Capstone-Cheatsheet Part 2 by Blechturm, Page 1 of 2 1.4 Perceptron through Origin **Perceptron**($\{(x^{(i)}, y^{(i)}), i = 1, ..., n\}, T$):

Linear Classifier Feature vectors x, labels y

 $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$

$$y \in \{-1, 1\}$$

Training set

$$S_n = \{(x^{(i)}, y^{(i)}), i = 1, ..., n\}$$
 Classifier

$$h: \mathbb{R}^d \to \{-1, 1\}$$

$$\chi^+ = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^d : h(x) = 1\}$$

$$\chi^{-} = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : h(x) = 1\}$$

 $\chi^{-} = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^d : h(x) = -1\}$

$$\chi^- = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^d : h(x) = -1\}$$

Training error

 $\varepsilon_n(h) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \mathbf{1} \{ h(x^{(i)}) \neq y^{(i)} \}$

Set of classifiers

1.1 Linear classifiers through origin

Set of all points that satisfies a line through

$$\theta = \begin{bmatrix} \theta_1 \\ \theta_2 \end{bmatrix}$$
$$X = \begin{bmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \end{bmatrix}$$

 $h \in H$

Decision Boundary

$$\{x: \theta_1 x_1 + \theta_2 x_2 = 0\}$$
$$\{x: \theta \cdot X = 0\}$$

Linear Classifier through origin

 $h(x, \theta) = sign(\theta \cdot X)$

$$\Theta \in \mathbb{I}$$

$$\Theta \in \mathbb{F}$$

 $\Theta \in \mathbb{R}^d$

$$\Theta \in \mathbb{R}$$

1.2 Linear classifiers

General linear Classifier (with Intercept)
$$\theta = \begin{bmatrix} \theta_1 \\ \theta_2 \end{bmatrix}$$

 $X = \begin{bmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \end{bmatrix}$

Decision Boundary

$$\{x: \theta \cdot X + \theta_0 = 0\}$$

Linear Classifier through origin

classifier through origin
$$h(x, \Theta, \theta_0) = sign(\theta \cdot X + \theta_0)$$

$$\theta \in \mathbb{R}^d$$
 $\theta_0 \in \mathbb{R}$

 $\theta_0 \in \mathbb{R}$

1.3 Linear Separation

Traning examples $S_n = \{(x^{(i)}, y^{(i)}), i = 1, ..., n\}$

are linear separable if there exists a parame-

that $v^{(i)}(\hat{\theta} \cdot x^{(i)} + \hat{\theta}_0) > 0$ for all $i = 1, \dots, n$. $(\hat{\theta} \cdot x^{(i)}) > 0$ $\begin{cases} y^{(i)} > 0 \text{ and } \theta \cdot x^{(i)} > 0 \\ y^{(i)} < 0 \text{ and } \theta \cdot x^{(i)} < 0 \end{cases}$

ter vector $\hat{\theta}$ and offset parameter $\hat{\theta}_0$ such

$$y^{(i)} < 0$$
 and $\theta \cdot x^{(i)} < 0$
 $y^{(i)}(\theta \cdot x^{(i)}) > 0$ if label and classified result match. This leads to a new definition of the

match. This leads to a new definition of the

 $\varepsilon_n(\theta) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \mathbf{1} \{ y^{(i)}(\theta \cdot x^{(i)}) \le 0 \}$

 $\varepsilon_n(\theta,\theta_0) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \mathbf{1} \{ y^{(i)}(\theta \cdot x^{(i)} + \theta_0) \le 0 \}$

initialize $\theta = 0$ (vector);

for $i = 1, \dots, n$ do

1.5 Perceptron with Offset

for $t = 1, \dots, T$ do

1.6 Margin Boundary

margin boundary is $\frac{1}{\|Q\|}$.

Hinge Loss (agreement)

 $\frac{y^{(i)}(\theta \cdot x^{(i)} + \theta_0)}{\|\theta\|} = \frac{1}{\|\theta\|}.$

Objective Function

ar classification:

average hinge loss.

1.7 Gradient Descent

through gradient descent.

