295135, is annotated as existing variant C0SM1716559, and is 88 bp away from TSS.

The final set of variants were retained if annotated as PASS or HotSpotAllele=1. MAFs were created using MSKCC's vcf2maf [51] v1.6.17.

### **Gather SNV and INDEL Hotspots**

All variant calls from Strelka2, Mutect2, or Lancet that overlap with an SNV or INDEL hotspot from v2 of MSKCC's database [49] or the C228T and C250T TERT promoter mutations [50] were retained in a hotspot-specific MAF file, which was used for select analyses as described in the methods below. VarDict-only calls were not retained since ~ 39M calls with low VAF were uniquely called and may be potential false positives.

### **Consensus SNV Calling**

Our SNV calling process led to separate sets of predicted mutations for each caller. We considered mutations to describe the same change if they were identical for the following MAF fields: Chromosome, Start\_Position, Reference\_Allele, Allele, and Tumor\_Sample\_Barcode. Strelka2 does not call multinucleotide variants (MNV), but instead calls each component SNV as a separate mutation, so we separated MNV calls from Mutect2 and Lancet into consecutive SNVs before comparing them with Strelka2. We examined the variant allele frequencies produced by each caller and compared their overlap with each other [52]. VarDict calls included many variants that were not identified by other callers [53], while the other callers produced results that were relatively consistent with one another. Many of these VarDict-specific calls were variants with low allele frequency [54]. We termed mutations shared among the other three callers (Strelka2, Mutect2, and Lancet) to be consensus mutation calls and dropped VarDict due to concerns about it calling a large number of false positives. In practice, because our filtered set was based on the intersection of these three sets and because VarDict called nearly every mutation from the other three callers plus many that were unique to it, the decision to not consider VarDict calls has little impact on the results.

For some downstream analyses, only coding sequence SNVs (based on GENCODE v27 [55]) are used, to enhance comparability to other studies. We considered base pairs to be *effectively surveyed* if they were in the intersection of the genomic ranges considered by the callers used to generate the consensus and where appropriate, regions of interest, such as coding sequences. This definition of *effectively surveyed* base pairs is what is used to calculate effective genome size for calculations for tumor mutation burden.

# **Somatic Copy Number Variant Calling (WGS samples only)**

We used Control-FREEC [56,57] v11.6 and CNVkit [58] v0.9.3 for copy number variant calls. For both algorithms, the germline\_sex\_estimate (described below) was used as input for sample sex and germline variant calls (above) were used as input for BAF estimation. Control-FREEC was run on

human genome reference hg38 using the optional parameters of a 0.05 coefficient of variation, ploidy choice of 2-4, and BAF adjustment for tumor-normal pairs. Theta2 [59] used VarDict germline and somatic calls, filtered on PASS and strongly somatic, to infer tumor purity. Theta2 purity was added as an optional parameter to CNVkit to adjust copy number calls. CNVkit was run on human genome reference hg38 using the optional parameters of Theta2 purity and BAF adjustment for tumor-normal pairs. We used GISTIC [60] v.2.0.23 on the CNVkit and the consensus CNV segmentation files to generate gene-level copy number abundance (Log R Ratio) as well as chromosomal arm copy number alterations using the parameters specified in the OpenPBTA Analysis repository.

### **Consensus CNV Calling**

For each caller and sample, CNVs were called based on consensus among Control-FREEC [56,57], CNVkit [58], and Manta [61]. CNVs called significant by Control-FREEC (p-value < 0.01) and Manta calls that passed all filters [62] were included in consensus calling. Sample and caller combination files with more than 2500 CNVs called were removed from the set; we expect these to be noisy and poor quality samples based on cutoffs used in GISTIC [60]. For each sample, the following regions are included in the final consensus set: 1) regions with reciprocal overlap of 50% or more between two of the three callers; 2) smaller CNV regions in which more than 90% of regions are covered by another caller. Any copy number alteration that was not called by two or more callers was not included in the consensus file. For the samples that are included in the consensus file, if a certain region has a neutral call, copy number of NA is defined for that region. CNV regions within 10,000 bp of each other with the same direction of gain or loss were merged into single region. We filtered out any CNVs that overlapped 50% or more with immunoglobulin, telomeric, centromeric, segment duplicated regions or were shorter than 3000 bp.

# **Somatic Structural Variant Calling (WGS samples only)**

We used Manta SV [61] v1.4.0 for structural variant (SV) calls. Manta SV calling was also limited to regions used in Strelka2. The hg38 reference for SV calling used was limited to canonical chromosome regions. The somatic DNA workflow for SNV, indel, copy number, and SV calling can be found in the <u>KidsFirst Github repository</u>. Manta SV output was annotated using <u>AnnotSV v2.1</u> [63] and the workflow can be found in the <u>D3b GitHub repository</u>.

# **Gene Expression**

### **Abundance Estimation**

We used STAR [64] v2.6.1d to align paired-end RNA-seq reads. This output was used for all subsequent RNA analysis. We used Ensembl GENCODE 27 [55], "Comprehensive gene annotation" as a reference. We used RSEM [65] v1.3.1 for both FPKM and TPM transcript- and gene-level quantification. We also added a second method of quantification using kallisto [66] v0.43.1. This method differs in that it uses pseudoaligments using FASTQ reads directly to the aforementioned GENCODE 27 reference.

# **Gene Expression Matrices with Unique HUGO Symbols**

Algorithms that perform gene set enrichment, molecular subtyping, or immune-profiling, for example, require an RNA-seq gene expression matrix as input, with HUGO gene symbols as row names and sample names as column names. There is a small proportion of gene symbols that map to multiple Ensembl gene identifiers (in GENCODE v27, 212 gene symbols map to 1866 Ensembl gene identifiers), termed multi-mapped gene symbols.

We first removed genes with no expression from the RSEM abundance data using a cut-off of FPKM > 0 in at least 1 sample across the PBTA cohort. We computed the mean FPKM across all samples per gene and for each multi-mapped gene symbol, we chose the Ensembl identifier corresponding to the maximum mean FPKM with the goal of choosing the identifier that best represented the expression of the gene. After collapsing gene identifiers, there were a total of 46,400 unique expressed genes in the poly-A dataset and a total of 53,011 unique expressed genes remaining in the stranded dataset. More detail can be found in the collapse-rnaseg analysis module.

### Gene fusion detection

We set up Arriba v1.1.0 and STAR-Fusion 1.5.0 [67] fusion detection tools using CWL on CAVATICA. For both of these tools, we used aligned BAM and chimeric SAM files from STAR as inputs and GRCh38\_gencode\_v27 GTF for gene annotation. We ran STAR-Fusion with default parameters and annotated all fusion calls with GRCh38\_v27\_CTAT\_lib\_Feb092018.plug-n-play.tar.gz provided in the STAR-fusion release. For Arriba, we used a blacklist file (blacklist\_hg38\_GRCh38\_2018-11-04.tsv.gz) from the Arriba release to remove recurrent fusion artifacts and transcripts present in healthy tissue. We also provided Arriba with strandedness information for stranded samples, or we set it to auto-detection for poly-A samples. We used <a href="FusionAnnotator">FusionAnnotator</a> on Arriba fusion calls in order to harmonize annotations with those of STAR-Fusion. The RNA expression and fusion workflows can be found in the <a href="KidsFirst GitHub repository">KidsFirst GitHub repository</a> and the FusionAnnotator workflow in the <a href="D3b GitHub repository">D3b GitHub repository</a>.

# **QUANTIFICATION AND STATISTICAL ANALYSIS**

### Recurrently mutated genes and co-occurrence of gene mutations

Using the consensus SNV calls, we identified genes that were recurrently mutated in the cohort, including nonsynonymous mutations with a variant allele frequency greater than 5% among the set of independent samples. The set of nonsynonymous mutations was determined using ENSEMBL Variant Effect Predictor [48] annotations, including High and Moderate consequence types as defined in maftools v. 2.2.10 [68]. For each gene, we then tallied the number of samples that had at least one nonsynonymous mutation.

For genes that contained nonsynonymous mutations in multiple samples, we calculated pairwise mutation co-occurrence scores. This score was defined as the  $I \times -\log_{10}(P)$  where I is 1 when the odds ratio is > 1 (indicating co-occurrence), and -1 when the odds ratio is < 1 (indicating mutual exclusivity), with P defined by Fisher's Exact Test.

# **Focal Copy Number Calling**

We added the ploidy inferred via Control-FREEC to the consensus CNV segmentation file and used the ploidy and copy number values to define gain and loss values broadly at the chromosome level. We used bedtools coverage [69,70] to add cytoband status using the UCSC cytoband file [71,72]. The output status call fractions, which are values of the loss, gain and callable fractions of each cytoband region, were used to define dominant status at the cytoband-level. The weighted means of each status call fraction were calculated using band length. We used the weighted means to define the dominant status at the chromosome arm-level.

A status was considered dominant if more than half of the region was callable and the status call fraction was greater than 0.9 for that region. The 0.9 threshold was chosen to ensure that the dominant status fraction call is greater than the remaining status fraction calls in a region.

We also wanted to define focal copy number units to avoid calling adjacent genes in the same cytoband or arm as copy number losses or gains where it would be more appropriate to call the broader region a loss or gain. For the determination of the most focal units, we first considered the dominant status calls at the chromosome arm-level. If the chromosome arm dominant status was callable but not clearly defined as a gain or loss, we instead included the cytoband-level status call. Similarly, if a cytoband dominant status call was callable but not clearly defined as a gain or loss, we instead included gene-level status call. To obtain the gene-level data, we used the mergeByOverlaps function [73] from the IRanges package in R [74] to find overlaps between the segments in the consensus CNV file and the exons in the GENCODE v27 annotation file [55]. If the copy number value was 0, we set the status to "deep deletion". For autosomes only, we set the status to "amplification" when the copy number value was greater than two times the ploidy value.

### **Chromothripsis Analysis (WGS samples only)**

Candidate chromothripsis regions were identified in the set of independent tumor WGS samples with ShatterSeek [75], using Manta SV calls that passed all filters and consensus CNV calls. Only chromosomes 1-22 and X were considered. The consensus CNV data were modified to fit ShatterSeek input requirements: CNV-neutral or excluded regions (both annotated as NA in the consensus data) were filled in with the respective sample's ploidy value from Control-FREEC, and consecutive segments with the same copy number value were merged. Candidate chromothripsis regions were classified as high- or low-confidence by applying the statistical criteria described by the ShatterSeek authors.

### **Immune Profiling and Deconvolution**

We used the R package immunedeconv [76,77] with the method quanTIseq [???] to deconvolute various immune cell types across tumors from the PBTA cohort in the stranded and poly-A collapsed FPKM RNA-seq datasets (immune-deconv analysis module). The quanTIseq deconvolution method directly estimates absolute fractions of 10 immune cell types that represent inferred proportions of the cell types in the mixture. Therefore, we utilized quanTIseq for inter-sample, intra-sample, and inter-histology score comparisons.

# **Gene Set Variation Analysis**

We performed Gene Set Variation Analysis (GSVA) [78] on collapsed, log2-transformed RSEM FPKM data using the GSVA Bioconductor package [79] with setting mx.diff=TRUE to obtain Gaussian-distributed scores (gene-set-enrichment-analysis analysis module) for each of the MSigDB hallmark gene sets [80]. We compared GSVA scores among histology groups (short\_histology) using ANOVA and subsequent Tukey tests; p-values were Bonferroni-corrected for multiple hypothesis testing.

# **Transcriptomic Dimension reduction**

We applied Uniform Manifold Approximation and Projection (UMAP) [81] to log2-transformed FPKM data using the umap R package [82]. We set the number of neighbors to 15 ( transcriptomic-dimension-reduction analysis module).

### **Fusion prioritization**

We performed artifact filtering and additional annotation on fusion calls to prioritize putative oncogenic fusions. Briefly, we considered all in frame and frameshift fusion calls with a minimum of 1 junction reads and at least one gene partner expressed (TPM > 1) to be true calls. If a fusion call had large number of spanning fragment reads compared to junction reads (spanning fragment minus

junction read greater than ten), we removed these calls as potential false positives. We prioritized a union of fusion calls as true calls if the fused genes were detected by both callers, the same fusion was recurrent within a broad\_histology (>2 samples) or the fusion was specific to the broad\_histology. If either 5' or 3' genes fused to more than five different genes within a sample, we removed these calls as potential false positives. We annotated putative driver fusions and prioritized fusions based on partners containing known kinases, oncogenes, tumor suppressors, curated transcription factors [83], COSMIC genes, and/or known TCGA fusions from curated references. MYBL1 [84], SNCAIP [85], FOXR2 [86], TTYH1 [87], and TERT [88,89,90,91] were added to the oncogene list and BCOR [86] and QKI [92] were added to the tumor suppressor gene list based on pediatric cancer literature review. The fusion filtering workflow can be found in the OpenPBTA Analysis repository.

# **Oncoprint figure generation**

Maftools v. 2.2.10 [68] was used to generate oncoprints depicting the frequencies of canonical somatic gene mutations, CNVs, and fusions for the top 20 genes mutated across primary tumors within broad histologies of the OpenPBTA dataset. Canonical genes were collated from review of the literature for low-grade astrocytic tumors [12], embryonal tumors [13,14,15,93,94], diffuse astrocytic and oligodendroglial tumors [???,5,17,18], and other tumors (ependymal tumors, craniopharyngiomas, neuronal-glial mixed tumors, histiocytic tumors, chordoma, meningioma, and choroid plexus tumors) [???,95,96,97,98,99,100,101,102,103].

