

Media Ethics in a Digital Worl Media Ethics in a Digi...

Media Ethics in a Digital World.docx

 My Files My Files Central Luzon State University

Document Details

Submission ID

trn:oid::29324:81451916

Submission Date

Feb 8, 2025, 2:59 PM GMT+5

Download Date

Feb 8, 2025, 3:03 PM GMT+5

File Name

Media Ethics in a Digital World.docx

File Size

23.4 KB

5 Pages**1,970 Words****11,844 Characters**



0% detected as AI

The percentage indicates the combined amount of likely AI-generated text as well as likely AI-generated text that was also likely AI-paraphrased.

Caution: Review required.

It is essential to understand the limitations of AI detection before making decisions about a student's work. We encourage you to learn more about Turnitin's AI detection capabilities before using the tool.

Detection Groups

- 
1 AI-generated only 0%
 Likely AI-generated text from a large-language model.
- 
2 AI-generated text that was AI-paraphrased 0%
 Likely AI-generated text that was likely revised using an AI-paraphrase tool or word spinner.

Disclaimer

Our AI writing assessment is designed to help educators identify text that might be prepared by a generative AI tool. Our AI writing assessment may not always be accurate (it may misidentify writing that is likely AI generated as AI generated and AI paraphrased or likely AI generated and AI paraphrased writing as only AI generated) so it should not be used as the sole basis for adverse actions against a student. It takes further scrutiny and human judgment in conjunction with an organization's application of its specific academic policies to determine whether any academic misconduct has occurred.

Frequently Asked Questions

How should I interpret Turnitin's AI writing percentage and false positives?

The percentage shown in the AI writing report is the amount of qualifying text within the submission that Turnitin's AI writing detection model determines was either likely AI-generated text from a large-language model or likely AI-generated text that was likely revised using an AI-paraphrase tool or word spinner.

False positives (incorrectly flagging human-written text as AI-generated) are a possibility in AI models.

AI detection scores under 20%, which we do not surface in new reports, have a higher likelihood of false positives. To reduce the likelihood of misinterpretation, no score or highlights are attributed and are indicated with an asterisk in the report (*%).

The AI writing percentage should not be the sole basis to determine whether misconduct has occurred. The reviewer/instructor should use the percentage as a means to start a formative conversation with their student and/or use it to examine the submitted assignment in accordance with their school's policies.

What does 'qualifying text' mean?

Our model only processes qualifying text in the form of long-form writing. Long-form writing means individual sentences contained in paragraphs that make up a longer piece of written work, such as an essay, a dissertation, or an article, etc. Qualifying text that has been determined to be likely AI-generated will be highlighted in cyan in the submission, and likely AI-generated and then likely AI-paraphrased will be highlighted purple.

Non-qualifying text, such as bullet points, annotated bibliographies, etc., will not be processed and can create disparity between the submission highlights and the percentage shown.



Media Ethics in a Digital World

Media Ethics in a Digital World: Managing Risks in an Environment of Change

Executive Summary

Today, ethical issues are present before media outlets due to the increasing use of new media technologies that compromise the overall ethical standard of journalism. The white paper focuses on significant concerns, including misperception, privacy violations, and prejudice from algorithmic manipulation. In discussing the transformation from conventional journalism to new media journalism, the paper identifies how the pressure towards the timeliness of the news and the impact of social media is ascertained ethical challenges. Based on the literature review, the paper recommends manageable strategies such as fact-checking, adequate journalism education, and strict moral standards. It also tackles privacy issues characteristic of data journalism and future ethical issues arising from AI and deepfake technologies. By so doing, media organizations can practice good journalism, gain public trust, and work responsibly in the complex world of journalism in the age of digital news.

Introduction: Purpose and Urgency

The digital shift has brought innovation to journalistic practice through the increased availability of information and the fulfillment of creating connections between people globally. Thus, the evolution generates enormous ethical dilemmas that threaten the trust and accuracy of media houses. Challenges, therefore, include the propagation of fake news and enhanced sharing duration, violation of privacy, and algorithmic orientation, which has been noted to carry biases, are key demerits gradually eroding the basic tenets of journalism. This white paper explains these ethical concerns and research findings supporting the dilemmas. The purpose is to present helpful advice and recommendations that media outlets can execute to improve professionalism, reliability, and ethical standards in journalism. Since people increasingly turn to digital sources seeking information, responding to these ethical inquiries is vital to retaining the essential public trust in journalism in the digital age.

