CS61C Summer 2016 Discussion 8 - Caches

In the following diagram, each blank box in the CPU Cache represents 8 bits (1 byte) of data. Our memory is **byte-addressed**, meaning that there is one address for each byte. Compare this to **word-addressed**, which means that there is one address for each word.

Index bits=log2 (Number of index rows) Offset bits=log2 (Number of offsets columns)

	Offset					
	3	2	1	0		
CPU						
Cache						

Tag bits	Index bits	Offset bits	Total
30	0	2	32

What type of cache is this? fully associative

	Index		Offset				
CPU	Number	3	2	1	0		
Cache	0						
	1						
	Index	Offset					

Tag bits	Index bits	Offset bits	Total
29	1	2	32

	Index	Offset				
CPU	Number	3	2	1	0	
Cache	0					
	1					

What type of cache is this? 2-way set associative

	Index		Offset				
	Number	3	2	1	0		
CPU	0						
Cache	1						
	2						
	3						

Tag bits	Index bits	Offset bits	Total
28	2	2	32

What type of cache is this? direct mapped

1. Direct mapped caches

- 1. How many bytes of data can our cache hold? **16 bytes** How many words? **4 words**
- 2. Fill in the "Tag bits, Index bits, Offset bits" with the correct T:I:O breakdown according to the diagram.
- 3. Let's say we have a 8192KiB cache with an 128B block size, what is the tag, index, and offset of 0xFEEDF00D?

FE ED		F0	0D	
	1111 1110	1110 1101	1111 0000	0000 1101

Tag: 111111101 (0x1FD) Index: 11011011111100000 (0xDBE0) Offset: 0001101 (0x0D)

4. Fill in the table below. Assume we have a write-through cache, so the number of bits per row includes only the cache data, the tag, and the valid bit.

Address size						
(bits)	Cache size	Block size	Tag bits	Index bits	Offset bits	Bits per row

16	4KiB	4B	4	10	2	32+4+1
32	32KiB	16B	17	11	4	128+17+1
32	64KiB	16B	16	12	4	128+16+1
64	2048KiB	128B	43	14	7	1068

2. Cache hits and misses

Assume we have the following cache. Of the 32 bits in each address, which bits do we use to find the row of the cache to use? We use the 4th and 5th least significant bit since the offset is 3 bits

Classify each of the following byte memory accesses as a cache hit (H), cache miss (M), or cache miss with replacement (R).

	Index		Offset							
	Number	7	6	5	4	3	2	1	0	
CPU	0									
CPU Cache	1									
	2									
	3									

1.0x00000004 Index 0, Tag 0: M 2.0x00000005 Index 0, Tag 0: H 3.0x00000068 Index 1, Tag 3: M 4.0x000000068 Index 1, Tag 6: R 5.0x000000068 Index 1, Tag 3: R 6.0x0000000DD Index 3, Tag 6: M 7.0x000000045 Index 0, Tag 2: R 8.0x00000004 Index 0, Tag 0: R 9.0x0000000C8 Index 1, Tag 6: R

3. Analyzing C Code

```
#define NUM_INTS 8192
int A[NUM_INTS];  /* A lives at 0x100000 */
int i, total = 0;
for (i = 0; i < NUM_INTS; i += 128) { A[i] = i; } /* Line 1 */
for (i = 0; i < NUM_INTS; i += 128) { total += A[i]; } /* Line 2 */</pre>
```

Let's say you have a byte-addressed computer with a total memory of 1MiB. It features a 16KiB CPU cache with 1KiB blocks.

- 1. How many bits make up a memory address on this computer? 20
- 2. What is the T:I:O breakdown? tag bits: 6 index bits: 4 offset bits: 10
- 3. Calculate the cache hit rate for the line marked Line 1: 50%

The integer accesses are 4*128=512 bytes apart, which means there are 2 accesses per block. The first accesses in each block is a cache miss, but the second is a hit because A[i] and A[i+128] are in the same cache block.

4. Calculate the cache hit rate for the line marked Line 2: 50%
The size of A is 8192*4 = 2¹⁵ bytes. This is exactly twice the size of our cache. At the end of line 1, we have the second half of A inside the cache, while in line 2 we start accesses from

the beginning of the array. Thus we cannot reuse any of the content of A and we get the same hit rate as before. Note that we do not have to consider cache hits for total, since the compiler will probably leave it in a register.

4. N-Way Set Associative Caches

1. Assuming 32 bits of physical memory, for an 8-way set associative 4KiB cache with 16B blocks, how big are the T, I, and O fields?

```
T = 23, I = 5, O = 4
```

2. How many total bits of storage are required for the cache if it uses write back and LRU replacement?

```
2^8 rows, each row has Tag, Data, Valid, Dirty, log_2(Associativity) (for LRU) = 23 + 128 + 1 + 1 + 3 = 156 bits. 156 * 2^8 = 39936 bits.
```

3. Associativity usually improves the miss ratio, but not always. Give a short series of address references for which a two-way set-associative cache with LRU replacement would experience more misses than a direct-mapped cache of the same size.

The key to this problem is to note that two addresses that map to the same set in a set-associative cache may map to a different block in a direct-mapped cache. A simple example is with a four-word cache and 1 word blocks. The example sequence is addresses 0, 2, 4, 0, 2. In the direct-mapped cache, 0 and 4 map to block 0, while 2 maps to block 2. Accesses to 0 and 4 miss because they conflict in block 0, but the second access to 2 hits. The hit rate is 1/5.

With a 2-way set-associative cache, all three address map to the first set. Thus after the first two misses, 4 kicks out 0, 0 kicks out 2, and 2 kicks out 4. The hit rate is 0/5.