CPSC 331 HW4_Written

Ali Akbari

TOTAL POINTS

8.5 / 15

QUESTION 1

- 1 Applying Knuth's Formulas 1.5 / 2
 - + 2 pts Correct
 - √ + 1.5 pts Correct formulas- some minor mistakes
 - + **1.5 pts** formulas for one of the strategies are missing or incorrect
 - + 1 pts formulas for two strategies are mostly missing or inorrect
 - 0.5 pts Very minor error
 - + 0 pts No submission (not done)
 - √ + 0 pts inaccurate rounding (no point deducted)
 - 1 ?
 - 2?
 - 3 2.011
 - 4 2.64

QUESTION 2

- 2 Correctness of Heapsort 2/5
 - + 5 pts Correct
 - + 2 pts Main Loop invariant: arr[i+1, .. n-1]ascending order (identification and proof)
 - **0.5 pts** Main Loop Invariant: mostly correct: some error
 - √ + 1 pts Main Loop Invariant : partially correct
 - + **0.5 pts** Main Loop Invariant: mostly incorrect some correct parts
 - + O pts Main Loop invariant: Incorrect or missing
 - + 1 pts Helper Loop Invariant 1: arr[0, ..., i] a maxheap (identification and proof)
 - \checkmark + 0.5 pts Helper Loop Invariant 1: partially correct arr[0, ..., i] a max-heap (identification and proof)
 - + O pts Helper Loop Invariant 1: Incorrect or missing

- + 1 pts Helper Loop Invariant 2: arr\[0,...i] <= arr\[i+1, ..., n-1] or arr\[0,...i] <=arr\[i+1](identification and proof)
- √ + 0.5 pts Helper Loop invariant 2: partially correct
 - + O pts Helper Loop Invariant 2: Incorrect or missing
 - + 1 pts Partial Correctness: Correct
 - + 0.5 pts Partial Corretness: partially correct
- √ + 0 pts Partial Correctness: Incorrect or missing
 - 0.5 pts Miscellaneous: minor mistake or missing
 - + 0.5 pts Reasonable Attempt
 - + 0 pts Not Submitted or Incorrect
- **5** 3
- 6 why true?

QUESTION 3

- 3 KQuickSort 2.5 / 5
 - + **5 pts** Correct
 - √ + 1.5 pts part a: recurrence is correct
 - + 1 pts part a partially : a mistake or missing
 - + 0.5 pts part a: mostly incorrect, some correct parts
 - + **0 pts** part a: recurrence completely incorrect or missing
 - + **0.5 pts** part b: Usage of constants during substitution and in recurrence
 - √ + 0 pts part b: incorrect usage or missing using some constants during substitutions and in recurrence
 - + 0.5 pts part b: correct Substitution of T(k-1)
 - $\sqrt{+0}$ pts part b: Incorrect or incomplete substitution of T(k-1)
 - + 1 pts part b: Correct Substitution of T(n-k)
 - + **0.5 pts** part b: Partially correct Substitution of T(n-k)
 - $\sqrt{+0}$ pts part b: Incorrect or missing substitution of

T(n-k)

- + 0.5 pts part b: Correct recurrence solution
- √ + 0 pts part b: Incorrect or missing final recurrence

Solution

√ + 0.5 pts part b: Correct asymptotic notation

O(n^2) based on the recurrence solution

+ 0 pts part b: Incorrect or missing Asymptotic

Notation or incorrect related arguments or not based on the recurrence solution

√ + 0.5 pts part c: Correct

- 0.5 pts Miscellaneous: minor mistakes or missing
- + 0 pts part b: not submitted or totally wrong
- + 0 pts part c: completely incorrect or missing or

based on incorrect arguments from previous section or not base on part b

- + 0 pts Not submitted or totally wrong
- annot ignore constant at this point
- 8 3?
- 9 ?

QUESTION 4

4 Number of Tree Edges 2.5/3

- + 3 pts Correct
- √ + 0.5 pts Base case correct
 - + 0 pts Base case incorrect or missing
 - + 0.5 pts Correct Inductive hypothesis
- √ + 0 pts Incorrect or missing inductive hypothesis
- √ + 2 pts Inductive step correct
 - + 1 pts Inductive step partially correct
 - + **0.5 pts** Inductive step : not convincing and mostly

incorrect

- + 0 pts Inductive Step totally incorrect or missing
- 0.5 pts A minor mistake in Inductive step
- + 0 pts Totally Incorrect or not submitted
- + **O pts** Very minor mistakes (no points deducted)
- we cannot conclude this from the I.H.
- 11 the same.

