

Concordia University Department of Computer Science and Software Engineering

SOEN 331 Section S: Formal Methods
for Software Engineering

Assignment 4

Mohammad Ali Zahir - 40077619

Marwa Khalid - 40155098

November 23, 2022

Date of Submission: December 2, 2022

Contents

1	Our assignment	3
---	----------------	---

1 Our assignment

1. (10 pts) Find a logically equivalent formula for $\phi \ W \ \psi$ and provide a short reasoning to support your answer. Represent this equivalence between the two expressions with the appropriate logical connective, and support your reasoning.

Solution:

The logically equivalent formula for this would be:

$$(\phi \ W \ \psi) \equiv (\phi \ U \ \psi) \vee \Box(\psi)$$

These are equivalent, because the principle of the strong until operator U. Since the ψ is never guaranteed to be true, we would need to add an extra or statement because if the statement ψ becomes true it would mean that ϕ can't be true. This means that ϕ would be true until a certain condition (ψ is true) is met.

2. (10 pts) Find a logically equivalent formula for $\phi \ U \ \psi$, and provide a short reasoning to support your answer. Represent this equivalence between the two expressions with the appropriate logical connective, and support your reasoning.

Solution:

The logically equivalent formula for this would be:

$$(\phi \ U \ \psi) \equiv (\phi \ W \ \psi) \wedge \Diamond(\psi)$$

These are equivalent, because the principle of the strong until operator U. We know that this ψ will eventually become true. We then now that we can use the weak until clause with an eventually operator, because the only way that ϕ is not true is when the ψ is not true. We add the and operator, because we want to insure that we actually get ψ as being true, because this may never happen.

3. (10 pts) Find a logically equivalent formula for $\phi \ R \ \psi$ in terms of W , and provide a short reasoning to support your answer. Represent this equivalence between the two expressions with the appropriate logical connective, and support your reasoning.

Solution:

This paragraph refers to Questions 4 - 5: Consider a railroad with a single rail and a road level-crossing. We introduce the following propositions that represent events:

a : A train is approaching.

b : The barrier is down

c : A train is crossing

l: A light is blinking

4. (15 pts) Express each of the following requirements formally. For each one, proceed to find a logically equivalent formula that captures the safety property of the system (i.e. in terms of “something bad never happens”):

(a) (5 pts) When a train is crossing, the barrier must be down.

Solution:

$$\Box(c \rightarrow \bigcirc b)$$

(b) (5 pts) If a train is approaching or crossing, then the light must be blinking.

Solution:

$$\Box(a \vee c \rightarrow \bigcirc l)$$

(c) (5 pts) If the barrier is up and the light is off, then no train is coming or crossing.

Solution:

5. (10 pts) Express each of the following requirements formally in terms of the liveness property (i.e. in terms of “something good eventually happens”):

(a) (5 pts) When a train is approaching, it will eventually cross..

Solution:

(b) (5 pts) When a train is approaching and no train is crossing, then the barrier will eventually go down before the train crosses.

Solution:

6. (45 pts) The behavior of a program is expressed by the following temporal formula:

$$\square \left[\begin{array}{l} \text{start} \rightarrow (\phi \oplus \psi) \\ \\ \text{start} \rightarrow \tau \\ \\ \phi \rightarrow \bigcirc(\psi \mathcal{U} \chi) \\ \\ \psi \wedge \tau \rightarrow \bigcirc(\psi \mathcal{W} \chi) \\ \\ \tau \wedge \bigcirc\psi \rightarrow \bigcirc\omega \\ \\ \psi \wedge \omega \rightarrow \bigcirc^2\chi \\ \\ \omega \wedge \bigcirc^2\chi \rightarrow \bigcirc^2\sigma \\ \\ \psi \wedge \bigcirc\sigma \rightarrow \bigcirc^2\pi \\ \\ \psi \wedge \tau \rightarrow \sigma \mathcal{R} \pi \\ \\ \phi \wedge \bigcirc\psi \rightarrow \bigcirc^2\chi \end{array} \right]$$

- (a) (20 pts) Visualize all models of behavior.

Solution:

- (b) (10 pts) Is the set of requirements satisfiable in all models of behavior? Explain why or why not.

Solution:

- (c) (10 pts) In the case where the set of requirements is not satisfiable, what modification(s) to the requirements would you make (you may temporarily assume the role of a stakeholder) in order to achieve satisfiability.

Solution:

- (d) (5 pts) Having resolved any possible conflicts in requirements, specify conditions (models of behavior), if any exist, under which the program can terminate. If none exist, please indicate so.

Solution: