

Nonlinear Control

Stuttgart university, May 2018

Intro

Goals of Course

- overview over modern nonlinear analyses and control concepts
- modern methodologies to analyze nonlinear systems
- apply a wide range of nonlinear control methods learn the mathematic basis

Differential equations $\dot{x} = f(x)$

Nonlinear differential equation $\dot{x} = f(t, x)$

System with input $\dot{x} = f(x, u)$

System with input and output $\dot{x} = f(x, u), \quad y = g(x, u)$

Input-output methods

Scope

[1] Khalil Nonlinear System, Prentice Hall, 2002

[2] Sepulchre Constructive Nonlinear Control, Springer-Verlag 1997

1 Differential equations

Consider differential equality

$$\frac{d}{dt}x(t) = \dot{x}(t) = f(x(t)), \quad x(0) = x_0 \quad (1)$$

Where $f : D \rightarrow R^n$, $D \subset R^n$ is open, [here we should explain, what means open set].

Solution to 1 $x : I_{x_0} \rightarrow D$, $t \rightarrow x(t)$ is differentiable

Interval existence solution

Questions:

existence of solution

"how large" is I_{x_0}

uniqueness of solution

Usually we will add some restrictions on f functions, like continuous.

1.1 Existence of solutions

Definition. Function $f : D \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ is continuous at $x' \in D$ if for $\forall \epsilon > 0 \exists \delta > 0$ such that for $\forall x \in D$, $\|x - x'\| < \delta \Rightarrow \|f(x) - f(x')\| < \epsilon$

Function $f : D \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ is continuous on D if it's continuous at $\forall x' \in D$

Theorem 1.1 (Peano). If $f : D \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ continuous, then for each $x_0 \in D \exists x : (-\epsilon, \epsilon) \rightarrow D$, $\epsilon > 0$ satisfying (1).

Further, given a compact set $U \subset D$, then $\exists \alpha > 0$ s.t. $\forall x_0 \in U \exists x : (-\epsilon, \epsilon) \rightarrow D$ satisfying (1).

Example. Consider equation $\dot{x}(t) = x(t)^2$, $x(0) = x_0 = 0$. Solution $x(t) = -\frac{1}{t-c}$, $c = \frac{1}{x_0}$. In this example solution exist in interval $(-c, c)$.

But, what about the number of solutions? Which conditions we should add to guarantee uniqueness of solution?

1.2 Uniqueness of solutions

Definition. Function $f : D \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ is locally Lipschitz (continuous???) on D if $\forall x \in D$ there is a neighborhood $N(x) \subset D$ and $\exists L > 0$ s.t.

$$\|f(x_1) - f(x_2)\| \leq L\|x_1 - x_2\| \quad (2)$$

For all $x_1, x_2 \in N$.

- Lipschitz on $W \subset D$ if (2) holds $\forall x_1, x_2 \in W$ (with same L)
- globally Lipschitz if (2) holds $\forall x_1, x_2 \in \mathbb{R}^n$ (with same L)

We have

locally Lipschitz functions are continuous

differentiable functions are locally Lipschitz

locally Lipschitz functions are Lipschitz on each compact subset of D (Khalil Ex 3.19)

Lemma 1 (Cromwall). Suppose that $0 \leq \phi(t) \leq c + L \int_0^t \phi(\tau) d\tau$, $c, L > 0$, ϕ - continuous. Then $\phi(t) \leq ce^{Lt}$.

Proof. $c + L \int_0^t \phi(\tau) d\tau := \psi(t)$, $\dot{\psi}(t) = L\phi(t) \leq L\psi(t)$.

Consider $\frac{d}{dt} (\psi(t)e^{-Lt}) = e^{-Lt}\dot{\psi}(t) - L\psi(t) \leq 0$, thus $\psi(t)e^{-Lt}$ is decreased, and as a result we have $\phi(t)e^{-Lt} \leq \psi(t)e^{-Lt} \leq \psi(0) = c$

□

Theorem 1.2 (Picard Lindelof). If function $f : D \rightarrow R^n$ is locally Lipschitz then for $\forall x_0 \in D$ $\exists ! x : (-\epsilon, \epsilon) \rightarrow D$, $\epsilon > 0$ satisfying (1).

