

# Virtual Communities New Ethnog docx

## Virtual Communities New Ethnographic Methods.docx

 My Files My Files Central Luzon State University

---

### Document Details

**Submission ID**

trn:oid:::29324:80977603

**Submission Date**

Feb 4, 2025, 3:37 AM GMT+5

**Download Date**

Feb 4, 2025, 3:41 AM GMT+5

**File Name**

Virtual Communities New Ethnographic Methods.docx

**File Size**

22.9 KB

6 Pages

2,039 Words

12,870 Characters



# 0% detected as AI

The percentage indicates the combined amount of likely AI-generated text as well as likely AI-generated text that was also likely AI-paraphrased.

**Caution: Review required.**

It is essential to understand the limitations of AI detection before making decisions about a student's work. We encourage you to learn more about Turnitin's AI detection capabilities before using the tool.

## Detection Groups

- 
**1 AI-generated only 0%**  
 Likely AI-generated text from a large-language model.
- 
**2 AI-generated text that was AI-paraphrased 0%**  
 Likely AI-generated text that was likely revised using an AI-paraphrase tool or word spinner.

### Disclaimer

Our AI writing assessment is designed to help educators identify text that might be prepared by a generative AI tool. Our AI writing assessment may not always be accurate (it may misidentify writing that is likely AI generated as AI generated and AI paraphrased or likely AI generated and AI paraphrased writing as only AI generated) so it should not be used as the sole basis for adverse actions against a student. It takes further scrutiny and human judgment in conjunction with an organization's application of its specific academic policies to determine whether any academic misconduct has occurred.

## Frequently Asked Questions

### How should I interpret Turnitin's AI writing percentage and false positives?

The percentage shown in the AI writing report is the amount of qualifying text within the submission that Turnitin's AI writing detection model determines was either likely AI-generated text from a large-language model or likely AI-generated text that was likely revised using an AI-paraphrase tool or word spinner.

False positives (incorrectly flagging human-written text as AI-generated) are a possibility in AI models.

AI detection scores under 20%, which we do not surface in new reports, have a higher likelihood of false positives. To reduce the likelihood of misinterpretation, no score or highlights are attributed and are indicated with an asterisk in the report (\*%).

The AI writing percentage should not be the sole basis to determine whether misconduct has occurred. The reviewer/instructor should use the percentage as a means to start a formative conversation with their student and/or use it to examine the submitted assignment in accordance with their school's policies.

### What does 'qualifying text' mean?

Our model only processes qualifying text in the form of long-form writing. Long-form writing means individual sentences contained in paragraphs that make up a longer piece of written work, such as an essay, a dissertation, or an article, etc. Qualifying text that has been determined to be likely AI-generated will be highlighted in cyan in the submission, and likely AI-generated and then likely AI-paraphrased will be highlighted purple.

Non-qualifying text, such as bullet points, annotated bibliographies, etc., will not be processed and can create disparity between the submission highlights and the percentage shown.



## Virtual Communities - New Ethnographic Methods

### Abstract

Virtual ethnography has become one of the valuable techniques for investigating the richness of virtual communities, and it indicates a new trend in anthropology practice in contemporary society. This article examines how conventional ethnographic techniques are used in cyberspace, especially on Reddi and Second Life. In other words, virtual communities are important because they create new social practices and cultures, breaking the conventional paradigms for ethnographic work. Researchers avoid the complexity of online actions and behavior in digital methods like participant observation, digital interviews, and content analysis. This paper explains the development of ethnographic research by pointing out the methodological modifications required for research on virtual communities. Recognizing digital ethnography's existing practices and problems in the present anthropological context, this research highlights the importance of such methods in today's anthropology. It contributes to understanding the future developments of anthropological research in cyberspace.

### Introduction

#### Background

The emergence of the Internet has greatly influenced social relations in that it has created various virtual networks cutting across all geographical borders. Now, the Internet means something as diverse as Reddit and Second Life, which are essential parts of the everyday lives of millions of people as a means of communication, self-identification, and searching for like-minded people. While anthropologists attempt to document these types of interactions, there is a growing problem of applying 'classical' ethnographic methods to the new context of Internet and Web usage.

Classic ethnography is based on firsthand, extended observation of culture in the community, usually through live, close contact, and communication. However, given that numerous customers lead active lives online in virtual communities, such approaches must be reconsidered because the processes involved differ from those witnessed offline (Postill and Pink 125; Nascimento, Suarez, and Campos 495). For instance, since most communication is asynchronous, getting close to the participants in the online discussion is challenging, and researchers are forced to be more fluid in their approach (Duggan 3; Steinmetz 30).

#### Research Objectives

In this paper, the transformations that anthropologists have to make to analyze virtual communities properly are described. It looks at how digital ethnographic techniques are used, the difficulties identified, and the ethical issues that arise in online research processes. Drawing on methodologies applied in the context of Reddit and Second Life, the paper discusses the change brought by virtual communities to ethnographic practices. It outlines the directions for further development in the field

### Literature Review

#### Traditional Ethnography

Conventional ethnography, in contrast, is based on prolonged engagement in a community and employs participant observation and interaction with people (Postill and Pink

125). This method allows for a detailed understanding of cultural practices, attitudes, and relationships through immersion and forging relationships.

