# Linear Algebra Homework 2 part i

## Ali Muhammad Asad

January 19, 2023

# Chapter 1: Linear Equations and Matrices

# Ex Set 1.3: Matrices and Matrix Operations

4. Consider the matrices

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} 3 & 0 \\ -1 & 2 \\ 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix}, \ B = \begin{bmatrix} 4 & -1 \\ 0 & 2 \end{bmatrix}, \ C = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 4 & 2 \\ 3 & 1 & 5 \end{bmatrix}, \ D = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 5 & 2 \\ -1 & 0 & 1 \\ 3 & 2 & 4 \end{bmatrix}, \ E = \begin{bmatrix} 6 & 1 & 3 \\ -1 & 1 & 2 \\ 4 & 1 & 3 \end{bmatrix}$$

Using these matrices, compute the following:

(a) 
$$2A^T + C$$

(b) 
$$D^{T} - E^{T}$$

(c) 
$$(D - E)^T$$

(d) 
$$B^T + 5C^T$$

(e) 
$$\frac{1}{2}C^T - \frac{1}{4}A$$

(f) 
$$B - B^T$$

(g) 
$$2E^T - 3D^T$$

(a) 
$$2A^{T} + C$$
 (b)  $D^{T} - E^{T}$  (c)  $(D - E)^{T}$  (d)  $B^{T} + 5C^{T}$  (e)  $\frac{1}{2}C^{T} - \frac{1}{4}A$  (f)  $B - B^{T}$  (g)  $2E^{T} - 3D^{T}$  (h)  $(2E^{T} - 3D^{T})^{T}$ 

## Solution:

$$(a) = 2 \begin{bmatrix} 3 & -1 & 1 \\ 0 & 2 & 1 \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 4 & 2 \\ 3 & 1 & 5 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 6 & -2 & 2 \\ 0 & 4 & 2 \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 4 & 2 \\ 3 & 1 & 5 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 7 & 2 & 4 \\ 3 & 5 & 7 \end{bmatrix}$$

(b) = 
$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & -1 & 3 \\ 5 & 0 & 2 \\ 2 & 1 & 4 \end{bmatrix} - \begin{bmatrix} 6 & -1 & 4 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 3 & 2 & 3 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} -5 & 0 & -1 \\ 4 & -1 & 1 \\ -1 & -1 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$(c) = \left( \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 5 & 2 \\ -1 & 0 & 1 \\ 3 & 2 & 4 \end{bmatrix} - \begin{bmatrix} 6 & 1 & 3 \\ -1 & 1 & 2 \\ 4 & 1 & 3 \end{bmatrix} \right)^T = \left( \begin{bmatrix} -5 & 4 & -1 \\ 0 & -1 & -1 \\ -1 & 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \right)^T = \begin{bmatrix} -5 & 0 & -1 \\ 4 & -1 & 1 \\ -1 & -1 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

(d) Cannot be computed since the matrix  $B^T$  and  $C^T$  have different orders, so addition is

(e) = 
$$\frac{1}{2} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 3 \\ 4 & 1 \\ 2 & 5 \end{bmatrix} - \frac{1}{4} \begin{bmatrix} 3 & 0 \\ -1 & 2 \\ 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} -\frac{1}{4} & \frac{3}{2} \\ \frac{9}{4} & 0 \\ \frac{3}{4} & \frac{9}{4} \end{bmatrix}$$

$$(\mathbf{f}) = \begin{bmatrix} 4 & -1 \\ 0 & 2 \end{bmatrix} - \begin{bmatrix} 4 & 0 \\ -1 & 2 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$(g) = 2 \begin{bmatrix} 6 & -1 & 4 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 3 & 2 & 3 \end{bmatrix} - 3 \begin{bmatrix} 1 & -1 & 3 \\ 5 & 0 & 2 \\ 2 & 1 & 4 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 9 & 1 & -1 \\ -13 & 2 & -4 \\ 0 & 1 & -6 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$(h) = \left( 2 \begin{bmatrix} 6 & -1 & 4 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 3 & 2 & 3 \end{bmatrix} - 3 \begin{bmatrix} 1 & -1 & 3 \\ 5 & 0 & 2 \\ 2 & 1 & 4 \end{bmatrix} \right)^T = \left( \begin{bmatrix} 9 & 1 & -1 \\ -13 & 2 & -4 \\ 0 & 1 & -6 \end{bmatrix} \right)^T = \begin{bmatrix} 9 & -13 & 0 \\ 1 & 2 & 1 \\ -1 & -4 & -6 \end{bmatrix}$$

#### Ex Set 1.4: Inverses; Rules of Matrix Arithmetic

**11.** Find the inverse of  $\begin{bmatrix} \cos \theta & \sin \theta \\ -\sin \theta & \cos \theta \end{bmatrix}$ 

Solution: Determinant of the matrix = 
$$(\cos \theta \times \cos \theta) - (-\sin \theta \times \sin \theta)$$
  