### **Mutational Signatures**

We obtained weights (i.e., exposures) for signature sets using the deconstructSigs R package function whichSignatures() [104,105] from consensus SNVs with the BSgenome.Hsapiens.UCSC.hg38 annotations [106]. Specifically, we estimated signature weights across samples for eight signatures previously identified in the Signal reference set of signatures ("RefSig") as associated with adult central nervous system (CNS) tumors [22]. These eight RefSig signatures are 1, 3, 8, 11, 18, 19, N6, and MMR2. Weights for signatures fall in the range zero to one inclusive. deconstructSigs estimates the weights for each signature across samples and allows for a proportion of unassigned weights referred to as "Other" in the text. These results do not include signatures with small contributions; deconstructSigs drops signature weights that are less than 6% [104].

### **Tumor Mutation Burden**

We consider tumor mutation burden (TMB) to be the number of consensus SNVs per *effectively surveyed* base of the genome.

$$\label{eq:tmb} TMB = \frac{\ \ \, \text{$\backslash$\# of coding sequence SNVs}$}{\text{Size in Mb of {\backslash em effectively surveyed} genome}}$$

We used the total number coding sequence consensus SNVs for the numerator and the size of the intersection of the regions considered by Strelka2 and Mutect2 with coding regions (CDS from GENCODE v27 annotation [55]) as the denominator.

Lancet showed a bias for calling mutations with a much lower VAF for WXS than WGS data (**Figure S2**, **panel G**). Since TCGA data was all WXS, we dropped Lancet from TMB calculations. [??? https://github.com/AlexsLemonade/OpenPBTA-analysis/tree/master/analyses/snv-callers/lancet-wxs-tests].

### **Clinical Data Harmonization**

### **WHO Classification of Disease Types**

The pathology\_diagnosis field in the pbta-histologies.tsv file contains one or more diagnoses from on the patient's pathology report. The pathology\_free\_text\_diagnosis field in the pbta-histologies.tsv file contains additional free text diagnosis information gathered from the patient's pathology report. The broad\_histology denotes the broad 2016 WHO classification for each tumor. The short\_histology is an abbreviated version of either the broad\_histology or integrated\_diagnosis for plotting purposes. Except for LGAT samples, the integrated\_diagnosis field in the pbta-histologies.tsv file was derived to match a standardized 2016 WHO diagnosis [9] based on pathology\_diagnosis, molecular subtyping, and in some cases, additional pathology review. The harmonized\_diagnosis is the final integrated\_diagnosis, if one exists, or a diagnosis derived from the pathology\_diagnosis and pathology\_free\_text\_diagnosis in the absence of molecular data. The cancer\_group is a grouping narrower than broad\_histology derived within the molecular subtyping integrate module. With clinician assistance, the CNS\_region was categorized as hemispheric, midline, mixed, optic pathway, posterior fossa, spine, suprasellar, ventricles or other based on specimen location (see table below).

Clinical and Histolog y Metadat a	Definition	Possible values	
age_at_di agnosis_d ays	Patient age at diagnosis in days	numeric	
age_last_ update_d ays	Patient age at the last clinical event/update in days	numeric	
aliquot_id	External aliquot identifier	variable	
broad_his tology	Broad WHO 2016 classification of cancer type	text	
cancer_gr oup	Harmonized cancer groupings for plots	text	
cancer_pr edispositi ons	Reported cancer predisposition syndromes	text	
CNS_regi on	Harmonized brain region based on primary_site	Hemispheric;Midline;Mixed;Optic pathway;Other;Posterior fossa;Spine;Suprasellar;Ventricles	
cohort	Scientific cohort	CBTN;PNOC	
cohort_p articipant _id	Scientific cohort participant ID	C#####-C#####	
compositi on	Sample composition	Derived Cell Line;Not Reported;Peripheral Whole Blood;Saliva;Solid Tissue	
ethnicity	Patient reported ethnicity	text	

Clinical and Histolog y Metadat a	Definition	Possible values
experime ntal_strat egy	Sequencing strategy	WGS;WXS;RNA-Seq;Panel
extent_of _tumor_r esection	Amount of tumor resected at time of surgical event	Biopsy only;Partial resection;Gross/Near total resection;Not Reported;Unavailable
germline_ sex_estim ate	Predicted sex of patient based on germline X and Y ratio calculation (described in methods)	Female;Male;Unknown
harmoniz ed_diagn osis	integrated_diagnosis, if exists, or updated and harmonized diagnosis using pathology_free_text_diagnosis information	text
integrate d_diagno sis	2016 WHO diagnosis integrated from pathology diagnosis and molecular subtyping	text
Kids_First _Biospeci men_ID	KidsFirst biospecimen identifier	BS_#######
Kids_First _Participa nt_ID	KidsFirst patient identifier	PT_#######
molecular _subtype	Molecular subtype defined by WHO 2016 guidelines	text
normal_fr action	Theta2 normal DNA fraction estimate	numeric
Notes	Free text field describing changes from pathology_diagnosis to integrated_diagnosis or manner in which molecular_subtype was determined	text
OS_days	Overall survival in days	numeric
OS_status	Overall survival status	DECEASED;LIVING
parent_ali quot_id	External identifier combining sample_id, sample_type, aliquot_id, and sequencing_strategy for some samples	text
pathology _diagnosi s	Reported and/or harmonized patient diagnosis from pathology reports	text
pathology _free_text _diagnosi s	Free text patient diagnosis from pathology reports	text
PFS_days	Progression-free survival in days	numeric
primary_s ite	Bodily site(s) from which specimen was derived	text
race	Patient reported race	text

Clinical and Histolog y Metadat a	Definition	Possible values	
reported_ gender	Patient reported gender	text	
RNA_libra ry	Type of RNA-Sequencing library preparation	stranded;poly-A	
sample_i d	External biospecimen identifier	variable	
sample_t ype	Broad sample type	Normal;Tumor	
seq_cent er	Sequencing center	BGI;BGI@CHOP Genome Center;Genomic Clinical Core at Sidra Medical and Research Center;NantOmics;The Translational Genomics Research Institute	
short_hist ology	Abbreviated integrated_diagnosis or broad_histology for plotting purposes	text	
tumor_de scriptor	Phase of therapy from which tumor was derived	Initial CNS Tumor;Progressive Progressive Disease Post-Mortem;Recurrence;Second Malignancy;Unavailable	
tumor_fra ction	Theta2 tumor DNA fraction estimate	numeric	
tumor_pl oidy	Control-FREEC ploidy	numeric	

Table S1. Clinical metadata collected for OpenPBTA. {#tbl:S1}

CNS_regio n	primary_site
Hemispher ic	Frontal Lobe,Temporal Lobe,Parietal Lobe,Occipital Lobe
Midline	Pons/Brainstem,Brain Stem- Midbrain/Tectum,Brain Stem- Pons,Brain Stem-Medulla,Thalamus,Basal Ganglia,Hippocampus,Pineal Gland
Spine	Spinal Cord- Cervical,Spinal Cord- Thoracic,Spinal Cord- Lumbar/Thecal Sac,Spine NOS
Ventricles	Ventricles
Posterior fossa	Cerebellum/Posterior Fossa
Optic pathway	Optic Pathway
Suprasella r	Suprasellar/Hypothalamic/Pituitary
Other	Meninges/Dura,Other locations NOS,Skull,Cranial Nerves NOS,Brain

Table S2. Harmonized CNS brain regions derived from primary site values. {#tbl:S2}

# **Molecular Subtyping**

The molecular\_subtype column in the pbta-histologies.tsv file contains molecular subtypes for tumor types selected from pathology\_diagnosis and pathology\_free\_text\_diagnosis fields as described below, following World Health Organization 2016 classification criteria [9].

Medulloblastoma (MB) subtypes SHH, MYC, Group 3, and Group 4 were predicted using the consensus of two RNA expression classifiers: [Medulloblastoma Classifier]](https://github.com/d3b-center/medullo-classifier-package) and MM2S Classifier [107] on the RSEM FPKM data.

High-grade glioma (HGG) subtypes were derived using the criteria below (additional details in the <u>analysis README</u>):

- 1. If any sample contained an *H3F3A* p.K28M, *HIST1H3B* p.K28M, *HIST1H3C* p.K28M, or *HIST2H3C* p.K28M mutation and no *BRAF* p.V600E mutation, it was subtyped as DMG, H3K28.
- 2. If any sample contained an *HIST1H3B* p.K28M, *HIST1H3C* p.K28M, or *HIST2H3C* p.K28M mutation and a *BRAF* p.V600E mutation, it was subtyped as DMG, H3 K28, BRAF V600E.
- 3. If any sample contained an *H3F3A* p.G35V or p.G35R mutation, it was subtyped as HGG, H3 G35.
- 4. If any high-grade glioma sample contained an *IDH1* p.R132 mutation, it was subtyped as HGG, IDH.
- 5. If a sample was initially classified as HGAT, had no defining histone mutations, and a BRAF p.V600E mutation, it was subtyped as BRAF V600E.
- 6. All other high-grade glioma samples that did not meet any of these criteria were subtyped as HGG, H3 wildtype.

Embryonal tumors were included in non-MB and non-ATRT embryonal tumor subtyping if they met any of the following criteria: 1. A *TTYH1* (5' partner) fusion was detected. 2. A *MN1* (5' partner) fusion was detected, with the exception of MN1--PATZ1 since it is an entity separate of CNS HGNET-MN1 tumors [108]. 3. Pathology diagnoses included "Supratentorial or Spinal Cord PNET" or "Embryonal Tumor with Multilayered Rosettes". 4. A pathology diagnosis of "Neuroblastoma", where the tumor was not indicated to be peripheral or metastatic and was located in the CNS. 5. Any sample with "embryonal tumor with multilayer rosettes, ros (who grade iv)", "embryonal tumor, nos, congenital type", "ependymoblastoma" or "medulloepithelioma" in pathology free text.

Non-MB and non-ATRT embryonal tumors identified with the above criteria were further subtyped using the criteria below [109,110,111,112]. Additional details can be found in the analysis notebook.

- 1. Any RNA-seq biospecimen with *LIN28A* overexpression, plus a *TYH1* fusion (5' partner) with a gene adjacent or within the C19MC miRNA cluster and/or copy number amplification of the C19MC region was subtyped as ETMR, C19MC-altered (Embryonal tumor with multilayer rosettes, chromosome 19 miRNA cluster altered) [113; 10.1038/ng.2849].
- 2. Any RNA-seq biospecimen with *LIN28A* overexpression, a *TTYH1* fusion (5' partner) with a gene adjacent or within the C19MC miRNA cluster but no evidence of copy number amplification of the C19MC region was subtyped as ETMR, NOS (Embryonal tumor with multilayer rosettes, not otherwise specified) [87,113].
- 3. Any RNA-seq biospecimen with a fusion having a 5' *MN1* and 3' *BEND2* or *CXXC5* partner were subtyped as CNS HGNET-MN1 (Central nervous system (CNS) high-grade neuroepithelial tumor with *MN1* alteration).
- 4. Non-MB and non-ATRT embryonal tumors with internal tandem duplication (as defined in [114]) of *BCOR* were subtyped as CNS HGNET-BCOR (CNS high-grade neuroepithelial tumor with *BCOR* alteration).
- 5. Non-MB and non-ATRT embryonal tumors with over-expression and/or gene fusions in *FOXR2* were subtyped as CNS NB-FOXR2 (CNS neuroblastoma with *FOXR2* activation).

- 6. Non-MB and non-ATRT embryonal tumors with *CIC-NUTM1* or other *CIC* fusions, were subtyped as CNS EFT-CIC (CNS Ewing sarcoma family tumor with *CIC* alteration) [???]
- 7. Non-MB and non-ATRT embryonal tumors that did not fit any of the above categories were subtyped as CNS Embryonal, NOS (CNS Embryonal tumor, not otherwise specified).

Neurocytoma subtypes central neurocytoma (CNC) and extraventricular neurocytoma (EVN) were assigned based on the primary site of the tumor [115]. If primary\_site of the tumor was Ventricles, it was subtyped as CNC; otherwise, it was subtyped as EVN.

Craniopharyngiomas (CRANIO) were subtyped into adamantinomatous (CRANIO, ADAM), papillary (CRANIO, PAP) or undetermined (CRANIO, To be classified) based on the following criteria [116,117]: 1. Craniopharyngiomas from patients over 40 years old with a *BRAF* p.V600E mutation were subtyped as CRANIO, PAP. 2. Craniopharyngiomas from patients younger than 40 years old with mutations in exon 3 of *CTNNB1* were subtyped as CRANIO, ADAM. 3. Craniopharyngiomas that do not fall into the above two categories were subtyped as CRANIO, To be classified.

A molecular subtype of EWS was assigned to any tumor with a *EWSR1* fusion or with a pathology\_diagnosis of Ewings Sarcoma.

