

MESH ADAPTATION: A SHORT INTRODUCTION



PLAN

- What is mesh adaptation?
- A priori error estimator
- How to use it in practice



WHAT IS MESH ADAPTATION

- The numerical models leads to spatial discretization errors
- We want to adapt the mesh size to minimize/control this error
- => Need of a posteriori estimators (that use the approximated solution)
- 2 strategies:
 - uniform refinement of the mesh until the solution convergency (mesh independency): very expensive
 - non-uniform refinement: lot of nodes where the solution has large variations, few where the solution doesn't vary.
- Possibility to take into account the directions of the solution: anisotropic mesh



A PRIORI ERROR ESTIMATOR: UPPER BOUND OF THE ERROR IN THE LINEAR INTERPOLATION

- We suppose that we know the exact solution of our problem, u
- We want to found an upper bound of the distance between u and its linear interpolation over the mesh: u - Phu
- The starting point is a Taylor development of u Phu
- At the end, we can show that :

$$||u - P_h u||_{\infty,T} \le c_d \max_{x \in T} \max_{\vec{e} \in E_T} \langle \vec{e}, H u(x) \vec{e} \rangle$$

With T a mesh element, c_d a constant that depends on the dimension, E_T the list of the edges of T and Hu the Hessian of u;

(detailed proof: https://www.ljll.math.upmc.fr/frey/publications/RR-4759.pdf, p18 -> 23)

More particularly, in 2D, Cd = 2/9



HOW TO USE THIS MAJORATION IN PRACTICE

If H is linear over T and if we note Vi the vertices of the element T:

For
$$\bar{M} = \max_{v \in V_i} H_u(v)$$
,

$$\max_{x \in T} \max_{\vec{e} \in E_T} \langle \vec{e}, H_u(x) | \vec{e} \rangle \leq \max_{\vec{e} \in E_T} \langle \vec{e}, \bar{M}\vec{e} \rangle$$

Thus, the final upper bound of the error of interpolation is:

$$||u - P_h u||_{\infty, T} \le c_d \max_{\vec{e} \in E_T} \langle \vec{e}, M \vec{e} \rangle$$

 => The error of interpolation depends on the squared edge length and we can control this error by the edge length control.

HOW TO USE THIS MAJORATION IN PRACTICE

We want a maximal error of ∈ :

$$\epsilon = c_d < \vec{e}, \bar{M}\vec{e} >$$

$$\text{ and } \quad 1 = <\vec{e}, M\vec{e}> \text{ with } M = \frac{c_d}{\epsilon}M$$

- Then, to compute an anisotropic size map: just compute M at each mesh node;
- to compute an isotropic size map, compute the smallest size (s) prescribed by M: if we note L the largest eigenvalue of M, s² = 1/L.