Det =  $\cos^2 \theta + \sin^2 \theta \implies \text{Det} = 1$   
Adjoint =  $\begin{bmatrix} \cos \theta & -\sin \theta \\ \sin \theta & \cos \theta \end{bmatrix}$   
Inverse =  $\frac{\text{Adjoint}}{\text{Determinant}} \implies \text{Inverse} = \begin{bmatrix} \cos \theta & -\sin \theta \\ \sin \theta & \cos \theta \end{bmatrix}$ 

**13.** Consider the matrix

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} a_{11} & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & a_{22} & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & a_{nn} \end{bmatrix}$$

where  $a_{11}a_{22}\cdots a_{nn}\neq 0$ . Show that A is invertible, and find its inverse.

**Solution:**  $A = diag(a_{11}, a_{22}, ..., a_{nn}).$ 

For A to be invertible,  $det(A) \neq 0$ .

The deterinant of any diagonal matrix is the product of its daigonals. Then  $\det(A) = a_{11}a_{22}...a_{nn} \neq 0$  since  $a_{11}a_{22}...a_{nn} \neq 0$ . Therefore, the inverse of A exists.

For  $A^{-1}$  to exist,  $AA^{-1} = I$  and  $A^{-1}A = I$  must be true by the definition.

Let 
$$A^{-1} = \begin{bmatrix} \alpha_{11} & \alpha_{12} & \dots & \alpha_{1n} \\ \alpha_{21} & \alpha_{22} & \dots & \alpha_{2n} \\ \vdots & \vdots & & \vdots \\ \alpha_{n1} & \alpha_{n2} & \dots & \alpha_{nn} \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\text{Then } AA^{-1} = I \implies \begin{bmatrix} a_{11} & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & a_{22} & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & a_{nn} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \alpha_{11} & \alpha_{12} & \dots & \alpha_{1n} \\ \alpha_{21} & \alpha_{22} & \dots & \alpha_{2n} \\ \vdots & \vdots & & \vdots \\ \alpha_{n1} & \alpha_{n2} & \dots & \alpha_{nn} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & \dots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \dots & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$
 
$$\implies \begin{bmatrix} a_{11}\alpha_{11} & a_{11}\alpha_{12} & \dots & a_{11}\alpha_{1n} \\ a_{22}\alpha_{21} & a_{22}\alpha_{22} & \dots & a_{22}\alpha_{2n} \\ \vdots & \vdots & & \vdots \\ a_{nn}\alpha_{n1} & a_{nn}\alpha_{n2} & \dots & a_{nn}\alpha_{nn} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & \dots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \dots & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

By comparison,  $a_{11}\alpha_{11} = 1 \implies \alpha_{11} = \frac{1}{a_1}$ 

 $a_{11}\alpha_{12} = 0 \implies \alpha_{12} = 0$  as  $a_{11} \neq 0$ . The same argument holds for  $\alpha_{12}...\alpha_{1n}$ . Similarly,  $a_{22} \neq 0 : \alpha_{22} = \frac{1}{a_{22}}$  however  $\alpha_{21} = 0$  and  $\alpha_{23}...\alpha_{2n} = 0$ .

Then we can conclude that  $\alpha_{ij} = \frac{1}{a_{ij}} \ \forall i=j \mid i,j \in \mathbb{N}$  where  $i \leq n$  and  $j \leq n$  and  $\alpha_{ij} = 0 \ \forall i \neq j \mid i,j \in \mathbb{N}$  where  $i \leq n$  and  $j \neq n$ .

Then 
$$A^{-1} = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{1}{a_{11}} & 0 & \dots & 0\\ 0 & \frac{1}{a_{22}} & \dots & 0\\ 0 & 0 & \dots & \frac{1}{a_{nn}} \end{bmatrix}$$

- **15.** (a) Show that a matrix with a row of zeroes cannot have an inverse.
  - (b) Show that a matrix with a column of zeroes cannot have an inverse.

#### Solution:

(a) Let 
$$A = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ a_{21} & a_{22} & \dots & a_{2n} \\ \vdots & \vdots & & \vdots \\ a_{m1} & a_{m2} & \dots & a_{mn} \end{bmatrix}$$
. Let  $B$  be the inverse of  $A$ . Then  $AB = I$  should hold

true. However, we previously proved that if a matrix A has a row of zeroes, then AB also has a row of zeroes. Hence, we know that  $AB \neq I$  since AB has a row of zeroes. So we can conclude that A does not have an inverse if A has a row of zeroes.