Proof. * existence from Piano theorem

Proof of uniqueness

Consider two solutions $x_1(\cdot)$ and $x_2(\cdot)$ to (1). $\dot{x}_1 - \dot{x}_2 = f(x_1) - f(x_2)$, $x_1(0) = x_2(0)$. Then we can integrate equality: $x_1(t) - x_2(t) = \int_0^t f(x_1(\tau)) - f(x_2(\tau)) d\tau$. $|x_1(t) - x_2(t)| \leq \int_0^t |f(x_1(\tau)) - f(x_2(\tau))| d\tau \leq L \int_0^t |x_1(\tau) - x_2(\tau)| d\tau$. Now we can apply Cromwall's lemma with $c = 0$ and $\phi(t) = |x_1(t) - x_2(t)|$, then $\phi(t) \leq 0$, then $x_1(t) = x_2(t)$, $\forall t \in (-\epsilon, \epsilon)$ □

Example.

$$\dot{x} = \begin{cases} \sqrt{x}, & \text{if } x \geq 0 \\ 0, & \text{else } x < 0 \end{cases}$$

$$\text{Solutions } x(t) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{4}(t - c)^2, & \text{if } t \geq c \geq 0 \\ 0, & \text{else} \end{cases}$$

Global existance & uniqueness

- sufficient condition: f globally Lipschitz
- another sufficient condition: solution entirely lies in a coplex set
- forward completeness has equivalent Lyapunov-like characterization: system is forward-complete iff \exists solution $V : R^n \rightarrow R \geq 0$ s.t. $\frac{\partial V}{\partial x} f(x) \leq -V(x)$, $\forall x \in R^n$

1.3 Lyapunov stability

If functions $\dot{V}(x) < 0$, $\forall x \in D \setminus \{0\}$, then x^* is asymptotically stable.

Definition. Equilibrium point $x = 0$ is stable if $\forall \epsilon > 0 \exists \delta > 0$ s.t. from $\|x_0\| < \delta$ follows $\|x(t)\| \leq \epsilon, \forall t \geq 0$.

Definition. Equilibrium point $x = 0$ is asymptotically stable if it is stable and exist $\delta > 0$ s.t. from $\|x_0\| < \delta$ follows $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} x(t) \rightarrow 0$.

Theorem 1.3 (Lyapunov's direct method). Let $x^* = 0 \in D$ be an equilibrium point of (1), i.e., $f(0) = 0$. Let $f : D \rightarrow R^n$ is continuous. If there exist a differentiable $V : D \rightarrow R$ s.t.

1. $V(x^*) = 0, V(x) > 0, \forall x \in D \setminus \{0\}$
2. $\dot{V}(x) = \frac{\partial V}{\partial x} f(x) \leq 0, \forall x \in D$

then $x^* = 0$ is stable.

Proof. Fix compact $U = \{x : V(x) \leq c\}$ s.t. $U \in D$. By Poincaré: exist $\alpha > 0$ s.t. any solution x with $x_0 \in U$ exists at least on the interval $[0, \alpha)$.

TODO proof is not full □

Lyapunov's direct method gives us:

- stability
- convergence (if $V < 0$)
- subset of the region of attraction (all compact $U = \{x : V(x) \leq c\} \in D$)
- existence of solution for all times

2 Nonlinear systems

In this section we consider function $f : R \times D \rightarrow R^n$, where $D \subseteq R^n$, and D is open.

$$\dot{x}(t) = f(t, x(t)), \quad t \geq t_0 \geq 0, \quad x(t_0) = x_0 \quad (3)$$

The origin $x^* \in D$ is an equilibrium point for (3), if $f(t, 0) = 0, \forall t \geq 0$.

Remark: EP (equilibrium point) $x^* = 0$ can be translation of a nonzero solution.

Suppose \bar{y} is a solution of $\dot{y} = g(t, y)$.

