

1.

a.

```
[UC syed.kirmani1@csx3 stutter] time python stutter.py < t3.txt
```

```
Longest stutter: ____o.O.o____o.O.o____
```

```
real  0m0.037s
```

```
user  0m0.023s
```

```
sys   0m0.011s
```

```
[UC syed.kirmani1@csx3 stutter] time slow-stut < t3.txt
```

```
Longest stutter: ____o.O.o____o.O.o____
```

```
real  0m0.011s
```

```
user  0m0.005s
```

```
sys   0m0.004s
```

```
[UC syed.kirmani1@csx3 stutter] time python stutter.py < t4.txt
```

```
Longest stutter: Tartar
```

```
real  0m0.260s
```

```
user  0m0.245s
```

```
sys   0m0.010s
```

```
[UC syed.kirmani1@csx3 stutter] time slow-stut < t4.txt
```

```
Longest stutter: Tartar
```

```
real  0m2.357s
```

```
user  0m0.369s
```

```
sys   0m1.973s
```

b. The Python program for txt3 took 0.023s, for txt4 it took 0.245s on the CPU. For txt3 it took 0.014s and for txt4 it took 0.015s for I/O.

The C++ program for txt3 took 0.005s, for txt4 it took 0.369s on the CPU. For txt3 it took 0.06s and for txt4 it took 1.988s for I/O.

The I/O time is calculated assuming that on idle all system calls are I/O calls.

c.

```
[UC syed.kirmani1@csx3 stutter] strace -c python stutter.py < t3.txt
```

```
Longest stutter: ____o.O.o____o.O.o____
```

```
% time  seconds  usecs/call  calls  errors syscall
```

```
-----  
32.51  0.001481      5    290    108 newfstatat  
16.70  0.000761     11     65      0 read  
14.16  0.000645    645      1      0 execve
```

10.32	0.000470	9	51	7 openat
10.16	0.000463	17	26	mmap
2.88	0.000131	2	44	close
2.19	0.000100	20	5	mprotect
1.89	0.000086	4	18	getdents64
1.58	0.000072	1	55	2 lseek
1.58	0.000072	1	66	rt_sigaction
1.25	0.000057	1	34	29 ioctl
0.88	0.000040	10	4	munmap
0.83	0.000038	3	12	brk
0.44	0.000020	4	5	3 readlink
0.40	0.000018	9	2	pread64
0.33	0.000015	15	1	write
0.29	0.000013	6	2	1 arch_prctl
0.26	0.000012	6	2	getcwd
0.26	0.000012	12	1	set_tid_address
0.26	0.000012	12	1	rseq
0.24	0.000011	11	1	set_robust_list
0.20	0.000009	4	2	getrandom
0.13	0.000006	1	4	fcntl
0.11	0.000005	5	1	prlimit64
0.09	0.000004	4	1	futex
0.07	0.000003	3	1	gettid
0.00	0.000000	0	1	1 access
0.00	0.000000	0	1	sysinfo
0.00	0.000000	0	1	getuid
0.00	0.000000	0	1	getgid
0.00	0.000000	0	1	geteuid
0.00	0.000000	0	1	getegid

---

100.00	0.004556	6	701	151 total
--------	----------	---	-----	-----------

[UC syed.kirmani1@csx3 stutter] strace -c slow-stut < t3.txt

Longest stutter: \_\_\_\_o.O.o\_\_\_\_o.O.o\_\_\_\_

% time	seconds	usecs/call	calls	errors	syscall
--------	---------	------------	-------	--------	---------

---

71.68	0.000858	11	76		read
7.94	0.000095	15	6		mprotect
5.18	0.000062	2	23		mmap
2.84	0.000034	34	1		munmap
2.76	0.000033	11	3		brk
1.34	0.000016	16	1		write
1.09	0.000013	13	1		getrandom
1.00	0.000012	12	1		futex

1.00	0.000012	12	1	prlimit64
1.00	0.000012	12	1	rseq
0.92	0.000011	2	5	close
0.92	0.000011	5	2	1 arch_prctl
0.92	0.000011	11	1	set_tid_address
0.84	0.000010	1	6	newfstatat
0.58	0.000007	7	1	set_robust_list
0.00	0.000000	0	2	pread64
0.00	0.000000	0	1	1 access
0.00	0.000000	0	1	execve
0.00	0.000000	0	5	openat
-----				
100.00	0.001197	8	138	2 total

[UC syed.kirmani1@csx3 stutter] strace -c python stutter.py < t4.txt

Longest stutter: Tartar

% time	seconds	usecs/call	calls	errors	syscall
31.82	0.001014	11	87		read
16.91	0.000539	20	26		mmap
15.25	0.000486	1	290	108	newfstatat
8.60	0.000274	5	51	7	openat
6.65	0.000212	11	18		getdents64
4.61	0.000147	3	44		close
3.51	0.000112	22	5		mprotect
2.32	0.000074	6	12		brk
1.88	0.000060	1	55	2	lseek
1.41	0.000045	11	4		munmap
1.07	0.000034	1	34	29	ioctl
0.88	0.000028	14	2		pread64
0.88	0.000028	14	2		getrandom
0.60	0.000019	9	2		getcwd
0.60	0.000019	3	5	3	readlink
0.41	0.000013	13	1		prlimit64
0.38	0.000012	6	2	1	arch_prctl
0.38	0.000012	12	1		gettid
0.38	0.000012	12	1		futex
0.38	0.000012	12	1		set_tid_address
0.35	0.000011	11	1		set_robust_list
0.35	0.000011	11	1		rseq
0.25	0.000008	8	1		sysinfo
0.16	0.000005	1	4		fcntl
0.00	0.000000	0	1		write