Low-grade astrocytic tumors (LGAT) or glialneuronal tumors (GNT) were subtyped based on SNV, fusion and CNV status based on 10, and as described below. 1. If a sample contained a NF1 somatic mutation, either nonsense or missense, it was subtyped as LGG, NF1-somatic. 2. If a sample contained NF1 germline mutation, as indicated by a patient having the neurofibromatosis cancer predisposition, it was subtyped as LGG, NF1-germline. 3. If a sample contained the IDH p.R132 mutation, it was subtyped as LGG, IDH. 4. If a sample contained a histone p.K28M mutation in either H3F3A, H3F3B, HIST1H3B, HIST1H3C, or HIST2H3C, or if it contained a p.G35R or p.G35V mutation in *H3F3A*, it was subtyped as LGG, H3 . 5. If a sample contained *BRAF* p.V600E or any other non-canonical BRAF mutations in the kinase (PK\_Tyr\_Ser-Thr) domain [118], it was subtyped as LGG. BRAF V600E. 6. If a sample contained KIAA1549--BRAF fusion, it was subtyped as LGG, KIAA1549-BRAF. 7. If a sample contained SNV or indel in either KRAS, NRAS, HRAS, MAP2K1, MAP2K2, MAP2K1, ARAF, RAF1, or non-kinase domain of BRAF, or if it contained RAF1 fusion, or BRAF fusion that was not KIAA1549--BRAF, it was subtyped as LGG, other MAPK. 8. If a sample contained SNV in either MET, KIT or PDGFRA, or if it contained fusion in ALK, ROS1, NTRK1, NTRK2, NTRK3 or PDGFRA, it was subtyped as LGG, RTK. 9. If a sample contained FGFR1 p.N546K, p.K656E, p.N577, or p. K687 hotspot mutations, or tyrosine kinase domain tandem duplication [119], or FGFR1 or FGFR2 fusions, it was subtyped as LGG, FGFR. 10. If a sample contained MYB or MYBL1 fusion, it was subtyped as LGG, MYB/MYBL1.11. If a sample contained focal CDKN2A and/or CDKN2B deletion, it was subtyped as LGG, CDKN2A/B.

For LGAT tumors that did not have any of the above molecular alterations, if both RNA and DNA samples were available, it was subtyped as LGG, wildtype. Otherwise, if either RNA or DNA sample was unavailable, it was subtyped as LGG, To be classified.

If pathology diagnosis was Subependymal Giant Cell Astrocytoma (SEGA), the LGG portion of molecular subtype was recoded to SEGA.

Lastly, for all subtyped samples, if the tumors were glialneuronal in origin, based on pathology\_free\_text\_diagnosis entries of desmoplastic infantile, desmoplastic infantile ganglioglioma, desmoplastic infantile astrocytoma or glioneuronal, each was recoded as follows: If pathology diagnosis is Low-grade glioma/astrocytoma (WHO grade I/II) or Ganglioglioma, the LGG portion of the molecular subtype was recoded to GNT.

Ependymoma (EPN) were subtyped into EPN, ST RELA, EPN, ST YAP1, EPN, PF A and EPN, PF B based on evidence for these molecular subgroups as described in Pajtler et al. [97]. Briefly, fusion, CNV and gene expression data were used to subtype EPN as followed: 1. Any tumor with fusions containing RELA as fusion partner, e.g., C11orf95—RELA, LTBP3—RELA, was subtyped as EPN, ST RELA. 2. Any tumor with fusions containing YAP1 as fusion partner, such as C11orf95—YAP1, YAP1—MAMLD1 and YAP1—FAM118B, was subtyped as EPN, ST YAP1. 3. Any tumor with the following molecular characterization would be subtyped as EPN, PF A: - CXorf67 expression z-score of over 3 - TKTL1 expression z-score of over 3 and 1q gain 4. Any tumor with the following molecular characterization would be subtyped as EPN, PF B: - GPBP17 expression z-score of over 3 and loss of 6q or 6p - IFT46 expression z-score of over 3 and loss of 6q or 6p

Any tumor with the above molecular characteristics would be exclusively subtyped to the designated group.

For all other remaining EPN tumors without above molecular characteristics, they would be subtyped to EPN, ST RELA and EPN, ST YAP1 in a non-exclusive way (e.g., a tumor could have both EPN, ST RELA and EPN, ST YAP1 subtypes) if any of the following alterations were present. 1. Any tumor with the following alterations was assigned EPN, ST RELA: PTEN--TAS2R1 fusion - chromosome 9 arm (9p or 9q) loss - *RELA* expression z-score of over 3 - *L1CAM* expression z-score of over 3 2. Any tumor with the following alterations was assigned EPN, ST YAP1: C11orf95--MAML2 fusion - chromosome 11 short arm (11p) loss

- chromosome 11 long arm (11q) gain - *ARL4D* expression z-score of over 3 - *CLDN1* expression z-score of over 3

After all relevant tumor samples were subtyped by the above molecular subtyping modules, the results from these modules, along with other clinical information (such as pathology diagnosis free text), were compiled through molecular-subtyping-pathology module. The compilation was executed by the following steps:

Firstly, broad\_histology, short\_histology, and integrated\_diagnosis columns in the result files from the above subtyping modules (i.e., CRANIO\_molecular\_subtype.tsv, EWS\_results.tsv, EPN\_all\_data\_withsubgroup.tsv, HGG\_molecular\_subtype.tsv, lgat\_subtyping.tsv, MB\_molecular\_subtype.tsv, embryonal\_tumor\_molecular\_subtypes.tsv, and neurocytoma\_subtyping.tsv) were updated based on the molecular subtype of the tumor. Detailed information about the updating procedure were included in the analysis <a href="notebook">notebook</a>. Notes were also added to indicated that the changes in broad\_histology, short\_histology and integrated\_diagnosis were from OpenPBTA subtyping modules.

Subsequently, broad\_histology, short\_histology and harmonized\_diagnosis columns of tumors with particular pathology diagnosis free text were updated as specified in the following table:

pathology_diagn osis	subtyping module	pathology_free_t ext_diagnosis	broad_histology	short_histology	harmonized_dia gnosis
Primary CNS lymphoma	NA	contains burkitt's lymphoma	Lymphoma	CNS lymphoma	CNS Burkitt's lymphoma
Other	NA	contains xanthogranul oma or jxg	Histiocytic tumor	JXG	Juvenile xanthogranuloma

pathology_diagn osis	subtyping module	pathology_free_t ext_diagnosis	broad_histology	short_histology	harmonized_dia gnosis
Meningioma	NA	contains atypical	Meningioma	Meningioma	Atypical meningioma
Meningioma	NA	contains anaplastic	Meningioma	Meningioma	Anaplastic (malignant) meningioma
Meningioma	NA	contains clear cell meningioma	Meningioma	Meningioma	Clear cell meningioma
Meningioma	NA	contains meningotheli al	Meningioma	Meningioma	Meningothelial meningioma
Meningioma	NA	does not contain atypical, anaplastic, clear cell, or meningotheli al	Meningioma	Meningioma	Meningioma
Choroid plexus papilloma	NA	contains atypical	Choroid plexus tumor	Choroid plexus tumor	Atypical choroid plexus papilloma
Craniopharyngio ma	CRANIO	contains adamantinoma tous	Tumors of sellar region	Craniopharyngio ma	Adamantinomato us craniopharyngio ma

Similarly, broad\_histology, short\_histology, integrated\_diagnosis and harmonized\_diagnosis columns of tumors with following pathology diagnosis free text were updated as specified in the table below:

pathology_diagn osis	subtyping module	pathology_free_t ext_diagnosis	broad_histology	short_histology	integrated_diag nosis
Low-grade glioma/astrocyto ma (WHO grade I/II)	LGAT	contains sega or subependymal giant cell astrocytoma	Low grade astrocytic tumor	LGAT	Subependymal Giant Cell Astrocytoma,
Low-grade glioma/astrocyto ma (WHO grade I/II)	LGAT	contains fibrillary	Low grade astrocytic tumor	LGAT	Diffuse fibrillary astrocytoma,
Low-grade glioma/astrocyto ma (WHO grade I/II)	LGAT	contains gliomatosis cerebri, type 1, ia	Low grade astrocytic tumor	LGAT	Gliomatosis cerebri,

pathology_diagn osis	subtyping module	pathology_free_t ext_diagnosis	broad_histology	short_histology	integrated_diag nosis
Low-grade glioma/astrocyto ma (WHO grade I/II)	LGAT	contains jpa or juvenile astrocytoma or pilocytic or pilocystic (typo) or pilomyxoid but does not contain fibrillary	Low grade astrocytic tumor	LGAT	Pilocytic astrocytoma,
Low-grade glioma/astrocyto ma (WHO grade I/II)	LGAT	contains oligodendrogl ioma who ii	Diffuse astrocytic and oligodendroglial tumor	Oligodendrogliom a	Oligodendrogliom a,
Low-grade glioma/astrocyto ma (WHO grade I/II)	LGAT	contains pxa or pleomorphic xanthoastrocy toma	Low grade astrocytic tumor	LGAT	Pleomorphic xanthoastrocyto ma,

Additionally, broad\_histology, short\_histology, integrated\_diagnosis and harmonized\_diagnosis columns of tumors with following pathology diagnosis free text were updated as specified in the table below:

pathology_dia gnosis	subtyping module	pathology_fre e_text_diagno sis	broad_histolo gy	short_histolog y	integrated_di agnosis	harmonized_d iagnosis
Low-grade glioma/astrocy toma (WHO grade I/II)	NA, remove from LGAT module	contains desmoplasti c infantile astrocytoma	Neuronal and mixed neuronal-glial tumor	GNT	Desmoplastic infantile astrocytoma and ganglioglioma,	Desmoplastic infantile astrocytoma and ganglioglioma
Low-grade glioma/astrocy toma (WHO grade I/II)	NA, remove from LGAT module	contains diffuse leptomening eal glioneurona l tumor	Neuronal and mixed neuronal-glial tumor	GNT	Diffuse leptomeningea l glioneuronal tumor,	Diffuse leptomeningea I glioneuronal tumor
Low-grade glioma/astrocy toma (WHO grade I/II)	NA, remove from LGAT module	contains glioneuron al	Neuronal and mixed neuronal-glial tumor	GNT	Glial-neuronal tumor NOS,	Glial-neuronal tumor NOS
Low-grade glioma/astrocy toma (WHO grade I/II)	NA, remove from LGAT module	contains rosette forming glioneurona l tumor	Neuronal and mixed neuronal-glial tumor	GNT	Rosette- forming glioneuronal tumor,	Rosette- forming glioneuronal tumor

Notes were also added to indicated that the changes in broad\_histology, short\_histology, integrated\_diagnosis and harmonized\_diagnosis were from pathology diagnosis free text.

For samples with subtype discrepancies, molecular\_subtype and integrated\_diagnosis were updated following pathology or clinical review. Detailed information can be found in the analysis

notebooks for <u>clinical</u> and <u>pathology</u> feedback. Finally, the newly compiled subtypes were integrated into the <u>pbta-histologies.tsv</u> file in the <u>molecular-subtyping-integrate</u> module.

#### **TP53 Alteration Annotation**

In addition to tumor types mentioned above, TP53 altered status is also annotated for all samples and if a sample is determined to be either TP53 loss or TP53 activated, this annotation will be included in the molecular\_subtype column. We applied a *TP53* inactivation classifier originally trained on TCGA PanCan data [23] to the matched RNA expression data for each sample. Along with the *TP53* classifier scores, consensus SNV and CNV, SV, and references databases that list TP53 hotspot mutations [49,120,121] and functional domains [122] were used collectively to determine TP53 alteration status for each sample. The rules for calling either TP53 loss or TP53 activated are as follows: If a sample has any of the two well-characterized *TP53* gain-of-function mutations, p.R273C or p.R248W [25], TP53 activated status will be assigned. A sample will be annotated as TP53 loss if any of the following conditions is met: 1) It contains a *TP53* hotspot mutation as defined by IARC TP53 database [49,120,121] 2) It contains two *TP53* alterations, including SNV, CNV or SV, which is indicative of probable bi-allelic alterations 3) It contains one *TP53* somatic alteration, including SNV, CNV, or SV and a germline *TP53* mutation indicated by the diagnosis of Li-Fraumeni syndrome [123] 4) It contains one germline *TP53* mutation indicated by Li-Fraumeni syndrome and the *TP53* classifier score for matched RNA-Seq is over 0.5.

### Survival analysis

Overall survival, denoted OS\_days , was calculated as days since initial diagnosis.

### Prediction of participants' genetic sex

The clinical metadata provided included a reported gender. We used DNA data, in concert with the reported gender, to predict participant genetic sex so that we could identify sexually dimorphic outcomes. This analysis could also reveal samples that may have been contaminated in certain circumstances. We used the idxstats utility from SAMtools [124] to calculate read lengths, the number of mapped reads, and the corresponding chromosomal location for reads to the X and Y chromosomes. We used the fraction of total normalized X and Y chromosome reads that were attributed to the Y chromosome as a summary statistic. We reviewed this statistic in the context of reported gender and determined that a threshold of less than 0.2 clearly delineated female samples. Fractions greater than 0.4 were predicted to be males. Samples with values in the range [0.2, 0.4] were marked as unknown. We ran this analysis through <a href="https://www.commons.com/www.commons.com/www.commons.com/www.commons.com/www.commons.com/www.com/ww

### Selection of independent samples

Certain analyses required that we select only a single representative specimen for each individual. In these cases, we prioritized primary tumors and those with whole-genome sequencing available. If this filtering still resulted in multiple specimens, we selected from the remaining set randomly.

