### Massachusetts Institute of Technology

#### Department of Electrical Engineering & Computer Science

## **6.041/6.431: Probabilistic Systems Analysis** (Spring 2011)

#### Problem Set 10: Solutions

1. (a) We want to find the probability that there are at least 45 successes out of 50 total trials, where the probability of success is given to be .95. Using the Normal approximation to the binomial (where  $\mu = 47.5$  and  $\sigma \approx 1.54$ ), we find:

$$\mathbf{P}(45 \text{ to } 50 \text{ successes}) \approx 1 - \Phi\left(\frac{44.5 - \mu}{\sigma}\right)$$

$$\approx 1 - \Phi\left(-1.95\right)$$

$$= \Phi\left(1.95\right)$$

$$= 0.9744$$

(b) To be able to use the Poisson approximation p has to be small and n has to be relatively large. Therefore, using p = 0.95 will not give a good approximation. Instead, we define a new random variable, I, to be the number of incorrect predictions out of 50.

$$\mathbf{P}(45 \text{ to } 50 \text{ successes}) = \mathbf{P}(I=0) + \mathbf{P}(I=1) + \dots + \mathbf{P}(I=5)$$
  
 $\approx \sum_{k=0}^{5} \frac{2.5^{k} e^{-2.5}}{k!} \approx 0.9582$ 

The second method, although more tedious, is perhaps more appropriate. The Normal approximation works well with sums of symmetric distributions, which for the binomial is satisfied when p is close to .5. Here p is quite far from that. Of course, the Normal distribution makes it quite convenient to calculate, especially when the number of terms in the sum grows.

2. First, let's calculate the expectation and the variance for  $Y_n$ ,  $T_n$ , and  $A_n$ .

$$Y_n = (0.5)^n X_n$$

$$T_n = Y_1 + Y_2 + \dots + Y_n$$

$$A_n = \frac{1}{n} T_n$$

#### MASSACHUSETTS INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY

Department of Electrical Engineering & Computer Science

### 6.041/6.431: Probabilistic Systems Analysis

(Spring 2011)

$$\mathbf{E}[Y_{n}] = \mathbf{E}\left[\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^{n}X_{n}\right] = \left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^{n}\mathbf{E}[X_{n}] = \mathbf{E}[X]\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^{n} = 2\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^{n}$$

$$\operatorname{var}(Y_{n}) = \operatorname{var}\left(\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^{n}X_{n}\right) = \left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^{2n}\operatorname{var}(X_{n}) = \operatorname{var}(X)\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^{2n} = 9\left(\frac{1}{4}\right)^{n}$$

$$\mathbf{E}[T_{n}] = \mathbf{E}[Y_{1} + Y_{2} + \dots + Y_{n}] = \mathbf{E}[Y_{1}] + \mathbf{E}[Y_{2}] + \dots + \mathbf{E}[Y_{n}]$$

$$= 2\sum\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^{i} = 2\frac{0.5(1 - 0.5^{n})}{1 - 0.5} = 2\left(1 - \left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^{n}\right)$$

$$\operatorname{var}(T_{n}) = \operatorname{var}(Y_{1} + Y_{2} + \dots + Y_{n}) = \sum_{i=1}^{n}\left(\frac{1}{4}\right)^{i}\operatorname{var}(X_{i})$$

$$= 9\left(\frac{\frac{1}{4}\left(1 - \left(\frac{1}{4}\right)^{n}\right)}{1 - \frac{1}{4}}\right) = 3\left(1 - \left(\frac{1}{4}\right)^{n}\right)$$

$$\mathbf{E}[A_{n}] = \mathbf{E}\left[\frac{1}{n}T_{n}\right] = \frac{1}{n}\mathbf{E}[T_{n}] = \frac{2}{n}\left(1 - \left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^{n}\right)$$

$$\operatorname{var}(A_{n}) = \operatorname{var}\left(\frac{1}{n}T_{n}\right) = \left(\frac{1}{n}\right)^{2}\operatorname{var}(T_{n}) = \frac{3}{n^{2}}\left(1 - \left(\frac{1}{4}\right)^{n}\right)$$

- (a) Yes.  $Y_n$  converges to 0 in probability. As n becomes very large, the expected value of  $Y_n$  approaches 0 and the variance of  $Y_n$  approaches 0. So, by the Chebychev Inequality,  $Y_n$  converges to 0 in probability.
- (b) No. Assume that  $T_n$  converges in probability to some value a. We also know that:

$$T_n = Y_1 + (Y_2 + Y_3 + \dots Y_n)$$
  
=  $Y_1 + ((0.5)^2 X_2 + (0.5)^3 X_3 + \dots + (0.5)^n X_n)$   
=  $Y_1 + \frac{1}{2}(0.5X_2 + (0.5)^2 X_3 + \dots + (0.5)^{n-1} X_n).$ 

Notice that  $0.5X_2 + (0.5)^2X_3 + \cdots + (0.5)^{n-1}X_n$  converges to the same limit as  $T_n$  when n goes to infinity. If  $T_n$  is to converge to a,  $Y_1$  must converge to a/2. But this is clearly false, which presents a contradiction in our original assumption.