Change of coordinates: $x(t) = y(t) - \bar{y}(t)$, then $\dot{x}(t) = \dot{y}(t) - \dot{\bar{y}}(t) = g(t, x(t) + \bar{y}(t)) - \dot{\bar{y}}(t) := f(t, x(t))$. Since $\dot{\bar{y}}(t) = g(t, \bar{y}(t))$, then $f(t, 0) = 0, \forall t \geq 0$.

Existence and uniqueness of solution to (3):

- if f continuous, then exist local solution
- if f continuous and locally Lipschitz in x^* , then exist local unique solution

Now we need new stability definitions.

Definition. Point $x^* = 0$ is stable if $\forall \epsilon > 0$ and $\forall t_0 \geq 0$, $\exists \delta > 0$ s.t. from $\|x_0\| < \delta$ follows $\|x(t)\| < \epsilon$, $\forall t \geq t_0$.

Definition. Point $x^* = 0$ is uniformly stable if $\forall \epsilon > 0$ $\exists \delta > 0$, s.t. $\forall t_0 \geq 0$, from $\|x_0\| < \delta$ follows $\|x(t)\| < \epsilon$, $\forall t \geq t_0$.

Definition. Point $x^* = 0$ asymptotically stable if it is stable and $\forall t_0 \geq 0$ $\exists c > 0$, s.t. from $\|x_0\| < c$ follows $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \|x(t)\| \rightarrow 0$.

Definition. Point $x^* = 0$ uniformly asymptotically stable if it is uniformly stable and $\exists c > 0$, s.t. $\forall t_0 \geq 0$ from $\|x_0\| < c$ follows $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \|x(t)\| \rightarrow 0$.

Definition. Convergence: $\forall \eta > 0$ $\forall t_0 \geq 0$, $\exists T > 0$ such that $\forall t \geq t_0 + T$ follows $\|x(t)\| < \eta$.

Definition. Uniform convergence: $\forall \eta > 0$ $\exists T > 0$ such that $\forall t_0 \geq 0$ and $\forall t \geq t_0 + T$ follows $\|x(t)\| < \eta$.

Example. Consider next equation:

$$\dot{x}(t) = -\frac{x(t)}{1+t}, \quad t_0 \geq 0$$

Solution $x(t) = x(t_0) \frac{1+t_0}{1+t}$. It is uniformly stable, because we can choose $\delta = \epsilon$. But does $x(t)$ converge uniformly? Answer is no.

Definition. Point $x^* = 0$ is globally uniformly asymptotically stable if it is uniformly stable with $\delta \rightarrow \infty$ for $\epsilon \rightarrow \infty$ and $\forall c, \eta$ $\exists T > 0$ such that $\forall t_0 \geq 0$ from $\|x_0\| < c$ follows $\|x(t)\| < \eta$, $\forall t \geq t_0 + T$.

2.1 Lyapunov's direct method

Consider some function $V : [0, \infty) \times D \rightarrow R$, $(t, x) \rightarrow V(t, x)$ such that $\dot{V}(t, x) = \frac{\partial}{\partial t} V(t, x) + \frac{\partial}{\partial x} V(t, x) f(t, x)$.

Theorem 2.1 (Lyapunov's direct method). Let $f : [0, \infty) \times D \rightarrow R^n$ is continuous and let $x^* = 0$ be equilibrium point. If there is a differentiable function $V : [0, \infty) \times D \rightarrow R$ with:

- $W_1(x) \leq V(t, x) \leq W_2(x)$, $\forall t \geq 0, x \in D$
- $\dot{V}(t, x) \leq 0$, $\forall t \geq 0, x \in D$

where $W_1, W_2 : D \rightarrow R$ continuous and positive definite, then $x^* = 0$ is uniformly stable.

If further $\dot{V}(t, x) \leq -W_3(x)$, $\forall t \geq 0$, $x \in D$ with $W_3 : D \rightarrow R$ continuous and positive definite, the $x^* = 0$ is uniformly asymptotically stable.

If $D = R^n$ and W_1 is radially unbounded then $X^* = 0$ is globally uniformly asymptotically stable.

Example. Consider the equation $\dot{x}(t) = -(1+t)x(t)$.

