

National University of Computer & Emerging Sciences

CS 3001 - COMPUTER NETWORKS

Lecture 03


Chapter 1

28th January, 2025

Nauman Moazzam Hayat
nauman.moazzam@lhr.nu.edu.pk

Office Hours: 11:30 am till 01:00 pm (Every Tuesday & Thursday)

Packet-switching: store-and-forward




- **packet transmission delay:** takes L/R seconds to transmit (push out) L -bit packet into link at R bps
- **store and forward:** entire packet must arrive at router before it can be transmitted on next link

One-hop numerical example:

- $L = 10$ Kbits
- $R = 100$ Mbps
- one-hop transmission delay = 0.1 msec


Packet-switching: queueing



Queueing occurs when work arrives faster than it can be serviced:



Packet-switching: queueing



Packet queuing and loss: if arrival rate (in bps) to link exceeds transmission rate (bps) of link for some period of time:

- packets will queue, waiting to be transmitted on output link
- packets can be dropped (lost) if memory (buffer) in router fills up

Chapter 1: roadmap


- What *is* the Internet?
- What *is* a protocol?
- Network edge: hosts, access network, physical media
- **Network core:** packet/circuit switching, internet structure
- Performance: loss, delay, throughput
- Security
- Protocol layers, service models
- History



Alternative to packet switching: circuit switching

end-end resources allocated to,
reserved for “call” between source
and destination

- in diagram, each link has four circuits.
 - call gets 2nd circuit in top link and 1st circuit in right link.
- dedicated resources: no sharing
 - circuit-like (guaranteed) performance
- circuit segment idle if not used by call
(no sharing)
- commonly used in traditional telephone networks




* Check out the online interactive exercises for more examples: http://gaia.cs.umass.edu/kurose_ross/interactive

Circuit switching: FDM and TDM


Frequency Division Multiplexing (FDM)

- optical, electromagnetic frequencies divided into (narrow) frequency bands
- each call allocated its own band, can transmit at max rate of that narrow band



Time Division Multiplexing (TDM)

- time divided into slots
- each call allocated periodic slot(s), can transmit at maximum rate of (wider) frequency band (only) during its time slot(s)



Numerical Example

- How long does it take to send a file of 80 Kbytes from host A to host B over a circuit-switched network?
 - All links are 1.536 Mbps
 - Each link uses TDM with 24 slots/sec
 - Time to establish end-to-end circuit is 500 msec

Let's work it out!


Numerical Example: Solution

- 80 Kbytes is 640,000 bits
- NOTE: networks in bits, end systems in bytes
- NOTE: 8 bits to a byte
- Each circuit has a rate of 1.536Mbps / 24
 $\Rightarrow 1536000 / 24 = 64000\text{bps}$
- So, it takes 640000 bits / 64000 bps = 10 seconds to transmit the file
- Need to add the circuit establishment time ($\frac{1}{2}$ second)
- So, 10.5 seconds

Packet switching versus circuit switching

example:

- 1 Gb/s link
- each user:
 - 100 Mb/s when “active”
 - active 10% of time



Q: how many users can use this network under circuit-switching and packet switching?

- **circuit-switching:** 10 users
- **packet switching:** with 35 users, probability > 10 active at same time is less than .0004 *

Q: how did we get value 0.0004?

A: HW problem (for those with course in probability only)

* Check out the online interactive exercises for more examples: http://gaia.cs.umass.edu/kurose_ross/interactive

Packet switching versus circuit switching


Is packet switching a “slam dunk winner”?

- great for “bursty” data – sometimes has data to send, but at other times not
 - resource sharing
 - simpler, no call setup
- **excessive congestion possible:** packet delay and loss due to buffer overflow
 - protocols needed for reliable data transfer, congestion control
- **Q: How to provide circuit-like behavior with packet-switching?**
 - “It’s complicated.” We’ll study various techniques that try to make packet switching as “circuit-like” as possible.

Q: human analogies of reserved resources (circuit switching) versus on-demand allocation (packet switching)?

Internet structure: a “network of networks”


- hosts connect to Internet via **access** Internet Service Providers (ISPs)
- access ISPs in turn must be interconnected
 - so that *any* two hosts (*anywhere!*) can send packets to each other
- resulting network of networks is very complex
 - evolution driven by **economics**, **national policies**



Let's take a stepwise approach to describe current Internet structure


Internet structure: a “network of networks”

Question: given *millions* of access ISPs, how to connect them together?




Internet structure: a “network of networks”


Question: given *millions* of access ISPs, how to connect them together?