0.00	0.000000	0	66	rt_sigaction
0.00	0.000000	0	1	1 access
0.00	0.000000	0	1	execve
0.00	0.000000	0	1	getuid
0.00	0.000000	0	1	getgid
0.00	0.000000	0	1	geteuid
0.00	0.000000	0	1	getegid
-----				
100.00	0.003187	4	723	151 total

[UC syed.kirmani1@csx3 stutter] strace -c slow-stut < t4.txt

Longest stutter: Tartar

% time	seconds	usecs/call	calls	errors	syscall
-----					
100.00	26.450427	4	5767198		read
0.00	0.000280	12	23		mmap
0.00	0.000072	12	6		mprotect
0.00	0.000033	6	5		openat
0.00	0.000028	4	6		newfstatat
0.00	0.000022	4	5		close
0.00	0.000016	16	1		munmap
0.00	0.000013	4	3		brk
0.00	0.000011	11	1		write
0.00	0.000011	5	2		pread64
0.00	0.000007	7	1		set_tid_address
0.00	0.000005	5	1		set_robust_list
0.00	0.000004	2	2	1	arch_prctl
0.00	0.000004	4	1		futex
0.00	0.000004	4	1		prlimit64
0.00	0.000004	4	1		getrandom
0.00	0.000003	3	1		rseq
0.00	0.000000	0	1	1	access
0.00	0.000000	0	1		execve
-----					
100.00	26.450944	4	5767260	2	total

- d. The stutter.py Python code has a buffer already implemented. This allows the code to process large chunks of data all at once and so executions on large inputs come out to be faster than the slow-stut.cpp file. The buffer is reducing the number of read system calls since it is not just reading one character at a time like the C++ code. This can be seen with the execution of t3 and t4 in the above table showing that the C++ code has much higher read system calls. The Python file could also be slower on some smaller inputs since it's an interpreted

language which introduces some overhead. While C++ is a compiled language so everything is compiled before execution.

2. Code

3.

a. [UC syed.kirmani1@csx1 stutter] time fast-stut < t3.txt

Longest stutter: \_\_\_\_o.O.o\_\_\_\_o.O.o\_\_\_\_

```
real  0m0.010s
user  0m0.004s
sys   0m0.005s
```

[UC syed.kirmani1@csx1 stutter] time fast-stut < t4.txt

Longest stutter: Tartar

```
real  0m0.125s
user  0m0.113s
sys   0m0.010s
```

[UC syed.kirmani1@csx1 stutter] strace -c fast-stut < t3.txt

Longest stutter: \_\_\_\_o.O.o\_\_\_\_o.O.o\_\_\_\_

% time	seconds	usecs/call	calls	errors	syscall
--------	---------	------------	-------	--------	---------

58.01	0.000565	24	23		mmap
8.01	0.000078	13	6		mprotect
7.60	0.000074	12	6		read
7.19	0.000070	14	5		openat
6.16	0.000060	10	6		newfstatat
5.13	0.000050	10	5		close
2.87	0.000028	14	2		pread64
1.33	0.000013	6	2	1	arch_prctl
1.33	0.000013	13	1		set_tid_address
1.23	0.000012	12	1		set_robust_list
1.13	0.000011	11	1		rseq
0.00	0.000000	0	1		write
0.00	0.000000	0	1		munmap
0.00	0.000000	0	3		brk
0.00	0.000000	0	1	1	access
0.00	0.000000	0	1		execve
0.00	0.000000	0	1		futex
0.00	0.000000	0	1		prlimit64
0.00	0.000000	0	1		getrandom

100.00	0.000974	14	68	2	total
--------	----------	----	----	---	-------

[UC syed.kirmani1@csx1 stutter] strace -c fast-stut < t4.txt

Longest stutter: Tartar

% time	seconds	usecs/call	calls	errors	syscall
59.22	0.001480	134	11		read
24.21	0.000605	26	23		mmap
3.28	0.000082	13	6		mprotect
2.92	0.000073	14	5		openat
1.92	0.000048	9	5		close
1.80	0.000045	7	6		newfstatat
1.76	0.000044	44	1		munmap
1.28	0.000032	10	3		brk
1.08	0.000027	13	2		pread64
0.56	0.000014	14	1		getrandom
0.52	0.000013	13	1		prlimit64
0.48	0.000012	12	1		futex
0.24	0.000006	3	2	1	arch_prctl
0.24	0.000006	6	1		set_tid_address
0.24	0.000006	6	1		set_robust_list
0.24	0.000006	6	1		rseq
0.00	0.000000	0	1		write
0.00	0.000000	0	1	1	access
0.00	0.000000	0	1		execve
100.00	0.002499	34	73	2	total

- b. Yes, my fast-stut.cpp is faster than slow-stut.cpp. I believe this is due to the drastic decrease in read system calls upon addition of a larger buffer that processes more than one character at a time. From the table in 1c for t4.txt on slow-stut.cpp the read system calls went from 5767198 to 11 in fast-stut.cpp. This overall improved the run time drastically.
- c. Yes my fast-stut.cpp is faster than the Python stutter.py file. This can be shown upon inspection of the time command on both t3 and t4 in question 1a. The runtime for my fast-stut on t3 and t4 is much faster than the runtime of t3 and t4 with stutter.py (0.010s vs 0.037s and 0.125s vs 0.260s).