DeepSeek-R1: Detailed Notes

1. Introduction

- Objective: Enhance reasoning in LLMs using reinforcement learning (RL).
 - DeepSeek-R1-Zero: Pure RL without supervised fine-tuning (SFT), but suffers from poor readability.
 - DeepSeek-R1: Adds cold-start data and multi-stage training, achieving performance comparable to OpenAI's o1-1217.
- Key Contributions:
 - Post-Training: RL without SFT, leading to self-verification and long chain-of-thought (CoT) behaviors.
 - **Distillation**: Smaller models (1.5B to 70B) distilled from DeepSeek-R1, outperforming non-reasoning models.

2. Approach

2.1 Overview

- Explores reasoning capabilities without supervised data.
- DeepSeek-R1-Zero: RL on base model (DeepSeek-V3-Base).
- **DeepSeek-R1**: Cold-start data + multi-stage training.

2.2 DeepSeek-R1-Zero

- RL Algorithm: Uses Group Relative Policy Optimization (GRPO).
- Rewards:
 - Accuracy: Correctness of responses.
 - Format: Ensures reasoning is within <think> tags.
- Performance: AIME 2024 pass@1 improves from 15.6% to 71.0%.

2.3 DeepSeek-R1

- Cold Start: Fine-tunes base model with high-quality CoT data.
- Reasoning-Oriented RL: Enhances reasoning in coding, math, and logic.
- Rejection Sampling: Generates SFT data for further fine-tuning.
- **RL for All Scenarios**: Aligns model with human preferences (helpfulness, harmlessness).

2.4 Distillation

- Distillation: Smaller models fine-tuned using DeepSeek-R1 data.
 - Results: DeepSeek-R1-Distill-Qwen-7B achieves 55.5% on AIME 2024.

3. Experiment

3.1 DeepSeek-R1 Evaluation

- Reasoning Tasks: 79.8% Pass@1 on AIME 2024, 97.3% Pass@1 on MATH-500.
- Knowledge Benchmarks: Strong performance on MMLU, GPQA Diamond, and SimpleQA.
- Other Tasks: Excels in creative writing, summarization, and long-context understanding.

3.2 Distilled Model Evaluation

- Distilled Models: DeepSeek-R1-Distill-Qwen-32B achieves 72.6% Pass@1 on AIME 2024.
- Comparison: Distilled models outperform RL-trained smaller models.

4. Discussion

4.1 Distillation vs. RL

- **Distillation** is more effective for smaller models, leveraging reasoning patterns from larger models.
- RL is computationally expensive and less efficient for smaller models.

4.2 Unsuccessful Attempts

- Process Reward Model (PRM): Suffers from reward hacking and scalability issues.
- Monte Carlo Tree Search (MCTS): Challenging to scale due to token generation complexity.

5. Conclusion, Limitations, and Future Work

- Conclusion: DeepSeek-R1 achieves strong reasoning through RL and distillation.
- Limitations:
 - Language Mixing: Optimized for Chinese and English.
 - **Prompt Sensitivity**: Few-shot prompting degrades performance.
- Future Work:
 - Improve general capabilities (e.g., function calling, multi-turn conversations).
 - Address language mixing and prompt sensitivity.

Key Takeaways for Class Discussion

- 1. **Reinforcement Learning**: RL enhances reasoning without SFT, but faces challenges like reward hacking.
- 2. **Distillation**: Smaller models distilled from DeepSeek-R1 outperform RL-trained models.
- 3. Ethical and Safety Considerations: RL aligns models with human preferences (helpfulness, harmlessness).
- 4. **Benchmarks**: DeepSeek-R1 excels in reasoning (AIME, MATH-500) and knowledge tasks (MMLU, GPQA Diamond).

Sample Discussion Questions

- 1. **Reward Hacking**: How does DeepSeek-R1 mitigate reward hacking, and why avoid neural reward models?
- 2. **Distillation vs. RL**: Why is distillation more effective for smaller models?
- 3. **Ethical Implications**: How does DeepSeek-R1 ensure harmlessness and helpfulness?
- 4. **Benchmarks**: How does DeepSeek-R1 compare to GPT-4 and Llama 3 on reasoning tasks?