### **Digital Ethnography is still in its infancy.**

With the introduction of online environments, ethnography has gone into new areas, requiring changes in an analytical strategy. Technological elements are incorporated into the digital ethnography to examine virtual communities, while social media platforms are employed to interact with the target groups (560). Authors Nascimento, Suarez, and Campos are among the scholars who stressed the importance of dynamic and context-sensitive methods that should be used in the context of OSNs and the importance of making further changes to the research methodologies.

### **List of Research Papers & Theories**

Postill and Pink (128) have stressed the need to spend time within the online cultural spaces to gain trust, which supports Dewi (110) on rapport. Ducheneaut, Yee, and Bellotti (140) have pointed out how ethnography uses computational tools to improve data analysis. Shafirova, Cassany, and Bach (540) show that digital interviews are diverse, reflecting participants in their natural digital environments.

### **Gaps in Current Research**

As previous research, this study builds upon prior work to offer an initial framework for digital ethnography. However, how these issues have been examined in earlier studies addressing data quality and ethical issues still needs to be determined. To address these gaps, this research proposes to provide specific methodological modifications and ethical guidelines for virtual spaces.

### **Methodology**

#### **Research Design**

This research utilizes a qualitative exploratory approach to examine virtual communities in the context of virtual platforms, such as Reddit and Second Life. The study identifies how the conventional Ethnography methods work in the new digital environment, focusing on participant observation, interviews, and content analysis.

#### **Data Collection Methods**

##### **Participant Observation**

Participant observation entails observing other participants and the general culture of the online environments in real time. In Reddit and Second Life, this method involves using the tool to observe users' discourses, practices, and actions across multiple platforms (Ducheneaut, Yee, and Bellotti 140). People interact by responding in discussions, assisting in analyzing relations within the associated society and developing rapport.

##### **Digital Interviews**

Digital interviews are simply translations of regular interviews in the digital environment: they can be done through video calls or messaging applications (Caliandro 560). This approach increases data density as participants express their ideas in their natural digital spaces, allowing

them to speak their minds fully. This kind of communication makes it easier to respond calmly, as no instant answers are expected (Shafirova et al. 540).

### **Content Analysis**

Content analysis categorizes and interprets text in a structured way. It is particularly appropriate for posts and forum activity, such as those in social networks (Nascimento, Suarez, and Campos 500). It provides information about cultural practices and people's identities and is useful with participant observation and interviews.

### **Data Analysis Techniques**

Qualitative software tools analyze data from participant observations, digital interviews, and content analysis to manage large data sets. Patterns and trends describe the cultural behaviors and social relations in virtual communities (Dempsey et al. 10).

### **Ethical Considerations**

There is an inevitable conflict of ethical sensitivity in virtual ethnography. This is because aspects such as informed consent, participant anonymity, and data protection are vital in a setting where users may not expect research scrutiny from scholars (Postill and Pink 130). Scholars establish guidelines regarding how to get consent from the participants before using their data and explain ways to protect themselves (Richardson 155). Formalizing the researcher's position within the community assists in avoiding ethical issues.

### **Technological Integration**

Technology in virtual ethnography and the changing nature of data collection and analysis give a very interesting twist to the input of virtual communities. Qualitative data collected in online environments is analyzed using software tools that help arrange and interpret results (Dempsey et al. 10). This approach saves much research time and creatively presents complex data that enriches ethnography.

### **Results**

#### **Rapport-Building**

Digital ethnographic methods greatly help to improve the relationship with participants. Because participant observation entails the researcher actively participating in the group's activities, trust is built among members (Dewi 110). Timely engagement in discussions and concern with community processes ensure the participants freely report their experiences, which is vital in getting quality data.

#### **Data Integrity**

Preserving data's accuracy is especially relevant to virtual ethnography since interactions in cyberspace are frequently transient. Discussions can take a very short time, and big chunks of information can be created almost immediately. Content analysis and participant observation allow the richness of online behavior to be properly captured. Documentation and digital archiving make data comprehensive and mirror the community activity at a given period (Ducheneaut, Yee, and Bellotti 145).

#### **Methodological Adjustments**

The documentation and analysis must be flexible since the communication occurs asynchronously, as with online interactions. Key elements of note-taking should be modified from conventional writing to include screenshots and discussion archiving (Caliandro 570). Digital interviews provide more comprehensive data than face-to-face interviews since participants are more reflective of the familiar online environment (Shafirova, Cassany, and Bach 535). These adjustments play a huge part in improving the overall output of the data quality collected and immersing it well into the changing nature of digital social interactions.

## **Discussion**

### **Interpretation of Findings**

It focuses on some major methodological changes in digital ethnography, which is within a more general process in anthropology. Improved engagement correlates with Postill and Pink's (128) ideas of presence in social media communities. Dewi (110) states that trust is crucial when collecting qualitative data, and Ducheneaut, Yee, and Bellotti (138) describe the use of computation tools for data analysis.

