

Definition 1: statement (propositions)

is a sentence that is true or false but not both

For example 1:

① Two plus Two equal Four.

② Washington, D.C., is the Capital of United states of America.

③ $2+2=5$

④ Ismailia is the Capital of Egypt.

Propositions 1 and 2 are true, whereas 3 and 4 false.

On the other hand,

Example 2:

① He is a College student. ?

② $x+y > 0$

| not proposition
(statement)

③ $x+1=2$

④ $x+y = z$

where ① not statement, since the truth of ① depends on the reference for the pronoun he. For some value of he the sentence is true; for other it is false.

② Sentence (2), (3) and (4) are not statement because they neither true nor false. Note that each of sentence can be turned into a proposition if we assign value to the variable.

Note: we use letters to denote statement variable, that is, variables that represent propositions such as p, q, r, s, \dots

Definition 2. let p be a statement. the negation of p , denoted by $\neg p$ or $\sim p$ (also denoted by \overline{p}), is the statement "It's not the case that p " ($\text{not } p$)

the truth value of the negation of p , $\neg p$, is the opposite of the truth value of p .

negation operator.

P	$\neg P$
T	F
F	T

The truth table for the negation of proposition.

This table has a row for each of the two possible truth values of statement p . Each row shows the truth value of $\neg p$ corresponding to the truth value of p .

Example: Find the negation of the proposition.

- Micheal's PC runs Linux.

Solution:

Micheal's PC doesn't run Linux.

- Vandana's smart phone has at least 32GB of memory.

Solution: Vanala's smart phone has less than 32 GB of memory
or Vanad's smart phone does not have at least 32 GB.

Definition 3: If p and q are statement variables, the Conjunction of p and q is " p and q ", denoted by $p \wedge q$. It's true when, and only when, both p and q are true. If either p or q is false or if both are false, $p \wedge q$ is false.

	P	q	$P \wedge q$
T	T	T	T
T	F	F	F
F	T	F	F
F	F	F	F

Definition 4: If p and q are statement variables, the disjunction of p and q is " P or q ", denoted by $(p \vee q)$. $(p \vee q)$ is false when both p and q are false and is true otherwise.

	P	q	$P \vee q$
T	T	T	T
T	F	T	T
F	T	T	T
F	F	F	F

Note

A variety of English words translate into logic.

as N, V or N. For instance, the word but translates the same as and when it links to independent clauses, as in "Jim is tall but he is not heavy".

table	$p \text{ but } q \quad \text{means } b \text{ and } q$ $\text{neither } p \text{ nor } q \quad \text{, } \quad np \text{ and } \sim q$ $P \downarrow q$
-------	--

Example: Write each of the following sentences symbolically, letting h = "It's hot" and s = "It's sunny".

(a) It's not hot but It's sunny.

(b) It's neither hot nor sunny.

* Solution: (a) $\sim h \wedge s$
 (b) $\sim h \wedge \sim s$

Example: Suppose x is particular real number. Let p, q, r be symbols "0 < x ", " $x < 3$ " and " $x = 3$ ", respectively. Write the following inequalities symbolically.

(a) $x \leq 3$

(b) $0 < x < 3$

(c) $0 < x \leq 3$

Solution. (a) $q \vee r$. (b) $p \wedge q$. (c) $p \wedge (q \vee r)$

Example: let h = "John is healthy", w = "John is wealthy"
and s = "John is wise"

- (a) John is healthy and wealthy but not wise.
- (b) John is not wealthy but he is healthy and wise.
- (c) John is neither healthy, wealthy, nor wise.
- (d) " " neither wealthy nor wise, but he is healthy.
- (e) " " wealthy, but he is not both healthy and wise.

Solution

- (a) $h \wedge w \wedge \neg s$
- (b) $\neg w \wedge (h \wedge s)$
- (c) $\neg h \wedge \neg w \wedge \neg s$
- (d) $\neg w \wedge \neg s \wedge h$
- (e) $(h \wedge \neg w \wedge \neg s)$

Note In ordinary language or is sometimes used in exclusive sense (p or q but not both) and sometimes in an inclusive sense (p or q or both). For example, waiter who says "You may have" Coffee, tea, or milk" uses the word or in an exclusive sense. On other hand, a waiter who offers "Cream or sugar" uses the word or in an inclusive sense.

P	q	$P \vee q$	$P \wedge q$	$\neg(P \wedge q)$	$(P \vee q) \wedge \neg(P \wedge q)$
T	T	T	T	F	F
T	F	T	F	T	T
F	T	T	F	T	T
F	F	F	F	T	F

Truth table for Exclusive or: $(P \vee q) \wedge \neg(P \wedge q)$

$$P \oplus q \rightarrow XoR$$

The order of operations.

In expressions that includ the symbol \sim as well as \wedge or \vee , the order of operations is that

- ① \sim is performed first.
- ② the symbols \wedge and \vee are Considered Coequal in order of operation, and an expression such as $p \wedge q \vee r$ is Considered ambiguous, must be written either $(p \wedge q) \vee r$ or $p \wedge (q \vee r)$ to have meaning.

*Evaluating the truth of more General Compound statmen

= Truth table for $(P \wedge q) \vee \sim r$

→ statement form

P	q	r	$P \wedge q$	$\sim r$	$((P \wedge q) \vee \sim r)$
T	T	T	T	F	T
T	T	F	T	T	T
T	F	T	F	F	F
T	F	F	F	T	T
F	T	T	F	F	F
F	T	F	F	T	T
F	F	T	F	F	F
F	F	F	F	T	T

Example. Truth table of $(P \vee (\sim P \vee q)) \wedge \sim (q \wedge \sim r)$
(For student.)

