

Cairo University Faculty of Computers and Artificial Intelligence Computer Science Department



Concepts of Programming Languages

Subprograms

Dr. Amin Allam

[For more details, refer to "Concepts of Programming Languages" by *Robert Sebesta*]

1 Subprogram definition

A subprogram definition consists of:

- Subprogram header: specifies the subprogram kind, name, and protocol. The subprogram kind is either function or procedure. A procedure is a function without return type. The protocol consists of parameter profile and return type if it is a function in a typed language. The parameter profile specifies the number, order, and types (for typed languages) of its formal parameters. C++ and Java use the reserved word void to indicate no return type.
- Subprogram body: specifies a sequence of statement which are executed in order when another subprogram calls it. A subprogram call is the explicit request to execute its body. The calling subprogram provides the called subprogram with actual parameters to be bound to its formal parameters. Sometimes, the term parameters is used for formal parameters while the term arguments is used for actual parameters. The calling subprogram is suspended during the execution of the called subprogram. Control is passed to the entry point (usually the first statement) of the called subprogram. Control returns to the caller when the called subprogram execution terminates.

The correspondence between *actual* and *formal* parameters is usually done by position. That is, the i^{th} actual parameter is bound to the i^{th} formal parameter. That method is called positional parameters. Another method provided by some languages is called keyword parameters which specifies the name of the formal parameter to be bound with the actual parameter. The advantage of this method is that parameters can appear in any order so the programmer does not need to remember the order of formal parameters, such as the following Python subprogram call:

```
Fun(length=my_length, list=my_array, sum=my_sum)
```

In several languages, *default values* can be associated to *formal parameters* which are used whenever the *subprogram* call does not specify the corresponding *actual parameters*.

C# allows a method to accept a variable number of parameters of the same type with the params modifier, where the *caller* sends either array or list of expressions:

```
public void DisplayList(params int[] list)
{foreach (int val in list) Console.WriteLn("Val={0}", val);}
```

The above function can be called by passing a list or a variable number of parameters such as:

```
obj.DisplayList(2, 4, 5, x-1, 17);
```

2 Subprogram declaration

A *subprogram declaration* provides the *subprogram header* but does not include its *body*. It is required in languages that do not allow forward references to subprograms. C and C++ require all *subprograms* to be either *defined* or *declared* before they are called and inside the same *translation unit* where they are called.

```
double Fun(int, double); // Declaration (called prototype in C++)
int main()
{
   int a=5; double b=9.4;
   Fun(5, b);
   return 0;
}
double Fun(int x, double y) {return x+y;} // Definition
```

A project with multiple *source* (*cpp*) *files* in C++ is compiled by the following steps:

• For each *source file*, independently of other *source files*, the *preprocessor* processes the *source file* by expanding all macros (instructions starting with #), usually by simple text substitution, to produce a *translation unit*. For example, consider the following file:

```
#include "mylib.h"
#define Max(A, B) ((A)>(B)?(A):(B))
double F(int y, int z) {return G()+Max(2*y, z/5);}
```

Assuming that the file mylib.h consists of the following:

```
double G();
```

The cpp file will be expanded by the *preprocessor* to the following *translation unit*:

```
double G();
double F(int y, int z) {return G()+((2*y)>(z/5)?(2*y):(z/5));}
```

- For each *translation unit*, independently of other *translation units*, the *compiler* compiles the *translation unit* to produce an *object file*. The *compiler* requires that all functions are either *defined* or *declared* before its first call in the same *translation unit*, in order to perform *static type checking* to validate *type compatibility* between *actual* and *formal parameters*.
- The *linker* combines all *object files* into one *executable image* (also called *load module*), which is a machine-readable executable file or library. The addresses of called functions (such as G()) are not necessarily known during *compilation* because only their *declarations* may be available. Therefore, the *linker* is responsible for *resolving* all *function calls* by calculating the correct addresses and placing them into the corresponding call statements inside the *executable*. To be able to do so, the *definition* of each function must exist exactly in one *translation unit*.

The above *compilation* and *linking* mechanisms reduce *compilation* time by avoiding *recompilation* of *source files* which are not changed, including *source files* for built-in C++ libraries. Only the changed *source files* of a project need to be *recompiled*.

In C++, there is no restriction on the number of *function declarations*. Each *non-inline function* must be defined exactly once across all files. *Classes* and *inline functions* must be defined at most once per *translation unit*, such that at least one *definition* exists for each entity across all files, and all *definitions* for the same entity are identical.