1.8 Stochastic Gradient Descent

 $J(\theta, \theta_0) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \operatorname{Loss}_h(z) + \frac{\lambda}{2} ||\theta||^2$

With stochastic gradient descent, we choose

 $i \in \{1,...,n\}$ at random and update θ such that

 $\theta \leftarrow \theta - \eta \nabla_{\theta} \left[\operatorname{Loss}_{h}(z) + \frac{\lambda}{2} \| \theta \|^{2} \right]$

In other words, we will

parameter.

for $i = 1, \dots, n$ do

if $y^{(i)}(\theta \cdot x^{(i)}) \le 0$ then

update $\theta = \theta + v^{(i)}x^{(i)}$

Perceptron($\{(x^{(i)}, y^{(i)}), i = 1, ..., n\}, T$):

initialize $\theta = 0$ (vector); $\theta_0 = 0$ (scalar)

if $y^{(i)}(\theta \cdot x^{(i)} + \theta_0) \le 0$ then

The Margin Boundary is the set of points x

which satisfy $\theta \cdot x + \theta_0 = \pm 1$. So, the signed

distance from the decision boundary to the

 $Agreement = z = y^{(i)}(\theta \cdot x^{(i)} + \theta_0)$

 $Loss_h(z) = \begin{cases} 0 \text{ if } z \ge 1\\ 1 - z \text{ if } z < 1 \end{cases}$

Regularization means pushing out the mar-

gin boundaries by adding $max(\frac{1}{\|Q\|})$ or

Objective function = average loss + regulari-

Objective function is minimized, learning becomes an optimization problem. Using hinge loss and margin boundaries is called **Sup**-

port Vector Machine or Large margin line-

 $J(\theta, \theta_0) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \operatorname{Loss}_h(z) + \frac{\lambda}{2} \|\theta\|^2$.

Where $\lambda > 0$ is called the regularization pa-

rameter that regulates how important the margin boundaries are in comparison to the

Assume $\theta \in \mathbb{R}$ the goal is to

find θ that minimizes $J(\theta, \theta_0) =$

 $\frac{1}{n}\sum_{i=1}^{n} \operatorname{Loss}_{h}(v^{(i)}(\theta \cdot x^{(i)} + \theta_{0})) + \frac{\lambda}{2} \|\theta\|^{2}$

• Start θ at an arbitrary location: $\theta \leftarrow$

Update θ repeatedly with θ ← θ −

 $\eta \frac{\partial J(\theta, \theta_0)}{\partial \theta}$ until θ does not change si-

 $=\frac{1}{n}\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left[\operatorname{Loss}_{h}(z)+\frac{\lambda}{2}\parallel\theta\parallel^{2}\right]$

 $min(\frac{1}{2} \|\theta\|^2)$ to the objective function.

update $\theta = \theta + v^{(i)}x^{(i)}$

update $\theta_0 = \theta_0 + v^{(i)}$

for $t = 1, \dots, T$ do

Consider a line L in \mathbb{R}^2 given by the equation $L:\theta\cdot x+\theta_0=$ where θ is a vector normal to the line L. Let the point P be the endpoint of a vector x_0 (so the coordinates of P equal the components of x_0). The shortest distance d between the line Land the point *P* is:

 $d = \frac{|\theta \cdot x_0 + \theta_0|}{\|\theta\|}$

Classification:

 $S_n = \{(x^{(t)}, x^{(t)})|t = 1, \dots, n\}$

$$x^{(t)} \in \mathbb{R}^d, y^{(t)} \in \{-1,1\}$$
 Regression:

2 Linear Algebra

2.1 Distance

$$f(x,\theta,\theta_0)=\sum_{i=1}^d(\theta_ix_i+\theta_0)=$$

$$=\theta\cdot x+\theta_0$$
 3.1 Objective for linear regression

The empirical risk R_n is defined as $R_n(\theta) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{t=1}^n \text{Loss}(y^{(t)} - \theta \cdot x^{(t)})$

where $(x^{(t)}, y^{(t)})$ is the tth training example

(and there are n in total), and Loss is some loss function, such as hinge loss. Possible to get closed form solution for gradient because function is concave. Only pos-

sible if the dxd matrix A is invertible. Computationally expensive if dimensions are very high like in bag of words approach.

 $\nabla R_{ii}(\theta) = A\theta - b(=0)$

$$=A^{-1}\,b$$
 where
$$A=\tfrac{1}{n}\,\sum_{t=1}^n\,x^{(t)}(x^{(t)})^T$$

 $b = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{t=1}^{n} y^{(t)} x^{(t)}$

b is a vector with dimensionality d.

