

VU Minor Applied Econometrics

Bayesian Econometrics for Business & Economics

(Bayesian statistics & simulation methods)

Period 2, 2025-2026 (E_MFAE_BEBE)

Lennart Hoogerheide

Vrije Universiteit Amsterdam

E-mail: l.f.hoogerheide@vu.nl

Assignments (for $(30 - \epsilon)\%$ of the grade)

There are two alternatives:

- (I) Four assignments that involve programming the simulation methods (e.g. in Python/Matlab/R).
- (II) Write an essay to summarize (and give feedback on – what could be done better/more?) four Bayesian articles.

About the assignments:

- The assignments should be made with ‘groups’ of 1/2/3 students.
- A .pdf file with answers must be submitted.
For alternative (I) also the code must be submitted.
(For example, the Python/Matlab/R files).
- Deadline for assignment 1: **Wednesday November 12, 23:59**.
- Deadline for assignment 2: **Wednesday November 19, 23:59**.

Alternative (II):

Assignment 1: summarize (and give feedback on – what could be done better/more?) the following article:

George Casella, Edward I. George (1992).

Explaining the Gibbs Sampler.

The American Statistician 46 (3), 167-174.

Assignment 2: summarize (and give feedback on – what could be done better/more?) the following article:

Lennart Hoogerheide, David Ardia, Nienke Corré (2012).

Stock Index Returns' Density Prediction using GARCH Models:
Frequentist or Bayesian Estimation?

Economics Letters 116 (3), 322-325.

Alternative (I): Assignment 1: Model with normal distribution and Gibbs sampling

A company that has multiple shops in different cities wants to analyze whether shop A and shop B have a different expected profit.

The company has computed the difference y_j (= profit of shop A - profit of shop B, in 10000 euros) for 10 periods:

1.6088

-1.4579

0.4502

1.0701

-0.3803

1.1201

0.4199

0.3998

-0.1904

0.3932

In this exercise we assume that $y_j \sim N(\mu, \frac{1}{h})$, i.i.d. where mean μ and precision h are unknown parameters.

(a) First we assume that we specify the (improper) non-informative prior

$$p(\mu, h) \propto \begin{cases} \frac{1}{h} & \text{if } h > 0 \\ 0 & \text{else} \end{cases}$$

Simulate from the posterior distribution of μ and h by applying the **Gibbs sampling method (from slide 52 of lecture 2)** with 11000 draws (with a *burn-in* of 1000 draws) and initial value $\mu = \bar{y}$.

Please first read the hints/warnings on slides 6-7 of this assignment!

Inspect the *trace plot* of the draws of μ (i.e., the graph with μ_i on the vertical axis and $i = 1, 2, \dots, 11000$ on the horizontal axis): does the Gibbs sampling method keep moving through the parameter space or does it 'get stuck' in a certain point?

Use the resulting 10000 draws to estimate the posterior probabilities $\Pr(\mu < 0|y)$ and $\Pr(\mu > 0|y)$. Interpret the results.

Hints/warnings:

- (1) There exist two versions of the Gamma distribution with parameters:
- *shape a* and *scale b* (or *shape k* and *scale θ*), used during this course.
 - *shape a* and *rate 1/b* (or *shape α* and *rate β*)

For example, see also

https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Gamma_distribution.

Check which version of the Gamma distribution your computer package uses. If necessary, use arguments a and $\frac{1}{b}$.

- (2) On the lecture slides the second parameter of the normal distribution $N(\mu, \sigma^2)$ is the **variance** σ^2 , whereas computer packages often require the **standard deviation** $\sigma = \sqrt{\sigma^2}$.