Check function $V(t, x) = \frac{1}{2}x^2$ as candidat for Lyapunov's function. Then $W_1(x) = W_2(x) = \frac{1}{2}x^2$ and $\dot{V}(t, x) = -(1+t)x^2 \leq -x^2(t) =: W_3(x)$. Then from theorem we have, that $X^* = 0$ is globally uniformly asymptotically stable.

2.2 Exponential stability

Definition. Point $X^* = 0$ is an exponentially stable EP of (3) if $\exists \lambda, c, k > 0$ s.t. $t \geq t_0 \geq 0$ and all $\|x_0\| < c$ follows $\|x(t)\| \leq K\|x(t_0)\|e^{\lambda(t-t_0)}$.

Remark: from exponential stability follows uniformly asymptotical stability.

Lemma 2 (Auxilarity result). Let $\dot{x}(t) = f(t, x(t))$, f scalar and $\dot{\xi}(t) \leq f(t, \xi(t))$ with $\xi(t_0) \leq x(t_0)$. Then $\xi(t) \leq x(t) \quad \forall t \geq t_0$.

Theorem 2.2. Let $f : [0, \infty) \times D \rightarrow R^n$ be continuous and $x^* = 0 \in D$ be an EP.

If there is a differentiable function $V : [0, \infty) \times D \rightarrow R$ and constants $k_1, k_2, k_3, a > 0$ s.t.

1. $k_1\|x\|^a \leq V(t, x) \leq k_2\|x\|^a, \forall t \geq 0, x \in D$
2. $\dot{V}(t, x) \leq -k_3\|x\|^a$

then $x^* = 0$ is exponentially stable.

If $D = R^n$, then X^* is globally exponential stable.

Proof. For $c > 0$ small enough, trajectories initialized in $\{x : k_2\|x\|^a < c\}$ remain bounded and in D . From 1) and 2) we can conclude $\dot{V} \leq -\frac{k_3}{k_2}V$. Then from previous Lemma $V(t, x(t)) \leq$

$$V(t_0, x(t_0))e^{-\frac{k_3}{k_2}(t-t_0)}. \text{ Then } \|x(t)\| \leq [from 1)] \leq \left(\frac{V(t, x(t))}{k_1}\right)^{\frac{1}{a}} \leq \left(\frac{V(t_0, x(t_0))e^{-\frac{k_3}{k_2}(t-t_0)}}{k_1}\right)^{\frac{1}{a}} \leq \left(\frac{k_2\|x(t_0)\|^a e^{-\frac{k_3}{k_2}(t-t_0)}}{k_1}\right)^{\frac{1}{a}}$$

$$\left(\frac{k_2}{k_1}\right)^{\frac{1}{a}} \|x(t_0)\| e^{-\frac{k_3}{k_2 a}(t-t_0)} \quad \square$$

Example. Consider the equation $\dot{x}(t) = -(1+t)x(t)$.

Here $V(t, x) = \frac{1}{2}x^2$ then X^* is exponentially stable.

2.3 Comparsion function

Definition. A function $\alpha : [0, \delta) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ is (of) "class K " if it is continous, strictly increasing, and $\alpha(0) = 0$.

Definition. A function $\alpha : [0, \delta) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ is "class K_∞ if $\alpha \in K$ and $\lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} \alpha(r) = \infty$.

Example. Function $\alpha(r) = \tan^{-1}(r)$ – class K

Function $\alpha(r) = r^k$ – class K_∞

Definition. A function $\beta : [0, \delta) \times [0, \delta) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ is "class KL if it is continuous , $\beta(\cdot, s) \in K$ for all fixed s , and for each fixed r , $\beta(r, \cdot)$ is strictly decreasing: $\lim_{s \rightarrow \infty} \beta(r, s) = 0$

Example. Function $\beta(x, s) = \max(r, r^2)e^s$ belong class KL .

