Recitation 3: Introductory Propositional Logic

Dead TA's Society

Discrete Mathematics Habib University Karachi, Pakistan

Febuary 4, 2022

Godel must be proud

Question: Is the assertion "This statement is false" a proposition?

Question: Is the assertion "This statement is false" a proposition?

Answer: No. A proposition is a statement that can have either be true or false but not both. This can not therefore this is not a proposition.

Question 2 — She is

What is the negation of each of these propositions?

Statement: Chika is the best girl.

What is the negation of each of these propositions?

Statement: Chika is the best girl. **Negation:** Chika is not the best girl.

Question 2 —What kind of man doesn't

Question

What is the negation of each of these propositions?

Statement: Everyone loves me.

Question 2 -What kind of man doesn't

Question

What is the negation of each of these propositions?

Statement: Everyone loves me.

Negation: There is someone who doesn't love me.

What is the negation of each of these propositions?

Statement: There are 42 items in a Mujtaba's dozen.

What is the negation of each of these propositions?

Statement: There are 42 items in a Mujtaba's dozen. **Negation:** There aren't 42 items in a Mujtaba's dozen.

What is the negation of each of these propositions?

Statement: Everyday, Blingblong sends more than 100 text messages to the guy who delivered him pizza 2 months ago.

What is the negation of each of these propositions?

Statement: Everyday, Blingblong sends more than 100 text messages to the guy who delivered him pizza 2 months ago.

Negation: On someday, Blingblong doesn't send more than 100 text messages to the guy who delivered him pizza 2 months ago.

Question 2 — Perfect squares because I never was perfect

What is the negation of each of these propositions?

Statement: 121 is a perfect square.

-Question 2 — Perfect squares because I never was perfect

Question

What is the negation of each of these propositions?

Statement: 121 is a perfect square. **Negation:** 121 is not a perfect square.

What is the negation of each of these propositions?

Statement: Karen took the kids and the dog

What is the negation of each of these propositions?

Statement: Karen took the kids and the dog

Negation: Either Karen didn't take the kids or Karen didn't take the dog, or Karen took neither.

Let p and q be the propositions

p = We live in a society q = I am an emo kid

Write these propositions using p and q and logical connectives (including negations).

Proposition: We live in a society and I am an emo kid.

Let p and q be the propositions

p = We live in a societyq = I am an emo kid

Write these propositions using p and q and logical connectives (including negations).

Proposition: We live in a society and I am an emo kid.

Answer: $p \wedge q$

Let p and q be the propositions

p = We live in a societyq = I am an emo kid

Write these propositions using p and q and logical connectives (including negations).

Proposition: We live in a society but I am not an emo kid.

Let p and q be the propositions

$$p = \text{We live in a society}$$

 $q = \text{I am an emo kid}$

Write these propositions using p and q and logical connectives (including negations).

Proposition: We live in a society but I am not an emo kid.

Answer: $p \land \neg q$

Let p and q be the propositions

p = We live in a societyq = I am an emo kid

Write these propositions using p and q and logical connectives (including negations).

Proposition: Either we live in a society or I am an emo kid or both.

Let p and q be the propositions

$$p = \text{We live in a society}$$

 $q = \text{I am an emo kid}$

Write these propositions using p and q and logical connectives (including negations).

Proposition: Either we live in a society or I am an emo kid or both.

Answer: $p \lor q$

Let p and q be the propositions

p = We live in a society q = I am an emo kid

Write these propositions using p and q and logical connectives (including negations).

Proposition: If we live in a society, I am an emo kid.

Let p and q be the propositions

$$p = \text{We live in a society}$$

 $q = \text{I am an emo kid}$

Write these propositions using p and q and logical connectives (including negations).

Proposition: If we live in a society, I am an emo kid.

Answer: $p \implies q$

Let p and q be the propositions

$$p = \text{We live in a society}$$

 $q = \text{I am an emo kid}$

Write these propositions using p and q and logical connectives (including negations).

Proposition: Either we live in a society or I am an emo kid, but not both.

Let p and q be the propositions

$$p =$$
We live in a society $q =$ I am an emo kid

Write these propositions using p and q and logical connectives (including negations).

Proposition: Either we live in a society or I am an emo kid, but not both.

Answer: $p \oplus q$

Let p and q be the propositions

$$p =$$
We live in a society $q =$ I am an emo kid

Write these propositions using p and q and logical connectives (including negations).