Hint: So, the pseudocode is given by:

- Compute $\mu_0 = \bar{y}$, the sample mean of the $n = 10$ observations.
- Set $n_{draws} = 11000$ (and please notice the difference between $n_{draws} = 11000$, which is the number of iterations of the for-loop that we can choose ourselves, and $n = 10$, the number of available observations, where $n = 10$ appears in the conditional posterior distributions)
- Do for draw $i = 1, \dots, n_{draws}$:
 - Simulate h_i from $\text{Gamma}(a = n/2, b = (\frac{1}{2} \sum_{j=1}^n (y_j - \mu_{i-1})^2)^{-1})$ distribution.
 - Simulate μ_i from normal distribution with mean \bar{y} and standard deviation $\frac{1}{\sqrt{h_i n}}$.
- Estimate $\Pr(\mu < 0 | y)$ as the fraction of the 10000 draws μ_i ($i = 1001, 1002, \dots, 11000$) that are negative, and $\Pr(\mu > 0 | y)$ as the fraction of the 10000 draws μ_i ($i = 1001, 1002, \dots, 11000$) that are positive.

(b) Now suppose we have prior

$$p(\mu, h) = p(\mu) \times p(h)$$

with a normal prior density for μ :

$$\mu \sim N(m_{prior}, v_{prior})$$

with $m_{prior} = 0, v_{prior} = 100^2$. That is, a prior with mean 0 and large variance.

And the same prior $p(h)$ for h :

$$p(h) \propto \begin{cases} \frac{1}{h} & \text{if } h > 0 \\ 0 & \text{else} \end{cases}$$

Simulate from the posterior distribution of μ and h by applying the **Gibbs sampling method (from slide 54 of lecture 2)** with 11000 draws (with a *burn-in* of 1000 draws) and initial value $\mu = \bar{y}$. See the hint on slide 10 of this assignment.

Use the resulting 10000 draws to estimate $\Pr(\mu < 0|y)$ and $\Pr(\mu > 0|y)$. Compare the results with part (a).

Hint: So, the pseudocode is given by:

- Compute $\mu_0 = \bar{y}$, the sample mean of the $n = 10$ observations.
- Set $n_{draws} = 11000$, $m_{prior} = 0$, $v_{prior} = 100^2 = 10000$
- Do for draw $i = 1, \dots, n_{draws}$:
 - Simulate h_i from $\text{Gamma}(a = n/2, b = (\frac{1}{2} \sum_{j=1}^n (y_j - \mu_{i-1})^2)^{-1})$ distribution.
 - Simulate μ_i from normal distribution with mean $\frac{\frac{m_{prior}}{v_{prior}} + h_i n \bar{y}}{\frac{1}{v_{prior}} + h_i n}$ and standard deviation $\sqrt{\frac{1}{\frac{1}{v_{prior}} + h_i n}}$.
- Estimate $\Pr(\mu < 0|y)$ as the fraction of the 10000 draws μ_i ($i = 1001, 1002, \dots, 11000$) that are negative, and $\Pr(\mu > 0|y)$ as the fraction of the 10000 draws μ_i ($i = 1001, 1002, \dots, 11000$) that are positive.

(c) Now suppose we have prior

$$p(\mu, h) = p(\mu) \times p(h)$$

with a normal prior density for μ :

$$\mu \sim N(m_{prior}, v_{prior})$$

with $m_{prior} = 0.5$, $v_{prior} = 0.25^2$. That is, a prior with mean 0.5 and small variance.

And the same prior $p(h)$ for h :

$$p(h) \propto \begin{cases} \frac{1}{h} & \text{if } h > 0 \\ 0 & \text{else} \end{cases}$$

Simulate from the posterior distribution of μ and h by applying the **Gibbs sampling method (from slide 54 of lecture 2)** with 11000 draws (with a *burn-in* of 1000 draws) and initial value $\mu = \bar{y}$.

Use the resulting 10000 draws to estimate $\Pr(\mu < 0|y)$ and $\Pr(\mu > 0|y)$. Compare the results with part (a) and (b).

(d) Perform a classical/frequentist two-sided test of

$$H_0 : \mu = 0 \text{ versus}$$

$$H_1 : \mu \neq 0$$

at 5% significance, comparing the t-statistic $\frac{\bar{y}}{\sqrt{s^2/n}}$ with the 2.5% and 97.5% percentiles of the t_9 distribution (for which you can simply use the values -2.2622 and 2.2622). What is the conclusion?

Compare the conclusion with parts (a), (b) and (c).