- (c) Yes.  $A_n$  converges to 0 in probability. As n becomes very large, the expected value of  $A_n$  approaches 0, and the variance of  $A_n$  approaches 0. So, by the Chebychev Inequality,  $A_n$  converges to 0 in probability. You could also show this by noting that the  $A_n$ s are i.i.d. with finite mean and variance and using the WLLN.
- 3. (a) To use the Markov inequality, let  $X = \sum_{i=1}^{10} X_i$ . Then,

$$\mathbf{E}[X] = 10\mathbf{E}[X_i] = 5,$$

and the Markov inequality yields

$$\mathbf{P}(X \ge 7) \le \frac{5}{7} = 0.7142.$$

(b) Using the Chebyshev inequality, we find that

$$\mathbf{P}(X - 5 \ge 2) \le \frac{5}{48} = 0.104$$

#### Massachusetts Institute of Technology

#### Department of Electrical Engineering & Computer Science

# **6.041/6.431: Probabilistic Systems Analysis** (Spring 2011)

(c) Finally, using the Central Limit Theorem, we find that

$$\mathbf{P}\left(\sum_{i=1}^{10} X_i \ge 7\right) = 1 - \mathbf{P}\left(\sum_{i=1}^{10} X_i \le 7\right)$$
$$= 1 - \mathbf{P}\left(\frac{\sum_{i=1}^{10} X_i - 5}{\sqrt{10/12}} \le \frac{7 - 5}{\sqrt{10/12}}\right)$$
$$= 1 - \Phi(2.19)$$
$$= 0.0143$$

4. (a) The prior PDF of  $\Theta$  is

$$f_{\Theta}(\theta) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } 0 \le \theta \le 1\\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

and the conditional PDF of the observation is

$$f_{X\mid\Theta}(x\mid\theta) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{\theta} & \text{if } 0 \le x \le \theta\\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

We can easily compute

$$f_{\Theta,X}(\theta,x) = f_{\Theta}(\theta)f_{X\mid\Theta}(x\mid\theta) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{\theta} & \text{if } 0 < x \le \theta \le 1\\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

and

$$f_X(x) = \int_0^1 f_{\Theta}(\theta) f_{X|\Theta}(x \mid \theta) d\theta = \int_x^1 \frac{1}{\theta} d\theta = \ln \frac{1}{x}.$$

Using Bayes' rule, we obtain the posterior PDF of  $\Theta$ 

$$f_{\Theta|X}(\theta \mid x) = \frac{f_{\Theta}(\theta)f_{X|\Theta}(x \mid \theta)}{f_{X}(x)} = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{1}{\theta \ln \frac{1}{x}} & \text{if } 0 < x \le \theta \le 1\\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{bmatrix}$$

(b) Similar to the case when n = 1, we have

$$f_{X_1,\dots,X_n\mid\Theta}(x_1,\dots,x_n\mid\theta) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{\theta^n} & \text{if } 0 < x_{\max} \le \theta \le 1\\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

where

$$x_{\max} = \max\{x_1, \cdots, x_n\}.$$

We can now obtain the joint distribution of  $X_1, \ldots, X_n$  for n > 1:

$$f_{X_{1},...,X_{n}}(x_{1},...,x_{n}) = \int_{0}^{1} f_{\Theta}(\theta) f_{X_{1},...,X_{n}\mid\Theta}(x_{1},...,x_{n}\mid\theta) d\theta = \int_{x_{\max}}^{1} \frac{1}{\theta^{n}} d\theta$$

$$= \begin{cases} \frac{\frac{1}{x_{\max}^{n-1}} - 1}{n-1} & \text{if } 0 < x_{\max} \leq 1\\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

The posterior PDF of  $\Theta$  is therefore

$$f_{\Theta|X_1,\dots,X_n}(\theta \mid x_1,\dots,x_n) = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{n-1}{(x_{\max}^{1-n}-1)\theta^n} & \text{if } 0 < x_{\max} \le \theta \le 1\\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{bmatrix}$$

#### Massachusetts Institute of Technology

#### Department of Electrical Engineering & Computer Science

### 6.041/6.431: Probabilistic Systems Analysis (Spring 2011)

 $G1^{\dagger}$ . (a) Let  $X_k, k \geq 1$  be a set of i.i.d. Poisson random variables with mean 1. Then,

$$N^{(m)} = \sum_{k=1}^{m} X_k, \ m \ge 1.$$

By the central limit theorem,

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \mathbf{P} \left( \frac{\sum_{k=1}^{m} (X_k - \mathbf{E}[X_k])}{\sqrt{m} \sigma_{X_k}} \le a \right) = \Phi(a) = \int_{-\infty}^{a} \frac{e^{-(x^2/2)}}{\sqrt{2\pi}} dx$$

where, since each  $X_k$  has  $\mathbf{E}[X_k] = \sigma^2_{X_k} = 1$ ,

$$\mathbf{P}\left(\frac{\sum_{k=1}^{m}(X_k - \mathbf{E}[X_k])}{\sqrt{m}\sigma_{X_k}} \le a\right) = \Phi(a) = \mathbf{P}\left(\frac{N^{(m)} - m}{\sqrt{m}} \le a\right) = \mathbf{P}\left(N^{(m)} \le m + a\sqrt{m}\right).$$

(b) Since  $\frac{N^{(m)}-m}{\sqrt{m}}$  has a CDF that is approximately  $N(\mu=0,\sigma^2=1)$  for large m by the central limit theorem,  $N^{(n)}$  must have a CDF that is approximately  $N(\mu=n,\sigma^2=n)$ . therefore,

$$\mathbf{P}\left(N^{(n)} = n\right) \approx \int_{n-1/2}^{n+1/2} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi n}} e^{-\frac{(x-n)^2}{2n}} dx \approx \int_{n-1/2}^{n+1/2} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi n}} dx = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi n}},$$

and, since  $N^{(n)}$  is Poisson with mean n:

$$\mathbf{P}\left(N^{(n)} = n\right) = \frac{e^{-n}n^n}{n!}.$$

(c) Therefore,

$$\frac{e^{-n}n^n}{n!} \approx \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi n}},$$

which implies that

$$n! \approx (n/e)^n \sqrt{2\pi n}$$
.