3.2 Gradient based Approach

Nudge gradient in the opposite direction to find (local) minima.

$$\begin{split} \nabla_{\theta}(y^{(t)} - \theta x^{(t)})^2 / 2 &= \\ &= (y^{(t)} - \theta x^{(t)}) \nabla_{\theta}(y^{(t)} - \theta x^{(t)}) &= \\ &= -(y^{(t)} - \theta x^{(t)}) \cdot x^{(t)} \end{split}$$

- initialize $\theta = 0$
- randomly pick $t = \{1, \dots, n\}$
- $\theta = \theta + \eta (v^{(t)} \theta x^{(t)}) \cdot x^{(t)}$ Where η is the learning rate (steps) and the

learning rate gets smaller the closer you get gnificantly. $\eta_k = \frac{1}{1+k}$ Where $\eta > 0$ is called the stepsize or **learning** 3.3 Ridge Regression

Regularization is trying to push away from

$$\begin{split} &J_{n,\lambda}(\theta,\theta_0) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{t=1}^n \frac{(y^{(t)} - \theta \cdot x^{(t)} - \theta_0)^2}{2} + \frac{\lambda}{2} \left\|\theta\right\|^2 \\ &\nabla_{\theta}(J_{n,\lambda}) = \lambda \theta - (y^{(t)} - \theta x^{(t)}) x^{(t)} \end{split}$$

- initialize $\theta = 0$ • randomly pick $t = \{1, \dots, n\}$
- $\theta = \theta + \eta \lambda \theta (v^{(t)} \theta x^{(t)})x^{(t)} = (1 \theta x^{(t)})x^{(t)}$ $\eta \lambda)\theta + \eta(y^{(t)} - \theta \cdot x^{(t)})$

3.4 Kernels

$$\phi(x') = \begin{bmatrix} x'_1, x'_2, x'_1{}^2, \sqrt{2}x'_1x'_2, x'_2{}^2 \end{bmatrix} \qquad \frac{\pi}{du_2}(f) = 0$$

$$\phi(x) \cdot \phi(x') = x_1x'_1 + x_2x'_2 + x_1x'_1{}^2 + 2x_1x'_1x_2x'_2 + x_2x'_2{}^2 \qquad u_1 = \frac{66}{\lambda + 68}; u_2 = \frac{16}{\lambda + 53}$$

$$= (x_1x'_1 + x_2x'_2) + (x_1x'_1 + x_2x'_2)^2 \qquad \text{Use resulting water for } X \text{ with } x \text{ or } x \text{ or } x \text{ with } x \text{ or } x \text{ or } x \text{ with } x \text{ or } x$$

5 Clustering

 $\sum_{i=1}^{k} cost(C_i)$.

not react to length).

Clustering input: $S_n = \{x^{(i)} | n = 1, \dots, n\}$

tion of any C_i and C_i is an empty set.

Representatives of clusters: $z^{(1)}, \dots, z^{(k)}$.

Clustering output are indexes for the da-

ta that partition the data: C_1, \dots, C_k ; where

 $C_1 \cup C_2 \cup ... \cup C_K = \{1, 2, ..., n\}$ and the union

of all Ci 's is the original set and the intersec-

Cost of cluster is sum of distances from

data points to the representative of the

Cosine similarity: $cos(x^{(i)}, x^{(j)}) = \frac{x^{(i)} \cdot x^{(j)}}{\|x^{(i)}\| \|x^{(j)}\|}$ is

not sensitive of magnitude of vector (will

Euclidean square distance: $dist(x^{(i)}, x^{(j)}) =$

Only works with Euclidean square distance.

Given a set of feature vectors

 $S_n = \{x^{(i)}|i=1,...,n\}$ and the number

of clusters K we can find cluster assignments

 C_1, \dots, C_K and the representatives of each of the K clusters z_1, \dots, z_K :

(a) Given z_1, \dots, z_K , assign

each data point $x^{(i)}$

to the closest z_i , so

that $Cost(z_1,...z_K)$ =

 $\sum_{i=1}^{n} \min_{j=1,\dots,K} \|x^{(i)} - z_j\|^2$

(b) Given C_1, \dots, C_K find the best representatives z_1, \dots, z_K , i.e. find z_1, \dots, z_K such that z_j =

 $\operatorname{argmin}_{z} \sum_{i \in C_{i}} ||x^{(i)} - z||^{2}$

The best representative is found by

optimization (gradient with respect to

 $z^{(j)}$, setting to zero and solving for $z^{(j)}$).

