# The Role of AI in Fraud Detect docx

## The Role of AI in Fraud Detection.docx

Central Luzon State University

### Document Details

Submission ID
trn:oid:::29324:80978627

Submission Date
Feb 4, 2025, 3:34 AM GMT+5

Download Date
Feb 4, 2025, 3:35 AM GMT+5

File Name
The Role of AI in Fraud Detection.docx

File Size
20.4 KB

4 Pages

1,695 Words

10,255 Characters

# 0% detected as AI

The percentage indicates the combined amount of likely AI-generated text as well as likely AI-generated text that was also likely AI-paraphrased.

**Caution: Review required.**

It is essential to understand the limitations of AI detection before making decisions about a student's work. We encourage you to learn more about Turnitin's AI detection capabilities before using the tool.

## Detection Groups

**1** AI-generated only 0%
Likely AI-generated text from a large-language model.

**2** AI-generated text that was AI-paraphrased 0%
Likely AI-generated text that was likely revised using an AI-paraphrase tool or word spinner.



## Frequently Asked Questions

**How should I interpret Turnitin's AI writing percentage and false positives?**
The percentage shown in the AI writing report is the amount of qualifying text within the submission that Turnitin's AI writing detection model determines was either likely AI-generated text from a large-language model or likely AI-generated text that was likely revised using an AI-paraphrase tool or word spinner.

False positives (incorrectly flagging human-written text as AI-generated) are a possibility in AI models.

AI detection scores under 20%, which we do not surface in new reports, have a higher likelihood of false positives. To reduce the likelihood of misinterpretation, no score or highlights are attributed and are indicated with an asterisk in the report (*%).

The AI writing percentage should not be the sole basis to determine whether misconduct has occurred. The reviewer/instructor should use the percentage as a means to start a formative conversation with their student and/or use it to examine the submitted assignment in accordance with their school's policies.

**What does 'qualifying text' mean?**
Our model only processes qualifying text in the form of long-form writing. Long-form writing means individual sentences contained in paragraphs that make up a longer piece of written work, such as an essay, a dissertation, or an article, etc. Qualifying text that has been determined to be likely AI-generated will be highlighted in cyan in the submission, and likely AI-generated and then likely AI-paraphrased will be highlighted purple.

Non-qualifying text, such as bullet points, annotated bibliographies, etc., will not be processed and can create disparity between the submission highlights and the percentage shown.

# The Role of AI in Fraud Detection


## Abstract

AI application in auditing has made the detection of fraud in the financial sector a thing of the past. The following paper examines how using AI instruments to improve fraud detection accuracy is more effective than conventional auditing techniques. From the current literature, the study establishes the types of AI tools that have been applied, the improvement made to the precision of fraud detection, the issues audited firms encountered, measurable results in specific organizations, including Price Water Coopers and Deloitte, conformity to the regulations, and future advancements in AI. The results suggest that AI positively impacts fraud detection accuracy and that data privacy and change management barriers still exist. The paper ends with proposals on applying such advances in AI to boost auditing practices in the financial sector.


## Introduction

Business fraud in the financial market creates a high risk to the market and the economy. The conventional auditing approaches, where auditors rely on paper-based work and small samples, could be more effective in identifying sophisticated and developing fraudulent practices (Antwi, 2024). AI, a relatively recent phenomenon, provides opportunities for improving fraud prevention through new approaches to big data analytics and process automation. This paper investigates the following research question: How have auditing lessons benefited organizations by using artificial intelligence to identify fraud in the financial field? This paper seeks to review the literature to explain the use of AI tools in fraud detection, evaluate the effects of AI tools in auditing, and explore future problems and potential.

## Literature Review

### AI Tools in Fraud Detection

AI uses in fraud detection include natural language processing (NLP), machine learning (ML), and Robotic Automation (RA). Supervised and unsupervised learning methods are central to pattern and outliers' discovery within extensive data (Adelakun, 2024). Fraudulent points are detected based on supervised learning models analyzed a priori on the labeled dataset. At the same time, unlabeled data is used in unsupervised learning to detect points outside the normal range of behavior (Adelakun, 2024). NLP processes emails and financial documents to detect unusual activity or connections (Adelakun, 2024). The combination of RPA with other advanced technologies like Artificial Intelligence and Predictive analytics helps improve fraud detection as it automates repetitive work and provides accurate time analysis of the data (Venigandla & Vemuri, 2022).