1)

	Linear Probing	Quadratic Probing	Double Hashing
Successful - $\frac{3}{4}$	$\frac{1}{2}(1+(\frac{1}{1-\frac{3}{4}}) = 2.5 \approx 3$	$1 - ln(1 - \frac{3}{4}) - \frac{\frac{3}{4}}{2} = 0.8862 \approx 1$	$\frac{1}{\frac{3}{4}}ln(\frac{1}{1-\frac{3}{4}}) = 1.84839 \approx 2$
Unsuccessful - $\frac{3}{4}$	$\frac{1}{2}\left(1 + \left(\frac{1}{\left(1 - \frac{3}{4}\right)^2}\right) = 8.5 \approx 9$	$\frac{1}{1-\frac{3}{4}} - \frac{3}{4} - \ln(1 - \frac{3}{4}) = 4.63629 \approx 5$	$\frac{1}{1-\frac{3}{4}}=4\approx 2$
Successful - $\frac{7}{8}$	$\frac{1}{2}(1+(\frac{1}{1-\frac{7}{8}})) = 4.5 \approx 5$	$1 - ln(1 - \frac{7}{8}) - \frac{\frac{7}{8}}{2} = 43294 \approx 2$	$\frac{\frac{1}{2}ln(\frac{1}{1-\frac{7}{8}})}{\frac{1}{8}ln(\frac{1}{1-\frac{7}{8}})} = 2.37650 \approx 3$
Unsuccessful - $\frac{7}{8}$	$\frac{1}{2}(1+(\frac{1}{(1-\frac{7}{8})^2}) = 32.5 \approx 33$	$\frac{1}{1-\frac{7}{8}} - \frac{7}{8} - \ln(1 - \frac{7}{8}) = 9.2044 \approx 10$	$\frac{1}{1-\frac{7}{8}} = 8 \approx 1$

^{*}The approximate equal value is the rounded up number for the upper bound of probing.

This question deals with the heapsort algorithm shown below.

```
function Heapsort(arr)

Heapify array arr;

for i = n - 1 down to 1 do

swap arr[i] with arr[0];

restore heap property for the tree arr[0],...,arr[i-1] by percolating down the root;

end

end
```

Precondition:

2)

An array that can be sorted, i.e has elements that can be compared by value.

Loop Invariants:

At a certain i^{th} iteration the following conditions should be met.

- Array keeps heap properties, i.e is a heap. 5
- $Array[n-1] \ge Array[n-2] \ge \ge Array[i+2] \ge Array[i+1]$
- for some iteration of k = i, $Array[k] \ge Array[j]$ where, $i+1 \le k \le n-1 \& 0 \le j \le i$.

Initialization:

i is initialized to n - 1. Heapify turns the array into a heap, the array after the heapify should be:

So the loop invariant holds.

1 Applying Knuth's Formulas 1.5 / 2

- + 2 pts Correct
- √ + 1.5 pts Correct formulas- some minor mistakes
 - + 1.5 pts formulas for one of the strategies are missing or incorrect
 - + 1 pts formulas for two strategies are mostly missing or inorrect
 - **0.5 pts** Very minor error
 - + **0 pts** No submission (not done)
- √ + 0 pts inaccurate rounding (no point deducted)
- 1 ?
- 2?
- 3 2.011
- **4** 2.64

Maintenance:

Suppose the loop invariant holds for an iteration i. From initialization we know that the array is a heap from the initialization step, where heapify was called. So the root of the tree which is Array[0] = max element. Then after the swap the max element is changed to Array[i] = max element.

From our assumption:

-
$$Array[n-1] \ge \ge Array[i+1] \ge Array[i]$$

Once the percolate down method finishes we get

Array[0,1,...,i-1]

Therefore the loop invariant is held during the maintenance step.

Postcondition:

Given heap array is sorted so,

$$Array[n-1] \ge Array[n-2] \ge \ge Array[1] \ge Array[0]$$

Corectness/Termination:

The loop runs from i = n - 1 and stops at i = 1. Using the $Array[k] \ge Array[j]$ condition we can see that the array is indeed sorted and in the maintenance step this postcondition is also proved.

Since postcondition holds and

3)

Let T(n) denote the worst case number of steps to run KQuickSort on an array of size n.

Assume that KPartition takes $\Theta(n)$ steps to partition an array of size n.

a)

 $T(n) = \Theta(n^2)$ when n < k we get the worst case since insertion sort is called.

For $n \ge k$ three operations occur,

KPartition is called and the complexity of that is given as $\Theta(n)$.

Also two recursive calls are made to KQuickSort, one for the left partition and one for the right partition.

For the right partition the recursive call is done for n-k.

For the left partition the recursive call is done for k-1.

So the recurrence relation can be made:

$$T(n) = \{ \Theta(n^2) \qquad n < k$$

$$\Theta(n) + T(n-k) + T(k-1) \qquad n \ge k$$

2 Correctness of Heapsort 2/5

- + **5 pts** Correct
- + 2 pts Main Loop invariant: arr[i+1, .. n-1]ascending order (identification and proof)
- 0.5 pts Main Loop Invariant: mostly correct: some error

√ + 1 pts Main Loop Invariant : partially correct

- + 0.5 pts Main Loop Invariant: mostly incorrect some correct parts
- + 0 pts Main Loop invariant: Incorrect or missing
- + 1 pts Helper Loop Invariant 1: arr[0, ..., i] a max-heap (identification and proof)

√ + 0.5 pts Helper Loop Invariant 1: partially correct arr[0, ..., i] a max-heap (identification and proof)

- + O pts Helper Loop Invariant 1: Incorrect or missing
- + 1 pts Helper Loop Invariant 2: arr[0,...i] <= arr[i+1, ..., n-1] or arr[0,...i] <=arr[i+1](identification and proof)

√ + 0.5 pts Helper Loop invariant 2: partially correct

- + O pts Helper Loop Invariant 2: Incorrect or missing
- + 1 pts Partial Correctness: Correct
- + 0.5 pts Partial Corretness: partially correct

√ + 0 pts Partial Correctness: Incorrect or missing

- 0.5 pts Miscellaneous: minor mistake or missing
- + **0.5 pts** Reasonable Attempt
- + **0 pts** Not Submitted or Incorrect
- **5** 3
- 6 why true?

Maintenance:

Suppose the loop invariant holds for an iteration i. From initialization we know that the array is a heap from the initialization step, where heapify was called. So the root of the tree which is Array[0] = max element. Then after the swap the max element is changed to Array[i] = max element.

From our assumption:

-
$$Array[n-1] \ge \ge Array[i+1] \ge Array[i]$$

Once the percolate down method finishes we get

Array[0,1,...,i-1]

Therefore the loop invariant is held during the maintenance step.

Postcondition:

Given heap array is sorted so,

$$Array[n-1] \ge Array[n-2] \ge \ge Array[1] \ge Array[0]$$

Corectness/Termination:

The loop runs from i = n - 1 and stops at i = 1. Using the $Array[k] \ge Array[j]$ condition we can see that the array is indeed sorted and in the maintenance step this postcondition is also proved.

Since postcondition holds and

3)

Let T(n) denote the worst case number of steps to run KQuickSort on an array of size n.

Assume that KPartition takes $\Theta(n)$ steps to partition an array of size n.

a)

 $T(n) = \Theta(n^2)$ when n < k we get the worst case since insertion sort is called.

For $n \ge k$ three operations occur,

KPartition is called and the complexity of that is given as $\Theta(n)$.

Also two recursive calls are made to KQuickSort, one for the left partition and one for the right partition.

For the right partition the recursive call is done for n-k.

For the left partition the recursive call is done for k-1.

So the recurrence relation can be made:

$$T(n) = \{ \Theta(n^2) \qquad n < k$$

$$\Theta(n) + T(n-k) + T(k-1) \qquad n \ge k$$

Use iterative substitution to find an upper bound of T(n).

Assume n is a multiple of K, so n = m * K

First we must work out a base case:

For
$$T(0) = ?$$

Since n = 0 and that also means k = 0.

So for the base case we have:

For
$$T(0) = 0$$

Then,

k-1 is less than k so from the above recurrence relation we n^2 , substitute k-1 into that.

$$T(n) = (n) + T(n-k) + (k-1)^{2}$$



Using iterative substitution:

$$T(n) = (n) + T(n - k) + (k - 1)^{2}$$

Iteration #1

$$T(n-k) = (n-k) + T(n-2k) + (k-1)^{2}$$

$$T(n) = n + (n - k) + T(n - 2k) + (k - 1)^{2} + (k - 1)^{2}$$

$$T(n) = 2n - k + 2(k - 1)^{2} + T(n - 2k)$$

Iteration #2

$$T(n-2k) = (n-2k) + T(n-3k) + (k-1)^{2}$$

$$T(n) = 2n - k + (n - 2k) + T(n - 3k) + 3(k - 1)^{2}$$

$$T(n) = 3n - 3k + T(n - 3k) + 3(k - 1)^{2}$$

Iteration #3

•

By rearranging we get:

$$T(n) = 3n - 3(k - 1)^2 + T(n - 3k) - k(1 + 2 + 3 + 4...)$$

n is a multiple of k, so to reach our base case of T(0) = 0 we need $\frac{n}{k}$ iterations.

Then

$$T(n) = {\binom{n}{k}} 3n^{\frac{3}{2}} {\binom{n}{k}} (k-1)^2 + {\binom{n}{k}} T(n-3k) + {\binom{n}{k}} k (1+2+3....)$$

Part of T(n) calculation:

$$3(\frac{n}{k})(k-1)^2 = 3(\frac{n}{k})(k^2-2k+1) = 3n(k) - 2(3n) + (\frac{3n}{k})$$

The last term can be written as a sum

$$T(n) = \left(\frac{3n^2}{k}\right) + 3n(k) - 2(3n) + \left(\frac{3n}{k}\right) + \left(\frac{n}{k}\right)T(n-3k) - k\left(\sum_{i=1}^{\frac{n}{k}}i\right)$$

The 3 is just a constant so if we take it out and also simplify the summation using arithmetic series summation simplification:

$$T(n) \le c * ((\frac{n^2}{k}) + 3n(k) - 2(3n) + (\frac{3n}{k}) + 0 - k(\frac{n}{k}(\frac{n+1}{2}))$$

$$T(n) \le c * ((\frac{n^2}{k}) + n(k) - 2(n) + (\frac{n}{k}) - (\frac{1}{2}(\frac{n^2}{k} + n)))$$

From this we see that the biggest term is forming an upper bound for some constant $c \geq 3$.

Thus.

$$T(n) = O(c * (\frac{n^2}{k} + n(k) - 2(n) + (\frac{n}{k}) - (\frac{1}{2}(\frac{n^2}{k} + n))))$$

c)

The worst case of quick sort occurs in these situations:

Array is already sorted in the correct way.

Array is already sorted in the reverse way.

All elements are the same value.

And from the lecture notes we know the complexity to be $\Theta(n^2)$.

From the lecture notes we know that in these cases the partition size is usually equal to a size of 1. We also see from the lecture and from part B that k is the partition size as the quickSort breaks the array in n-k.

So for the worst case k = 1. By substituting the partition of k = 1 into the equation we get:

$$T(n) = O(c * (\frac{n^2}{k} + n(k) - 2(n) + (\frac{n}{k}) - (\frac{1}{2}(\frac{n^2}{k} + n))))$$

$$= (\frac{n^2}{1} + n(1) - 2(n) + (\frac{n}{1}) - (\frac{1}{2}(\frac{n^2}{1} + n)))$$

$$= (n^2 + n(1) - 2(n) + n - (\frac{1}{2}(n^2 + n))$$

$$= O(\frac{n^2}{1} + n(1) - 2(n) + (\frac{n}{1}) - (\frac{1}{2}(\frac{n^2}{1} + n))))$$

Then for a precise complexity we get $= \Theta(n^2)$

Likewise KQuickSort has the same worst case when insertion sort is used at n < k.

4) Prove that a tree with n vertices has n - 1 edges.

Proof by induction:

Base Case:

When n = 1 vertex.

There are 1 - 1 = 0 edges. A single vertex has no edges as there are no other vertices to connect to.

When n = 2 vertices.

There are 2 - 1 = 1 edges.

When n = 3 vertices.

There are 3 - 1 = 2 edges.

So the base case holds.

Inductive Hypothesis:

Assume this statement holds for any tree with n vertices where n > 1.

Inductive Step:

By the definition a tree is an undirected graph. A tree denoted as T with n vertices, for n > 1 has atleast one edge, meaning the number of edges can not be zero.

Let c be a connecting edge that connects two vertices A and B. Since T is a tree and by definition only has one path that connects the two vertice. If we delete the edge c, then the tree is split into two sub-trees, $T_1 \& T_2$. Respectively $T_1 \& T_2$ have $n_1 \& n_2$ vertices where $n_1 < n \& n_2 < n$, $n_1 + n_2 = n total vertices$.