Properties of comparsion functions:

- If $\alpha \in K$ on $[0, \delta)$, then α^{-1} is defined on $[0, \alpha(\delta))$ and $\alpha^{-1} \in K$.
- If $\alpha \in K_\infty$, then $\alpha^{-1} \in K_\infty$
- If $\alpha_1, \alpha_2 \in K$, then $\alpha_1 \circ \alpha_2 \in K$ (same for K_∞
- If $\alpha_1, \alpha_2 \in K$, $\beta \in KL$ then $\alpha_1(\beta(\alpha_2(r), s)) \in KL$

Now we conseider comparsion functions and stability definitions.

Lemma 3. The equilibrium $x^* = 0$ of $\dot{x}(t) = f(t, x(t))$ is uniformly stable iff $\exists \alpha \in K$ and $c > 0$ s.t. $\forall t \geq t_0, \forall \|x(t_0)\| < c$ and $\|x(t)\| \leq \alpha(\|x(t_0)\|)$.

(only sufficiency). Given $\epsilon > 0$ choose $\delta < \min(c, \alpha^{-1}(\epsilon))$. Then from $\|x(t_0)\| < \delta$ follows $\|x(t)\| \leq \alpha(\|x(t_0)\|) < \alpha(\alpha^{-1}(\epsilon)) = \epsilon$. \square

Lemma 4. The equilibrium $x^* = 0$ of $\dot{x}(t) = f(t, x(t))$ is uniformly asymptotically stable iff $\exists \beta \in KL$ and $c > 0$ s.t. $\forall t \geq t_0, \forall \|x(t_0)\| < c$ and $\|x(t)\| \leq \beta(\|x(t_0)\|, t - t_0)$.

(only sufficiency). Let $\|x(t_0)\| < c$. Then $\|x(t)\| \leq \beta(\|x(t_0)\|, t - t_0) < \beta(c, t - t_0)$. This mean uniform convergence. $\|x(t)\| \leq \beta(\|x(t_0)\|, t - t_0) < \beta(\|x(t_0)\|, 0)$. This gives us uniform stability. \square

Lemma 5. The equilibrium $x^* = 0$ of $\dot{x}(t) = f(t, x(t))$ is uniformly asymptotically stable iff $\exists \beta \in KL$ and $c > 0$ s.t. $\forall t \geq t_0, \forall \|x(t_0)\| < c$ and $\|x(t)\| \leq \beta(\|x(t_0)\|, t - t_0)$.

(only sufficiency). Let $\|x(t_0)\| < c$. Then $\|x(t)\| \leq \beta(\|x(t_0)\|, t - t_0) < \beta(c, t - t_0)$. This mean uniform convergence. $\|x(t)\| \leq \beta(\|x(t_0)\|, t - t_0) < \beta(\|x(t_0)\|, 0)$. This gives us uniform stability. \square

Lemma 6. The equilibrium $x^* = 0$ of $\dot{x}(t) = f(t, x(t))$ is globally uniformly asymptotically stable iff previous lemma holds for all $x_0 \in R^n$.

Now consider comparison functions and Lyapunov functions

If $W : R^n \rightarrow R$ is continuous and positive definite, then $\forall r > 0 \quad \exists \alpha_1, \alpha_2 \in K$ s.t. $\alpha_1(\|x\|) \leq W(x) \leq \alpha_2(\|x\|)$ for all $x \in B_r(0) = \{x \mid \|x\| \leq r\}$.

If W is radially unbounded, then $\exists \alpha_1, \alpha_2 \in K_\infty$ s.t. $\alpha_1(\|x\|) \leq W(x) \leq \alpha_2(\|x\|)$ for all $x \in R^n$.

Lemma 7 (Auxility). Consider $\dot{y} = \alpha(y)$, $y(t_0) = y_0 > 0$, $\alpha \in K$. Then $\exists \beta \in KL$ s.t. $y(t) = \beta(y_0, t - t_0)$.

Sketch of proof of Lyapunov's direct method:

$$\begin{cases} W_1(x) \leq V(t, x) \leq W_2(x) \\ \dot{V} \leq -W_3(x) \end{cases}$$

Where W_1, W_2, W_3 – continuous and positive defined.