Inline functions include all functions modified by the reserved word inline, and all class member functions *defined* inside the class definition. *Inline functions* differ from other functions because the compiler tries to replace *calls* to *inline functions* by the code of the *function body* itself, which may be useful for optimization only if the number of statements in the *body* is small.

Therefore, it is safe to include function declarations, class definitions and inline function definitions in header (.h) files and include them in several source (cpp) files.

The *declaration* of a *variable* is also its *definition* except in few cases. Suppose there is a *global variable* that needs to be accessed from a *source file* other than the one including its *definition*. In that case, it is just *declared* (not *defined*) in the new file using the extern modifier before accessing it, because each *variable* must be *defined* exactly once across all files.

Similarly, *static* class data members are considered *declared* but not *defined* if their *declarations* appear inside their class *definitions*. Hence, they must be *defined* outside their class *definition*. This is because classes can be *defined* several times in different *translation units* but *variables* cannot.

3 Parameter passing

Formal parameters are characterized by one of three distinct semantic models:

- *In mode*: *Formal parameters* receive data from the corresponding *actual parameters*. This mode can implemented by one of two models:
- *Pass-by-value*: The value of *actual parameter* is used to initialize the corresponding *formal parameter* by copying.
- Pass-by-readonly-reference: Provides read-only access path to the actual parameter.

```
void Fun(int a, const int& b); // C++ In mode
```

- Out mode: Formal parameters transmit data to the corresponding actual parameters. This mode can implemented by a pass-by-result model: No value is transmitted to the formal parameter, which acts as local variable whose value is transmitted back to the actual parameter by copying just before control is transferred back to the caller.
- *In-out mode*: *Formal parameters* receive data from and transmit data to the corresponding *actual parameters*. This mode can implemented by one of three models:
- Pass-by-value-result: The value of actual parameter is used to initialize the corresponding formal parameter by copying. Then, the value of formal parameter is transmitted back to the actual parameter by copying just before control is transferred back to the caller.
- Pass-by-reference: Provides access path to the actual parameter.
- *Pass-by-name*: The *actual parameter* is textually substituted for the corresponding *formal parameter*. It is used at compile-time only by C++ macros and templates.

The following example illustrates the parameter passing modes:

```
void Fun(in int a, out int b, in-out int c)
{
    // Initially : a=7, b=has undefined value, c=9

    a=1; b=2; c=3;
    // Now: x=7 (no change), y=8 (no change)
    // z=9 (no change) if c is passed by value-result
    // z=3 (changed) if c is passed by reference

    a=a; b=b; c=c; // Do something
}

// Immediately before function returns:
    // x=7 (no change), y=2 (changed), z=3

void main()
{
    int x=7, y=8, z=9;
    Fun(x, y, z); // The above comments trace this call
}
```

4 Implementing subprogram calls

We examine the implementation of *subprogram* calls focusing on the call and return procedures. Initially, we assume that the called *subprograms* do not contain any inner *blocks*. *Subprograms* with inner *blocks* are considered later on.

Each *subprogram* has the following simplified typical *activation record*:

Return variable
Local variables
Parameter variables
Return address

Consider the following C++ function. The numbers shown on the left are the addresses of each instruction. Note that program instructions are loaded into memory and obtain memory addresses before they are executed. The *activation record* of this function is shown on the right:

```
int factorial(int n)
{    // Position 1
1004    if(n<=1) return 1;
1008    int f=factorial(n-1);
1012    int r=n*f;
1016    return r;
} // Position 2</pre>
```

Return variable	int	
Local variable	int	f
Local variable	int	r
Parameter variable	int	n
Return address		

Subprogram calls are implemented in the same way for *recursive* and *non-recursive subprograms*, but the main reason for such implementation is to support *recursive subprograms*.

Consider the following C++ program which calls the above function:

```
int main()
{
2004   int v=factorial(3);
2008   return 0;
}
```

Each call to factorial () starts by pushing to the *run-time stack* an *activation record instance* of the *activation record* of the factorial () function. The *run-time stack* of a specific program is part of the main memory assigned by the operating system to this program and can be used to allocate its *stack-dynamic variables*. An *activation record instance* (*ARI*) is a specific instance of the *activation record* with specific allocated variables.