(b) Let 
$$A = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & a_{12} & \dots & a_{1n} \\ 0 & a_{22} & \dots & a_{2n} \\ \vdots & \vdots & & \vdots \\ 0 & a_{m2} & \dots & a_{nn} \end{bmatrix}$$
 where  $A$  has a column of zeroes. Let  $B = \begin{bmatrix} b_{11} & b_{12} & \dots & b_{1n} \\ b_{21} & b_{22} & \dots & b_{2n} \\ \vdots & \vdots & & \vdots \\ b_{n1} & b_{n2} & \dots & b_{nn} \end{bmatrix}$  be the inverse of  $A$ , then  $AB = I$  and  $BA = I$  should hold true.

Then 
$$BA = \begin{bmatrix} b_{11} & b_{12} & \dots & b_{1n} \\ b_{21} & b_{22} & \dots & b_{2n} \\ \vdots & \vdots & & \vdots \\ b_{n1} & b_{n2} & \dots & b_{nn} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 0 & a_{12} & \dots & a_{1n} \\ 0 & a_{22} & \dots & a_{2n} \\ \vdots & \vdots & & \vdots \\ 0 & a_{n2} & \dots & a_{nn} \end{bmatrix}$$

$$= \begin{bmatrix} b_{11}0 + b_{12}0 + \dots + b_{1n}0 & b_{11}a_{12} + b_{12}a_{22} + \dots + b_{1n}a_{n2} & \dots & b_{11}a_{1n} + b_{12}a_{2n} + \dots + b_{1n}a_{nn} \\ b_{21}0 + b_{22}0 + \dots + b_{2n}0 & b_{21}a_{12} + b_{22}a_{22} + \dots + b_{2n}a_{n2} & \dots & b_{21}a_{1n} + b_{22}a_{2n} + \dots + b_{2n}a_{nn} \\ \vdots & \vdots & & \vdots & & \vdots \\ b_{n1}0 + b_{n2}0 + \dots + b_{nn}0 & b_{n1}a_{12} + b_{n2}a_{22} + \dots + b_{nn}a_{n2} & \dots & b_{n1}a_{1n} + b_{n2}a_{2n} + \dots + b_{nn}a_{nn} \\ 0 & b_{11}a_{12} + b_{12}a_{22} + \dots + b_{1n}a_{n2} & \dots & b_{11}a_{1n} + b_{12}a_{2n} + \dots + b_{1n}a_{nn} \\ 0 & b_{21}a_{12} + b_{22}a_{22} + \dots + b_{2n}a_{n2} & \dots & b_{21}a_{1n} + b_{22}a_{2n} + \dots + b_{2n}a_{nn} \\ \vdots & \vdots & & \vdots & & \vdots \\ 0 & b_{n1}a_{12} + b_{n2}a_{22} + \dots + b_{nn}a_{n2} & \dots & b_{n1}a_{1n} + b_{n2}a_{2n} + \dots + b_{nn}a_{nn} \end{bmatrix}$$

Then BA also has a column of zeroes which implies  $BA \neq I$ . Hence if A has a column of zeroes, then BA also has a column of zeroes, therefore, A does not have an inverse.

16. Is the sum of two invertible matrices necessarily invertible? [is not, why?]

**Solution:** No, the sum of two invertible matrices may not necessarily be invertible. Consider two invertible matrices A and B. It is quite possible that the A+B may produce a matrix with a row of zeroes, or a column of zeroes, in which case the resultant matrix is no longer invertible.

Consider, A = I which is invertible [the identity matrix is invertible], and B = -I, which is also invertible [multiplying an invertible matrix with a non-zero constant, the resultant matrix is also invertible]. Then A + B = 0 that is, the sum of A and B produces a 0 matrix which is not invertible. Hence proved.

17. Let A and B be square matrices such that AB = 0. Show that if A is invertible, then B = 0.

**Solution:** If A is invertible, then  $A^{-1}A = I$  is true. Then premultiplying  $A^{-1}$  on both sides, we get  $A^{-1}AB = A^{-1}0 \implies IB = 0$  as a matrix times the 0 matrix is equal to the zero matrix. Then  $IB = 0 \implies B = 0$  as IB = B. Hence shown that B = 0 if A is invertible and AB = 0. [If A is invertible, then  $A \neq 0$  as 0 is not invertible]

- **29.** (a) Show that if A is invertible and AB = AC, then B = C.
  - (b) Explain why part (a) and Example 3 (from the book) do not contradict one another.

#### Solution:

- (a) If A is invertible, and AB = AC then B = C. If A is invertible, then  $A^{-1}A = I$  holds true. Then premultiplying by  $A^{-1}$  we get  $A^{-1}AB = A^{-1}AC \implies IB = IC \implies B = C$  as IA = A.
- (b) They do not contradict one another as A was not invertible in Example 3. So when A is not invertible, then the operation  $A^{-1}A$  does not hold as it does not exist. Therefore, A cannot be cancelled from both sides.

Since A is invertible over here, it can be cancelled, therefore, it does not contradict the example.