Then $\exists \alpha_1, \alpha_2, \alpha_3 \in K$ such that $\alpha_1(\|x\|) \leq V(t, x) \leq \alpha_2(\|x\|)$ and $\dot{V}(t, x) \leq -\alpha_3(\|x\|)$.

Proof uniform stability:

$$\|x(t)\| \leq \alpha_1^{-1}(V(t, x(t))) \leq [\alpha_1 \text{ in } K] \leq \alpha_1^{-1}(V(t_0, x(t_0))) \leq \alpha_1^{-1}(\alpha_2(\|x(t_0)\|)).$$

Proof uniform convergence

$\dot{V} \leq -\alpha_3(\|x\|) \leq -\alpha_3(\alpha_2^{-1}(V))$. We know, that $\alpha_3 \circ \alpha_2^{-1} \in K$. By comparison lemma, $V(t, x(t)) \leq W(t)$, where W solves $\dot{W} = -\alpha_3(\alpha_2^{-1}(W))$ with $W(t_0) = V(t_0, x(t_0))$. By auxility lemma $\exists \beta \in KL$ s.t. $V(t, x(t)) \leq \beta(V(t_0, x(t_0)), t - t_0)$, then $\|x(t)\| \leq \alpha_1^{-1}(V(t, x(t))) \leq \alpha_1^{-1}(\beta(V(t_0, x(t_0)), t - t_0)) \leq \alpha_1^{-1}(\beta(\alpha_2(\|x(t_0)\|), t - t_0)) =: \bar{\beta}(\|x(t_0)\|, t - t_0)$. From this follows uniform asymptotic stability since $\bar{\beta} \in KL$.

2.4 Converse theorems

Theorem 2.3. Let $X^* = 0$ be an EP of $\dot{x}(t) = f(t, x(t))$ with $f : [0, \infty) \times R^n \rightarrow R^n$ continously differentiable and $\frac{\partial f}{\partial x}$ bounded in R^n , uniformly in t ($\|\frac{\partial f}{\partial x}(t, x)\| \leq L$ for all $x \in R^n$, $t \geq 0$, $L > 0$).

If $x^* = 0$ is globally exponentially stale, then exists differentiable $V : [0, \infty) \times R^n \rightarrow R$ and $c_1, c_2, c_3, c_4 > 0$ s.t. $c_1\|x\|^2 \leq V(t, x) \leq c_2\|x\|^2$, $\dot{V}(t, x) \leq -c_3\|x\|^2$ and $\|\frac{\partial V}{\partial x}\| \leq c_4\|x\|$.

Proof. Let $\Phi(\tau; t, x)$ – solution to $\dot{x}(t) = f(t, x(t))$ which static at (t, x) .

$$V(t, x) = \int_t^{t+\delta} \Phi^T(\tau; t, x) \Phi(\tau; t, x) d\tau, \quad \delta > 0. \quad \text{Upper bound: } V(t, x) = \int_t^{t+\delta} \|\Phi(\tau; t, x)\|_2^2 d\tau \leq [\text{exponential stability}] \leq \int_t^{t+\delta} k^2 e^{-2\lambda(\tau-t)} d\tau \|x\|_2^2 = \frac{k^2}{2\lambda} (1 - e^{-2\lambda\delta}) \|x\|_2^2.$$

Lower bound: since $\|\frac{\partial V}{\partial x}\| \leq L$, then $\|f(t, x)\|_2 \leq L\|x\|_2$. Thus by comparison lemma $\|\Phi(\tau; t, x)\|_2^2 \geq \|x\|_2^2 e^{-2L(\tau-t)}$. Set it in $V(t, x) \geq \int_t^{t+\delta} e^{-2L(\tau-t)} d\tau \|x\|_2^2 = \frac{1}{2L} (1 - e^{-2L\delta}) \|x\|_2^2$.

Decrease conditions: $\dot{V}(t, x) = \dots \leq -(1 - k^2 e^{-2\lambda\delta}) \|x\|_2^2$. □

3 System with inputs

Consider equation:

$$\dot{x}(t) = f(x(t), u(t)), \quad x(0) = x_0 \quad (4)$$

where $f : R^n \rightarrow R^n$.