Literature Review

The character of ethical issues in digital journalism has been explored in detail in the current research, with the emergence of technology as a significant factor. In the article discussed, Mihailidis and Viotty talk about “Spreadable Spectacle,” meaning the role of digital culture in misinformation in a post-truth society and civic participation (534). Das and Ahmed (539) explain the effects of postindustrial falsehoods during the COVID-19 crisis, pointing to disinformation as possessing a public ideological mold. According to Ksiazek (535), there are sources of the credibility decline of journalism, which is caused by growing misinformation and bias in news stories. Pangrazio (537) introduces the relevant forms of digital literacy needed in the fight against fake news and calls for improving media literacy. Zollo (540) looks at two extremities of digital misinformation polarization, arguing that algorithms and tribalism amplify the phenomenon. More recently, Tenewa (544) explores fallacies in the vaccination against COVID-19 in the mass media and applies paramount standards of fallacies’ detection and ethical media sensibility. Altogether, these works offer a sound theoretical base for knowing the ethical dilemmas in digital journalism and on which aspects the strategies suggested to overcome them are based.

Problem Analysis: Main Ethical Issues in Online Reporting

Misinformation

The digital medium helps spread information quickly; more checks are needed to verify its validity. According to Mihailidis and Viotty (534), social media favors quantity instead of quality, which makes disinformation go viral. As Das and Ahmed (539) pinpoint, during COVID-19, fake news threatened people's health because readers were exposed to false information about the virus and vaccines. One of the challenges that the growing technological adoption poses to journalistic professionalism is the fast pace at which fake news travels online.

Privacy Breaches

One of the significant issues of concern with reporters today is the increased ease with which they can access the personal information of individuals, thus calling into question issues of privacy and consent. Ksiazek (535) explains that media organizations gather and use personal data even if consent is not expressively granted, which can cause surveillance and invasion of privacy. Such examples as the recent practices of Cambridge Analytica show that the lack of control over the use of data is dangerous. The difficulty always arises in finding ways of reporting the information in such a way that meets its goal while at the same time respecting the rights of individuals to privacy.

Bias and Implementation of Algorithm

An important factor that dictates the extent to which content can be prominent in digital media is algorithms. Zollo (540) points out that such algorithms tend to promote more sensational articles with strong emotions, reinforcing existing prejudices and providing content in echo chambers. This bias distorts the masses' view and the journalism profession. Additionally, there is the problem of employing algorithmic preferences to manipulate news presentation, the issue highlighted by Тенева (544), that undermines public trust in media organizations, and therefore, the problem must be solved for journalism to be saved.

Proposed Solutions: Elements of Journalism and Ethical Journalism

Strict Processes of Verification and Protocols

It calls for strict fact-checking measures to reduce the circulation of fake information. Ksiazek (535) points out the necessity of having separate teams whose primary function is to check facts before releasing the news. Working with other independent third-party fact-checking organizations can help improve the accuracy and independence of the verification work and guarantee that published content material is correct.

Training and Education of Journalists

There is a need to attend ethical decision-making, fact-check responsibility training, and responsible data use education programs. Pangrazio (537) supports training sessions that should be conducted frequently to prepare journalists to deal with the issues of new media journalism. This kind of training creates the right environment of precision or responsibility that enables the journalist to provide accurate information that meets the standard set by the ethics code.

Precision of Corrections: Option to be Transparent

A key managerial and organizational practice is transparency, and it entails an organization accepting and correcting any mistake it might have made. Mihailidis and Viotty (534) assert that a clear correction policy reveals an organization's adherence to the truth. When

mistakes are acknowledged consistently, media organizations are likely to increase their believability to their audiences while at the same time making people have a critical approach towards information presented to them.

Applying Technology for Identifying Misinformation

Artificial Intelligence and machine learning can help detect and contain fake information. Zollo (540) also explains how these technologies can quickly identify fake posts, making it easy for media companies to act against counterfeit news before they gain much traction. Adopting these tools in the conventional editorial course means improving the veracity of the provided details.

Promoting Media Literacy

Increasing the population's media literacy, including how people consume news, is the key to fighting fake news. Das and Ahmed (539) believe that the media should encourage supporters for public sensitization and depository to ensure the public distinguishes between facts and fake news. When the media teaches the public critical thinking skills, the public becomes less vulnerable to the effects of the misinforming media.

Privacy and Ethical Issues in the Use of Big Data for Journalism

The reliance on data-driven journalism brings many privacy issues into the picture. 544, Теңеба) discusses how pervasive data gathering and processing violate an individual's right to privacy where consent is not obtained. This means that media organizations must make great efforts to protect data and user information as best as possible. Also, according to Das and Ahmed (539), journalists have the professional standard to protect their sources. It is even more difficult now because of risks like leaks and mistaken information sharing. A set of clear ethical rules concerns data collection, use, and protection while delivering accurate information to the public to sustain confidence in the media.