Proposition: We living in a society is necessary for me to be an emo kid.

Let p and q be the propositions

$$p = \text{We live in a society}$$

 $q = \text{I am an emo kid}$

Write these propositions using p and q and logical connectives (including negations).

Proposition: We living in a society is necessary for me to be an emo kid.

Answer: $q \implies p$

Let p and q be the propositions

$$p = \text{We live in a society}$$

 $q = \text{I am an emo kid}$

Write these propositions using p and q and logical connectives (including negations).

Proposition: We living in a society is sufficient for me to be an emo kid.

Let p and q be the propositions

$$p = \text{We live in a society}$$

 $q = \text{I am an emo kid}$

Write these propositions using p and q and logical connectives (including negations).

Proposition: We living in a society is sufficient for me to be an emo kid.

Answer: $p \implies q$

Let p and q be the propositions

$$p = \text{We live in a society}$$

 $q = \text{I am an emo kid}$

Write these propositions using p and q and logical connectives (including negations).

Proposition: We living in a society is necessary and sufficient for me to be an emo kid.

Let p and q be the propositions

$$p = \text{We live in a society}$$

 $q = \text{I am an emo kid}$

Write these propositions using p and q and logical connectives (including negations).

Proposition: We living in a society is necessary and sufficient for me to be an emo kid.

Answer: $p \iff q$

Given

 $p: \mathsf{Shrek}$ is adorable.

q : Kermit is in gamer rage.

r : The TAs get enough sleep





Let

p: Shrek is adorable.

q: Kermit is in gamer rage.

r: The TAs get enough sleep

Express each of these compound propositions as an English sentence.

Compound propositions: $\neg r$

Let

p: Shrek is adorable.

q: Kermit is in gamer rage.

r: The TAs get enough sleep

Express each of these compound propositions as an English sentence.

Compound propositions: $\neg r$

English sentence: The TAs don't get enough sleep

Let

p: Shrek is adorable.

q: Kermit is in gamer rage.

r: The TAs get enough sleep

Express each of these compound propositions as an English sentence.

Compound propositions: $q \land \neg r$

Let

p: Shrek is adorable.

q: Kermit is in gamer rage.

r: The TAs get enough sleep

Express each of these compound propositions as an English sentence.

Compound propositions: $q \land \neg r$

English sentence: Kermit is in gamer rage and The TAs don't get enough sleep

Let p,q,r be the propositions "Shrek is adorable", "Kermit is in gamer Let

p: Shrek is adorable.

q: Kermit is in gamer rage.

r : The TAs get enough sleep

Express each of these compound propositions as an English sentence.

Compound propositions: $p \Rightarrow \neg r$

Let p,q,r be the propositions "Shrek is adorable", "Kermit is in gamer Let

p: Shrek is adorable.

q: Kermit is in gamer rage.

r: The TAs get enough sleep

Express each of these compound propositions as an English sentence.

Compound propositions: $p \Rightarrow \neg r$

English sentence: If Shrek is adorable then the TAs don't get enough sleep

Let

p: Shrek is adorable.

q: Kermit is in gamer rage.

r: The TAs get enough sleep

Express each of these compound propositions as an English sentence.

Compound propositions: $\neg p \lor \neg r$

Let

p: Shrek is adorable.

q: Kermit is in gamer rage.

r: The TAs get enough sleep

Express each of these compound propositions as an English sentence.

Compound propositions: $\neg p \lor \neg r$

English sentence: Either the TAs don't get enough sleep or Shrek is not adorable or both.

Question 4 — Who is your favourite TA and why is it Mujtaba?

Question

Let

p: Shrek is adorable.

q: Kermit is in gamer rage.

r: The TAs get enough sleep

Express each of these compound propositions as an English sentence.

Compound propositions: $\neg p \Leftrightarrow q$

Question 4 — Who is your favourite TA and why is it Mujtaba?

Question

Let

p: Shrek is adorable.

q: Kermit is in gamer rage.

r: The TAs get enough sleep

Express each of these compound propositions as an English sentence.

Compound propositions: $\neg p \Leftrightarrow q$

English sentence: Kermit is in gamer rage if and only if Shrek is not adorable.

Context

"If I tell the truth about everything then I will offend someone."



Among scholars, this is known as the Youtube Commentator's Fallacy.

"If I tell the truth about everything then I will offend someone."