### **Quantification of Telomerase Activity using Gene Expression Data**

We predicted telomerase activity of pediatric brain tumor samples using our recently developed method EXTEND. In brief, EXTEND estimates telomerase activity based on the expression of a 13-gene signature. This signature was derived by comparing telomerase positive tumors and tumors with activated alternative lengthening of telomeres pathway, a group presumably negative of telomerase

activity. More details about the algorithm can be found in reference [125]. We calculated telomerase activity score for each sample of the PBTA cohort and examined the score distribution across both broad and specific disease histological subtypes. We also compared EXTEND scores across the four molecular subgroups of medulloblastoma (Group3, Group4, SHH and WNT) using a series of pairwise two-sample t-tests with a Bonferroni correction for multiple testing. EXTEND scores have been further compared, using Spearman rank correlation, between counts and FPKM gene expression values from poly-A and stranded protocols.

### **ADDITIONAL RESOURCES**

#### **KEY RESOURCES TABLE**

REAGENT or RESOURCE	SOURCE	IDENTIFIER
Critical commercial assays		
Recover Cell Culture Freezing media	Gibco	12648010
Hank's Balanced Salt Solution (HBSS)	Gibco	14175095
Papain	SciQuest	LS003124
Ovomucoid	SciQuest	542000
DNase	Roche	10104159001
100µm cell strainer	Greiner Bio-One	542000
DMEM/F-12 medium	Sigma	D8062
Fetal Bovine Serum (FBS)	Hyclone	SH30910.03
GlutaMAX	Gibco	35050061
Penicillin/Str eptomycin- Amphoterici n B	Lonza	17-745E
Normocin	Invivogen	ant-nr-2
B-27 supplement minus vitamin A	Gibco	12587-010
N-2 supplement	Gibco	17502001
Epidermal growth factor	Gibco	PHG0311L

REAGENT or RESOURCE	SOURCE	IDENTIFIER
Basic fibroblast growth factor	PeproTech	100-18B
Heparin	Sigma	H3149
DNA/RNA AllPrep Kit	Qiagen	80204
TruSeq RNA Sample Prep Kit	Illumina	FC-122-1001
KAPA Library Preparation Kit	Roche	KK8201
AllPrep DNA/RNA/m iRNA Universal kit	Qiagen	80224
RNase A	Qiagen	19101
QIAsympho ny DSP DNA Midi Kit	Qiagen	937255
KAPA HyperPrep kit	Roche	08098107702
RiboErase kit	Roche	07962304001
Deposited data		
Raw and harmonized WGS, WXS, Panel, RNA- Seq	KidsFirst Data Resource Center, this project	[27]
Merged summary files	this project	https://cavatica.sbgenomics.com/u/cavatica/openpbta
Merged summary files and downstrea m analyses	this project	https://github.com/AlexsLemonade/OpenPBTA-analysis/
Processed data	this project	https://pedcbioportal.kidsfirstdrc.org/study/summary? id=openpbta
Experiment al models: Cell lines		

REAGENT or RESOURCE	SOURCE	IDENTIFIER
CBTN pediatric brain tumor- derived cell lines	[3]	See <b>Table S1</b> for identifiers
Software and algorithms		
OpenPBTA workflows repository	this project	[126]
OpenPBTA analysis repository	this project	
OpenPBTA manuscript repository	this project	
Other		
TCGA WXS dataset	National Institutes of Health The Cancer Genome Atlas (TCGA)	
dbGAP phs000178. v11.p8		

### **Supplemental Information Titles and Legends**

**Figure S1. OpenPBTA Project Workflow, Related to Figure 1.** Biospecimens and data were collected by CBTN and PNOC. Genomic sequencing and harmonization (orange boxes) were performed by the Kids First Data Resource Center (KFDRC). Analyses in the green boxes were performed by contributors of the OpenPBTA project. Output files are denoted in blue. Figure created with biorender.com.

### Figure S2. Validation of Consensus SNV calls and Tumor Mutation Burden, Related to Figures 2 and 3.

Correlation (A) and violin (B) plots of mutation variant allele frequencies (VAFs) comparing the variant callers (Lancet, Strelka2, Mutect2, and VarDict) used for PBTA samples. Upset plot (C) showing overlap of variant calls. Correlation (D) and violin (E) plots of mutation variant allele frequencies (VAFs) comparing the variant callers (Lancet, Strelka2, and Mutect2) used for TCGA samples. Upset plot (F) showing overlap of variant calls. Cumulative distribution TMB plots for PBTA (G) and TCGA (H)tumors using consensus SNV calls.

Figure S3. Genomic instability of pediatric brain tumors, Related to Figures 2 and 3. (A) Oncoprint of canonical somatic gene mutations, CNVs, fusions, and TMB (top bar plot) for the top 20 genes mutated across rare CNS tumors (N < 5 each): desmoplastic infantile astrocytoma and ganglioglioma (N = 1), germinoma (N = 4), glial-neuronal NOS (N = 4), metastatic secondary tumors (N = 3), neurocytoma (N = 2), and pineoblastoma (N = 3). Patient sex (germline\_sex\_estimate) and

tumor histology ( cancer\_group ) are displayed as annotations at the bottom of each plot. Only samples with mutations in the listed genes are shown. Multiple CNVs are denoted as a complex event. (B) Genome-wide plot of CNV alterations by broad histology. Each row represents one sample. Box and whisker plots of number of CNV breaks (C) or SV breaks (D) by number of chromothripsis regions.

**Figure S4. Related to Figure 3.** (A) Sample-specific RefSig signature weights across cancer groups ordered by decreasing Signature 1 exposure. (B) Proportion of Signature 1 plotted by phase of therapy for each cancer group.

**Figure S5. Related to Figure 4**. First two dimensions from UMAP of sample transcriptome data with points colored by molecular\_subtype for medulloblastoma (A), ependymoma (B), low-grade glioma (C), and high-grade diffuse astrocytic tumors (D). (E) Receiver Operating Characteristic for *TP53* classifier run on FPKM of poly-A RNA-Seq samples. (F) Violin and box plots of *TP53* scores plotted by *TP53* alteration type in poly-A RNA-Seq samples. Correlation plots for telomerase scores (EXTEND) with RNA expression of *TERT* (G) and *TERC* (H).

**Table S1. Related to Figure 1.** Table of specimens and associated metadata, clinical data, and histological data utilized in the OpenPBTA project.

**Table S2. Related to Figures 2 and 3.** Table of TMB, eight CNS mutational signatures, and chromothripsis events per sample.

**Table S3. Related to Figures 4 and 5.** Table of *TP53* scores, telomerase EXTEND scores, and quanTlseq immune scores.

### Consortia

The past and present members of the Children's Brain Tumor Network who contributed to the generation of specimens and data are Adam C. Resnick, Alexa Plisiewicz, Allison M. Morgan, Allison P. Heath, Alyssa Paul, Amanda Saratsis, Amy Smith, Ana Aguilar, Ana Guerreiro Stücklin, Anastasia Arynchyna, Andrea Franson, Angela J. Waanders, Angela N. Viaene, Anita Nirenberg, Anna Maria Buccoliero, Anna Yaffe, Anny Shai, Anthony Bet, Antoinette Price, Ashley Plant, Augustine Eze, Bailey C. Farrow, Baoli Hu, Beth Frenkel, Bo Zhang, Bobby Moulder, Bonnie Cole, Brian M. Ennis, Brian R. Rood, Brittany Lebert, Carina A. Leonard, Carl Koschmann, Caroline Caudill, Caroline Drinkwater, Cassie N. Kline, Catherine Sullivan, Chanel Keoni, Chiara Caporalini, Christine Bobick-Butcher, Christopher Mason, Chunde Li, Claire Carter, Claudia MaduroCoronado, Clayton Wiley, Cynthia Wong, David E. Kram, David Haussler, David Kram, David Pisapia, David Ziegler, Denise Morinigo, Derek Hanson, Donald W. Parsons, Elizabeth Appert, Emily Drake, Emily Golbeck, Ena Agbodza, Eric H. Raabe, Eric M. Jackson, Eric Raabe, Esteban Uceda, Eugene Hwang, Fausto Rodriquez, Gabrielle S. Stone, Gary Kohanbash, George Rafidi, Gerald Grant, Gerri Trooskin, Gilad Evrony, Graham Keyes, Hagop Boyajian, Holly B. Lindsay, Holly Beale, Ian F. Pollack, James Johnston, James Palmer, Jane Minturn, Jared Pisapia, Jason E. Cain, Javad Nazarian, Jeanette Haugh, Jeff Greenfield, Jeff Stevens, Jeffrey P. Greenfield, Jeffrey Rubens, Jena V. Lilly, Jennifer L. Mason, Jessica B. Foster, Jim Olson, Jo Lynne Rokita, Joanna J. Phillips, Jonathan Waller, Josh Rubin, Judy E. Palma, Justine Rizzo, Kaitlin Lehmann, Kamnaa Arya, Karlene Hall, Katherine Pehlivan, Kenneth Seidl, Kimberly Diamond, Kristen Harnett, Kristina Cole, Krutika S. Gaonkar, Lamiya Tauhid, Lina Lopez, Lionel Chow, Mahdi Sarmady, Margaret Nevins, Mari Groves, Mariarita Santi-Vicini, Marilyn M. Li, Marion Mateos, Mateusz Koptyra, Matija Snuderl, Matthew Miller, Matthew Sklar, Matthew Wood, Meghan Connors, Melissa Williams, Meredith Egan, Michael Fisher, Michael Koldobskiy, Michelle Monje, Migdalia Martinez, Miguel A. Brown, Mike Prados, Miriam Bornhorst, Mirko Scagnet, Mohamed AbdelBaki, Monique Carrero-Tagle, Nadia Dahmane, Nalin Gupta, Nathan Young, Nicholas A. Vitanza, Nicholas Tassone, Nicolas Gerber, Nithin D. Adappa, Nitin Wadhwani, Obi Obayashi, Olena M. Vaske, Olivier Elemento, Oren Becher, Philbert Oliveros, Phillip B.

Storm, Pichai Raman, Rintaro Hashizume, Robert Keating, Robert M. Lober, Ron Firestein, Sabine Mueller, Sameer Agnihotri, Samuel G. Winebrake, Samuel Rivero-Hinojosa, Sarah Diane Black, Sarah Leary, Schuyler Stoller, Shannon Robins, Sharon Gardner, Sherri Mayans, Sherry Tutson, Shida Zhu, Sofie Salama, Sonia Partap, Sonika Dahiya, Sriram Venneti, Stacie Stapleton, Stephani Campion, Stephanie Stefankiewicz, Swetha Thambireddy, Tatiana Patton, Teresa Hidalgo, Theo Nicolaides, Thinh Q. Nguyen, Tiffany Walker, Valeria Lopez-Gil, Valerie Baubet, Xiao-Nan Li, Ximena Cuellar, Yiran Guo, Yuankun Zhu, and Zeinab Helil.

The past and present members of the Pacific Pediatric Neuro-Oncology Consortium who contributed to the generation of specimens and data are Adam C. Resnick, Alicia Lenzen, Alyssa Reddy, Amar Gajjar, Ana Guerreiro Stucklin, Anat Epstein, Andrea Franson, Angela Waanders, Anne Bendel, Anu Banerjee, Ashley Margol, Ashley Plant, Brian Rood, Carl Koschmann, Carol Bruggers, Caroline Hastings, Cassie N. Kline, Christina Coleman Abadi, Christopher Tinkle, Corey Raffel, Dan Runco, Daniel Landi, Daphne Adele Haas-Kogan, David Ashley, David Ziegler, Derek Hanson, Dong Anh Khuong Quang, Duane Mitchell, Elias Sayour, Eric Jackson, Eric Raabe, Eugene Hwang, Fatema Malbari, Geoffrey McCowage, Girish Dhall, Gregory Friedman, Hideho Okada, Ibrahim Qaddoumi, Iris Fried, Jae Cho, Jane Minturn, Jason Blatt, Javad Nazarian, Jeffrey Rubens, Jena Lilly, Jennifer Elster, Jennifer Mason, Jessica Schulte, Jonathan Schoenfeld, Josh Rubin, Karen Gauvain, Karen Wright, Katharine Offer, Katie Metrock, Kellie Haworth, Ken Cohen, Kristina A Cole, Lance Governale, Linda Stork, Lindsay Kilburn, Lissa Baird, Maggie Skrypek, Marcia Leonard, Margaret Shatara, Margot Lazow, Mariella Filbin, Maryam Fouladi, Matthew Miller, Megan Paul, Michael Fisher, Michael Koldobskiy, Michal Yalon Oren, Mimi Bandopadhayay, Miriam Bornhorst, Mohamed AbdelBaki, Nalin Gupta, Nathan Robison, Nicholas Whipple, Nick Gottardo, Nick Vitanza, Nicolas Gerber, Patricia Robertson, Payal Jain, Peter Sun, Prados, Michael, Priya Chan, Richard S Lemons, Robert Wechsler-Reya, Roger Packer, Russ Geyer, Sabine Mueller, Sahaja Acharya, Sam Cheshier, Sarah Leary, Scott Coven, Sharon Gardner, Sri Gururangan, Stewart Goldman, Susan Chi, Tab Cooney, Tatiana S. Patton, Theodore Nicolaides, and Tom Belle Davidson.

