

[Source: CLRS] The Hiring Problem

The Problem: Suppose, we are hiring for a job and delegate the hiring task to a hiring agency.

The agency sends n candidates; one candidate on a single day.

Suppose, we hire the first candidate, say, x_1 with some quality score q_1 . Let the cost of hiring a candidate is c_i (cost of interviewing).

Consider that the next day, we are sent the next candidate x_2 with a quality score q_2 . If x_2 is a better candidate than x_1 (already hired), we hire x_2 ($\because q_2 > q_1$).

The cost of hiring x_2 will be c_i plus the cost of firing x_1 and hiring x_2 , ^{interview} i.e. (c_h) .

Notice, for x_1 , we only had to bear the cost c_i .

Assumption: c_i is small (i.e., the cost of interviewing a candidate)

$$\text{i.e. } \boxed{c_i \ll c_h}$$

If we end up interviewing all n candidates, the total cost of interviewing will become $\boxed{n \cdot c_i}$ or $\boxed{c_i \cdot n}$.

Now, suppose we hire the i^{th} candidate, if they're the best so far.

If we hire only $m \ll n$ candidates, the total cost of hiring will be $\boxed{c_h \cdot m}$.



hence, the total cost of hiring becomes $O(c_1 n + c_2 m)$

Worst-case: Hiring all n candidates, i.e. for sure depends upon the quality of candidates
 when $m = n$ i.e. when the candidates
 x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n come in the order s.t.,
 $q_1 < q_2 < \dots < q_m$ respectively.
 So, the worst-case is $O(c_2 n)$

Best-case: When $q_1 > q_2 > \dots > q_n$, the total cost will be $O(c_1 n)$ [the subsequent candidates are hired]

Average Case: If candidates arrive in an arbitrary order of quality;

Define: An indicator variable associated with some event 'A' s.t.

$$I_A = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if 'A' occurs} \\ 0, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

Lemma: Given a sample space S and an event A , let $X_A = I\{A\}$, then,

$$E[X_A] = P\{A\}$$

$$E[X_A] = E[I\{A\}]$$

$$E[X] = \sum x P(x)$$

$$= 1 \cdot P(A) + 0 \cdot P(A^c)$$

$$\Rightarrow E[X] = P(A)$$

Refresh: Let X be a R.V. denoting the total no. of heads in n Bernoulli trials.

Then, $E[X] = E\left[\sum_{i=1}^n X_i\right]$

$\Rightarrow E[X] = \sum_{i=1}^n E[X_i]$ Indicator R.V.

$= \sum_{i=1}^n P(X_i) \{ \text{from the Lemma} \}$

$= \sum_{i=1}^n \left(\frac{1}{2}\right)$

$$\therefore E[X] = n/2$$

Now, back to the Hiring Problem

$$E[X] = \sum_x x \cdot P(X=x)$$

Defn: X_i to be an indicator R.V. s.t.

$$X_i = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{candidate } i \text{ is hired} \\ 0, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

Let, $X = X_1 + X_2 + \dots + X_n$

Then, $E[X] = E\left[\sum_{i=1}^n X_i\right]$

$$= \sum_{i=1}^n E[X_i]$$

$$= \sum_{i=1}^n 1/i \quad (\text{from the lemma})$$

$$\therefore E[X] = \ln n + O(1)$$

Ans: $n \ln n$ Harmonic number

i.e. On average, when interviewing n candidates, we would hire approx. $\ln n$ candidates

The avg. cost of hiring is $O(n \ln n)$

Compared to $O(n^2)$ worst-case!