Definition: logically equivalent

Two statement form are called logically equivalent if, and only if, they have identical truth values for all each possible substitution of statements for their statement variables.

The logical equivalence of statement forms P and Q is denoted by $P \equiv Q$.

Ex. Double negative property: $\sim(\sim P) \equiv P$

P	$\sim P$	$\sim(\sim P)$
T	F	T
F	T	F

\uparrow \uparrow
 P and $\sim(\sim P)$ always have the same truth values, so they are logically equivalent.

Ex. $P \wedge (q \vee r) \equiv (P \wedge q) \vee (P \wedge r)$ (distributive law)

P	q	r	$q \vee r$	$P \wedge q$	$P \wedge (q \vee r)$	$(P \wedge q) \vee (P \wedge r)$
T	T	T	T	T	T	T
T	T	F	F	T	T	T
F	F	T	F	F	T	T
T	F	F	F	F	F	F
F	T	T	T	F	F	F
F	T	F	F	F	F	F
F	F	T	F	F	F	F
F	F	F	F	F	F	F

Same truth values.

not the same case as $(P \vee q) \vee (\neg p \wedge r) \neq (P \vee q) \wedge r$

Ex. Show that statement form $\neg(P \wedge q)$ and ~~$\neg P \wedge \neg q$~~ are not logically equivalent. (For student)

De Morgan's laws:-

$$\neg(P \wedge q) = \neg P \vee \neg q$$

$$\neg(P \vee q) = \neg P \wedge \neg q$$

The negation of an and statement is logically equivalent to the or statement in which each component is negated.

The negation of an or statement is logically equivalent to the and statement in which each component is negated.

- Applying De Morgan's law, write negation for each statement.

- ① John is 6 feet tall and he weight at least 200 pound
- ② The bus was late or Tom's watch was slow.
- ③ This Computer program has logical error in the first ten lines or it is being run with in complete data set.
- ④ Sam is an orange belt and kate is a red belt.

Solutions:-

- ① John is not 6 feet tall or he weight less than 200 pound
- ② The bus wasn't late and Tom's watch wasn't slow.
- ③ This Computer program ~~has~~ does not have logical err. and it's not run with in complete data set.
- ④ Sam is not an orange belt or kate is not a red belt.

Ex. Use De Morgan's laws to write the negation of $-1 < x \leq 4$

Solution. Since $-1 < x \leq 4$ means $-1 < x$ and $x \leq 4$

Then negation is $x \leq -1$ or $x > 4$

(not $-1 \geq x \geq 4$)

⇒ This wrong

Definition Tautologies and Contradictions

A Tautology is a statement form that is always true regardless of the truth values of the individual statements substituted for its statement variables. A statement whose form is a tautology is a tautological statement.

A Contradiction is a statement form that is always false regardless of the truth values of the individual statements.

Substituted for its statement variables. A statement whose form is a contradiction is a contradiction statement.

Ex. Show that the statement form $P \vee \neg P$ is a tautology and that statement form $P \wedge \neg P$ is a contradiction.

P	$\neg P$	$P \vee \neg P$	$P \wedge \neg P$
T	F	T	F
F	T	T	F

↑
all T's so $P \vee \neg P$ is tautology.
↓
all F's so $P \wedge \neg P$ is contradiction.

$P \vee \neg P$ is tautology.

T

III

PAGE

DATE

Ex. if \oplus is a tautology and \ominus is a contradiction, show that

$$P \wedge t = p \text{ and } P \wedge c = \ominus$$

P	t	$P \wedge t$	P	c	$P \wedge c$
T	T	T	T	F	F
F	T	F	F	F	F

$\downarrow \quad \uparrow \quad \uparrow \quad \uparrow$

$$P \wedge t = p \quad P \wedge c = \ominus$$

Definition: (Conditional)

If p and q are statement variables, the Conditional of q by p

is "If p then q " or " p implies q " and is denoted by $p \rightarrow q$.

It's false when p is true and q is false; otherwise it's true.

We call p the hypothesis (or antecedent) of the Conditional and q Conclusion (or Consequent).

P	q	$P \rightarrow q$
T	T	T
T	F	F
F	T	T
F	F	T

*logical equivalence involving \rightarrow :

For example: $p \vee q \rightarrow r = (p \rightarrow r) \wedge (q \rightarrow r)$

↑ hypothesis

Conclusion.

P	q	r	$p \vee q$	$p \rightarrow r$	$q \rightarrow r$	$p \vee q \rightarrow r$	$(p \rightarrow r) \wedge (q \rightarrow r)$
T	T	T	T	T	T	T	T
T	T	F	T	F	F	F	F
T	F	T	T	T	T	T	T
T	F	F	T	F	T	F	F
F	T	T	T	T	T	T	T
F	T	F	T	T	F	F	F
F	F	T	F	T	T	T	T
F	F	F	F	T	T	T	T

are logically equivalent.

* Representation if then As or.

$$P \rightarrow q \equiv \sim P \vee q$$

Ex. Rewrite the following statement in if-then form:

Either you get to work on time or you are fired.

Soln.

Let $\sim p$ be You get to work on time.

q : You are Fired.

Then solution. If you don't get to work on time, then you are fired.

* Negation of a Conditional statement.

$$\sim(P \rightarrow q) \equiv P \wedge \sim q$$

The negation of "if P then q " is logically equivalent to

" P and not q "

$$\sim(P \rightarrow q) \equiv \sim(\sim P \vee q)$$

$$\equiv \sim(\sim P) \wedge \sim q$$

$$\equiv P \wedge \sim q$$

Ex. Write negations for each of the following statements.

① IF my car is in the repair shop, then I can not get to class.

Ans: My car is in the repair shop and I can get to class.