Numerical Methods for Finance

Second Order Discretization Schemes for CIR Processes

Espel, Groeneweg, Pavon, Tomas

Imperial College London

March 19, 2018

Outline

- Discretizing CIR Processes
- 2 Dealing with Higher Order Schemes
- Simulation Results
- Using the Heston Model

Introduction

- What is the problem
- What does it matter?
- How we solved it = What we are going tell you

- Discretizing CIR Processes
- Dealing with Higher Order Schemes

- Simulation Results
- 4 Using the Heston Model

Problem setting

Noting X_t^x the solution of the CIR SDE:

$$dX_t^x = a - kX_t^x + \sigma \sqrt{X_t^x} dW_t$$

$$X_0^x = x, x \in \mathbb{R}_+$$


Qualitative analysis: when X is very close to 0, then the SDE becomes approximately

$$dX_t^{\times} \approx a + \sigma \sqrt{X_t^{\times}} dW_t$$

There are two possible regimes:

- If $\sigma << a$: X will mostly stay positive
- If $\sigma >> a$: X may become negative!

How usual numerical schemes can fail



 $t + \Delta t$

ㅁㅏㅓ@ㅏㅓㅌㅏㅓㅌㅏ . ㅌ . 쒸٩안

Regime
$$\sigma << a \ (\frac{\sigma^2}{4} \le a)$$

How do we keep the process positive and the scheme precise? Replace the original distribution by another with compact support. The higher the degree of precision, the more this variables has to account for the tail behaviour of the subsituted one.

Alfonsi shows [CITE] that we keep the scheme of order ν , if we substitute the random variable with one that matches the first $2\nu+1$ moments.

Using discrete random variables, we can control the tail probability and ensure the process stays positive.


Regime
$$\sigma >> a$$
 ($\frac{\sigma^2}{4} > a$)

Alonfsi proves [CITE]:

- When X_t^{\times} is far away from 0, then the scheme in the case $\sigma << a$ is also valid
- When X_t[×] is close to 0, we can approximate the process by a positive discrete random variable that matches the first two moments and still have a second-order scheme

And we know when to switch between the two, via a threshold **K**.

Algorithm in practice



t

 $t + \Delta t$

 $t + 2\Delta t$

 $t + 3\Delta t$

4□ > 4□ > 4 = > 4 = > = 90

1 Discretizing CIR Processes

- Dealing with Higher Order Schemes
- Simulation Results

4 Using the Heston Model

Dealing with Higher Order Schemes

Dealing with Higher Order Schemes

Discretizing CIR Processes

- 2 Dealing with Higher Order Schemes
- Simulation Results

4 Using the Heston Model

Simulation Results

Simulation Results

Discretizing CIR Processes

- 2 Dealing with Higher Order Schemes
- Simulation Results

Using the Heston Model

Using the Heston Model - Heston model

- Mathematical model implementing stochastic volatility.
- Uses an underlying CIR process for the variance.

Using the Heston Model - Heston model

$$dS_t = \mu S_t dt + \sqrt{\nu_t} S_t dW_t^s$$

$$d\nu_t = \kappa (\theta - \nu_t) dt + \xi \sqrt{\nu_t} dW_t^{\nu}$$


Using the Heston Model - Heston model


There is one subtlety when generating the random numbers.

$$\Delta S_t = \mu S_t dt + \sqrt{\nu_t} S_t Z_1$$

$$\Delta \nu_t = \kappa (\theta - \nu_t) dt + \xi \sqrt{\nu_t} Z_2$$

- In order to test the efficiency of the second order scheme, we calibrate the Heston Model using real data. In detail, we use a USDGBP volatility surface which was downloaded in Bloomberg.
- To calibrate the Heston model, we use the most liquid option in this market.





- In the currency option market, prices are quoted for moneyness levels for different time to expiry periods.
 These moneyness levels are:
 - At the money level or at the money forward (50 delta dual),
 - Out of the money level at 25 delta dual,
 - In the money level (75 delta dual).
- Delta dual is the first derivative with respect to the strike price.

$$\Delta_{\textit{dual}} = \frac{\partial \textit{BS}_\textit{call}}{\partial \textit{k}} = e^{-\textit{r}_\textit{d}} \Phi \left(\frac{\textit{In}(\textit{S}_0/\textit{K}) + (\textit{r}_\textit{d} - \textit{r}_\textit{f} - \sigma^2(0.5))\textit{T}}{\sigma \sqrt{\textit{T}}} \right)$$



Basically, it is necessary to compute the associated strike for each option in order to compute the following equation:

$$\min_{\theta,\sigma,\rho,\kappa,\eta,\mu} = (BS(\sigma,r,K,S_0,T) - P_{heston}(\theta,\sigma,\rho,\kappa,\eta,\mu,r,K,S_0,T))^2$$

When the option is traded in the OTC market, each smile has an associated level of strike. When the option is traded in an exchange market all smiles have the same level of strike.

Using the Heston Model

Conclusion

- First thing we did
- Second thing we did
- Third thing we did

Thank you!

github.com/tjespel/discretization-cir-processes