Network Structure (Example: Telephone Network)



Fully-Connected Mesh
of FDX links = $N(N-1)/2$
e.g., $N=6$; $6(5)/2=15$ links
Total # ports = $N(N-1)$
e.g., $N=6$; $6(5)=30$ ports




With Central Office
of FDX links = N
e.g., $N=6$; 6 links
Total # of ports = N
e.g. $N=6$, 6 ports

Internet structure: a “network of networks”


Option: connect each access ISP to one global transit ISP?

Customer and provider ISPs have economic agreement.




Internet structure: a “network of networks”

But if one global ISP is viable business, there will be competitors




Internet structure: a “network of networks”

But if one global ISP is viable business, there will be competitors who will want to be connected




Internet structure: a “network of networks”

... and regional networks may arise to connect access nets to ISPs




Internet structure: a “network of networks”

... and content provider networks (e.g., Google, Microsoft, Akamai) may run their own network, to bring services, content close to end users



Internet structure: a “network of networks”



At “center”: small # of well-connected large networks

- **“tier-1” commercial ISPs** (e.g., Level 3, Sprint, AT&T, NTT), national & international coverage
- **content provider networks** (e.g., Google, Facebook): private network that connects its data centers to Internet, often bypassing tier-1, regional ISPs

Chapter 1: roadmap

- What *is* the Internet?
- What *is* a protocol?
- Network edge: hosts, access network, physical media
- Network core: packet/circuit switching, internet structure
- **Performance: loss, delay, throughput**
- Security
- Protocol layers, service models
- History




How do we evaluate a network?

Performance Metrics

- Delay
- Loss
- Throughput

How do packet delay and loss occur?

- packets *queue* in router buffers, waiting for turn for transmission
 - queue length grows when arrival rate to link (temporarily) exceeds output link capacity
- packet *loss* occurs when memory to hold queued packets fills up



Delay

- ▶ How long does it take to send a packet from its source to destination?

Delay

- Consists of four components

- *queuing delay*

- *processing delay*


- *transmission delay*

- *propagation delay*

*due to traffic mix and
Switch / router internals*

due to link properties

Packet delay: four sources



$$d_{\text{nodal}} = d_{\text{proc}} + d_{\text{queue}} + d_{\text{trans}} + d_{\text{prop}}$$


d_{proc} : nodal processing

- check bit errors
- determine output link
- typically < microseconds

d_{queue} : queueing delay

- time waiting at output link for transmission
- depends on congestion level of router

Packet delay: four sources



$$d_{\text{nodal}} = d_{\text{proc}} + d_{\text{queue}} + d_{\text{trans}} + d_{\text{prop}}$$

d_{trans} : transmission delay:

- L : packet length (bits)
- R : link transmission rate (bps)

$$d_{\text{trans}} = L/R$$


d_{trans} and d_{prop}
very different

d_{prop} : propagation delay:

- d : length of physical link
- s : propagation speed ($\sim 2 \times 10^8$ m/sec)


$$d_{\text{prop}} = d/s$$

Caravan analogy



- car \sim bit; caravan \sim packet; toll service \sim link transmission
- toll booth takes 12 sec to service car (bit transmission time)
- “propagate” at 100 km/hr
- **Q: How long until caravan is lined up before 2nd toll booth?**
- time to “push” entire caravan through toll booth onto highway = $12 \times 10 = 120$ sec (total transmission delay for caravan = packet)
- time for last car to propagate from 1st to 2nd toll booth: $100\text{km}/(100\text{km/hr}) = 1$ hr (propagation delay)
- **A: 62 minutes**

Caravan analogy



- suppose cars now “propagate” at 1000 km/hr
- and suppose toll booth now takes one min to service a car
- **Q: Will cars arrive to 2nd booth before all cars serviced at first booth?**
A: Yes! after 7 min, first car arrives at second booth; three cars still at first booth (propagation delay = $d / s = 100 \text{ km} / 1000 \text{ km per hour} = 0.1 \text{ hour} = 6 \text{ minutes}$)

Packet queueing delay (revisited) – Traffic Intensity

- a : average packet arrival rate
- L : packet length (bits)
- R : link bandwidth (bit transmission rate)

$$\frac{L \cdot a}{R} : \frac{\text{arrival rate of bits}}{\text{service rate of bits}} \quad \text{“traffic intensity”}$$

- $La/R \sim 0$: avg. queueing delay small
- $La/R \rightarrow 1$: avg. queueing delay large
- $La/R > 1$: more “work” arriving is more than can be serviced - average delay infinite!

