

Tutorial 11 Solutions
December 9, 2011

1. (a) We first find the posterior distribution for Θ :

$$\begin{aligned}
 f_{\Theta|X}(\theta | k) &= \frac{p_{X|\Theta}(k | \theta) \cdot f_{\Theta}(\theta)}{p_X(k)} \\
 &= \frac{p_{X|\Theta}(k | \theta) \cdot f_{\Theta}(\theta)}{\int_0^1 p_{X|\Theta}(k | t) \cdot f_{\Theta}(t) dt} \\
 &= \frac{\binom{n}{k} \cdot \theta^k \cdot (1 - \theta)^{(n-k)} \cdot 1}{\int_0^1 \binom{n}{k} \cdot t^k \cdot (1 - t)^{(n-k)} \cdot 1 dt} \\
 &= \frac{\binom{n}{k} \cdot \theta^k \cdot (1 - \theta)^{(n-k)}}{\binom{n}{k} \int_0^1 t^k \cdot (1 - t)^{(n-k)} dt} \\
 &= \frac{\theta^k \cdot (1 - \theta)^{(n-k)}}{\frac{k!(n-k)!}{(k+n-k+1)!}} \\
 &= \frac{(n+1)!}{k!(n-k)!} \theta^k (1 - \theta)^{(n-k)}
 \end{aligned}$$

To find the MAP estimate, we need to find the value $\hat{\theta}$ that maximizes the posterior. We differentiate the posterior PDF and set the derivative to 0 then solve for θ , obtaining,

$$k\theta^{k-1}(1 - \theta)^{n-k} - (n - k)\theta^k(1 - \theta)^{n-k-1} = 0$$

which yields

$$\hat{\theta}_{\text{MAP}}(k) = \frac{k}{n}.$$

- (b) The linear LMS estimator is given by:

$$\begin{aligned}
 \hat{\Theta} &= \mathbf{E}[\Theta] + \frac{\text{cov}(\Theta, X)}{\text{var}(X)} (X - \mathbf{E}[X]) \\
 \mathbf{E}[\Theta] &= 1/2 \\
 \mathbf{E}[X] &= \mathbf{E}[\mathbf{E}[X|\Theta]] = \mathbf{E}[n\Theta] = n/2 \\
 \text{var}(X) &= \mathbf{E}[\text{var}(X|\Theta)] + \text{var}(\mathbf{E}[X|\Theta]) \\
 &= \mathbf{E}[n\Theta(1 - \Theta)] + \text{var}(n\Theta) \\
 &= n\mathbf{E}[\Theta] - n(\text{var}(\Theta) + (E[\Theta])^2) + n^2\text{var}(\Theta) \\
 &= n/6 + n^2/12 \\
 \mathbf{E}[\Theta X] &= \mathbf{E}[\mathbf{E}[\Theta X|\Theta]] = \mathbf{E}[n\Theta^2] = \frac{n}{3} \\
 \text{cov}(\Theta, X) &= \mathbf{E}[\Theta X] - \mathbf{E}[\Theta]\mathbf{E}[X] = \frac{n}{3} - \frac{1}{2} \frac{n}{2} = \frac{n}{12} \\
 \hat{\Theta} &= \frac{1}{2} + \frac{n/12}{n/6 + n^2/12} (X - n/2) = \frac{X + 1}{n + 2}
 \end{aligned}$$

- (c) The LMS estimator can be found by integrating

$$\begin{aligned}
 E[\Theta \mid X = k] &= \int_0^1 \theta \frac{(n+1)!}{k!(n-k)!} \theta^k (1-\theta)^{(n-k)} d\theta \\
 &= \frac{(n+1)}{k!(n-k)!} \int_0^1 \theta^{k+1} \cdot (1-\theta)^{(n-k)} d\theta \\
 &= \frac{(n+1)}{k!(n-k)!} \cdot \frac{(k+1)!(n-k)!}{(n+2)!} \\
 &= \frac{k+1}{n+2}
 \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\hat{\theta}_{\text{LMS}}(k) = E[\Theta \mid X = k] = \frac{k+1}{n+2}.$$