### References

### 1. CBTRUS Statistical Report: Primary Brain and Other Central Nervous System Tumors Diagnosed in the United States in 2012–2016

Quinn T Ostrom, Gino Cioffi, Haley Gittleman, Nirav Patil, Kristin Waite, Carol Kruchko, Jill S Barnholtz-Sloan

Neuro-Oncology (2019-11-01) https://doi.org/gg4d4k

DOI: <u>10.1093/neuonc/noz150</u> · PMID: <u>31675094</u> · PMCID: <u>PMC6823730</u>

### 2. CBTRUS Statistical Report: Primary Brain and Other Central Nervous System Tumors Diagnosed in the United States in 2009–2013

Quinn T. Ostrom, Haley Gittleman, Jordan Xu, Courtney Kromer, Yingli Wolinsky, Carol Kruchko, Jill S. Barnholtz-Sloan

Neuro-Oncology (2016-10) https://doi.org/ggzh6m

DOI: <u>10.1093/neuonc/now207</u> · PMID: <u>28475809</u> · PMCID: <u>PMC8483569</u>

#### 3. Pediatric high-grade glioma resources from the Children's Brain Tumor Tissue Consortium

Heba Ijaz, Mateusz Koptyra, Krutika S Gaonkar, Jo Lynne Rokita, Valerie P Baubet, Lamiya Tauhid, Yuankun Zhu, Miguel Brown, Gonzalo Lopez, Bo Zhang, ... Kristina A Cole

Neuro-Oncology (2020-01-11) <a href="https://doi.org/gm3hpz">https://doi.org/gm3hpz</a>

DOI: 10.1093/neuonc/noz192 · PMID: 31613963 · PMCID: PMC6954395

- 4. Children's Brain Tumor Network <a href="https://cbtn.org/">https://cbtn.org/</a>
- 5. A pilot precision medicine trial for children with diffuse intrinsic pontine glioma—PNOC003: A report from the Pacific Pediatric Neuro-Oncology Consortium

Sabine Mueller, Payal Jain, Winnie S. Liang, Lindsay Kilburn, Cassie Kline, Nalin Gupta, Eshini Panditharatna, Suresh N. Magge, Bo Zhang, Yuankun Zhu, ... Adam C. Resnick *International Journal of Cancer* (2019-04-03) https://doi.org/gf6pfb

DOI: <u>10.1002/ijc.32258</u> · PMID: <u>30861105</u>

- 6. Manubot Manuscripts, open and automated <a href="https://manubot.org">https://manubot.org</a>
- 7. Open collaborative writing with Manubot

Daniel S. Himmelstein, Vincent Rubinetti, David R. Slochower, Dongbo Hu, Venkat S. Malladi, Casey S. Greene, Anthony Gitter

PLOS Computational Biology (2019-06-24) https://doi.org/c7np

DOI: 10.1371/journal.pcbi.1007128 · PMID: 31233491 · PMCID: PMC6611653

#### 8. The 2007 WHO Classification of Tumours of the Central Nervous System

David N. Louis, Hiroko Ohgaki, Otmar D. Wiestler, Webster K. Cavenee, Peter C. Burger, Anne Jouvet, Bernd W. Scheithauer, Paul Kleihues

Acta Neuropathologica (2007-08) https://doi.org/cm372n

DOI: 10.1007/s00401-007-0243-4 · PMID: 17618441 · PMCID: PMC1929165

### 9. The 2016 World Health Organization Classification of Tumors of the Central Nervous System: a summary

David N. Louis, Arie Perry, Guido Reifenberger, Andreas von Deimling, Dominique Figarella-Branger, Webster K. Cavenee, Hiroko Ohgaki, Otmar D. Wiestler, Paul Kleihues, David W. Ellison *Acta Neuropathologica* (2016-06) <a href="https://doi.org/f8mspx">https://doi.org/f8mspx</a>

DOI: <u>10.1007/s00401-016-1545-1</u> · PMID: <u>27157931</u>

#### 10. Integrated Molecular and Clinical Analysis of 1,000 Pediatric Low-Grade Gliomas

Scott Ryall, Michal Zapotocky, Kohei Fukuoka, Liana Nobre, Ana Guerreiro Stucklin, Julie Bennett, Robert Siddaway, Christopher Li, Sanja Pajovic, Anthony Arnoldo, ... Cynthia Hawkins *Cancer Cell* (2020-04) https://doi.org/ggsmx2

DOI: <u>10.1016/j.ccell.2020.03.011</u> · PMID: <u>32289278</u> · PMCID: <u>PMC7169997</u>

#### 11. Comprehensive Analysis of Hypermutation in Human Cancer

Brittany B. Campbell, Nicholas Light, David Fabrizio, Matthew Zatzman, Fabio Fuligni, Richard de Borja, Scott Davidson, Melissa Edwards, Julia A. Elvin, Karl P. Hodel, ... Adam Shlien *Cell* (2017-11) <a href="https://doi.org/gcjk7b">https://doi.org/gcjk7b</a>

DOI: 10.1016/j.cell.2017.09.048 · PMID: 29056344 · PMCID: PMC5849393

#### 12. Pediatric low-grade glioma in the era of molecular diagnostics

Scott Ryall, Uri Tabori, Cynthia Hawkins

Acta Neuropathologica Communications (2020-12) <a href="https://doi.org/gm3kst">https://doi.org/gm3kst</a>

DOI: <u>10.1186/s40478-020-00902-z</u> · PMID: <u>32164789</u> · PMCID: <u>PMC7066826</u>

#### 13. ETMR: a tumor entity in its infancy

Sander Lambo, Katja von Hoff, Andrey Korshunov, Stefan M. Pfister, Marcel Kool *Acta Neuropathologica* (2020-09) <a href="https://doi.org/gm3q25">https://doi.org/gm3q25</a>

DOI: <u>10.1007/s00401-020-02182-2</u> · PMID: <u>32601913</u> · PMCID: <u>PMC7423804</u>

### 14. Molecular identification of CNS NB-FOXR2, CNS EFT-CIC, CNS HGNET-MN1 and CNS HGNET-BCOR pediatric brain tumors using tumor-specific signature genes

Maria Łastowska, Joanna Trubicka, Anna Sobocińska, Bartosz Wojtas, Magdalena Niemira, Anna Szałkowska, Adam Krętowski, Agnieszka Karkucińska-Więckowska, Magdalena Kaleta, Maria Ejmont, ... Ewa Matyja

Acta Neuropathologica Communications (2020-12) <a href="https://doi.org/gm3q3p">https://doi.org/gm3q3p</a>

DOI: <u>10.1186/s40478-020-00984-9</u> · PMID: <u>32650833</u> · PMCID: <u>PMC7350623</u>

#### 15. The whole-genome landscape of medulloblastoma subtypes

Paul A. Northcott, Ivo Buchhalter, A. Sorana Morrissy, Volker Hovestadt, Joachim Weischenfeldt, Tobias Ehrenberger, Susanne Gröbner, Maia Segura-Wang, Thomas Zichner, Vasilisa A. Rudneva, ... Peter Lichter

Nature (2017-07) https://doi.org/gbnzc9

DOI: <u>10.1038/nature22973</u> · PMID: <u>28726821</u> · PMCID: <u>PMC5905700</u>

### 16. WHO classification of tumors of the nervous system: preview of the upcoming 5th edition Elisabeth J. Rushing

memo - Magazine of European Medical Oncology (2021-06) https://doi.org/gk2z97

DOI: 10.1007/s12254-021-00680-x

### 17. Integrated Molecular Meta-Analysis of 1,000 Pediatric High-Grade and Diffuse Intrinsic Pontine Glioma

Alan Mackay, Anna Burford, Diana Carvalho, Elisa Izquierdo, Janat Fazal-Salom, Kathryn R. Taylor, Lynn Bjerke, Matthew Clarke, Mara Vinci, Meera Nandhabalan, ... Chris Jones *Cancer Cell* (2017-10) <a href="https://doi.org/gb4kpn">https://doi.org/gb4kpn</a>

DOI: <u>10.1016/j.ccell.2017.08.017</u> · PMID: <u>28966033</u> · PMCID: <u>PMC5637314</u>

### 18. Diffuse intrinsic pontine glioma-like tumor with EZHIP expression and molecular features of PFA ependymoma

Drew Pratt, Martha Quezado, Zied Abdullaev, Debra Hawes, Fusheng Yang, Hugh J. L. Garton, Alexander R. Judkins, Rajen Mody, Arul Chinnaiyan, Kenneth Aldape, ... Sriram Venneti

Acta Neuropathologica Communications (2020-12) <a href="https://doi.org/gm3ksv">https://doi.org/gm3ksv</a> DOI: <a href="https://doi.org/gm3ksv">10.1186/s40478-020-00905-w</a> PMID: <a href="https://doi.org/gm3ksv">22197665</a> PMCID: <a href="https://doi.org/gm3ksv">PMID: 32197665</a> PMCID: <a href="https://doi.org/gm3ksv">PMCID: PMC7083001</a>

### 19. Genomic Analysis of Dysembryoplastic Neuroepithelial Tumor Spectrum Reveals a Diversity of Molecular Alterations Dysregulating the MAPK and PI3K/mTOR Pathways

Lea F Surrey, Payal Jain, Bo Zhang, Joshua Straka, Xiaonan Zhao, Brian N Harding, Adam C Resnick, Phillip B Storm, Anna Maria Buccoliero, Lorenzo Genitori, ... Mariarita Santi *Journal of Neuropathology & Experimental Neurology* (2019-12-01) <a href="https://doi.org/gpnm3p">https://doi.org/gpnm3p</a>
DOI: <a href="https://doi.org/gpnm3p">10.1093/jnen/nlz101</a> · PMID: <a href="https://doi.org/gpnm3p">31617914</a>

### 20. *TP53* Mutation Is Frequently Associated With *CTNNB1* Mutation or *MYCN* Amplification and Is Compatible With Long-Term Survival in Medulloblastoma

Elke Pfaff, Marc Remke, Dominik Sturm, Axel Benner, Hendrik Witt, Till Milde, André O. von Bueren, Andrea Wittmann, Anna Schöttler, Norbert Jorch, ... Stefan M. Pfister *Journal of Clinical Oncology* (2010-12-10) <a href="https://doi.org/dpd6hh">https://doi.org/dpd6hh</a>

DOI: 10.1200/jco.2010.31.1670 · PMID: 21060032

### 21. The genomic landscape of diffuse intrinsic pontine glioma and pediatric non-brainstem high-grade glioma

the St. Jude Children's Research Hospital–Washington University Pediatric Cancer Genome Project *Nature Genetics* (2014-05) <a href="https://doi.org/f526f8">https://doi.org/f526f8</a>

DOI: <u>10.1038/ng.2938</u> · PMID: <u>24705251</u> · PMCID: <u>PMC4056452</u>

### 22. A practical framework and online tool for mutational signature analyses show intertissue variation and driver dependencies

Andrea Degasperi, Tauanne Dias Amarante, Jan Czarnecki, Scott Shooter, Xueqing Zou, Dominik Glodzik, Sandro Morganella, Arjun S. Nanda, Cherif Badja, Gene Koh, ... Serena Nik-Zainal *Nature Cancer* (2020-02-14) <a href="https://doi.org/gjddc6">https://doi.org/gjddc6</a>

DOI: 10.1038/s43018-020-0027-5 · PMID: 32118208 · PMCID: PMC7048622

### 23. Genomic and Molecular Landscape of DNA Damage Repair Deficiency across The Cancer Genome Atlas

Theo A. Knijnenburg, Linghua Wang, Michael T. Zimmermann, Nyasha Chambwe, Galen F. Gao, Andrew D. Cherniack, Huihui Fan, Hui Shen, Gregory P. Way, Casey S. Greene, ... Armaz Mariamidze *Cell Reports* (2018-04) https://doi.org/gfspsc

DOI: 10.1016/j.celrep.2018.03.076 · PMID: 29617664 · PMCID: PMC5961503

### 24. Genomic Profiling of Childhood Tumor Patient-Derived Xenograft Models to Enable Rational Clinical Trial Design

Jo Lynne Rokita, Komal S. Rathi, Maria F. Cardenas, Kristen A. Upton, Joy Jayaseelan, Katherine L. Cross, Jacob Pfeil, Laura E. Egolf, Gregory P. Way, Alvin Farrel, ... John M. Maris *Cell Reports* (2019-11) https://doi.org/gg596n

DOI: <u>10.1016/j.celrep.2019.09.071</u> · PMID: <u>31693904</u> · PMCID: <u>PMC6880934</u>

#### 25. Gain of function mutations in p53

Dirk Dittmer, Sibani Pati, Gerard Zambetti, Shelley Chu, Angelika K. Teresky, Mary Moore, Cathy Finlay, Arnold J. Levine

Nature Genetics (1993-05) https://doi.org/crqst7

DOI: 10.1038/ng0593-42 · PMID: 8099841

### 26. Integrated analysis of telomerase enzymatic activity unravels an association with cancer stemness and proliferation

Nighat Noureen, Shaofang Wu, Yingli Lv, Juechen Yang, W. K. Alfred Yung, Jonathan Gelfond,

Xiaojing Wang, Dimpy Koul, Andrew Ludlow, Siyuan Zheng *Nature Communications* (2021-12) <a href="https://doi.org/gmfxxd">https://doi.org/gmfxxd</a>

DOI: 10.1038/s41467-020-20474-9 · PMID: 33420056 · PMCID: PMC7794223

#### 27. Open Pediatric Brain Tumor Atlas

Children's Brain Tumor Network, Pediatric Neuro Oncology Consortium Open Pediatric Brain Tumor Atlas

