

- 1) Prove using induction and the recursive definitions of length and reverse that the following statement holds for all Σ and all $w \in \Sigma^*$: $|w| = |w^r|$. Recall that $|w| = 1 + |x|$ if $w = ax$ and 0 if $w = \varepsilon$. Recall that $w^r = ax^r$ if $w = xa$ and $w^r = w$ if $w = \varepsilon$.
Let $n = |w|$.

Base (n = 0):

Let $w = \varepsilon$ then

$w \in \Sigma^*$ and $n = |w| = |\varepsilon| = \varepsilon$ then by the recursive definition of reverse,
 $\varepsilon = \varepsilon^r = \varepsilon$ then by the recursive definition of length

$$|\varepsilon| = |\varepsilon^r|$$

$$0 = 0$$

Thus, this holds true for the base case.

Inductive Hypothesis:

IH: Assume that $|w| = |w^r|$ holds true for some n where $n \geq 0$.

Induction Step:

Prove this holds true for $n + 1$.

Then $n + 1 = |w| + 1$

From the recursive definition of reverse, Let $z = aw$, $z^r = aw^r$ where a is a letter and w is a word. Then by the recursive length and reverse definitions,

$$|z| = 1 + |w|$$

$$|z^r| = 1 + |w^r|$$

From the inductive hypothesis

$$|w| = |w^r|$$

Then,

$$|z| = |z^r| = 1 + |w|.$$

$$|z| = |z^r|.$$

$$|w| + 1 = |w^r| + 1.$$

This holds true for the induction step.

Thus by induction we have proven for all Σ and all $w \in \Sigma^*$: $|w| = |w^r|$.



- 2) Prove using induction that for an NFA that if there is a state q and letter a such that $q \in \delta(q, a)$ then for all $i \geq 0$ $q \in \delta^*(q, a^i)$. Recall that the transition function for an NFA is $\delta : Q \times \Sigma \rightarrow 2^Q$.

Base (i = 0):

$$\delta^*(q, a^0) = \delta^*(q, \epsilon) = q$$

$a^0 = \epsilon$, epsilon transition in an NFA stays in the state by definition. Thus, this holds for the base case.

Inductive Hypothesis:

IH: Assume that $q \in \delta^*(q, a^i)$ holds true for some i where $i \geq 0$.

Induction Step:

Prove this holds true for $i + 1$.

From the definition of δ^* :

$$\delta^*(q, a^{i+1}) = \delta(\delta^*(q, a^i), a)$$

By the inductive hypothesis:

Since $q \in$ in the set of $\delta^*(q, a^i)$, then $\delta^*(q, a^i) = q$

$$\text{So, } \delta(\delta^*(q, a^i), a) = \delta(q, a)$$

$$\text{Then, } \delta^*(q, a) = q$$

This holds for the induction step.

Thus by induction we have proven, $q \in \delta^*(q, a^i)$ for all $i \geq 0$.



- 3) Define a construction for a context-free grammar that produces the language of state sequences for a finite state machine for valid executions that lead to acceptance. That is: $q_0q_1q_2$ is a word in this language if the machine's initial state is q_0 , $q_2 \in A$, and $\exists \sigma, \sigma'$ such that $\delta(q_0, \sigma) = q_1$ and $\delta(q_1, \sigma') = q_2$. For example, suppose you had a finite state machine below:



Then words in this language of state sequences include q_0q_1 and $q_0q_0q_1q_1$ but not q_1q_1 (because it does not start with initial state), not q_0q_0 (because it does not end with an accepting state) and not $q_0q_1q_0$ (because there is no symbol that takes you from q_1 to q_0). Observe that for every word (i.e., state sequence) that is generated by this grammar, there is at least one corresponding word accepted by the machine (i.e., q_0q_1 is 1 and $q_0q_0q_1q_1$ is 010).

N.B. This is only an example. A solution to this problem is a generic construction that takes a DFA as input and produces a CFG as output such that the language of the CFG is the language of valid state sequences in M. Hint: if $\delta(q, a) = p$ in the DFA, what corresponding rule in the CFG should exist? What should the variables in the grammar represent?