### Effectiveness on the Accuracy of Fraud Identification

Corporate fraud detection is more accurate when achieved through AI than through auditing. The effective use of AI tools can engage the entire dataset, not the sample data, which will help identify patterns and anomalies suggestive of fraud (Antwi, 2024). The deep learning models are better than traditional machine learning for detecting different and sophisticated frauds and have increased accuracy and specificity in detecting frauds (Shoetan, 2024). AI is advantageous in this context as it evolves its functioning to counter new fraud strategies identified in the latest data (Odeyemi, 2024).

### Challenges in Implementing AI

It is not surprising that auditing firms have had significant difficulties when attempting to implement AI: they have to worry about the privacy of the data, they may need more technological infrastructure, and there is always the issue of resistance to change. The availability of financial data should trigger compliance with data protection laws that include but are not limited to GDPR (Lai, 2023). Sometimes, technical infrastructure may be challenging to support progressive AI systems, which could hinder implementation and expansion (Supriadi, 2024). Resistance originates from the ignorance of AI technologies among professionals and job losses, so they require training and development (Supriadi, 2024).

**Quantifiable Benefits in Auditing Companies**

It has been found that applying AI in auditing yields tangible improvements; the proof is in contributions by PwC and Deloitte. Thus, AI helps in the real-time analysis of a large chunk of data, significantly reducing audit time and increasing efficiency (Supriadi, 2024). A more accurate audit can be performed with the help of more effective detection of anomalies that are informative for potential fraud cases and, consequently, lower financial losses, which are expected to be detected by the end of 2024, according to Supriadi. Proactivity in using AI allows firms to prevent risks before they result in actualization, thus enhancing the quality of audits and the relation with the client.

**Conferral with Regulatory Standards**

Using an AI approach meets the anticipated regulatory compliance standards and audit accuracy in fraud management. Accrual organizations have realized that AI can enhance financial reporting standards (Odeyemi, 2024). Nevertheless, some issues are raised about data protection and the methods used by the algorithm. Using AI in the organization must meet the regulatory requirements concerning data privacy and security and accounting for algorithmic decisions (Lai, 2023). This paper seeks to identify how auditing firms respond to those changes to remain in compliance with the new rules and, at the same time, be able to identify potential frauds.

**Future Developments in AI**

These areas include Machine Learning, NLP, and other new technologies, such as Quantum Computing, which may improve the precision of fraud detection. Artificial intelligence algorithms may significantly enhance the performance of quantum computing, enabling the processing of extensive data in arguments at speeds and complexity that have never been seen before (Wang et al., 2022). Fine-tuning deep learning models will probably enhance the ability to detect more complex forms of fraud (Shoetan, 2024). Several studies suggest that the unity of artificial intelligence with blockchain technology may protect financial transactions and minimize the likelihood of fraud (Odeyemi, 2024).

**Methodology**

The research adopted a literature review design based on the literature analysis within the past five years, concentrating on recent articles and cases that apply AI in fraud detection in financial auditing. The sources were used to formulate the research question and to consider the information's credibility in the academic environment. Only the sources provided were used to avoid deviation from the specified guidelines.

**Results**

This paper and the prior literature demonstrate that AI tools significantly improve fraud detection precision through better data analysis and learning. We also showed that with ML and NLP, it is easier to find patterns and outliers that may be overlooked using traditional methodologies. Those organizations that adopted AI in auditing have realized enhanced efficiency, accuracy, and early detection of fraud. Difficulties remain the same: data protection, technology support, and the reluctance to change. The results showed that regulatory alignment is possible but must be done cautiously when choosing compliance standards.