Kids First Data Resource Center (2022) https://doi.org/gpp5dv

DOI: <u>10.24370/openpbta</u>

- 28. Pacific Pediatric Neuro-Oncology Consortium <a href="https://pnoc.us/">https://pnoc.us/</a>
- 29. Working Together to Put Kids First <a href="https://kidsfirstdrc.org/">https://kidsfirstdrc.org/</a>

### 30. Pediatric High Grade Glioma Resources From the Children's Brain Tumor Tissue Consortium (CBTTC) and Pediatric Brain Tumor Atlas (PBTA)

Heba Ijaz, Mateusz Koptyra, Krutika S. Gaonkar, Jo Lynne Rokita, Valerie P. Baubet, Lamiya Tauhid, Yankun Zhu, Miguel Brown, Gonzalo Lopez, Bo Zhang, ... Kristina A. Cole Cancer Biology (2019-05-31) https://doi.org/gf66qt

DOI: <u>10.1101/656587</u>

#### 31. Aligning sequence reads, clone sequences and assembly contigs with BWA-MEM

Heng Li

arXiv(2013-05-28) https://arxiv.org/abs/1303.3997

- 32. Index of /goldenPath/hg38/bigZips http://hgdownload.soe.ucsc.edu/goldenPath/hg38/bigZips/
- 33. https://software.broadinstitute.org/gatk/best-practices/workflow?id

#### 34. SAMBLASTER: fast duplicate marking and structural variant read extraction

G. G. Faust, I. M. Hall

Bioinformatics (2014-09-01) https://doi.org/f6kft3

DOI: <u>10.1093/bioinformatics/btu314</u> · PMID: <u>24812344</u> · PMCID: <u>PMC4147885</u>

#### 35. Sambamba: fast processing of NGS alignment formats

Artem Tarasov, Albert J. Vilella, Edwin Cuppen, Isaac J. Nijman, Pjotr Prins *Bioinformatics* (2015-06-15) <a href="https://doi.org/gfzsfw">https://doi.org/gfzsfw</a>

DOI: 10.1093/bioinformatics/btv098 · PMID: 25697820 · PMCID: PMC4765878

#### 36. Scaling accurate genetic variant discovery to tens of thousands of samples

Ryan Poplin, Valentin Ruano-Rubio, Mark A. DePristo, Tim J. Fennell, Mauricio O. Carneiro, Geraldine A. Van der Auwera, David E. Kling, Laura D. Gauthier, Ami Levy-Moonshine, David Roazen, ... Eric Banks

Genomics (2017-11-14) https://doi.org/ggmrvr

DOI: <u>10.1101/201178</u>

- 37. **Broad Genome References** <a href="https://s3.amazonaws.com/broad-references/broad-references-broad-refe
- 38. GitHub parklab/NGSCheckMate: Software program for checking sample matching for NGS data

GitHub

https://github.com/parklab/NGSCheckMate

### 39. The case for using Mapped Exonic Non-Duplicate (MEND) read counts in RNA-Seq experiments: examples from pediatric cancer datasets

Holly C. Beale, Jacquelyn M. Roger, Matthew A. Cattle, Liam T. McKay, Drew K. A. Thomson, Katrina Learned, A. Geoffrey Lyle, Ellen T. Kephart, Rob Currie, Du Linh Lam, ... Olena M. Vaske *Genomics* (2019-07-30) <a href="https://doi.org/gghzj8">https://doi.org/gghzj8</a>

DOI: <u>10.1101/716829</u>

#### 40. Strelka2: fast and accurate calling of germline and somatic variants

Sangtae Kim, Konrad Scheffler, Aaron L. Halpern, Mitchell A. Bekritsky, Eunho Noh, Morten Källberg, Xiaoyu Chen, Yeonbin Kim, Doruk Beyter, Peter Krusche, Christopher T. Saunders *Nature Methods* (2018-08) <a href="https://doi.org/gdwrp4">https://doi.org/gdwrp4</a>

DOI: <u>10.1038/s41592-018-0051-x</u> · PMID: <u>30013048</u>

#### 41. Calling Somatic SNVs and Indels with Mutect2

David Benjamin, Takuto Sato, Kristian Cibulskis, Gad Getz, Chip Stewart, Lee Lichtenstein *Bioinformatics* (2019-12-02) <a href="https://doi.org/ggntwv">https://doi.org/ggntwv</a>

DOI: <u>10.1101/861054</u>

#### 42. Genome-wide somatic variant calling using localized colored de Bruijn graphs

Giuseppe Narzisi, André Corvelo, Kanika Arora, Ewa A. Bergmann, Minita Shah, Rajeeva Musunuri, Anne-Katrin Emde, Nicolas Robine, Vladimir Vacic, Michael C. Zody

Communications Biology (2018-12) https://doi.org/gfcfr8

DOI: <u>10.1038/s42003-018-0023-9</u> · PMID: <u>30271907</u> · PMCID: <u>PMC6123722</u>

#### 43. gatk/mutect2.wdl at 4.1.1.0 · broadinstitute/gatk

GitHub

https://github.com/broadinstitute/gatk

#### 44. GitHub - AstraZeneca-NGS/VarDictJava: VarDict Java port

GitHub

https://github.com/AstraZeneca-NGS/VarDictJava

#### 45. Deep sequencing of 3 cancer cell lines on 2 sequencing platforms

Kanika Arora, Minita Shah, Molly Johnson, Rashesh Sanghvi, Jennifer Shelton, Kshithija Nagulapalli, Dayna M. Oschwald, Michael C. Zody, Soren Germer, Vaidehi Jobanputra, ... Nicolas Robine *Genomics* (2019-04-30) <a href="https://doi.org/ggc9vx">https://doi.org/ggc9vx</a>

DOI: <u>10.1101/623702</u>

#### 46. **bcftools(1)** http://samtools.github.io/bcftools/bcftools.html#norm

### 47. OpenPBTA-workflows/kfdrc\_annot\_vcf\_sub\_wf.cwl at master · d3b-center/OpenPBTA-workflows

GitHub

https://github.com/d3b-center/OpenPBTA-workflows

#### 48. The Ensembl Variant Effect Predictor

William McLaren, Laurent Gil, Sarah E. Hunt, Harpreet Singh Riat, Graham R. S. Ritchie, Anja Thormann, Paul Flicek, Fiona Cunningham

Genome Biology (2016-12) https://doi.org/gdz75c

DOI: 10.1186/s13059-016-0974-4 · PMID: 27268795 · PMCID: PMC4893825

#### 49. Cancer Hotspots <a href="https://www.cancerhotspots.org/">https://www.cancerhotspots.org/</a>

### 50. Activating Telomerase TERT Promoter Mutations and Their Application for the Detection of Bladder Cancer

Maria Zvereva, Eduard Pisarev, Ismail Hosen, Olga Kisil, Simon Matskeplishvili, Elena Kubareva, David Kamalov, Alexander Tivtikyan, Arnaud Manel, Emmanuel Vian, ... Florence Le Calvez-Kelm *International Journal of Molecular Sciences* (2020-08-21) <a href="https://doi.org/gmf45b">https://doi.org/gmf45b</a>
DOI: <a href="https://doi.org/gmf45b">10.3390/ijms21176034</a> · PMID: <a href="https://doi.org/gmf45b">22839402</a> · PMCID: <a href="https://doi.org/gmf45b">PMCID: PMC7503716</a>

# 51. GitHub - mskcc/vcf2maf: Convert a VCF into a MAF, where each variant is annotated to only one of all possible gene isoforms

GitHub

https://github.com/mskcc/vcf2maf

# 52. OpenPBTA-analysis/compare\_snv\_callers\_plots.Rmd at master · AlexsLemonade/OpenPBTA-analysis

GitHub

https://github.com/AlexsLemonade/OpenPBTA-analysis

- 53. <a href="https://github.com/AlexsLemonade/OpenPBTA-analysis/blob/master/analyses/snv-callers/plots/comparison/upset\_plot.png">https://github.com/AlexsLemonade/OpenPBTA-analysis/blob/master/analyses/snv-callers/plots/comparison/upset\_plot.png</a>
- 54. <a href="https://github.com/AlexsLemonade/OpenPBTA-analysis/blob/master/analyses/snv-callers/plots/comparison/vaf-violin\_plot.png">https://github.com/AlexsLemonade/OpenPBTA-analysis/blob/master/analyses/snv-callers/plots/comparison/vaf-violin\_plot.png</a>
- 55. **GENCODE Human Release 27** https://www.gencodegenes.org/human/release 27.html

# 56. Control-FREEC: a tool for assessing copy number and allelic content using next-generation sequencing data

Valentina Boeva, Tatiana Popova, Kevin Bleakley, Pierre Chiche, Julie Cappo, Gudrun Schleiermacher, Isabelle Janoueix-Lerosey, Olivier Delattre, Emmanuel Barillot *Bioinformatics* (2012-02-01) <a href="https://doi.org/ckt4vz">https://doi.org/ckt4vz</a>

DOI: <u>10.1093/bioinformatics/btr670</u> · PMID: <u>22155870</u> · PMCID: <u>PMC3268243</u>

### 57. Control-free calling of copy number alterations in deep-sequencing data using GC-content normalization

Valentina Boeva, Andrei Zinovyev, Kevin Bleakley, Jean-Philippe Vert, Isabelle Janoueix-Lerosey, Olivier Delattre, Emmanuel Barillot

Bioinformatics (2011-01-15) https://doi.org/c6bcps

DOI: 10.1093/bioinformatics/btg635 · PMID: 21081509 · PMCID: PMC3018818

# 58. CNVkit: Genome-Wide Copy Number Detection and Visualization from Targeted DNA Sequencing

Eric Talevich, A. Hunter Shain, Thomas Botton, Boris C. Bastian *PLOS Computational Biology* (2016-04-21) https://doi.org/c9pd

DOI: 10.1371/journal.pcbi.1004873 · PMID: 27100738 · PMCID: PMC4839673

#### 59. Quantifying tumor heterogeneity in whole-genome and whole-exome sequencing data

Layla Oesper, Gryte Satas, Benjamin J. Raphael

Bioinformatics (2014-12-15) https://doi.org/f6rmgt

DOI: <u>10.1093/bioinformatics/btu651</u> · PMID: <u>25297070</u> · PMCID: <u>PMC4253833</u>

### 60. GISTIC2.0 facilitates sensitive and confident localization of the targets of focal somatic copy-number alteration in human cancers

Craig H Mermel, Steven E Schumacher, Barbara Hill, Matthew L Meyerson, Rameen Beroukhim,

Gad Getz

Genome Biology (2011-04) https://doi.org/dzhjqh

DOI: 10.1186/gb-2011-12-4-r41 · PMID: 21527027 · PMCID: PMC3218867

### 61. Manta: rapid detection of structural variants and indels for germline and cancer sequencing applications

Xiaoyu Chen, Ole Schulz-Trieglaff, Richard Shaw, Bret Barnes, Felix Schlesinger, Morten Källberg, Anthony J. Cox, Semyon Kruglyak, Christopher T. Saunders

Bioinformatics (2016-04-15) <a href="https://doi.org/gf3ggb">https://doi.org/gf3ggb</a>

DOI: <u>10.1093/bioinformatics/btv710</u> · PMID: <u>26647377</u>

### 62. manta/README.md at 75b5c38d4fcd2f6961197b28a41eb61856f2d976 · Illumina/manta

https://github.com/Illumina/manta

#### 63. AnnotSV: an integrated tool for structural variations annotation

Véronique Geoffroy, Yvan Herenger, Arnaud Kress, Corinne Stoetzel, Amélie Piton, Hélène Dollfus, Jean Muller

Bioinformatics (2018-10-15) https://doi.org/gdcsh3

DOI: 10.1093/bioinformatics/bty304 · PMID: 29669011

#### 64. STAR: ultrafast universal RNA-seq aligner

Alexander Dobin, Carrie A. Davis, Felix Schlesinger, Jorg Drenkow, Chris Zaleski, Sonali Jha, Philippe Batut, Mark Chaisson, Thomas R. Gingeras

Bioinformatics (2013-01) https://doi.org/f4h523

DOI: 10.1093/bioinformatics/bts635 · PMID: 23104886 · PMCID: PMC3530905

# 65. RSEM: accurate transcript quantification from RNA-Seq data with or without a reference genome

Bo Li, Colin N Dewey

BMC Bioinformatics (2011-12) <a href="https://doi.org/cwg8n5">https://doi.org/cwg8n5</a>

DOI: <u>10.1186/1471-2105-12-323</u> · PMID: <u>21816040</u> · PMCID: <u>PMC3163565</u>

#### 66. Near-optimal probabilistic RNA-seq quantification

Nicolas L Bray, Harold Pimentel, Páll Melsted, Lior Pachter *Nature Biotechnology* (2016-05) <a href="https://doi.org/f8nvsp">https://doi.org/f8nvsp</a>

DOI: <u>10.1038/nbt.3519</u> · PMID: <u>27043002</u>

#### 67. STAR-Fusion: Fast and Accurate Fusion Transcript Detection from RNA-Seq

Brian J. Haas, Alex Dobin, Nicolas Stransky, Bo Li, Xiao Yang, Timothy Tickle, Asma Bankapur, Carrie Ganote, Thomas G. Doak, Nathalie Pochet, ... Aviv Regev

