

## LIDO WSTETHONON BNB DEPLOYMENT VERIFICATION

#### CONTFNTS

1.	REPORT OVERVIEW	3				
	1.1. SCOPE	4				
	1.2. CONCLUSION	6				
2.	VERIFICATION DETAILS	7				
	2.1. NETWORK SPECIFIC BEHAVIOR	8				
	2.2. SCOPE CHECKING	9				
	2.3. AUDIT REPORT INVESTIGATION	. 10				
	2.4. DEPLOY SCRIPT CHECK	. 11				
	2.5. DEPLOYMENT VERIFICATION	. 12				
	2.6. INITIALIZATION PARAMETERS CHECK	. 14				
	2.7. ROLE MODEL VERIFICATION	. 15				
	2.8. STORAGE CHECK	. 16				
	2.9. DOCUMENTATION VERIFICATION	. 17				
3.	<b>APPENDIX</b>					
	3.1. DISCLAIMER	. 19				
	3.2. ABOUT OXORIO	. 20				



## REPORTOVERVIEW

## 1.1 SCOPE

Contract	Network	Address
Token	Ethereum	0x7f39C581F595B53c5cb19bD0b3f8dA6c935E2Ca0
Token	BNB	0x26c5e01524d2E6280A48F2c50fF6De7e52E9611C
NTT Manager	Ethereum	0xb948a93827d68a82F6513Ad178964Da487fe2BD9
NTT Manager	BNB	0x6981F5621691CBfE3DdD524dE71076b79F0A0278
Wormhole Transceiver	Ethereum	0xA1ACC1e6edaB281Febd91E3515093F1DE81F25c0
Wormhole Transceiver	BNB	0xbe3F7e06872E0dF6CD7FF35B7aa4Bb1446DC9986
Axelar Transceiver	Ethereum	0x723AEAD29acee7E9281C32D11eA4ed0070c41B13
Axelar Transceiver	BNB	0x723AEAD29acee7E9281C32D11eA4ed0070c41B13
Transceiver Structs (used by NTT Manager and Wormhole Transceiver)	Ethereum	0xf0396a8077eda579f657B5E6F3c3F5e8EE81972b
Transceiver Structs(used by NTT Manager and Wormhole Transceiver)	BNB	0xf0396a8077eda579f657B5E6F3c3F5e8EE81972b
Transceiver Structs(used by Axelar Transceiver)	Ethereum	0xa12bc993d8144404a8c8c812816048275a066ced
Transceiver Structs(used by Axelar Transceiver)	BNB	0x27a3daf3b243104e9b0afae6b56026a416b852c9

## Audit reports

- wstEthL2Token: Cyfrin wstEth L2 Token Audit Report
- Axelar Transceiver: <u>Cyfrin Axelar Transceiver Audit Report</u>
- ♦ **Wormhole**: <u>Cyfrin Wormhole Foundation EVM-NTT Audit Report</u>
- ♦ **Wormhole**: <u>Cyfrin Wormhole Foundation EVM-NTT(v1.1.0+evm) Audit Report</u>
- Wormhole: Cantina Wormhole Native Token Transfers Audit Report

#### Deployment scripts

- NttManager: <u>DeployWormholeNtt.s.sol</u>
- AxelarTransceiver: DeployAxelarTransceiver

#### Initialized roles

#### Token

- ♦ Current owner on BNB: 0x3e277051019fDBF6A759ff847D197FE657Ca74fe
- ♦ Future owner on BNB: 0x8E5175D17f74d1D512de59b2f5d5A5d8177A123d