Ethical Standard of Practice for Digital Media Organizations

Establish Codes of Conduct

Drawing up extensive codes of conduct that include misleading content, privacy, and proper use of technologies, lay down well-figured-out guidelines for ethical decision-making. Ksiazek (535) notes that it is necessary to state that ethical rules should not cause conflict of interest in journalism and should provide consistency in actions. These codes help the journalist handle all the moral questions appropriately.

Offer Training for Journalist

Continual professional development of professional and ethical awareness on the application of digital technology, as well as data protection on issues related to journalism, is imperative. Pangrazio (537) strongly underlines that the described type of training should become a part of an organizational policy that promotes reinforced values of ethical learning and professionalism in media organizations.

Ethical Talk and Open Discussion

Encouraging ethical contemplation through discussions enables journalists to negotiate on ethical issues. According to Zollo (540), facilitating ethical dilemmas promotes journalists' freedom of expression and helps them understand organizational ethical culture. Further,

promoting ways to address ethical violations and protecting whistleblowers raises legal and ethical oversight in media organizations.

Ethical standards Continuation

This field is relatively young and active, and its codes of ethics need to be discussed and updated more frequently. Das and Ahmed (539) note that ethical challenges require people's interaction with industry professionals, participants, and the population to address new issues. By constantly changing ethical standards, media practitioners can adapt to the growing technological trends and uphold ethical standards.

Future Ethical Issues

New ethical dilemmas will appear in journalism as technology progresses; thus, new measures should be developed. Online content generation relies increasingly on artificial intelligence, hence questions of responsibility and possible prejudices in the algorithms (Zollo 540). AI tools need to be built and implemented in a completely ethical manner if the job of journalism is to remain ethical. Besides, deepfakes and manipulated information represent considerable dangers to misinformation; hence, better verification tools are now required (Mihailidis and Viotty 534). There are risks that privacy issues will remain an issue of concern in the future, especially in the gathering and using user's data without their consent. The future challenge that media organizations will face is to continue respecting their clients' privacy rights and remain innovative to meet the challenges of the digital world.

Conclusion

New media technologies have given journalism a new complexion and leapfrogged it into a new terrain that opens new ethical vistas to media organizations. The risks that adversely impact the general public include fake news, invasion of privacy, and filter bubbles. Through better fact-checking procedures, experienced-based journalist education, and clear correction policies, journalism businesses will be able to raise the ethical levels of their work. Besides, there is a need to explain privacy concerns and set standard ethical rules for modern digitalization. It will also make journalism more ethical-ready for future ethical dilemmas, including those occasioned by AI and deepfakes. By so doing, media organizations can still maintain ethical journalism standards, regain public trust, and serve the public well to ensure they receive balanced information accountable to them.

Works Cited

- Das, R., and W. Ahmed. "Rethinking Fake News: Disinformation and Ideology during the Time of the COVID-19 Global Pandemic." *IIM Kozhikode Society & Management Review*, vol. 11, no. 1, 2021, pp. 539–543. <https://doi.org/10.1177/22779752211027382>.
- Ksiazek, T. "Distrust Profiles: Identifying the Factors That Shape Journalism's Credibility Crisis." *Media and Communication*, vol. 11, no. 4, 2023, pp. 535–539. <https://doi.org/10.17645/mac.v11i4.7071>.
- Mihailidis, P., and S. Viotty. "Spreadable Spectacle in Digital Culture: Civic Expression, Fake News, and the Role of Media Literacies in 'Post-Fact' Society." *American Behavioral Scientist*, vol. 61, no. 4, 2017, pp. 534–537. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0002764217701217>.
- Pangrazio, L. "¿Qué Hay de Nuevo en Las Noticias Falsas? Alfabetizaciones Digitales Críticas en la Era de las Noticias Falsas, la Posverdad y el Cebo de Clics." *Páginas De Educación*, vol. 11, no. 1, 2018, pp. 537–539. <https://doi.org/10.22235/pe.v11i1.1551>.
- Тенева, Е. "Digital Pseudo-Identification in the Post-Truth Era: Exploring Logical Fallacies in the Mainstream Media Coverage of the COVID-19 Vaccines." *Social Sciences*, vol. 12, no. 8, 2023, pp. 544–546. <https://doi.org/10.3390/socsci12080457>.
- Zollo, F. "Dealing with Digital Misinformation: A Polarised Context of Narratives and Tribes." *EFSA Journal*, vol. 17, 2019, pp. 540–544. <https://doi.org/10.2903/j.efsa.2019.e170720>.