Write this statement in propositional logic and its converse, contrapositive, and inverse in English and propositional logic

"If I tell the truth about everything then I will offend someone."

Write this statement in propositional logic and its converse, contrapositive, and inverse in English and propositional logic

p: I tell the truth about everything

"If I tell the truth about everything then I will offend someone."

Write this statement in propositional logic and its converse, contrapositive, and inverse in English and propositional logic

- p: I tell the truth about everything
- q: I will offend someone

Question

"If I tell the truth about everything then I will offend someone."

Write this statement in propositional logic and its converse, contrapositive, and inverse in English and propositional logic

- p: I tell the truth about everything
- q: I will offend someone

$$p \implies q$$

Question

"If I tell the truth about everything then I will offend someone."

Write this statement in propositional logic and its converse, contrapositive, and inverse in English and propositional logic

- p: I tell the truth about everything
- q: I will offend someone

$$p \implies q$$

Converse: $q \implies p$

•

"If I tell the truth about everything then I will offend someone."

Write this statement in propositional logic and its converse, contrapositive, and inverse in English and propositional logic

- p: I tell the truth about everything
- q: I will offend someone

$$p \implies q$$

Converse: $q \implies p$

If I will offend someone then I'm telling the truth about everything

"If I tell the truth about everything then I will offend someone."

Write this statement in propositional logic and its converse, contrapositive, and inverse in English and propositional logic

- p: I tell the truth about everything
- q: I will offend someone

$$p \implies q$$

Converse: $q \implies p$

If I will offend someone then I'm telling the truth about everything

Contrapositive: $\neg q \implies \neg p$

"If I tell the truth about everything then I will offend someone."

Write this statement in propositional logic and its converse, contrapositive, and inverse in English and propositional logic

- p: I tell the truth about everything
- q: I will offend someone

$$p \implies q$$

Converse: $q \implies p$

If I will offend someone then I'm telling the truth about everything

Contrapositive: $\neg q \implies \neg p$

If I will offend no one then I'm not telling the truth about something

"If I tell the truth about everything then I will offend someone."

Write this statement in propositional logic and its converse, contrapositive, and inverse in English and propositional logic

- p: I tell the truth about everything
- q: I will offend someone

$$p \implies q$$

Converse: $q \implies p$

If I will offend someone then I'm telling the truth about everything

Contrapositive: $\neg q \implies \neg p$

If I will offend no one then I'm not telling the truth about something

Inverse: $\neg p \implies \neg q$

"If I tell the truth about everything then I will offend someone."

Write this statement in propositional logic and its converse, contrapositive, and inverse in English and propositional logic

- p: I tell the truth about everything
- q: I will offend someone

$$p \implies q$$

Converse: $q \implies p$

If I will offend someone then I'm telling the truth about everything

Contrapositive: $\neg q \implies \neg p$

If I will offend no one then I'm not telling the truth about something

Inverse: $\neg p \implies \neg q$

If I'm not telling the truth about something then I will offend no one

$$(p \Leftrightarrow q) \oplus (p \Leftrightarrow \neg q)$$

Question

$$(p \Leftrightarrow q) \oplus (p \Leftrightarrow \neg q)$$

p	q	$p \Leftrightarrow q$	$p \Leftrightarrow \neg q$	$p \Leftrightarrow q \oplus p \Leftrightarrow \neg q$

Question

$$(p \Leftrightarrow q) \oplus (p \Leftrightarrow \neg q)$$

p	q	$p \Leftrightarrow q$	$p \Leftrightarrow \neg q$	$p \Leftrightarrow q \oplus p \Leftrightarrow \neg q$
Т	Т			
Т	F			
F	Т			
F	F			

Question

$$(p \Leftrightarrow q) \oplus (p \Leftrightarrow \neg q)$$

p	q	$p \Leftrightarrow q$	$p \Leftrightarrow \neg q$	$p \Leftrightarrow q \oplus p \Leftrightarrow \neg q$
Т	Т	Т		
Т	F	F		
F	Т	F		
F	F	Т		

Question

$$(p \Leftrightarrow q) \oplus (p \Leftrightarrow \neg q)$$

p	q	$p \Leftrightarrow q$	$p \Leftrightarrow \neg q$	$p \Leftrightarrow q \oplus p \Leftrightarrow \neg q$
Т	Т	T	F	
Т	F	F	Т	
F	Т	F	Т	
F	F	Т	F	

$$(p \Leftrightarrow q) \oplus (p \Leftrightarrow \neg q)$$

p	q	$p \Leftrightarrow q$	$p \Leftrightarrow \neg q$	$p \Leftrightarrow q \oplus p \Leftrightarrow \neg q$
Т	Т	Т	F	Т
T	F	F	Т	Т
F	Т	F	Т	Т
F	F	Т	F	Т

"Only a Sith deals in Absolutes" - Obi-Wan Kenobi

Translate the above statement in propositional logic. Is the statement above an absolute statement? If the statement above is true, what does this say about Obi-Wan Kenobi.

