MLoN Homework2

Group 1

1 Problem 1

Consider the logistic ridge regression loss function:

$$\operatorname{minimize}_{\boldsymbol{w}} f(\boldsymbol{w}) = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i \in [N]} f_i(\boldsymbol{w}) + \lambda \|\boldsymbol{w}\|_2^2$$
 (1)

where $f_i(\boldsymbol{w}) = \log(1 + \exp\{-y_i \boldsymbol{w}^T \boldsymbol{x}_i\})$

1.1

To prove the Lipschitz continuity, if we have $\|\boldsymbol{w}\|_2 \leq D, \, \lambda \geq 0$

$$\nabla f(\boldsymbol{w}) = 2\lambda \boldsymbol{w} - \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i \in [N]} \frac{y_i \boldsymbol{x}_i}{1 + e^{y_i \boldsymbol{w}^T \boldsymbol{x}_i}}$$

$$\|\nabla f(\boldsymbol{w})\|_2 \le 2\lambda \|\boldsymbol{w}\|_2 + \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i \in [N]} \frac{\|y_i \boldsymbol{x}_i\|_2}{|1 + e^{y_i \boldsymbol{w}^T \boldsymbol{x}_i}|}$$

$$\le 2\lambda D + \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i \in [N]} \|y_i \boldsymbol{x}_i\|_2$$
(2)

Assume that $||y_i \boldsymbol{x}_i||_2 \leq E$, then

$$\|\nabla f(\boldsymbol{w})\|_2 \le 2\lambda D + E \tag{3}$$

So f(w) is Lipschitz continuous.

To find a small B, we apply the whole human Activity Recognition Using Smartphones dataset, where x_i is normalized to range [-1,1] and y_i has range [0,5] (standing, sitting, laying, walking, walking_downstairs, walking_upstairs):

$$E = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i \in [N]} \|y_i \boldsymbol{x}_i\|_2 = 36.078 \tag{4}$$

Then we have:

$$B = 2\lambda D + E = 2\lambda D + 36.078 \tag{5}$$

1.2

To prove the smoothness of $f_i(\mathbf{w})$, we only need to prove that $f_i(\mathbf{w})$ is differentiable (obviously) and $\nabla f_i(\mathbf{w})$ is Lipschitz continuous:

$$\left\| \nabla^{2} f_{i}(\boldsymbol{w}) \right\|_{2} = \left\| \frac{(y_{i} \boldsymbol{x}_{i})^{2}}{e^{y_{i} \boldsymbol{w}^{T} \boldsymbol{x}_{i}} + 2 + e^{-y_{i} \boldsymbol{w}^{T} \boldsymbol{x}_{i}}} \right\|_{2}$$

$$\leq \frac{\left\| y_{i} \boldsymbol{x}_{i} \right\|_{2}^{2}}{4}$$

$$\leq \frac{25}{4}$$
(6)

Thus we can conclude that $\nabla f_i(\boldsymbol{w})$ is Lipschitz continuous, then $f_i(\boldsymbol{w})$ is L-smoothness and L is 6.25

As for f(w), to apply the whole human Activity Recognition Using Smartphones dataset,

$$\|\nabla^{2} f(\boldsymbol{w})\|_{2} = \left\| 2\lambda + \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i \in [N]} \frac{(y_{i} \boldsymbol{x}_{i})^{2}}{e^{y_{i} \boldsymbol{w}^{T} \boldsymbol{x}_{i}} + 2 + e^{-y_{i} \boldsymbol{w}^{T} \boldsymbol{x}_{i}}} \right\|_{2}$$

$$\leq 2\lambda + \frac{1}{4N} \sum_{i \in [N]} \|y_{i} \boldsymbol{x}_{i}\|_{2}^{2}$$

$$\leq 2\lambda + 465.870$$
(7)

Thus $f(\mathbf{w})$ is L-smoothness with $L = 2\lambda + 465.870$

1.3

To prove the strongly-convexity of $f(\mathbf{w})$,

$$\nabla^2 f(\boldsymbol{w}) = 2\lambda + \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i \in [N]} \frac{(y_i \boldsymbol{x}_i)^2}{e^{y_i \boldsymbol{w}^T \boldsymbol{x}_i} + 2 + e^{-y_i \boldsymbol{w}^T \boldsymbol{x}_i}} \ge 2\lambda$$
 (8)

Thus $f(\mathbf{w})$ is μ -strongly convex with $\mu = 2\lambda$

2 Problem 2

Problem Let us assume that there exist scalars $c0 \ge c > 0$ such that for all $k \in N$

$$\nabla f(\mathbf{w}_k)^T \mathbb{E}_{\zeta_k}[g(\mathbf{w}_k; \zeta_k)] \ge c \|\nabla f(\mathbf{w}_k)\|_2^2$$
 (1a)

$$\|\mathbb{E}_{\zeta_k}[g(\mathbf{w}_k;\zeta_k)]\|_2 \le c_0 \|\nabla f(\mathbf{w}_k)\|_2 \tag{1b}$$

Furthermore, let us assume that there exist scalars $M \geq 0$ and $M_v \geq 0$ such that for all $k \in N$:

$$Var_{\zeta_k}[g(\mathbf{w}_k; \zeta_k)] \le M + M_v \| \nabla f(\mathbf{w}_k) \|_2^2$$
 (2)