- (d) The mean square error is minimized by the conditional mean estimator. Therefore, $MSE_{\text{MAP}} \geq MSE_{\text{LMS}}$.
- (e) The ML estimator is the same as the MAP estimator derived in part (a) because the prior is uniform.
2. (a) X is a binomial random variable with parameters $n = 3$ and given the probability p that a single bit is flipped in a transmission over the noisy channel:

$$p_X(k; p) = \begin{cases} \binom{3}{k} p^k (1-p)^{3-k}, & k = 0, 1, 2, 3 \\ 0 & \text{o.w.} \end{cases}$$

- (b) To derive the ML estimator for p based on X_1, \dots, X_n , the numbers of bits flipped in the first n three-bit messages, we need to find the value of p that maximizes the likelihood function:

$$\hat{p}_n = \arg \max_p p_{X_1, \dots, X_n}(k_1, k_2, \dots, k_n; p)$$

Since the X_i 's are independent, the likelihood function simplifies to:

$$p_{X_1, \dots, X_n}(k_1, k_2, \dots, k_n; p) = \prod_{i=1}^n p_{X_i}(k_i; p) = \prod_{i=1}^n \binom{3}{k_i} p^{k_i} (1-p)^{3-k_i}$$

The log-likelihood function is given by

$$\log(p_{X_1, \dots, X_n}(k_1, k_2, \dots, k_n; p)) = \sum_{i=1}^n \left(k_i \log(p) + (3 - k_i) \log(1-p) + \log \binom{3}{k_i} \right)$$

We then maximize the log-likelihood function with respect to p :

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{p} \left(\sum_{i=1}^n k_i \right) - \frac{1}{1-p} \left(\sum_{i=1}^n (3 - k_i) \right) &= 0 \\ \left(3n - \sum_{i=1}^n k_i \right) p &= \left(\sum_{i=1}^n k_i \right) (1-p) \\ \hat{p}_n &= \frac{1}{3n} \sum_{i=1}^n k_i \end{aligned}$$

This yields the ML estimator:

$$\hat{P}_n = \frac{1}{3n} \sum_{i=1}^n X_i$$

(c) The estimator is unbiased since:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{E}_p[\hat{P}_n] &= \frac{1}{3n} \sum_{i=1}^n \mathbf{E}_p[X_i] \\ &= \frac{1}{3n} \sum_{i=1}^n 3p \\ &= p \end{aligned}$$

(d) By the weak law of large numbers, $\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n X_i$ converges in probability to $\mathbf{E}_p[X_i] = 3p$, and therefore $\hat{P}_n = \frac{1}{3n} \sum_{i=1}^n X_i$ converges in probability to p . Thus \hat{P}_n is consistent.

(e) Sending 3 bit messages instead of 1 bit messages does not affect the ML estimate of p . To see this, let Y_i be a Bernoulli RV which takes the value 1 if the i th bit is flipped (with probability p), and let $m = 3n$ be the total number of bits sent over the channel. The ML estimate of p is then

$$\hat{P}_n = \frac{1}{3n} \sum_{i=1}^n X_i = \frac{1}{m} \sum_{i=1}^m Y_i.$$

Using the central limit theorem, \hat{P}_n is approximately a normal RV for large n . An approximate 95% confidence interval for p is then,

$$\left[\hat{P}_n - 1.96 \sqrt{\frac{v}{m}}, \hat{P}_n + 1.96 \sqrt{\frac{v}{m}} \right]$$

where v is the variance of Y_i .

As suggested by the question, we estimate the unknown variance v by the conservative upper bound of $1/4$. We are also given that $n = 100$ and the number of bits flipped is 20, yielding $\hat{P}_n = \frac{2}{30}$. Thus, an approximate 95% confidence interval is $[0.01, 0.123]$.

(f) Other estimates for the variance are the sample variance and the estimate $\hat{P}_n(1 - \hat{P}_n)$. They potentially result in narrower confidence intervals than the conservative variance estimate used in part (e).