The call to factorial (3) in instruction 2004 starts by pushing to the *run-time stack* the following *activation record instance*. So, when execution reaches Position 1 for the first time, the *run-time stack* contains:

Return variable	int		
Local variable	int	f	
Local variable	int	r	
Parameter variable	int	n	3
Return address			2008

The *return address* is the address of the instruction that follows the *function call* instruction. This address will be used by the compiler to know where is should continue execution (pass *control*) after the *function call* terminates.

Since the size of an *activation record instance* for a specific function is known before the *function call* (actually it is known at *compile time*), only one memory allocation is needed to allocate the whole *activation record instance* which is efficient.

Then, control reaches instruction 1008 then calls factorial (2) which starts by pushing another *activation record instance* to the *run-time stack*. So, when execution reaches Position 1 for the second time, the *run-time stack* contains:

Return variable	int		
Local variable	int	f	
Local variable	int	r	
Parameter variable	int	n	2
Return address			1012
Return variable	int		
Return variable Local variable	int int	f	
		f r	
Local variable	int	-	3

For the recursion logic to work, each *function call* must have its distinct set of parameters and local variables. However, while executing a specific *function call*, its associated set of variables always exist at the *activation record instance* at the top of the *stack*. Therefore, the compiler can use the same function code to execute any *function call* such that it accesses its variables by knowing their locations relatively to the top of the *stack*, which are the same relative locations to the top of the *activation record* known at *compile time*.

Then, control reaches instruction 1008 again, then calls factorial (1) which starts by pushing another *activation record instance* to the *run-time stack*. So, when execution reaches Position 1 for the third time, the *run-time stack* contains:

Return variable	int		
Local variable	int	f	
Local variable	int	r	
Parameter variable	int	n	1
Return address			1012
Return variable	int		
Local variable	int	f	
Local variable	int	r	
Parameter variable	int	n	2
Return address			1012
Return variable	int		
Local variable	int	f	
Local variable	int	r	
Parameter variable	int	n	3
Return address			2008

Then, control reaches instruction 1004 and then Position 2 for the first time, which terminates the call of factorial (1). The compiler saves the return value and return address in registers, then pops the *activation record instance* of factorial (1) from the top of the *stack*. The saved return value is assigned to the variable f of the *activation record instance* of factorial (2). Then, control resumes from instruction at the saved return address 1012. Now, the *activation record instance* on the top of the *stack* represents the set of variables associated with the factorial (2) call, and the *run-time stack* contains:

Return variable	int		
Local variable	int	f	1
Local variable	int	r	
Parameter variable	int	n	2
Return address			1012
Return variable	int		
Local variable	int	f	
Local variable	int	r	
Parameter variable	int	n	3
Return address			2008

Then, control reaches instruction 1016 and the *run-time stack* contains:

Return variable	int		2
Local variable	int	f	1
Local variable	int	r	2
Parameter variable	int	n	2
Return address			1012

Return variable	int		
Local variable	int	f	
Local variable	int	r	
Parameter variable	int	n	3
Return address			2008

Then, control reaches Position 2 for the second time, which terminates the call of factorial (2). The compiler saves the return value and return address in registers, then pops the *activation record instance* of factorial (2) from the top of the *stack*. The saved return value is assigned to the variable f of the *activation record instance* of factorial (3). Then, control resumes from instruction at the saved return address 1012. Now, the *activation record instance* on the top of the *stack* represents the set of variables associated with the factorial (3) call, and the *run-time stack* contains:

Return variable	int		
Local variable	int	f	2
Local variable	int	r	
Parameter variable	int	n	3
Return address			2008

Then, control reaches instruction 1016 and the *run-time stack* contains:

Return variable	int		6
Local variable	int	f	2
Local variable	int	r	6
Parameter variable	int	n	3
Return address			2008

Then, control reaches Position 2 for the third time, which terminates the call of factorial (3). The compiler saves the return value and return address in registers, then pops the *activation record instance* of factorial (3) from the top of the *stack*. The saved return value is assigned to the variable v of the *activation record instance* of main () (we did not show it in the previous figures). Then, control resumes from instruction at the saved return address 2008.

If the *subprogram* contains inner *blocks*, the compiler chooses one of the following two ways to implement its calls:

• Each inner *block* is treated as a call to a *subprogram* with no parameters. In this case, the *activation record* of the *subprogram* does not contain any variable local to an inner *block*. Each inner *block* has its own *activation record*.