#### NTT Manager

- ♦ Current owner/pauser on Ethereum: 0x83271E76df1eF8f77487A88fc6aE1478280396bD
- ♦ Future owner on Ethereum: <u>0x3e40D73EB977Dc6a537aF587D48316feE66E9C8c</u>
- ♦ Future pauser on Ethereum: 0x73b047fe6337183A454c5217241D780a932777bD
- ♦ Current owner/pauser on BNB: 0x3e277051019fDBF6A759ff847D197FE657Ca74fe
- ♦ Future owner on BNB: 0x8E5175D17f74d1D512de59b2f5d5A5d8177A123d
- ♦ Future pauser on BNB: <u>0xC2b778fCc3FF311Cf1abBF4E53880277bfD14C8f</u>

## 1.2 CONCLUSION

Based on the review of the <u>proposal</u> against the specified parameters, the following results were obtained:

Network Specific Behavior: PASS

Scope Checking: PASS

Audit Report Investigation: PASS

♦ Deploy Script Check: FAIL

Deployment Verification: PASS

♦ Initialization Parameters Check: FAIL

♦ Role Model Verification: PASS

Storage Check: FAIL

♦ Documentation Verification: FAIL

Several issues were identified related to undocumented parameters and an informal deployment process. It is recommended to address these concerns to ensure better clarity and security in the deployment and management of the contracts.

# VERIFICATION DETAILS

## 21 NETWORK SPECIFIC BEHAVIOR

### Description

The influence of all network features on the protocol's operation was investigated. The virtual machine, the process of message transmission inside and outside the main network, as well as the network operation is compared with networks where the wstETH token is already deployed, are analyzed.

#### Status: PASS

- NttManager, WormholeTransceiver contracts and TransceiverStructs (used by NTTManager) library on the Ethereum and BNB networks have the same compiler version 0.8.19 and EVM version London.
- The AxelarTransceiver contract and TransceiverStructs (used by AxelarTransceiver) libraries for the contract on the Ethereum and BNB networks have the same compiler version 0.8.23 and EVM version London.
- The WstETH contract on the Ethereum network uses the default EVM version, while on the BNB network it uses a newer compiler version 0.8.23 and a different EVM version Paris.
- Alignment of the latest BNB and Ethereum network versions ensures the correct operation of all NttManager contract functions on both networks. Despite using a newer compiler version and EVM version in the BNB network for the WstETH contract, the functionalities remain compatible due to the alignment of base parameters with the Ethereum network.

## 2.2 SCOPE CHECKING

## Description

Verification of the provided scope, study of dependencies, and construction of the protocol architecture. Project documentation is analyzed, tests are run, their coverage and contract models are checked for logical errors, and the architecture is checked for conceptual errors.

Status: PASS

The declared scope fully covers all dependent contracts and libraries and corresponds to the described architecture.

## 2.3 AUDIT REPORT INVESTIGATION

## Description

Verification of the presence of an audit report, scope compliance, critical vulnerability remediation (or documented infeasibility), and final commit alignment with recommendations.

#### Status: PASS

- During the last audit by Cyfrin for NttManager and the audit for WstETH, no issues requiring corrections were found.
- The latest audit by Cyfrin for NttManager was conducted on the code diff between the previous audited commit and one of the recent commits:

The current diff audit reviewed all changes made to the EVM Solidity contracts from the previously audited commit <u>f4e2277</u> to the current commit <u>0d37b0f</u>.

This causes confusion, as the findings from the previous report should be included in the new report. Ideally, the diff should have been made from the commit with all corrections following the last audit.

- ♦ The report from Cantina for the NttManager contract does not contain information about whether the issues found were fixed, but both Medium Risk issues were resolved as a result of other audits, including those by Cyfrin. However, it should be noted that an additional 18 Low Risk and 9 Informational issues were found, which were not published in the mentioned report:
- The present report only outlines the critical, high and medium risk issues.

Descriptions for Low Risk and Informational issues are missing. It is unclear how they were fixed, or if they were fixed at all.

## 2.4 DEPLOY SCRIPT CHECK

## Description

A review of the deployment script for contracts, focusing on verifying initialization parameters. The process ensures that interrupting protocol deployment won't cause incorrect initialization.