Let $G = (V, \Sigma, P, S)$:

$V = \{X\}$ - set of variables

$\Sigma = \{q_1, q_2 \dots\}$ - set of terminals

A generic construction for a given DFA that produces a context-Free Grammar where the language that is produced is a valid state sequence is:

The initial state is q_0 that is given.

Any state in the DFA we have a variable and a terminal such that the transition from that state q_1 to another state q_2 , $\delta(q_1, 0) = q_2$ has a production $X_1 \rightarrow q_1X_2$.

Also for each final states q_1 we also have a production such that $X_1 \rightarrow q_1$ which also considers the loops to final state. Thus, this generic construction will take in a DFA and produce a CFG such that the language of the CFG is the language of valid state sequences.



- 4) Prove that the following problem is decidable by giving an algorithm to decide it: given a Turing machine T , does T ever write any non-blank symbol $\sigma \in \Gamma \setminus \{\Delta\}$ on its tape when its tape starts out as completely empty (i.e., processing ε). Give an explanation why your algorithm works and why it will always halt.

D = Does T ever write any non-blank symbol σ on its tape when tape starts out As completely empty?

Suppose a machine T' only writes blank symbols and keep count for the number of states visited. Also checks if it entered a state twice, if it enters a state twice then it halts as a cycle was detected, if the machine halts on its own, return the number of states visited.

Run the machine T upto the maximum number of steps T' took. If a non-blank symbol is written then halt as it is decidable.

Our algorithm is the following for T_D :

```
Create  $T' = T$  but only writes blank symbols;  
MAX = (maximum_number_of_states_visited( $T'$ ,  $w$ ));
```

```
bool does_T_halt(TM  $T$ , WORD  $w$ , MAX) {  
    return (does_T_writes_non_blank_in_MAX_steps( $T$ ,  $w$ , MAX));  
}
```

T' is a cycle detection turing machine that returns the maximum number of states visited, which depends on the number of states and directions. So the maximum is equivalent to $q \times 2$, as we have two directions. This is basically a counter to the number of configurations. The subroutines represents our T_D . The return statement returns true if and only if T writes a non-blank symbol while processing w . Since we know that T' returns maximum number of step we need to process, T will only run for a finite amount of steps and when it writes a non-blank symbol it halts and returns a boolean. Therefore algorithm halts making it decidable. Therefore such a Turing machine T_D can exist.



- 5) Prove that the following problem is undecidable by giving a reduction to a known undecidable problem that we have proven in the lectures: given a Turing machine T , a tape symbol $\sigma \in \Gamma$, and a word $w \in \Sigma^*$, does T ever write σ on its tape while processing w . Clearly state the steps in your reduction. If you do a preprocessing step, for example, include details such as the transition function's behaviour for any modifications.

D = Does T ever write a symbol σ on its tape while processing w ?

Reduce to the problem of does T accept w . Given a T , we preprocess it to T' where we insert a new state q . For any (p, x) such that $\delta(p, x) = (h_a, x', d)$ we make $\delta(p, x) = (q, \sigma, d)$. We further add, for all $\sigma \in \Gamma$, $\delta(q, \sigma) = (h_a, \sigma, \rightarrow)$. Thus, the path to h_a must transit through q . That is, the old machine enters h_a if and only if the new machine enters q .

By contradiction we first assume that D is solvable. Therefore there exist an always halting turing machine T_D that decides this problem. We will use it to solve the problem of

E = "given T and w , does T halt on w ."

Our algorithm is the following for T_E :

```
bool does_T_halt_on_w(TM T, WORD w) {  
  
    Create  $T' = T$  but with a new state  $q$ ;  
  
    return (does_write_symbol_while_processing_w( $T'$ ,  $w$ ,  $\sigma$ ));  
}
```

The subroutines represents our T_D The return statement returns true if and only if T' accepts w if it enters q (h_a of T) while processing w right σ . The return statements suggest that T' halts on w . However the algorithm halts if we assume that T_D halts. In the lecture notes we are shown that if T accepts w it is also undecidable therefore it cannot halt. Therefore such a Turing machine T_D cannot exist.