**Discussion**

The combination of AI in auditing revolutionizes the fight against fraud. The AI technique mitigates the problem with conventional auditing approaches since it can analyze entire datasets and recognize intricate patterns. The improvement also helps identify other frauds, including potential frauds, which help prevent fraud in the future. The challenges stated herein are real albeit moderate problems that can be solved. This is specifically true because of issues of data privacy, which require high levels of security with an emphasis on compliance with the set regulations. Modernization of technological systems and enrichment of human resource knowledge are among the strategic investments that pay significant returns in audit value and effectiveness.

Failure to adopt AI points out that change management is essential in organizations. If people understand that AI works only as a tool and an assistant, there are fewer fears of AI systems replacing professionals. Stressing the capability of AI to complement rather than replace human decision-making creates a constructive attitude, which creates a culture of innovation.

Regulatory alignment is essential. Like any other technology, AI is also dynamic, calling for changes in the laws controlling its operation. The third is the pressure that auditing firms need to balance the level of AI automation, the pace of developments in the regulatory environment, and the change in the attitude of the parties obliged to trust their work.

Other advancements in AI, such as quantum computing and blockchain, will provide future advancements in fraud detection. Future auditing research, investment, and work in progress must remain continuous within the auditing profession to respond to these developments.

**Conclusion**

The utilization of AI in auditing contributes to increasing the accuracy of detecting fraud in the financial industry. AI tools make it easier to analyze huge data, adopt flexible learning approaches, and implement measures against fraud that traditional auditing approaches cannot accomplish. Indeed, there are obstacles to digital learning, which include data privacy risks, technological resources, and reluctant adoption of technology. Still, they are solvable by making necessary plans, investing in infrastructure, and teaching people.

However, regulatory convergence maintains paramount importance here, and thus, auditing firms need to tread through the new compliance standards gently. New strides in the domain of AI mean that fraud detection can still be enhanced and improved by implementing new systems and technology, which means that practitioners must continue to work with the latest technologies in the future.

This paper argues that the auditing profession could improve the quality of financial reporting by adopting AI to build confident and credible financial markets.

## References

Adelakun, B. (2024). Enhancing fraud detection in accounting through AI: Techniques and case studies. *Finance & Accounting Research Journal*, 6(6), 978–999. https://doi.org/10.51594/farj.v6i6.1232

Antwi, B. (2024). Enhancing audit accuracy: The role of AI in detecting financial anomalies and fraud. *Finance & Accounting Research Journal*, 6(6), 1049–1068. https://doi.org/10.51594/farj.v6i6.1235

Ghahfarokhi, A., Mansouri, T., Moghaddam, M., Bahrambeik, N., Yavari, R., & Sani, M. (2021). Credit card fraud detection using asexual reproduction optimization. *Kybernetes*, 51(9), 2852–2876. https://doi.org/10.1108/K-04-2021-0324

Lai, G. (2023). Artificial intelligence techniques for fraud detection. *Preprints*. https://doi.org/10.20944/preprints202312.1115.v1

Odeyemi, O. (2024). Reviewing the role of AI in fraud detection and prevention in financial services. *International Journal of Science and Research Archive*, 11(1), 2101–2110. https://doi.org/10.30574/ijsra.2024.11.1.0279

Shoetan, P. (2024). Transforming fintech fraud detection with advanced artificial intelligence algorithms. *Finance & Accounting Research Journal*, 6(4), 602–625. https://doi.org/10.51594/farj.v6i4.1036

Supriadi, I. (2024). The audit revolution: Integrating artificial intelligence in detecting accounting fraud. *Akuntansi dan Teknologi Informasi*, 17(1), 48–61. https://doi.org/10.24123/jati.v17i1.6279

Venigandla, K., & Vemuri, N. (2022). RPA and AI-driven predictive analytics in banking for fraud detection. *Journal of Information and Computational Science*, 43(4), 123–135. https://doi.org/10.52783/tjjpt.v43.i4.5531

Wang, H., Wang, K., Liu, Y., & Alidaee, B. (2022). Integrating machine learning algorithms with quantum annealing solvers for online fraud detection. *IEEE Access*, 10, 75908–75917. https://doi.org/10.1109/ACCESS.2022.3190897