Project Report Rubrics – Supply Chain Management

	Unacceptable (0 to 30%)	Below Expectations (31% to 50%)	Meets Expectations (51% to 90%)	Exceeds Expectations (91% to 100%)
Theoretical Description (20 Marks)	Fails to establish concepts of theory with relevant examples.	Established concepts of theory with relevant examples to some extent.	Established concepts of theory with relevant examples to a great extent.	Established concepts of theory with relevant examples comprehensively.
Problem Statement of the Case Study (20 Marks)	Unclear declaration of problem with incorrect interpretation of facts.	Problem is declared to some extent with incorrect interpretation of facts.	Problem is declared and understandable with very few problems with relevancy of facts and interpretations.	Problem is declared and understandable supported with relevant facts and correct interpretations.
Analysis of the Problem (20 Marks)	Dose not provide any analysis of problem, using operations management techniques learned in course study.	Provides analysis of problem to some extent while using operations management techniques learned in course study.	Provides analysis of problem to great extent while using operations management techniques learned in course study	Provides a careful and comprehensive analysis of problem, using operations management techniques learned in course study and reading.
Solution of the Problem (20 Marks)	Solution is presented without linking the underpinning theoretical concept.	Solution is presented while linking the underpinning theoretical concept to some extent.	Solution is presented while linking the underpinning theoretical concept to a great extent.	Solution is presented while linking the underpinning theoretical concept comprehensively.
Quality of Project (10 Marks)	Project was not organized. Information presented was not clear.	Project was fairly organized. Information presented was clear.	Project was extremely organized. Information presented was clear and interesting	Project was extremely organized, interesting, and easy to follow. Project fully informed audience about the topic and showed advanced critical thinking.
Conclusion (10 Marks)	Conclusion does not indicate clear and executable recommendations for operational and or process improvements and does not provide any logical reasoning.	Conclusion provides some recommendations for operational and or process improvements and does not provide any logical reasoning.	Conclusion provides recommendations for operational and or process improvements with some logical reasoning.	Conclusion indicates clear and executable recommendations for operational and or process improvements backed by comprehensive logical reasoning.