Bioinformatics (2017-03-24) https://doi.org/gf5pc5

DOI: <u>10.1101/120295</u>

#### 68. Maftools: efficient and comprehensive analysis of somatic variants in cancer

Anand Mayakonda, De-Chen Lin, Yassen Assenov, Christoph Plass, H. Phillip Koeffler *Genome Research* (2018-11) https://doi.org/gfmnwf

DOI: 10.1101/gr.239244.118 · PMID: 30341162 · PMCID: PMC6211645

#### 69. coverage — bedtools 2.30.0 documentation

https://bedtools.readthedocs.io/en/latest/content/tools/coverage.html

#### 70. BEDTools: a flexible suite of utilities for comparing genomic features

Aaron R. Quinlan, Ira M. Hall

Bioinformatics (2010-03-15) https://doi.org/cmrms3

DOI: 10.1093/bioinformatics/btg033 · PMID: 20110278 · PMCID: PMC2832824

#### 71. http://hgdownload.cse.ucsc.edu/goldenpath/hg38/database/cytoBand.txt.gz

#### 72. The UCSC Genome Browser database: extensions and updates 2013

Laurence R. Meyer, Ann S. Zweig, Angie S. Hinrichs, Donna Karolchik, Robert M. Kuhn, Matthew Wong, Cricket A. Sloan, Kate R. Rosenbloom, Greg Roe, Brooke Rhead, ... W. James Kent *Nucleic Acids Research* (2012-11-15) <a href="https://doi.org/f4jr4v">https://doi.org/f4jr4v</a>

DOI: 10.1093/nar/gks1048 · PMID: 23155063 · PMCID: PMC3531082

#### 73. findOverlaps-methods function - RDocumentation

https://www.rdocumentation.org/packages/IRanges/versions/2.6.1/topics/findOverlaps-methods

#### 74. Software for Computing and Annotating Genomic Ranges

Michael Lawrence, Wolfgang Huber, Hervé Pagès, Patrick Aboyoun, Marc Carlson, Robert Gentleman, Martin T. Morgan, Vincent J. Carey

PLoS Computational Biology (2013-08-08) https://doi.org/f5cmfg

DOI: <u>10.1371/journal.pcbi.1003118</u> · PMID: <u>23950696</u> · PMCID: <u>PMC3738458</u>

### 75. Comprehensive analysis of chromothripsis in 2,658 human cancers using whole-genome sequencing

Isidro Cortés-Ciriano, Jake June-Koo Lee, Ruibin Xi, Dhawal Jain, Youngsook L. Jung, Lixing Yang, Dmitry Gordenin, Leszek J. Klimczak, Cheng-Zhong Zhang, David S. Pellman, ... PCAWG Consortium *Nature Genetics* (2020-03-02) <a href="https://doi.org/ggkkpt">https://doi.org/ggkkpt</a>

DOI: <u>10.1038/s41588-019-0576-7</u> · PMID: <u>32025003</u> · PMCID: <u>PMC7058534</u>

### 76. Comprehensive evaluation of transcriptome-based cell-type quantification methods for immuno-oncology.

Gregor Sturm, Francesca Finotello, Florent Petitprez, Jitao David Zhang, Jan Baumbach, Wolf H Fridman, Markus List, Tatsiana Aneichyk

Bioinformatics (Oxford, England) (2019-07-15) <a href="https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/31510660">https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/31510660</a>

DOI: 10.1093/bioinformatics/btz363 · PMID: 31510660 · PMCID: PMC6612828

# 77. GitHub - icbi-lab/immunedeconv: A unified interface to immune deconvolution methods (CIBERSORT, EPIC, quanTlseq, TIMER, xCell, MCPcounter)

GitHub

https://github.com/icbi-lab/immunedeconv

#### 78. GSVA: gene set variation analysis for microarray and RNA-Seq data

Sonja Hänzelmann, Robert Castelo, Justin Guinney

BMC Bioinformatics (2013-12) https://doi.org/gb8vx5

DOI: <u>10.1186/1471-2105-14-7</u> · PMID: <u>23323831</u> · PMCID: <u>PMC3618321</u>

#### 79. **GSVA**

Justin Guinney [Aut, Cre], Robert Castelo [Aut], Joan Fernandez[Ctb]

Bioconductor (2017) <a href="https://doi.org/ggxrqs">https://doi.org/ggxrqs</a>

DOI: 10.18129/b9.bioc.gsva

#### 80. The Molecular Signatures Database Hallmark Gene Set Collection

Arthur Liberzon, Chet Birger, Helga Thorvaldsdóttir, Mahmoud Ghandi, Jill P. Mesirov, Pablo

Tamayo

Cell Systems (2015-12) https://doi.org/gf78hq

DOI: 10.1016/j.cels.2015.12.004 · PMID: 26771021 · PMCID: PMC4707969

#### 81. UMAP: Uniform Manifold Approximation and Projection for Dimension Reduction

Leland McInnes, John Healy, James Melville

arXiv(2018-02-09) https://arxiv.org/abs/1802.03426v2

#### 82. <a href="https://cran.r-project.org/package">https://cran.r-project.org/package</a>

#### 83. The Human Transcription Factors

Samuel A. Lambert, Arttu Jolma, Laura F. Campitelli, Pratyush K. Das, Yimeng Yin, Mihai Albu, Xiaoting Chen, Jussi Taipale, Timothy R. Hughes, Matthew T. Weirauch *Cell* (2018-02) <a href="https://doi.org/gcw8rb">https://doi.org/gcw8rb</a>

DOI: <u>10.1016/j.cell.2018.01.029</u> · PMID: <u>29425488</u>

### 84. Genomic analysis of diffuse pediatric low-grade gliomas identifies recurrent oncogenic truncating rearrangements in the transcription factor *MYBL1*

Lori A. Ramkissoon, Peleg M. Horowitz, Justin M. Craig, Shakti H. Ramkissoon, Benjamin E. Rich, Steven E. Schumacher, Aaron McKenna, Michael S. Lawrence, Guillaume Bergthold, Priscilla K. Brastianos, ... Keith L. Ligon

Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences (2013-05-14) https://doi.org/f42gg4

DOI: 10.1073/pnas.1300252110 · PMID: 23633565 · PMCID: PMC3657784

#### 85. Subgroup-specific structural variation across 1,000 medulloblastoma genomes

Paul A. Northcott, David J. H. Shih, John Peacock, Livia Garzia, A. Sorana Morrissy, Thomas Zichner, Adrian M. Stütz, Andrey Korshunov, Jüri Reimand, Steven E. Schumacher, ... Michael D. Taylor *Nature* (2012-08) <a href="https://doi.org/ggdhk3">https://doi.org/ggdhk3</a>

DOI: <u>10.1038/nature11327</u> · PMID: <u>22832581</u> · PMCID: <u>PMC3683624</u>

#### 86. New Brain Tumor Entities Emerge from Molecular Classification of CNS-PNETs

Dominik Sturm, Brent A. Orr, Umut H. Toprak, Volker Hovestadt, David T.W. Jones, David Capper, Martin Sill, Ivo Buchhalter, Paul A. Northcott, Irina Leis, ... Marcel Kool *Cell* (2016-02) <a href="https://doi.org/f3t869">https://doi.org/f3t869</a>

DOI: 10.1016/j.cell.2016.01.015 · PMID: 26919435 · PMCID: PMC5139621

### 87. Fusion of TTYH1 with the C19MC microRNA cluster drives expression of a brain-specific DNMT3B isoform in the embryonal brain tumor ETMR

Claudia L Kleinman, Noha Gerges, Simon Papillon-Cavanagh, Patrick Sin-Chan, Albena Pramatarova, Dong-Anh Khuong Quang, Véronique Adoue, Stephan Busche, Maxime Caron, Haig Djambazian, ... Nada Jabado

Nature Genetics (2014-01) https://doi.org/ggdhk4

DOI: 10.1038/ng.2849 · PMID: 24316981

#### 88. TERT rearrangements are frequent in neuroblastoma and identify aggressive tumors

Linda J Valentijn, Jan Koster, Danny A Zwijnenburg, Nancy E Hasselt, Peter van Sluis, Richard Volckmann, Max M van Noesel, Rani E George, Godelieve AM Tytgat, Jan J Molenaar, Rogier Versteeg

Nature Genetics (2015-12) <a href="https://doi.org/ggdhk5">https://doi.org/ggdhk5</a>

DOI: <u>10.1038/ng.3438</u> · PMID: <u>26523776</u>

# 89. Recurrent pre-existing and acquired DNA copy number alterations, including focal *TERT* gains, in neuroblastoma central nervous system metastases: Copy Number Changes in

#### **Neuroblastoma Cns Metastases**

David Cobrinik, Irina Ostrovnaya, Maryam Hassimi, Satish K. Tickoo, Irene Y. Cheung, Nai-Kong V. Cheung

Genes, Chromosomes and Cancer (2013-12) https://doi.org/f5gd94

DOI: <u>10.1002/gcc.22110</u> · PMID: <u>24123354</u>

### 90. Activation of human telomerase reverse transcriptase through gene fusion in clear cell sarcoma of the kidney

Jenny Karlsson, Henrik Lilljebjörn, Linda Holmquist Mengelbier, Anders Valind, Marianne Rissler, Ingrid Øra, Thoas Fioretos, David Gisselsson

Cancer Letters (2015-02) https://doi.org/f25ck5

DOI: <u>10.1016/j.canlet.2014.11.057</u> · PMID: <u>25481751</u>

#### 91. New Molecular Considerations for Glioma: IDH, ATRX, BRAF, TERT, H3 K27M

Michael Karsy, Jian Guan, Adam L. Cohen, Randy L. Jensen, Howard Colman *Current Neurology and Neuroscience Reports* (2017-02) <a href="https://doi.org/ggdhk2">https://doi.org/ggdhk2</a>

DOI: <u>10.1007/s11910-017-0722-5</u> · PMID: <u>28271343</u>

### 92. MYB-QKI rearrangements in angiocentric glioma drive tumorigenicity through a tripartite mechanism

Pratiti Bandopadhayay, Lori A Ramkissoon, Payal Jain, Guillaume Bergthold, Jeremiah Wala, Rhamy Zeid, Steven E Schumacher, Laura Urbanski, Ryan O'Rourke, William J Gibson, ... Adam C Resnick *Nature Genetics* (2016-03) https://doi.org/f8bwgn

DOI: 10.1038/ng.3500 · PMID: 26829751 · PMCID: PMC4767685

# 93. Atypical Teratoid/Rhabdoid Tumors Are Comprised of Three Epigenetic Subgroups with Distinct Enhancer Landscapes

Pascal D. Johann, Serap Erkek, Marc Zapatka, Kornelius Kerl, Ivo Buchhalter, Volker Hovestadt, David T. W. Jones, Dominik Sturm, Carl Hermann, Maia Segura Wang, ... Marcel Kool *Cancer Cell* (2016-03) <a href="https://doi.org/f8fmz4">https://doi.org/f8fmz4</a>

DOI: <u>10.1016/j.ccell.2016.02.001</u> · PMID: <u>26923874</u>

### 94. Chromosome 19 microRNA cluster enhances cell reprogramming by inhibiting epithelial-to-mesenchymal transition

Ezinne F. Mong, Ying Yang, Kemal M. Akat, John Canfield, Jeffrey VanWye, John Lockhart, John C. M. Tsibris, Frederick Schatz, Charles J. Lockwood, Thomas Tuschl, ... Hana Totary-Jain *Scientific Reports* (2020-12) <a href="https://doi.org/gg329d">https://doi.org/gg329d</a>

DOI: <u>10.1038/s41598-020-59812-8</u> · PMID: <u>32080251</u> · PMCID: <u>PMC7033247</u>

### 95. EZHIP is a specific diagnostic biomarker for posterior fossa ependymomas, group PFA and diffuse midline gliomas H3-WT with EZHIP overexpression

C. Antin, A. Tauziède-Espariat, M.-A. Debily, D. Castel, J. Grill, M. Pagès, O. Ayrault, F. Chrétien, A. Gareton, F. Andreiuolo, ... P. Varlet

Acta Neuropathologica Communications (2020-12) https://doi.org/gm3q3q

DOI: <u>10.1186/s40478-020-01056-8</u> · PMID: <u>33153494</u> · PMCID: <u>PMC7643397</u>

#### 96. H3 K27M mutations are extremely rare in posterior fossa group A ependymoma

Scott Ryall, Miguel Guzman, Samer K. Elbabaa, Betty Luu, Stephen C. Mack, Michael Zapotocky, Michael D. Taylor, Cynthia Hawkins, Vijay Ramaswamy

Child's Nervous System (2017-07) https://doi.org/gbn2dn

DOI: <u>10.1007/s00381-017-3481-3</u> · PMID: <u>28623522</u>

### 97. Molecular Classification of Ependymal Tumors across All CNS Compartments, Histopathological Grades, and Age Groups

Kristian W. Pajtler, Hendrik Witt, Martin Sill, David T.W. Jones, Volker Hovestadt, Fabian Kratochwil, Khalida Wani, Ruth Tatevossian, Chandanamali Punchihewa, Pascal Johann, ... Stefan M. Pfister *Cancer Cell* (2015-05) <a href="https://doi.org/f7ct8f">https://doi.org/f7ct8f</a>

DOI: <u>10.1016/j.ccell.2015.04.002</u> · PMID: <u>25965575</u> · PMCID: <u>PMC4712639</u>