For the convergence proof of SGD with an L-smooth convex objective function (see slides), prove that

$$\mathbb{E}_{\zeta_k}[\|g(\mathbf{w}_k;\zeta_k)\|_2^2] \le \alpha + \beta \|\nabla f(\mathbf{w}_k)\|_2^2$$

Proof Start with definition of variance:

$$Var_{\zeta_{k}}[g(\mathbf{w}_{k};\zeta_{k})] = \mathbb{E}_{\zeta_{k}}[\langle g(\mathbf{w}_{k};\zeta_{k}), g(\mathbf{w}_{k};\zeta_{k})\rangle] - \langle \mathbb{E}_{\zeta_{k}}[g(\mathbf{w}_{k};\zeta_{k})], \mathbb{E}_{\zeta_{k}}[g(\mathbf{w}_{k};\zeta_{k})]\rangle$$

$$(Second-moment\ boundary)$$

$$\leq M + M_{v} \| \bigtriangledown f(\mathbf{w}_{k})\|_{2}^{2}$$

$$\Rightarrow$$

$$\mathbb{E}_{\zeta_{k}}[\langle g(\mathbf{w}_{k};\zeta_{k}), g(\mathbf{w}_{k};\zeta_{k})\rangle] \leq M + M_{v} \| \bigtriangledown f(\mathbf{w}_{k})\|_{2}^{2} + \langle \mathbb{E}_{\zeta_{k}}[g(\mathbf{w}_{k};\zeta_{k})], \mathbb{E}_{\zeta_{k}}[g(\mathbf{w}_{k};\zeta_{k})]\rangle$$

$$(First-moment\ boundary)$$

$$\leq M + M_{v} \| \bigtriangledown f(\mathbf{w}_{k})\|_{2}^{2} + c_{0}^{2} \| \bigtriangledown f(\mathbf{w}_{k})\|_{2}^{2}$$

$$= M + (M_{v} + c_{0}^{2}) \| \bigtriangledown f(\mathbf{w}_{k})\|_{2}^{2}$$

Thus, proved with $\alpha = M, \beta = M_v + c_0^2$.

3 Problem 3

Problem For the SGD with non-convex objective function, prove that with square summable but not summable step-size, we have for any $K \in \mathbb{N}$

$$\mathbb{E}\left[\sum_{k \in [K]} \alpha_k \| \nabla f(\mathbf{w}_k)\|_2^2\right] < \infty \tag{9}$$

and therefore:

$$\lim_{k \to \infty} \mathbb{E}\left[\frac{\sum_{k \in [K]} \alpha_k \| \nabla f(\mathbf{w}_k)\|_2^2}{\sum_{k \in [K]} \alpha_k}\right] = 0$$
 (10)

Proof Using the inequality (4) and (5) in the slice of lecture 3, we have:

$$\mathbb{E}[f(\omega_{k+1})] - f(\omega_{k})$$

$$\leq -(c - \frac{1}{2}\alpha_{k}LM_{G})\alpha_{k} \| \nabla f(\mathbf{w}_{k})\|_{2}^{2} + \frac{1}{2}\alpha_{k}^{2}LM$$

$$\leq -(c - \frac{c}{2LM_{G}}LM_{G})\alpha_{k} \| \nabla f(\mathbf{w}_{k})\|_{2}^{2} + \frac{1}{2}\alpha_{k}^{2}LM$$

$$\leq -\frac{c}{2}\alpha_{k} \| \nabla f(\mathbf{w}_{k})\|_{2}^{2} + \frac{1}{2}\alpha_{k}^{2}LM$$

$$(11)$$

Recursively $\forall k \in [K]$, take total expectation for both side and do summation, we have:

$$\mathbb{E}[f(\omega_{k+1})] - \mathbb{E}[f(\omega_1)]$$

$$\leq -\frac{c}{2}\mathbb{E}\left[\sum_{k\in[K]}\alpha_k\|\bigtriangledown f(\mathbf{w}_k)\|_2^2\right] + \frac{1}{2}LM\sum_{k\in[K]}\alpha_k^2$$
(12)

Rearrange (12), we have:

$$\mathbb{E}\left[\sum_{k \in [K]} \alpha_{k} \| \nabla f(\mathbf{w}_{k})\|_{2}^{2}\right]$$

$$\leq -\frac{2\mathbb{E}\left[f(\omega_{k+1})\right] - \mathbb{E}\left[f(\omega_{1})\right]}{c} + \frac{LM \sum_{k \in [K]} \alpha_{k}^{2}}{c}$$
(13)

The step size is square summable $\sum_{k \in [K]} \alpha_k^2 < \infty$, the right hand size is smaller than ∞ , so we have:

$$\mathbb{E}\left[\sum_{k \in [K]} \alpha_k \| \nabla f(\mathbf{w}_k)\|_2^2\right] < \infty \tag{14}$$

The step size is not summable $\sum_{k \in [K]} \alpha_k = \infty$, so we have:

$$\lim_{k \to \infty} \mathbb{E}\left[\frac{\sum_{k \in [K]} \alpha_k \| \nabla f(\mathbf{w}_k)\|_2^2}{\sum_{k \in [K]} \alpha_k}\right] = 0 \tag{15}$$