

# Quiz 13B: Mapping Reduction

CS 212 Nature of Computation

Habib University — Fall 2023

Total Marks: 10  
Duration: 15 minutes

Date: November 15, 2023  
Time: 830–845h

Student ID: \_\_\_\_\_

Student Name: \_\_\_\_\_

1. (10 points) Let us extend mapping reduction to arbitrary sets.

A set  $A$  is *mapping reducible* to a set  $B$ , written  $A \leq_m B$ , if there is a computable function  $f$ , where for every  $u$ ,

$$u \in A \iff f(u) \in B.$$

The function  $f$  is called the *reduction* from  $A$  to  $B$ .

Prove or disprove the following claim.

**Claim 1.** *The following function,  $f : P(\Sigma^*) \rightarrow P(\Sigma^*)$ , is a reduction from the class of context-free languages to the class of recursively enumerable languages.*

$$f(L) = L.$$

**Solution:** We disprove the claim by showing that  $f$  does not meet the required condition for a reduction. For ease of description, we denote the class of context-free languages as  $CFL$  and the class of recursively enumerable languages as  $RE$ .

*Proof.* For  $f$  to be a reduction from  $CFL$  to  $RE$ , the following must hold.

$$\forall L (L \in CFL \iff f(L) \in RE).$$

This further reduces to 2 cases, one of which we show through a counterexample to not hold.

Case:  $\forall L (L \notin CFL \implies f(L) \notin RE)$

That is,  $\forall L (L \notin CFL \implies L \notin RE)$

We know that  $CFL \subset RE$ .

Consider a language,  $C$ , such that  $C \in RE$  and  $C \notin CFL$ .

$L = C$  is a counterexample to the claim. □