The clustering output that the K-Means algo-

rithm converges to depends on the intializa-

 z_1, \dots, z_K for any distance measure. Uses real data points for initialization.

 $\{x_1,...,x_n\}$

2. Iterate

1. Randomly select $\{z_1,...,z_K\}\subseteq$

It is the centroid of the cluster: $z^{(j)} = \frac{L_{i \in C_j}}{|C_i|}$

1. Randomly select z_1, \dots, z_K

 $||x^{(i)} - x^{(j)}||^2$. Will react to length.

 $cost(C_1, \dots, C_k; Z^{(1)}, \dots, Z^{(1)})$

5.1 The K-Means Algorithm

 $\sum_{i=1}^{k} \sum_{c \in C_i} ||x^{(i)} - z^{(j)}||^2$

cluster: $Cost(C, z) = \sum_{i \in C} = distance(x^{(i)}, z)$

Two Views:

3.5 Kernel Perceptron The parameter vector of a preceptron algorithm can also be written as:

 $\theta = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \alpha_i y^{(j)} \phi(x^{(j)})$

 $\phi(x) = [x_1, x_2, x_1^2, \sqrt{2}x_1x_2, x_2^2]$

Where
$$\alpha_j$$
 represents the number of classifica-

 $= (x_1x_1' + x_2x_2') + (x_1x_1' + x_2x_2')^2$

tion mistakes the perceptron algo made. Every time a missclassification happens the parameter vector is updated with the product of the label and the feature vector $\theta = \theta + v^{(i)}x^{(i)}$. of individual clusters: $cost(C_1, \dots, C_k) =$ The goal of the Kernel Perceptron algo is to find the vector α with the counts of the missclassifications. Kernel Perceptron($\{(x^{(i)}, y^{(i)}), i = 1, ..., n, T\}$)

initialize $\alpha_1, \alpha_2, ..., \alpha_n$; to some values for $t = 1, \dots, T$ do

for
$$i=1,\cdots,1$$
 do
for $i=1,\cdots,n$ do
if $y^{(i)}\sum_{j=1}^{n}\alpha_{j}y^{(j)}K(x^{j},x^{i})\leq 0$ then
update $\alpha_{i}=\alpha_{i}+1y^{(i)}$
3.6 Radial basis Kernel

 $K(x, x') = e^{-\frac{1}{2}||x-x'||^2}$

The K-Nearest Neighbor method makes use

of ratings by K other "similarüsers when predicting Yai'. Let KNN(a) be the set of K users "similar toüser a, and let sim(a,b) be a similarity measure between users a and $b \in KNN(a)$. The K Nearest Neighbor method predicts a ranking

$$\widehat{Y}_{ai} = \frac{\sum_{b \in \text{KNN}(a)} \text{sim}(a, b) Y_{bi}}{\sum_{b \in \text{KNN}(a)} \text{sim}(a, b)}.$$

The similarity measure sim(a, b) could be any distance function between the feature vectors xa and x_b of users a and b, e.g. the euclidean distance $\|x_a - x_b\|$ and the cosine similarity $c\cos\theta = \frac{x_a \cdot x_b}{\|x_a\| \|x_b\|}$. 4.2 Collaborative Filtering

Matrix Y with n rows (users) and m columns (Movies) is sparse (entries missing), (a, i)th entry $Y_a i$ is the rating by user a of movie i if this rating has already been given, and blank if not. Goal is to predict matrix X with no missing entries. Let D be the set of all (a, i)'s for which a user

rating $Y_a i$ exists, i.e. $(a, i) \in D$ if and only if the rating of user a to movie i exists.

tion.
$$J = \sum_{(a,i) \in D} \frac{(v_{ai} - \left[UV^T\right]_{ai})^2}{2} + \frac{1}{2} \left(\sum_{a,k} U_{ak}^2 + \sum_{i,k} \frac{\mathbf{r}_{i}^2 \mathbf{r}_{i}}{\mathbf{r}_{i}^2 \mathbf{r}_{i}} \frac{\mathbf{k}\text{-Medoids Algorithm}}{\mathbf{k}} \right)$$

$$u = \begin{bmatrix} u_1 \\ u_2 \end{bmatrix}; v = \begin{bmatrix} v_1 \\ v_2 \\ v_3 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\begin{bmatrix} v_1 \\ v_3 \\ v_3 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\begin{bmatrix} v_1 \\ v_2 \\ v_3 \end{bmatrix}$$