3 KQuickSort 2.5 / 5

- + **5 pts** Correct
- √ + 1.5 pts part a: recurrence is correct
 - + 1 pts part a partially : a mistake or missing
 - + 0.5 pts part a: mostly incorrect, some correct parts
 - + 0 pts part a: recurrence completely incorrect or missing
 - + 0.5 pts part b: Usage of constants during substitution and in recurrence
- √ + 0 pts part b: incorrect usage or missing using some constants during substitutions and in recurrence
 - + 0.5 pts part b: correct Substitution of T(k-1)
- $\sqrt{+0}$ pts part b: Incorrect or incomplete substitution of T(k-1)
 - + 1 pts part b: Correct Substitution of T(n-k)
 - + 0.5 pts part b: Partially correct Substitution of T(n-k)
- $\sqrt{+0}$ pts part b: Incorrect or missing substitution of T(n-k)
 - + 0.5 pts part b: Correct recurrence solution
- √ + 0 pts part b: Incorrect or missing final recurrence Solution
- √ + 0.5 pts part b: Correct asymptotic notation O(n^2) based on the recurrence solution
- + **0 pts** part b: Incorrect or missing Asymptotic Notation or incorrect related arguments or not based on the recurrence solution
- √ + 0.5 pts part c: Correct
 - 0.5 pts Miscellaneous: minor mistakes or missing
 - + 0 pts part b: not submitted or totally wrong
- + **0 pts** part c: completely incorrect or missing or based on incorrect arguments from previous section or not base on part b
 - + 0 pts Not submitted or totally wrong
- 7 cannot ignore constant at this point
- 8 3?
- 9 ?

Thus.

$$T(n) = O(c * (\frac{n^2}{k} + n(k) - 2(n) + (\frac{n}{k}) - (\frac{1}{2}(\frac{n^2}{k} + n))))$$

c)

The worst case of quick sort occurs in these situations:

Array is already sorted in the correct way.

Array is already sorted in the reverse way.

All elements are the same value.

And from the lecture notes we know the complexity to be $\Theta(n^2)$.

From the lecture notes we know that in these cases the partition size is usually equal to a size of 1. We also see from the lecture and from part B that k is the partition size as the quickSort breaks the array in n-k.

So for the worst case k = 1. By substituting the partition of k = 1 into the equation we get:

$$T(n) = O(c * (\frac{n^2}{k} + n(k) - 2(n) + (\frac{n}{k}) - (\frac{1}{2}(\frac{n^2}{k} + n))))$$

$$= (\frac{n^2}{1} + n(1) - 2(n) + (\frac{n}{1}) - (\frac{1}{2}(\frac{n^2}{1} + n)))$$

$$= (n^2 + n(1) - 2(n) + n - (\frac{1}{2}(n^2 + n))$$

$$= O(\frac{n^2}{1} + n(1) - 2(n) + (\frac{n}{1}) - (\frac{1}{2}(\frac{n^2}{1} + n))))$$

Then for a precise complexity we get $= \Theta(n^2)$

Likewise KQuickSort has the same worst case when insertion sort is used at n < k.

4) Prove that a tree with n vertices has n - 1 edges.

Proof by induction:

Base Case:

When n = 1 vertex.

There are 1 - 1 = 0 edges. A single vertex has no edges as there are no other vertices to connect to.

When n = 2 vertices.

There are 2 - 1 = 1 edges.

When n = 3 vertices.

There are 3 - 1 = 2 edges.

So the base case holds.

Inductive Hypothesis:

Assume this statement holds for any tree with n vertices where n > 1.

Inductive Step:

By the definition a tree is an undirected graph. A tree denoted as T with n vertices, for n > 1 has atleast one edge, meaning the number of edges can not be zero.

Let c be a connecting edge that connects two vertices A and B. Since T is a tree and by definition only has one path that connects the two vertice. If we delete the edge c, then the tree is split into two sub-trees, $T_1 \& T_2$. Respectively $T_1 \& T_2$ have $n_1 \& n_2$ vertices where $n_1 < n \& n_2 < n$, $n_1 + n_2 = n total vertices$.

So the total number of edges by using our assumption: # of edges in
$$T = (n_1^{10} \ 1) + (n_2^{11} \ 1) + 1$$
, + 1 edge from addition of two sub trees # of edges in $T = (n_1 + n_2) - 2 + 1$ # of edges in $T = (n_1 + n_2) - 1$ ($n_1 + n_2$) is equivalent to the whole Tree. So, # of edges = $n - 1$

Thus the inductive step holds.

4 Number of Tree Edges 2.5/3

- + 3 pts Correct
- √ + 0.5 pts Base case correct
 - + 0 pts Base case incorrect or missing
 - + 0.5 pts Correct Inductive hypothesis
- √ + 0 pts Incorrect or missing inductive hypothesis
- √ + 2 pts Inductive step correct
 - + 1 pts Inductive step partially correct
 - + 0.5 pts Inductive step : not convincing and mostly incorrect
 - + O pts Inductive Step totally incorrect or missing
 - 0.5 pts A minor mistake in Inductive step
 - + O pts Totally Incorrect or not submitted
 - + **0 pts** Very minor mistakes (no points deducted)
- 10 we cannot conclude this from the I.H.
- 11 the same.