Status: FAIL

During the analysis of the contract deployment process, it was discovered that deployment script is only available for NttManager and AxelarTransceiver separately from each other. Analysis of the transactions and contract code suggests that some parameters were set manually after deployment.

## 2.5 DEPLOYMENT VERIFICATION

#### Description

Verification of deployed contract bytecode against code in the final commit. All contracts undergo additional verification in a block explorer. A thorough check is performed to ensure that the bytecode of deployed contracts cannot be modified without proper authorization.

#### Status: PASS

- ♦ The bytecode of NttManager, WormholeTransceiver contracts and TransceiverStructs library for NttManager were compiled with the <a href="--via-ir flag">--via-ir flag</a>, which prevents full-fledged debugging and monitoring of internal transactions through Tenderly and Etherscan.
- ♦ A comparison was made of the bytecode stored in the blockchain and the bytecode of the contracts and libraries in the scope, compiled at the time of the commits, which were taken for audits(during the latest audits, no problems were identified that required corrections). This verification confirmed that the creation bytecode was identical. However, there are some differences in the deployed bytecode due to the use of immutable variables such as msg.sender and address(this), which fix their values at the time the contract is deployed.
- The AxelarTransceiver contract uses the TransceiverStructs library, but a different version from the one specified for NttManager. The library variant for AxelarTransceiver was deployed a few weeks ago.

The source code of the libraries differs. The difference lies in the imported BytesParsing library. In the case of NttManager, the <u>current version</u> of BytesParsing is used, while AxelarTransceiver uses an <u>older version</u>.

For example, in the BNB network, these differences can be seen at the following links:

- Library for NttManager on line 83.
- Library for <u>AxelarTransceiver</u> on line 1431.
   Despite this, if the current source code of the library is compiled, the resulting bytecode matches the bytecode of the deployed libraries for NttManager and AxelarTransceiver, given the following compilation conditions:
- Library for NttManager compiled with solc\_version = "0.8.19" and the flag--via ir = true
- Library for AxelarTransceiver compiled with solc\_version = "0.8.23" and the flag --via ir = false
- According to recommendation R-1 in the <u>guide</u> from the Lido team, for the
   AxelarTransceiver contract, the verification in explorers was done using the flattened
   format, not the standard JSON-Input format.

- According to recommendation R-13 in the <u>guide</u> from the Lido team, contract addresses with the same logic in different networks should differ. However, contracts with identical addresses were found:
  - The AxelarTransceiver contract has the same address in both the Ethereum and BNB chains: 0x723AEAD29acee7E9281C32D11eA4ed0070c41B13
  - The TransceiverStruct contract (used by NTT Manager and Wormhole Transceiver) has the same address in both the Ethereum and BNB chains: 0xf0396a8077eda579f657B5E6F3c3F5e8EE81972b

## 2.6 INITIALIZATION PARAMETERS CHECK

### Description

At this stage, values are extracted from storage in verified contracts. Then they are checked for compliance with the parameters specified in the deployment script. Auditors ensure that all contracts are initialized, preventing re-initialization by attackers.

Status: FAIL

The initialization parameters match those stated in the scope. However, some parameters set during deployment are omitted in the scope and parameter descriptions, and no specific values are provided for these parameters, making it impossible to verify their correctness.

Specifically, the values of the following parameters are not specified in the parameter descriptions:

- ♦ WormholeTransceiver contract:
  - \_consistencyLevel 15 for the BNB network, 1 for Ethereum
- NttManager contract:
  - \_mode 1 for the BNB network, 0 for Ethereum
  - chainId 4 for the BNB network, 2 for Ethereum
  - \_rateLimitDuration 86400
  - \_skipRateLimiting False

While the meaning of the \_chainId parameter is straightforward and its description can be reasonably omitted, the other parameters necessitate comprehensive descriptions and specified values to ensure clarity and transparency in the deployment documentation.