Assumption: f in localy Lipschitz.

Exogeneous signa $u : R \rightarrow R^n$.

Input can be "bad" (disturbance) or "good" (control).

3.1 Input-to-state stability

Motivation: LTI system $\dot{x} = Ax + Bu$, $x(0) = x_0$.

Solution: $x(t) = e^{At}x_0 + \int_0^t e^{A(t-\tau)}Bu(\tau)d\tau$. If A is Hurwitz, then $\|e^{At}\| \leq ce^{-\lambda t}$ for some $c, \lambda > 0$.

How large can x grow for some bounded u ? $\|x(t)\| \leq \|e^{At}\| \|x_0\| + \int_0^t \|e^{A(t-\tau)}\| \|B\| \|u(\tau)\| d\tau \leq e^{-\lambda t} c \|x_0\| + \int_0^t e^{-\lambda(t-\tau)} c \|B\| \|u(\tau)\| d\tau = ce^{-\lambda t} \|x_0\| + (1 - e^{-\lambda t}) \frac{c}{\lambda} \|B\| \sup_{\tau \in [0, t]} \|u(\tau)\|$.

- $ce^{-\lambda t} \|x_0\|$ class KL in $(\|x_0\|, t)$
- $(1 - e^{-\lambda t})$ less than 1
- $\frac{c}{\lambda} \|B\| \sup \|u(\tau)\|$ class K

If $\sup_{\tau \in [0, t]} \|u(\tau)\|$ is bounded than \dot{x} remains bounded. Even more: the smaller $\sup_{\tau \in [0, t]} \|u(\tau)\|$, the smaller $\|x(t)\|$.

Definition. System (5) is input-to-state stable (ISS) if $\exists \beta \in KL, \gamma \in K$ s.t. $\forall x_0 \in R^n, \forall t \geq 0$ follows $\|x(t)\| \leq \beta(\|x_0\|, t) + \gamma(\sup_{\tau \in [0, t]} \|u(\tau)\|)$.

Remarks:

- From ISS follows O-GAS (global asymptotical stability of $x = 0$ for $\dot{x} = f(x, 0)$)
- γ can be interpreted as "gain" w.r.t. u

- if $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} u(t) = 0$ then $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} x(t) = 0$

Example. Consider equation $\dot{x} = -x + xu$. System is O-GASS, not ISS (for example $u \equiv \alpha \Rightarrow \dot{x} = x(\alpha - 1)$ all solution diverge).

Example. Consider equation $\dot{x} = -3x + (1 + 2x^2)u$. System is O-GASS, not ISS (for example $u \equiv 1, x_0 = 2, x(t) = \frac{3-e^t}{3-2e^t}$ has a finite escape time).

Theorem 3.1. Suppose that there exists a continuously differentiable function $V : R^n \rightarrow R$ and $\alpha_1, \alpha_2 \in K_\infty$ and $\alpha_3, \rho \in K$ such that $\alpha_1(\|x\|) \leq V(x) \leq \alpha_2(\|x\|), \forall x \in R^n$ and $\frac{\partial V}{\partial x} f(x, u) \leq -\alpha_3(\|x\|), \forall x : \|x\| \geq \rho(\|u\|)$. Then (5) is ISS with $\gamma = \alpha_1^{-1} \circ \alpha_2 \circ \rho$

Proof. Idea: same as Lyapunov's direct method when x is "outside" of ball $\{x : \|x\| \leq \rho(\|u\|)\}$

TODO Picture □

Example. Consider equality $\dot{x} = -x^3 + u$. Let $V(x) = \frac{1}{2}x^2$, then $\dot{V} = -x^4 + xu = [0 < \Theta < 1] = -(1 - \Theta)x^4 - \Theta x^4 + xu \leq -(1 - \Theta)x^4$ for all $x : \|x\| \geq \left(\frac{\|u\|}{\Theta}\right)^{\frac{1}{3}}$. Thus, system is ISS with $\gamma(v) = \rho(v) = \left(\frac{v}{\Theta}\right)^{\frac{1}{3}}$.