• The *activation record* of the *subprograms* contains local variables which are not local to inner *blocks*, and also it contains space sufficient to hold the maximum amount of storage for inner *block* variables at any time during the *subprogram* execution, as shown in the following example:

```
void F(int n)
{
    int x, y, z;
    while(...)
    {
        int a, b, c;
        while(...) { int d, e; }
    }
    while(...) { int f, g; }
}
```

Block variable	int	e
Block variable	int	d
Block variable	int	С
Block variable	int	b and g
Block variable	int	a and f
Local variable	int	Z
Local variable	int	у
Local variable	int	X
Parameter variable	int	n
Return address		

5 Simulating recursion

Consider the following *recursive* C++ implementation of MergeSort ():

```
template < class T >
void Merge (T* a, int istart, int imid, int iend)
{
    T* t = new T[iend - istart];
    int i = istart, j = imid, k = 0;
    while (i < imid || j < iend)
    {
        if (i < imid && (j = iend || a[i] < a[j])) t[k++] = a[i++];
        else t[k++] = a[j++];
    }
    for (k = 0; k < iend - istart; k++) a[k + istart] = t[k];
    delete[] t;
}</pre>
```

```
template < class T > // istart = start_index, iend = last_index + 1
void MergeSort (T * a, int istart, int iend)
{
    if (iend - istart <= 1) return;
    int imid = (istart + iend) / 2;
    MergeSort < T > (a, istart, imid);
    MergeSort < T > (a, imid, iend);
    Merge < T > (a, istart, imid, iend);
}
```

```
template < class T >
void MergeSort(T* a, int n)
{
    MergeSort < T > (a, 0, n);
}
```

```
int main()
{
    int a[]={5,3,7,1,9,2,8,6,4};
    int i, n=sizeof(a)/sizeof(a[0]);
    MergeSort<int>(a, n);
    for(i=0;i<n;i++) cout<<a[i]<<" ";
    return 0;
}</pre>
```

Suppose we need to implement MergeSort () without *recursion* because of one of the following reasons (recall also the introduction lecture):

- Decrease the usage of the *run-time stack* assigned by the operating system.
- Run the program on embedded system environment which does not support recursion.
- Use a programming language which does not support *recursion*.
- Need to avoid using the *run-time stack* to track memory usage in limited-memory environment.
- Make a compiler simulation.

To eliminate *recursion* from any *recursive* function, the following procedure can be applied: (Note that instruction addresses are usually not available to the programmer in high-level programming languages, and we wish to avoid using the goto reserved word):

- Push an *encapsulated call* representing the whole *subprogram* to a *stack*.
- Repeat the following: Pop an *encapsulated call* from the *stack*. Execute the *non-recursive* parts. If it contains a *recursive call* (directly or indirectly), do the following:
- Divide the *called subprogram* into disjoint parts such that each part contains at most one *recursive* call, and each *recursive call* must be the last statement of one part.
- Encapsulate each *called subprogram* part into an *encapsulated call* object.
- Push all parts of the *called subprogram* to the *stack* in reverse order.

To implement the MergeSort () function without *recursion*, it should be replaced with the following iterative MergeSortIterative () function:

```
#include<stack>
using namespace std;
template<class T>
void MergeSortIterative(T* a, int n)
    MergeSortCall stcall;
    stcall.type=MERGE_SORT; stcall.istart=0; stcall.iend=n;
    stack<MergeSortCall> s;
    s.push(stcall);
    while(!s.empty())
    {
        MergeSortCall call=s.top(); s.pop();
        if (call.type==MERGE)
             Merge(a, call.istart, call.imid, call.iend);
             continue;
        }
        // Now, we know that call is for MergeSort()
        if(call.iend-call.istart<=1) continue; // Base case</pre>
        int imid=(call.istart+call.iend)/2;
        // MergeSort<T>(a, istart, imid);
        MergeSortCall c1; c1.type=MERGE_SORT;
        c1.istart=call.istart; c1.iend=imid;
        // MergeSort<T>(a, imid, iend);
        MergeSortCall c2; c2.type=MERGE_SORT;
        c2.istart=imid; c2.iend=call.iend;
        // Merge<T>(a, istart, imid, iend);
        MergeSortCall c3; c3.type=MERGE;
        c3.istart=call.istart; c3.imid=imid; c3.iend=call.iend;
        s.push(c3);
        s.push(c2);
        s.push(c1);
    }
}
```