"Only a Sith deals in Absolutes" - Obi-Wan Kenobi

Translate the above statement in propositional logic. Is the statement above an absolute statement? If the statement above is true, what does this say about Obi-Wan Kenobi.

p =The person is a Sith

 $q = \mathsf{The}\ \mathsf{person}\ \mathsf{deals}\ \mathsf{in}\ \mathsf{absolutes}$

Question 6 — Master Anakin?

"Only a Sith deals in Absolutes" - Obi-Wan Kenobi

Translate the above statement in propositional logic. Is the statement above an absolute statement? If the statement above is true, what does this say about Obi-Wan Kenobi.

p =The person is a Sith q =The person deals in absolutes

$$q \implies p$$

Note that the statement isn't bidirectional. Bidirectional refers to if and only if.

Question 6 — Who is your favourite TA and why is it Haania?

Question

Note that the statement isn't bidirectional. Bidirectional refers to if and only if.

It is also not $p \implies q$ since it is not necessary for a Sith to deal in absolutes. A dead sith can not deal in anything for example. If a person deals in absolutes then we are sure he is a sith therefore $q \implies p$.

Note that the statement isn't bidirectional. Bidirectional refers to if and only if.

It is also not $p \implies q$ since it is not necessary for a Sith to deal in absolutes. A dead sith can not deal in anything for example. If a person deals in absolutes then we are sure he is a sith therefore $q \implies p$.

This statement is an absolute statement since it uses the word **Only**. If Obi-wan is telling the truth, since he is saying an absolute statement he is a sith and should be removed from the council to be replaced by Anakin.

Is the following statement valid? Binary search runs in $O(\log n)$ if and only if π is irrational

Is the following statement valid? Binary search runs in $O(\log n)$ if and only if π is irrational

Let $P:\pi$ is irrational.

Is the following statement valid? Binary search runs in $O(\log n)$ if and only if π is irrational

Let $P:\pi$ is irrational.

Let B: Binary search runs in $O(\log n)$.

Is the following statement valid? Binary search runs in $O(\log n)$ if and only if π is irrational

Let $P:\pi$ is irrational.

Let B: Binary search runs in $O(\log n)$.

Statement

$$B \Leftrightarrow P$$

Question 6 —Skeletor will be back with more disturbing facts

Question

Is the following statement valid? Binary search runs in $O(\log n)$ if and only if π is irrational

Let $P:\pi$ is irrational.

Let B: Binary search runs in $O(\log n)$.

Statement

$$B \Leftrightarrow P$$

As P is always true:

$$B \Rightarrow P$$
 is true

Is the following statement valid?

Question 6 — Skeletor will be back with more disturbing facts

Binary search runs in $O(\log n)$ if and only if π is irrational

Let $P:\pi$ is irrational.

Let B: Binary search runs in $O(\log n)$.

Statement

$$B \Leftrightarrow P$$

As P is always true:

$$B \Rightarrow P$$
 is true

As B is always true:

$$P \Rightarrow B$$
 is true

Is the following statement valid?

Question 6 — Skeletor will be back with more disturbing facts

Binary search runs in $O(\log n)$ if and only if π is irrational

Let $P:\pi$ is irrational.

Let B: Binary search runs in $O(\log n)$.

Statement

$$B \Leftrightarrow P$$

As P is always true:

$$B \Rightarrow P$$
 is true

As B is always true:

$$P \Rightarrow B$$
 is true

Thus

$$B \Leftrightarrow P$$
 is true

Its True, as both statements are true.

Conclusion

That's all folks! Attendance time.

- Read the book!
- Practice more! (Practice problems on Sets are available on Canvas)
- Don't forget to hit the like button and subscribe to our youtube channel.
- Remember that the TA's hours can be seen on canvas and TAs can be found in their hours on EHSAS Group (MS Teams)