

## Making Connections Using Johnstone's Chemistry Triangle

[Type here]

*\*Use the rubric to assess what is demonstrated by the student on the assignment. Look for evidence that allows you to select one performance level vs another.*

*\*\*In your assessment include a one-line justification. What is it that the student did or did not demonstrate?*

	Exemplary (4)	Competent (3)	Emerging (2)	Novice (1)
<p><b><u>A. Observable Connections</u></b></p> <p><i>Ability to connect observable phenomena to molecular-level models and/ or symbolic representations.</i></p>	<p>Predictions and conclusions correctly connect observable phenomena to IMFs between molecules.</p> <p>Explanations effectively and explicitly use IMFs to connect observable phenomena to molecular-level models and/or symbolic representations without prompting.</p>	<p>Predictions and conclusions correctly connect observable phenomena to IMFs between molecules.</p> <p>Explanations use IMFs to connect molecular-level models and/or symbolic representations when prompted. Explanations may use ineffective language.</p>	<p>Predictions and conclusions often correctly connect observable phenomena to IMFs between molecules.</p> <p>Explanations fail to connect molecular-level models and/or symbolic representations to observable phenomena, even when prompted.</p>	<p>Predictions and conclusions fail to correctly connect observable phenomena to IMFs between molecules.</p> <p>Explanations do not attempt to connect molecular-level models and/or symbolic representations to observable phenomena.</p>
<p><b><u>B. Symbolic</u></b></p> <p><i>Ability to identify and communicate information through symbolic representations of chemical structure. *Questions may require students to draw and/or interpret structures.</i></p>	<p>Effectively and correctly communicates all concrete individual IMFs from the molecular structures.</p> <p>Effectively and correctly communicates that IMFs form <i>between</i> particles (including partial charges where appropriate)</p>	<p>Correctly identifies all IMFs present (if prompted).</p> <p>Successfully makes sense of structure, able to look at one molecule and determine which IMFs it could potentially engage in.</p> <p>Communicates that IMFs form <i>between</i> particles. Representation of IMFs between particles is not completely effective.</p>	<p>May not identify the correct IMFs present (if prompted).</p> <p>Struggles to make sense of chemical structure and using correct conventions.</p> <p>Representations of IMFs are either not between particles or are incomplete.</p>	<p>Does not identify the correct IMFs present.</p> <p>Fails to make sense of chemical structure and correct conventions.</p> <p>Representations of IMFs and molecular structure are incorrect or completely missing. Representations of IMFs may be <i>within</i> a single molecule.</p>

## Making Connections Using Johnstone's Chemistry Triangle

[Type here]

	Exemplary (4)	Competent (3)	Emerging (2)	Novice (1)
<p><b><u>C. Molecular Connections</u></b></p> <p><i>Ability to correctly interpret and/or draw models as well as use intermolecular forces to holistically predict and explain the overall behavior of a particle.</i></p> <p><i>*Evidence of the <b>thinking</b> that leads to the symbolic or observable. Recognizing the appropriate <b>context</b>.</i></p>	<p>Consistently and correctly uses molecular characteristics like predominant IMFs, polarity, charge, and/or size to predict, explain, or draw conclusions.</p> <p>Incorporates an effective recognition of complexity and context into explanations, including identification of interactions between like and different particles. Effectively explains how individual intermolecular forces lead to overall behavior.</p> <p>Explanations make it clear that interactions are <i>between</i> particles and not a property of a single particle.</p> <p>Language use is effective: ex. IMFs are overcome vs 'broken'. Thermodynamic considerations are incorporated.</p>	<p>Consistently and correctly uses molecular characteristics like polarity, charge, and/or size to predict, explain, or draw conclusions.</p> <p>Struggles to deal with complexity and context in explanations and inconsistently incorporates predominant IMFs. Able to look at one structure and successfully determine which IMFs it could potentially engage in.</p> <p>No clear evidence the students are specifically thinking about how the molecules behave and how that leads to overall behavior.</p> <p>Explanations may not explicitly link molecular structure to the observable phenomena.</p>	<p>Inconsistently uses molecular characteristics like polarity, charge, and/or size to predict, explain, or draw correct conclusions regarding observable outcomes.</p> <p>May not incorporate predominant IMFs or does so inconsistently. Does not recognize complexity or context.</p> <p>Seems lost in the details of identifying structural features and IMFs. May try to reduce particle behavior to a single rule or mathematical ratio.</p> <p>No evidence the students are thinking about how the molecules must be behaving to bring about what is observed or predicted.</p> <p>Explanations do not explicitly link molecular structure to the observable phenomena.</p>	<p>Often fails to use molecular characteristics like polarity, charge, and/or size to predict, explain, or draw correct conclusions regarding observable outcomes.</p> <p>Does not incorporate predominant IMFs. Does not recognize complexity or context.</p> <p>Lacks a fundamental understanding of structure; often fails to provide correct identification of all structural features and IMFs present between molecules.</p> <p>No evidence the students are thinking about how the molecules must be behaving to bring about what is observed or predicted.</p> <p>Explanations do not explicitly link molecular structure to the observable phenomena.</p>