 $uv^T = \begin{bmatrix} 2u_1 7u_1 8_u 1 \\ 2u_2 7_u 1 8_u 2 \end{bmatrix}$

Take derivative of Objective function J with respect to every user, set it to zero and find respective u_i value:

the best representative
$$\frac{d}{du_1}(\frac{(7-8u_1)^2}{2}+\frac{1}{2}u^2)=0 \qquad \qquad z_j \in \left\{x_1,...,x_n\right\} \text{ such that } \\ \frac{d}{du_2}(J)=0 \qquad \qquad \sum_{x'^{(i)} \in C_j} \operatorname{dist}(x^{(i)},z_j) \quad \text{ is } \\ x_2x_2'^2 \qquad u_1=\frac{66}{\lambda+68}; u_2=\frac{16}{\lambda+53} \qquad \qquad \text{6 Generative Models} \\ \text{Use resulting walues for } u \text{ to compute } uv^T \\ \operatorname{compare resulting matrix } X \text{ with matrix } Y \\ \operatorname{and start again. Continue until convergence.} \\ \text{6.1 Multinomial Models}$$

Fixed Vocabulary W

Likelihood of generating certain word $w \in$

W: $p(w|\theta) = \theta_w$ where $\theta_w \ge 0$ and

 $\sum_{w \in W} \theta_w = 1.$

$$P(D|\theta) = \prod_{i=1}^{n} \theta_{wi}$$
$$= \prod_{w \in W} \theta_{w}^{count(w)}$$

Multinomial model M to generate text in

(b) Given $C_i \in \{C_1, ..., C_K\}$ find

the best representative

Toy Example:

$$\theta_2: \theta_{cat} = 0.9; \theta_{dog} = 0.1$$

$$D = \{cat, cat, dog\}$$

$$P(D|\theta_1) = 0.3^2 \cdot 0.7 = 0.063$$

$$P(D|\theta_2) = 0.9^2 \cdot 0.1 = 0.081$$

 $\theta_1: \theta_{cat} = 0.3; \theta_{dog} = 0.7$

 $max_{\theta}P(D|theta) = max_{theta}\prod_{w \in W}\theta_{w}^{count(w)}$

 $log \prod_{i=1}^{n} \theta_{w}^{count(w)} = \sum_{w \in W} count(w) log(\theta_{w})$ $W = \{0, 1\}; \theta_0 = \theta; \theta_1 = (1 - \theta)$ $\frac{d}{d\theta}(count(0)log(\theta) + count(1)log(1 - \theta) =$

Maximum likelihoods

 $\hat{\theta} = \frac{count(0)}{count(1) + count(0)}$ For any length of W:

$$\hat{\theta} = \frac{count(w)}{\sum_{w' \in W} count(w)}$$
 6.2 Prediction

Goal: categorize between minus and plus class. Both classes have a associated para-

meter θ^+ and θ^- Class conditional distribution:

$$log(\frac{P(D|\theta^+)}{P(D|\theta^-)} = \begin{cases} \geq 0, + \\ < 0, - \end{cases}$$

Model is the same as a linear classifier

through origin:

 $log(P(D|\theta^+)) - log(P(D|\theta^-)) =$

(a) Given z_1, \dots, z_K , assign

each data point $x^{(i)}$

to the closest z_i , so that $Cost(z_1,...z_K) =$

 $\sum_{i=1}^{n} \min_{j=1,...,K} ||x^{(i)} - z_j||^2$

 $= log \prod_{w \in W} \theta_w^{+count(w)} - log \prod_{w \in W} \theta_w^{-count(w)} =$ $=\sum_{w\in W} count(w)log(\theta_w^{+count(w)}) - \sum_{w\in W} count(w)$

 $= \sum_{w \in W} count(w) log \frac{\theta_w^{+count(w)}}{e^{-count(w)}}$ $=\textstyle\sum_{w\in W}count(w)\tilde{\theta}_w$

6.1 Multinominal Models

Document D

Likelihood function: Cost of partitioning is the sum of costs

6.3 Prior, Posterior and Likelihood

From bayes rule $P(A|B) = \frac{P(B|A)P(A)}{P(B'B)}$ we get:

$$P(y = +|D) = \frac{P(D|\theta^+)P(y=+)}{P(D)}$$

Where P(y = +|D) is the posterior distribution and P(y = +) is the prior distribution while $P(D|\theta+)$ is the likelihood of document D given parameter θ^+ . This yields (after some work) a linear separator with offset:

$$\begin{split} log(\frac{P(y=+|D)}{P(y=-|D)} &= \frac{P(D|\theta^+)P(y=+)}{P(D|\theta^-)P(y=-)} \\ &= log(\frac{P(D|\theta^+)}{P(D|\theta^-)}) + log(\frac{P(y=+)}{P(y=-)}) \\ &= \sum_{w \in W} count(w)\tilde{\theta}_w + \tilde{\theta}_0 \end{split}$$

6.4 Gaussian Generative models

Vectors in $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$ "cloudöf data in which μ (average over all points) is the center of the cloud and σ^2 (square of average distance) the radius. Probability of x generated by gaussian cloud:

$$P(x|\mu,\sigma^2) = \frac{1}{(2\pi\sigma^2)^{d/2}} exp(-\frac{1}{2\sigma^2}||x-\mu||^2)$$

Likelihood of the training data: $S_n =$ $\{x^{(t)}|t=1,\cdots,n\}$ given the gaussian model $p(S_n|\mu,\sigma^2) = \prod_{t=1}^{n} P(x^{(t)|\mu,\sigma^2})$

To get the MLE calculate likelihood, take the

$$\begin{split} \log(\prod_{t=1}^{n}\frac{1}{(2\pi\sigma^{2})^{d/2}}exp(-\frac{1}{2\sigma^{2}}\|x-\mu\|^{2})) &= \sum_{t=1}^{n}\log\frac{1}{2\sigma^{2}} + \sum_{t=1}^{n}\log(exp(-\frac{1}{2\sigma^{2}}\|x-\mu\|^{2})) \\ &= \sum_{t=1}^{n}(-\frac{d}{2}\log(2\pi\sigma^{2})) + \sum_{t=1}^{n}(-\frac{1}{2\sigma^{2}}\|x-\mu\|^{2}) \\ &= -\frac{nd}{2}\log(2\pi\sigma^{2}) + \frac{1}{2\sigma^{2}}\sum_{t=1}^{n}\|x-\mu\|^{2}) \\ &= L \end{split}$$

Differentiate loglikelihood with respect to μ and σ^2 set to zero and solve for the respective parameters yields:

$$\hat{\mu} = \frac{\sum_{t=1}^{n} x^{(t)}}{n}$$

$$\hat{\sigma}^{2} = \frac{\sum_{t=1}^{n} \|x^{(t)} - \mu\|}{n}$$

6.5 Gaussian Mixture Models

Is called SSoft Clustering"because it deals with probabilities not hard classification.

We have K clusters, each with own gaussian cloud $N(x, \mu^{(j)}, \sigma_{(j)}^2), j = 1, \dots, K$.

Each Cluster gets own mixture-weight j ~ $Multinomial(p_1, \cdots, p_k)$

Parameters of the mixture model are parameters of Multinomials and gaussians:

$$\theta = p_1, \dots, p_k; \mu^{(1)}, \dots, \mu^{(k)}; \sigma^2_{(j)}), \dots, \sigma^2_{(j)}$$

Conditional probability of data-point given gaussian mixture:

$$P(x|\theta) = \sum_{i=1}^K p_j N(x,\mu^{(j)},\sigma^2_{(j)}$$

Conditional Likelihood of Training set S_n given gaussian mixture:

$$P(S_n|\theta) = \prod_{j=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^k N(x, \mu^{(j)}, \sigma_{(j)}^2)$$
 Observed Case:

We know to which mixture x belongs.