## 2.7 ROLE MODEL VERIFICATION

### Description

During a protocol review, the access control structure is analyzed to identify redundant roles or roles with broader permissions than necessary. It is also checked that all access rights are in line with the previously defined structure and that the owners of multi-signatures are valid.

Status: PASS

In the presented architecture, the owner role was assigned to an interim multisig was created with the participation of Lido contributors: address <a href="https://example.com/dx3e277051019fDBF6A759ff847D197FE657Ca74fe">dx3e277051019fDBF6A759ff847D197FE657Ca74fe</a> for the BNB network and <a href="https://example.com/dx83271E76df1eF8f77487A88fc6aE1478280396bD">dx83271E76df1eF8f77487A88fc6aE1478280396bD</a> for the Ethereum network.

Addresses of the new interim multisig owners (same for Ethereum and BNB networks):

- 0x4687759DAb0B8319E8dcc59007116b4723838FB1, member from the Axelar Foundation
- OxbFf94d4afA68b04532b36ca54A14F3258Ba32a2B, member from xLabs
- 0x1377C31BB16018e1F0B0C76dF63A6a1a75967AAf, member from the Wormhole Foundation
- 0x48c2538bBD4E37bFeAe1bA06B97910b9ab473d8D, Lido contributor
- 0xf16b3C8B2AdF34336DFA2d6853C11Db6790f63F1, Lido contributor

All participants of the new multisig provided verification of signatures on the <u>forum</u>. The provided signatures were independently verified on <u>etherscan</u> and <u>shawntabrizi</u>.

## 2.8 STORAGE CHECK

## Description

This section verifies the validity of values stored in the project contracts' storage, as well as the transactions in which these values were set. This is done to identify potential vulnerabilities related to access to data or its modification.

Status: FAIL

During the contract storage check, it was found that most parameters are set correctly during the initialization process. However, some parameters were found whose values are not described in the deployment documentation, and therefore cannot be verified (check Initialization parameters section).

## 2.9 DOCUMENTATION VERIFICATION

## Description

Document verification encompasses the analysis of functions and their passed values that directly modify the contract storage.

Status: FAIL

During the verification of functions and their parameters, it was discovered that the source of documentation is a <u>forum</u>, where descriptions for some parameters are published. However, not all parameters are documented, and it is inconvenient for external users to search for information in a forum format. This leads to difficulties in understanding the protocol's operation and the correct use of functions.

For many other functions, either information in documentation is missing, or there are only brief comments in the <u>Wormhole repository code</u> that do not fully disclose the meaning of the received parameters and their values. This may make it difficult to code review in the future.

## 3 APPENDIX



## 3.1 DISCLAIMER

At the request of client, Oxorio consents to the public release of this report. The information contained in this report is provided "as is" without any representations or warranties whatsoever. Oxorio disclaims any responsibility for damages that may arise from or in relation to this verification report. Oxorio retains copyright of this report.

The verification report makes no statements or warranties about the utility of the code, safety of the code, suitability of the business model, investment advice, endorsement of the platform or its products, regulatory regime for the business model, or any other statements about the fitness of the contracts to purpose, or their bug free status.

APPENDIX 19

## 3.2 ABOUT OXORIO

OXORIO is a blockchain security firm that specializes in smart contracts, zk-SNARK solutions, and security consulting. With a decade of blockchain development and five years in smart contract auditing, our expert team delivers premier security services for projects at any stage of maturity and development.

Since 2021, we've conducted key security audits for notable DeFi projects like Lido, 1Inch, Rarible, and deBridge, prioritizing excellence and long-term client relationships. Our cofounders, recognized by the Ethereum and Web3 Foundations, lead our continuous research to address new threats in the blockchain industry. Committed to the industry's trust and advancement, we contribute significantly to security standards and practices through our research and education work.

#### Our contacts:

- ♦ oxor.io
- ♦ ping@oxor.io
- ♦ Github
- ♦ Linkedin
- ♦ <u>Twitter</u>

APPENDIX 20

THANK YOU FOR CHOOSING