Assignment 2: random walk Metropolis(-Hastings) method

- (a) The file Assignment2Dataset.csv contains the daily returns of the S&P 500 in the six years 2018-2023. Show a graph of the daily returns y_t .
- (b) We consider the ARCH(1) model (with variance targeting, so that α is the only unknown parameter). For α we specify the uniform prior on [0,1). In other words, we consider the ARCH(1) model and prior of lecture 3.

Use the **random walk Metropolis(-Hastings) method** (given on the next two slides) to estimate the posterior mean and posterior standard deviation of the parameter α . Use 1100 draws and a burn-in of 100 draws. Also show a histogram of the 1000 draws after the burn-in. Use the normal distribution $\tilde{\alpha} \sim N(\alpha_{i-1}, 0.0275^2)$ as the candidate distribution.

Also inspect the trace plot of the draws α and compute the acceptance percentage and the first order serial correlation in the sequence of accepted (and repeated) draws α . Interpret the results.

Hint: The following pseudocode can be used:

- Choose feasible initial value $\alpha_0 = 0.4745$ and set $n_{draws} = 1100$.
- Do for draw $i = 1, \dots, n_{draws}$:
 - Simulate candidate draw $\tilde{\alpha}$ from normal distribution with mean α_{i-1} and standard deviation 0.0275.
 - If $\tilde{\alpha} < 0$ or $\tilde{\alpha} \geq 1$, then set acceptance probability $a = 0$ (since the prior density $p(\tilde{\alpha})$ and posterior density $p(\tilde{\alpha}|y)$ are equal to 0 for $\tilde{\alpha} < 0$ and for $\tilde{\alpha} \geq 1$). Else compute acceptance probability

$$a = \min \{ \exp [\ln p(y|\tilde{\alpha}) - \ln p(y|\alpha_{i-1})], 1 \}$$

with loglikelihood $\ln p(y|\alpha)$ given on the next slide.

- Simulate U from uniform distribution on $[0, 1]$.
- If $U \leq a$, then accept: $\alpha_i = \tilde{\alpha}$ (accept candidate draw).
If $U > a$, then reject: $\alpha_i = \alpha_{i-1}$ (repeat previous draw).
- Estimate the posterior mean and posterior standard deviation of α as the sample mean and sample standard deviation of the 1000 draws α_i ($i = 101, 102, \dots, 1100$).

In our ARCH(1) model: loglikelihood¹

$$\ln p(y|\alpha) = \sum_{t=2}^n \left\{ -\frac{1}{2} \ln(2\pi [s^2(1-\alpha) + \alpha y_{t-1}^2]) - \frac{y_t^2}{2[s^2(1-\alpha) + \alpha y_{t-1}^2]} \right\}$$

¹The factor 2π is part of the constant scaling factor of the posterior density kernel and can be left out in this assignment.

- (c) Repeat part (b) where the normal candidate distribution has the **very small** standard deviation 0.002 instead of 0.0275, and compare the results with part (b).
- (d) Repeat part (b) where the normal candidate distribution has the **very large** standard deviation 6.0 instead of 0.0275, and compare the results with part (b).

(e) Estimate the GARCH(1,1) model of lecture 3 using the random walk Metropolis(-Hastings) method (again using 1100 draws with a burn-in of 100 draws) using a bivariate normal candidate distribution with mean $\theta_{i-1} = (\alpha_{i-1}, \beta_{i-1})'$ and variance-covariance matrix

$$\begin{pmatrix} 0.000430 & -0.000510 \\ -0.000510 & 0.000626 \end{pmatrix}$$

Compute the posterior mean and standard deviation of α and β .

Also inspect the trace plots of the (accepted and repeated) draws of α and β and compute the acceptance percentage and the two first order serial correlations in the sequences of (accepted and repeated) draws of α and β . Interpret the results.

Also make a scatter plot of (accepted and repeated) draws of α and β and a scatter plot of the *candidate* draws of α and β . Compare these two scatter plots.

(f) Repeat part (e) where the candidate distribution has variance-covariance matrix

$$\begin{pmatrix} 0.000430 & \mathbf{0} \\ \mathbf{0} & 0.000626 \end{pmatrix}$$

Compare the results with part (e).