The following aspects discovered during the collection of the current literature also enhanced the depth of the data that already existed in this context:

The results support other works discussing the need for more elastic and dynamic approaches in digital ethnography (Nascimento, Suarez, and Campos 505). Data accuracy and ethical issues are pertinent to the current debates on the difficulties of online research (Dewi 112; Lane and Lingel 322). The use of technology in data analysis can be viewed as a trend in anthropology, where computational methods improve the functionality of the field (Ducheneaut, Yee, and Bellotti 138).

### **Implications for the Field**

In that vein, this research elucidates the flexibility imposed on investigators who enter virtual communities to advance knowledge of digital social existence. The lessons learned help anthropologists enhance the digital ethnography processes and maintain data credibility and ethical standards in online research.

### **Limitations**

One of the study's main limitations is that the author has analyzed only two virtual communities, Reddit and Second Life. Besides, using online instruments can introduce biases such as the researchers' digital literacy level and platform characteristics.

### **Future Research Directions**

Future studies should use a wider variety of virtual environments to expand the generalization of the results obtained in different online communities. Other higher forms of technology, such as applying machine learning to data analysis, can improve ethnographic insights. Moreover, longitudinal research can assess how specific virtual communities have changed and how the effects of methodological changes have been felt in the long term.

## **Conclusion**

This paper has discussed and explored the need for the major shifts in ethnographic methods that virtual communities present and the new possibilities and challenges they present. Participant observation and Digital interviewing techniques are crucial when working online

since they facilitate rapport formation and rich assembling of qualitative data. Ethical concerns and research data quality are highlighted, representing the challenges of conducting investigations in cyberspace.

- Areas for future research include:
- The more fine-grained elaboration of these methodologies.
- The use of new technologies in data collection and analysis.
- The exploration of the relationships between digital ethnography and the escalating digitalization of societies.

Through constant adjustments to the dynamics of social interactions fostered by the Internet, anthropologists can enhance our understanding of the new culture being nurtured by virtual society.

## Works Cited

- Caliandro, Andrea. "Digital Methods for Ethnography: Analytical Concepts for Ethnographers Exploring Social Media Environments." *Journal of Contemporary Ethnography*, vol. 47, no. 5, 2017, pp. 551–578. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0891241617702960>.
- Dempsey, Sean, et al. "Assessing Impacts of the COVID-19 Pandemic on Anthropological Research Methods." *Pathways*, vol. 2, no. 1, 2021, pp. 1–13. <https://doi.org/10.29173/pathways20>.
- Dewi, A. "Reversing Control over Digital Public Sphere through the Hashtag #blokirkominfo." *Journal of Humanities and Social Sciences Studies*, vol. 5, no. 10, 2023, pp. 102–113. <https://doi.org/10.32996/jhsss.2023.5.10.13>.
- Ducheneaut, Nicolas, Nick Yee, and Valerio Bellotti. "The Best of Both (Virtual) Worlds: Using Ethnography and Computational Tools to Study Online Behavior." *Ethnographic Praxis in Industry Conference Proceedings*, 2010, pp. 136–148. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1559-8918.2010.00013.x>.
- Duggan, Michelle. "Questioning 'Digital Ethnography' in an Era of Ubiquitous Computing." *Geography Compass*, vol. 11, no. 5, 2017, pp. 3–13. <https://doi.org/10.1111/gec3.12313>.
- Lane, J., and J. Lingel. "Digital Ethnography for Sociology: Craft, Rigor, and Creativity." *Qualitative Sociology*, vol. 45, no. 3, 2022, pp. 319–326. DOI: 10.1007/s11133-022-09509-3.
- Nascimento, Tania, Maria Suarez, and Ricardo Campos. "An Integrative Review on Online Ethnography Methods: Differentiating Theoretical Bases, Potentialities and Limitations." *Qualitative Market Research: An International Journal*, vol. 25, no. 4, 2022, pp. 492–510. <https://doi.org/10.1108/qmr-07-2021-0086>.
- Postill, Jonathan, and Sarah Pink. "Social Media Ethnography: The Digital Researcher in a Messy Web." *Media International Australia*, vol. 145, no. 1, 2012, pp. 123–134. <https://doi.org/10.1177/1329878x1214500114>.
- Richardson, L. "Using Social Media as a Source for Understanding Public Perceptions of Archaeology: Research Challenges and Methodological Pitfalls." *Journal of Computer Applications in Archaeology*, vol. 2, no. 1, 2019, pp. 151–162. DOI: 10.5334/jcaa.39.
- Shafirova, Larisa, Daniel Cassany, and Claudia Bach. "Transcultural Literacies in Online Collaboration: A Case Study of Fanfiction Translation from Russian into English." *Language and Intercultural Communication*, vol. 20, no. 6, 2020, pp. 531–545. <https://doi.org/10.1080/14708477.2020.1812621>.
- Steinmetz, K. "Message Received: Virtual Ethnography in Online Message Boards." *International Journal of Qualitative Methods*, vol. 11, no. 1, 2012, pp. 26–39. DOI: 10.1177/160940691201100103.