#### 98. C11orf95-RELA fusions drive oncogenic NF-κB signalling in ependymoma

Matthew Parker, Kumarasamypet M. Mohankumar, Chandanamali Punchihewa, Ricardo Weinlich, James D. Dalton, Yongjin Li, Ryan Lee, Ruth G. Tatevossian, Timothy N. Phoenix, Radhika Thiruvenkatam, ... Richard J. Gilbertson

Nature (2014-02-27) <a href="https://doi.org/f5t87h">https://doi.org/f5t87h</a>

DOI: <u>10.1038/nature13109</u> · PMID: <u>24553141</u> · PMCID: <u>PMC4050669</u>

#### 99. Genomic landscape of high-grade meningiomas

Wenya Linda Bi, Noah F. Greenwald, Malak Abedalthagafi, Jeremiah Wala, Will J. Gibson, Pankaj K. Agarwalla, Peleg Horowitz, Steven E. Schumacher, Ekaterina Esaulova, Yu Mei, ... Rameen Beroukhim

npj Genomic Medicine (2017-12) https://doi.org/gbnhbw

DOI: <u>10.1038/s41525-017-0014-7</u> · PMID: <u>28713588</u> · PMCID: <u>PMC5506858</u>

# 100. Correlations between genomic subgroup and clinical features in a cohort of more than **3000** meningiomas

Mark W. Youngblood, Daniel Duran, Julio D. Montejo, Chang Li, Sacit Bulent Omay, Koray Özduman, Amar H. Sheth, Amy Y. Zhao, Evgeniya Tyrtova, Danielle F. Miyagishima, ... Murat Günel *Journal of Neurosurgery* (2020-11) <a href="https://doi.org/gm3q3r">https://doi.org/gm3q3r</a>

DOI: <u>10.3171/2019.8.jns191266</u> · PMID: <u>31653806</u>

### 101. Genetic alterations in uncommon low-grade neuroepithelial tumors: BRAF, FGFR1, and MYB mutations occur at high frequency and align with morphology

Ibrahim Qaddoumi, Wilda Orisme, Ji Wen, Teresa Santiago, Kirti Gupta, James D. Dalton, Bo Tang, Kelly Haupfear, Chandanamali Punchihewa, John Easton, ... David W. Ellison

Acta Neuropathologica (2016-06) https://doi.org/f8m3pn

DOI: <u>10.1007/s00401-016-1539-z</u> · PMID: <u>26810070</u> · PMCID: <u>PMC4866893</u>

#### 102. The genetic landscape of choroid plexus tumors in children and adults

Christian Thomas, Patrick Soschinski, Melissa Zwaig, Spyridon Oikonomopoulos, Konstantin Okonechnikov, Kristian W Pajtler, Martin Sill, Leonille Schweizer, Arend Koch, Julia Neumann, ... Martin Hasselblatt

Neuro-Oncology (2021-04-12) https://doi.org/gppwxt

DOI: <u>10.1093/neuonc/noaa267</u> · PMID: <u>33249490</u> · PMCID: <u>PMC8041331</u>

#### 103. Langerhans cell histiocytosis in children

Jolie Krooks, Milen Minkov, Angela G. Weatherall

Journal of the American Academy of Dermatology (2018-06) https://doi.org/gdmdp2

DOI: 10.1016/j.jaad.2017.05.059 · PMID: 29754885

# 104. deconstructSigs: delineating mutational processes in single tumors distinguishes DNA repair deficiencies and patterns of carcinoma evolution

Rachel Rosenthal, Nicholas McGranahan, Javier Herrero, Barry S. Taylor, Charles Swanton *Genome Biology* (2016-12) https://doi.org/f8bdsq

DOI: <u>10.1186/s13059-016-0893-4</u> · PMID: <u>26899170</u> · PMCID: <u>PMC4762164</u>

#### 105. GitHub - raerose01/deconstructSigs: deconstructSigs

GitHub

https://github.com/raerose01/deconstructSigs

#### 106. BSgenome. Hsapiens. UCSC. hg38

Bioconductor

http://bioconductor.org/packages/BSgenome.Hsapiens.UCSC.hg38/

#### 107. MM2S: personalized diagnosis of medulloblastoma patients and model systems

Deena M. A. Gendoo, Benjamin Haibe-Kains

Source Code for Biology and Medicine (2016-12) https://doi.org/ghcqf2

DOI: <u>10.1186/s13029-016-0053-y</u> · PMID: <u>27069505</u> · PMCID: <u>PMC4827218</u>

# 108. A polyphenotypic malignant paediatric brain tumour presenting a *MN1-PATZ1* fusion, no epigenetic similarities with CNS High-Grade Neuroepithelial Tumour with *MN1* Alteration (CNS HGNET-MN1) and related to *PATZ1*-fused sarcomas

F. Burel-Vandenbos, G. Pierron, C. Thomas, S. Reynaud, V. Gregoire, G. Duhil de Benaze, S. Croze,

N. Chivoret, M. Honavar, D. Figarella-Branger, ... C. Godfraind

Neuropathology and Applied Neurobiology (2020-08) https://doi.org/gmndph

DOI: 10.1111/nan.12626 · PMID: 32397004

### 109. Embryonal Tumors of the Central Nervous System in Children: The Era of Targeted Therapeutics.

David E Kram, Jacob J Henderson, Muhammad Baig, Diya Chakraborty, Morgan A Gardner, Subhasree Biswas, Soumen Khatua

Bioengineering (Basel, Switzerland) (2018-09-23) <a href="https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/30249036">https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/30249036</a>
DOI: <a href="https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/30249036">10.3390/bioengineering5040078</a> · PMID: <a href="https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/30249036">20249036</a> · PMCID: <a href="https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/30249036">PMCID: <a href="https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/30249036">PMCID: <a href="https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/30249036">PMCID: <a href="https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/30249036">10.3390/bioengineering5040078</a> · PMID: <a href="https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/30249036">20249036</a> · PMCID: <a href="https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/30249036">PMCID: <a href="https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/30249036">PMCID: <a href="https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/30249036">PMCID: <a href="https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/30249036">PMCID: <a href="https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/30249036">10.3390/bioengineering5040078</a> · PMCID: <a href="https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/30249036">20.3390/bioengineering5040078</a> · PMCID: <a href="https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/30249036">20.3390/bioengineering5040078</a> · PMCID: <a href="https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/30249036">20.3390/bioengineering5040078</a> · PMCID: <a href="https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/30249036">20.3390/bioengineering5040078</a> · PMCID: <a href="https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/30249036">20.3390/bioengineering6040078</a> · PMCID: <a href="https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/30249036">20.3390/bioengineering604007</a> · PMCID: <a href="https://www.ncbi.nlm

### 110. LIN28A, a sensitive immunohistochemical marker for Embryonal Tumor with Multilayered Rosettes (ETMR), is also positive in a subset of Atypical Teratoid/Rhabdoid Tumor (AT/RT)

Shilpa Rao, R. T. Rajeswarie, T. Chickabasaviah Yasha, Bevinahalli N. Nandeesh, Arimappamagan Arivazhagan, Vani Santosh

Child's Nervous System (2017-11) https://doi.org/ggnpkn

DOI: <u>10.1007/s00381-017-3551-6</u> · PMID: <u>28744687</u>

### 111. Childhood Medulloblastoma and Other Central Nervous System Embryonal Tumors Treatment (PDQ®)-Health Professional Version - National Cancer Institute (2008-02-13)

https://www.cancer.gov/types/brain/hp/child-cns-embryonal-treatment-pdg

### 112. DNA Methylation Profiling for Diagnosing Undifferentiated Sarcoma with Capicua Transcriptional Receptor (CIC) Alterations

Evelina Miele, Rita De Vito, Andrea Ciolfi, Lucia Pedace, Ida Russo, Maria Debora De Pasquale, Angela Di Giannatale, Alessandro Crocoli, Biagio De Angelis, Marco Tartaglia, ... Giuseppe Maria Milano

International Journal of Molecular Sciences (2020-03-06) <a href="https://doi.org/ggnn7x">https://doi.org/ggnn7x</a>

DOI: 10.3390/ijms21051818 · PMID: 32155762 · PMCID: PMC7084764

### 113. LIN28A immunoreactivity is a potent diagnostic marker of embryonal tumor with multilayered rosettes (ETMR)

Andrey Korshunov, Marina Ryzhova, David T. W. Jones, Paul A. Northcott, Peter van Sluis, Richard Volckmann, Jan Koster, Rogier Versteeg, Cynthia Cowdrey, Arie Perry, ... Marcel Kool *Acta Neuropathologica* (2012-12) <a href="https://doi.org/f4dxvc">https://doi.org/f4dxvc</a>

DOI: <u>10.1007/s00401-012-1068-3</u> · PMID: <u>23161096</u> · PMCID: <u>PMC3508282</u>

### 114. ITD assembler: an algorithm for internal tandem duplication discovery from short-read sequencing data

Navin Rustagi, Oliver A Hampton, Jie Li, Liu Xi, Richard A. Gibbs, Sharon E. Plon, Marek Kimmel, David A. Wheeler

BMC Bioinformatics (2016-12) <a href="https://doi.org/gmndpj">https://doi.org/gmndpj</a>

DOI: <u>10.1186/s12859-016-1031-8</u> · PMID: <u>27121965</u> · PMCID: <u>PMC4847212</u>

#### 115. Central Neurocytoma and Extraventricular Neurocytoma

Carrie A. Mohila, Ronald A. Rauch, Adekunle M. Adesina

Atlas of Pediatric Brain Tumors (2016) https://doi.org/gmndn7

DOI: <u>10.1007/978-3-319-33432-5 20</u> · ISBN: <u>9783319334301</u>

#### 116. Papillary craniopharyngioma: a clinicopathological study of 48 cases

Thomas B. Crotty, Bernd W. Scheithauer, William F. Young, Dudley H. Davis, Edward G. Shaw, Gary M. Miller, Peter C. Burger

Journal of Neurosurgery (1995-08) https://doi.org/ccpj22

DOI: 10.3171/jns.1995.83.2.0206 · PMID: 7616262

#### 117. The descriptive epidemiology of craniopharyngioma

Greta R. Bunin, Tanya S. Surawicz, Philip A. Witman, Susan Preston-Martin, Faith Davis, Janet M. Bruner

Journal of Neurosurgery (1998-10) https://doi.org/fh9xsh

DOI: <u>10.3171/jns.1998.89.4.0547</u> · PMID: <u>9761047</u>

#### 118. Pfam: Family: PK\_Tyr\_Ser-Thr (PF07714) https://pfam.xfam.org/family/PF07714

119. http://hgdownload.soe.ucsc.edu/goldenPath/hg38/database/pfamDesc.txt.gz

#### 120. Accelerating Discovery of Functional Mutant Alleles in Cancer

Matthew T. Chang, Tripti Shrestha Bhattarai, Alison M. Schram, Craig M. Bielski, Mark T. A. Donoghue, Philip Jonsson, Debyani Chakravarty, Sarah Phillips, Cyriac Kandoth, Alexander Penson, ... Barry S. Taylor

Cancer Discovery (2018-02) <a href="https://doi.org/gf9twp">https://doi.org/gf9twp</a>

DOI: 10.1158/2159-8290.cd-17-0321 · PMID: 29247016 · PMCID: PMC5809279

# 121. Identifying recurrent mutations in cancer reveals widespread lineage diversity and mutational specificity

Matthew T Chang, Saurabh Asthana, Sizhi Paul Gao, Byron H Lee, Jocelyn S Chapman, Cyriac Kandoth, JianJiong Gao, Nicholas D Socci, David B Solit, Adam B Olshen, ... Barry S Taylor *Nature Biotechnology* (2016-02) https://doi.org/gf7vxg

DOI: 10.1038/nbt.3391 · PMID: 26619011 · PMCID: PMC4744099

### 122. The functional domains in p53 family proteins exhibit both common and distinct properties

KL Harms, X Chen

Cell Death & Differentiation (2006-06) https://doi.org/fwgrrt

DOI: 10.1038/sj.cdd.4401904 · PMID: 16543939

#### 123. Inherited

Tanya Guha, David Malkin

Cold Spring Harbor perspectives in medicine (2017-04-03)

https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/28270529

DOI: <u>10.1101/cshperspect.a026187</u> · PMID: <u>28270529</u> · PMCID: <u>PMC5378014</u>

#### 124. The Sequence Alignment/Map format and SAMtools.

Heng Li, Bob Handsaker, Alec Wysoker, Tim Fennell, Jue Ruan, Nils Homer, Gabor Marth, Goncalo Abecasis, Richard Durbin,

Bioinformatics (Oxford, England) (2009-06-08) https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/19505943

DOI: <u>10.1093/bioinformatics/btp352</u> · PMID: <u>19505943</u> · PMCID: <u>PMC2723002</u>

#### 125. Inferring Telomerase Enzymatic Activity from Expression Data

Nighat Noureen, Shaofang Wu, Yingli Lyu, Juechen Yang, WK Alfred Yung, Jonathan Gelfond, Xiaojing Wang, Dimpy Koul, Andrew Ludlow, Siyuan Zheng

Bioinformatics (2020-05-26) <a href="https://doi.org/ggxfhq">https://doi.org/ggxfhq</a>

DOI: https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.05.21.109249

#### 126. d3b-center/OpenPBTA-workflows: Release v1.0.0

Jo Lynne Rokita, Miguel Brown

Zenodo (2022-03-16) https://doi.org/gppqwv

DOI: <u>10.5281/zenodo.6363520</u>