Indicator Variable is used to count the ca- $V^*(s) = max \sum_{s'} T(s, a, s') [R(s, a, s') + \gamma V^*(s')]$ ses in which observation is part of a cluster $\delta(i|i) = \mathbf{1}(x^{(i)})$ is assinged to j).

$$\delta(j|i) = \mathbf{1}(x^{(i)} \text{ is assinged to } j).$$

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} \left[\sum_{j=1}^{k} \delta(j|i) log(p_j N(x, \mu^{(j)}, \sigma_{(j)}^2)) \right] =$$

Optimizing (according to MLE principle)

 $= \sum_{i=1}^{k} \left[\sum_{i=1}^{n} \delta(j|i) log(p_{i}N(x, \mu^{(j)}, \sigma_{(i)}^{2})) \right]$

$$\begin{split} \hat{n}_j &= \sum_{i=1}^n \delta(j|i) \\ \hat{p}_j &= \frac{\hat{n}_j}{n} \\ \hat{\mu}^{(j)} &= \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \delta(j|i) \cdot x^{(i)} \\ \hat{\sigma}^2 &= \frac{1}{n_j} \sum_{i=1}^n \delta(j|i) \|x^{(i)} - \mu^{(j)}\|^2 \end{split}$$

EM Algorithm (Unobserved Case):

We don't know to which mixture x belongs

- 1. Randomly initialize $\theta =$ $p_1, \dots, p_k; \mu^{(1)}, \dots, \mu^{(k)}; \sigma^2_{(i)}), \dots, \sigma^2_{(i)}$
- - (a) Calculate the softcount of a point (the probability of a cluster j given the point $i: p(j \mid i) = \frac{p_j \, \mathcal{N}(x^{(i)}; \mu^{(j)}, \sigma_j^2)}{p_j \, \mathcal{N}(x^{(i)}; \mu^{(j)}, \sigma_j^2)}$ $p(x^{(i)}|\theta)$ $P(x|\theta)$ where $\sum_{i=1}^{K} p_{i} N(x, \mu^{(i)}, \sigma_{(i)}^{2})$
- - (a) Use softcounts to calculate

$$\begin{split} \hat{n}_{j} &= \sum_{i=1} p(j|i) \\ \hat{p}_{j} &= \frac{\hat{n}_{j}}{n} \\ \hat{\mu}^{(j)} &= \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} p(j|i) \cdot x^{(i)} \\ \hat{\sigma}_{i}^{2} &= \frac{1}{n_{i}} \sum_{i=1}^{n} p(j|i)(x^{(i)} - \mu^{(j)})^{2} \end{split}$$

6.6 Reinforcement Learning

A Markov decision process (MDP) is defi-

a set of states $s \in S$ a set of actions $a \in A$ Action dependent transition probabilities T(s,a,s') = P(s'|s,a), so that for each state s and action a, $\sum_{s' \in S} T(s, a, s') = 1$.

Reward functions R(s, a, s') representing the reward for starting in state s, taking action a and ending up in state s' after one step. (The reward function may also depend only on s, or only s and a.)

of only s and u-flow decision process is defined by $MDP = \langle S, A, T, R \rangle$ MDPs satisfy the Markov property in that the transition probabilities and rewards depend only on the current state and action, and remain unchanged regardless of the history (i.e. past states and actions) that leads to the current Rewards collected after the nth step do not

Markov properties: Rewards collected after the nth step do not depend on the previous actions $a_1, \hat{a}_2, \dots, a_n$ (Infinite horizon) discounted reward

depend on the previous states s_1, s_2, \dots, s_{n-1}

 $U[s_0, s_1,...] = R(s_0) + \gamma R(s_1) + \gamma^2 R(s_2)... =$ $=\sum_{t=0}^{\infty} \gamma^t R(s_t)$ where $0 \le \gamma < 1$

$$\sum_{s'} T(s, a, s') [R(s, a, s') + \gamma V^*(s')]$$

$$Q^*(s,a) = \sum_{s'} T(s,a,s') [R(s,a,s') + \gamma V^*(s')]$$

Q-value: Q(s,a) in state s take action a and act optimally afterwards.

Policy $\pi^* : s \to a$ is set of actions to maximize the expected reward for every state s.

$$\pi^*(s) = argmax_a(Q^*(s, a)$$

$$Q^*(s, a) = \sum_{s'} T(s, a, s') [R(s, a, s') + \gamma max_a Q(s', a')]$$

To find the policy two algos: Value iteration and O-value iteration (look online)

Bellman Equations

6.7 Q value iteration by sampling