Nonlinear Control

Stuttgart university, May 2018

Intro

Goals of Course

- overview over moder nonlinear analyses and control concepts
- modern methodologies to analyze nonlinear systems
- apply a wide range of nonlinear control method learn the mathmatic basis

Differential equations $\dot{x} = f(x)$

Nonlinear differential eqution $\dot{x} = f(t, x)$

System with input $\dot{x} = f(x, u)$

System with input and output $\dot{x} = f(x, u), y = g(x, u)$

Input-output methods

Scope

- [1] Khalil Nonlinear System, Prentice Hall, 2002
- [2] Sepulchre Constructive Nonlinear Control, Springer-Verlag 1997

1 Differential equations

Consider differential equality

$$\frac{d}{dt}x(t) = \dot{x}(t) = f(x(t)), \ x(0) = x_0 \tag{1}$$

Where $f: D \to \mathbb{R}^n$, $D \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ is open, [here we should explain, what means open set].

Solution to 1 $x: I_{x_0} \to D, t \to x(t)$ is differentiable

Interval existence solution

Questions:

existence of solution

"how large" is I_{x_0}

uniquence of solution

Usaly we will add some restrictions on f functions, like continuous.

1.1 Existence of solutions

Definition. Function $f: D \to R^n$ is continuous at $x' \in D$ if for $\forall \epsilon > 0 \ \exists \delta > 0$ such that for $\forall x \in D$, $\|x - x'\| < \delta => \|f(x) - f(x')\| < \epsilon$

Function $f: D \to \mathbb{R}^n$ is continuous on D if it's continuous at $\forall x' \in D$

Theorem 1.1 (Piano). If $f: D \to \mathbb{R}^n$ continuous, then for each $x_0 \in D \exists x: (-\epsilon, \epsilon) \to D, \ \epsilon > 0$ satisfying (1).

Further, given a compact sed $U \subset D$, then $\exists \alpha > 0$ s.t. $\forall x_0 \in U \ \exists x : (-\epsilon, \epsilon) \to D$ satisfying (1).

Example. Consider equation $\dot{x}(t) = x(t)^2$, $x(0) = x_0 = 0$. Solution $x(t) = -\frac{1}{t-c}$, $c = \frac{1}{x_0}$. In this example solution exist in interval (-c, c).

But, what about the number of solutions? Which conditions we should add to garanty uniquence of solution?

1.2 Uniquence of solutions

Definition. Function $f: D \to \mathbb{R}^n$ is locally Lipshitz (continuous???) on D if $\forall x \in D$ there is a neighborhood $N(x) \subset D$ and $\exists L > 0$ s.t.

$$||f(x_1) - f(x_2)|| \le L||x_1 - x_2|| \tag{2}$$

For all $x_1, x_2 \in N$.

- Lipschiz on $W \in D$ if (2) holds $\forall x_1, x_2 \in W$ (with same L)
- globally Lipschitz if (2) holds $\forall x_1, x_2 \in \mathbb{R}^n$ (with same L)

We have

localy Lipschitz functions are continuous

differenciable functions are locally Lipschitz

locally Lipschitz functions are Lipschitz on each compact subset of D (Khalil Ex 3.19)

Lemma 1 (Cromwall). Suppose that $0 \le \phi(t) \le c + L \int_0^t \phi(\tau) d\tau$, c, L > 0, ϕ – continuous. Then $\phi(t) \le ce^{Lt}$.

Proof. $c + L \int_0^t \phi(\tau) d\tau := \psi(t), \ \dot{\psi}(t) = L\phi(t) \le L\psi(t).$

Consider $\frac{d}{dt} \left(\psi(t) e^{-LT} \right) = e^{-Lt} \dot{\psi}(t) - L\psi(t) \left(\right) \leq 0$, thus $\psi(t) e^{-LT}$ is decreased, and as a result we have $\phi(t) e^{-Lt} \leq \psi(t) e^{-Lt} \leq \psi(0) = c$

Theorem 1.2 (Picard Lindelof). If function $f: D \to \mathbb{R}^n$ is locally Lipschitz then for $\forall x_0 \in D \exists ! x : (-\epsilon, \epsilon) \to D, \ \epsilon > 0$ satisfying (1).

Proof. * existence from Piano theorem

Proof of uniqueness

Consider two solutions $x_1(.)$ and $x_2(.)$ to (1). $\dot{x}_1 - \dot{x}_2 = f(x_1) - f(x_2)$, $x_1(0) = x_2(0)$. Then we can integrate equality: $x_1(t) - x_2(t) = \int_0^t f(x_1(\tau)) - f(x_2(\tau)) d\tau$. $|x_1(t) - x_2(t)| \le \int_0^t |f(x_1(\tau)) - f(x_2(\tau))| d\tau$. Now we can apply Cromwall's lemma with c = 0 and $\phi(t) = |x_1(t) - x_2(t)|$, then $\phi(t) \le 0$, then $x_1(t) = x_2(t)$, $\forall t \in (-\epsilon, \epsilon)$

Example.

$$\dot{x} = \begin{cases} \sqrt{x}, & \text{if } x \ge 0\\ 0, & \text{else } x < 0 \end{cases}$$

Solutions
$$x(t) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{4}(t-c)^2, & \text{if } t \ge c \ge 0\\ 0, & \text{else} \end{cases}$$

Global existance & uniqueness

- sufficient condition: f globally Lipschitz
- another sufficient condition: solution entirely lies in a coplex set
- forward completeness has equivalent Lyapunov-like characterization: system is forward-complete iff \exists solution $V: \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R} \geq 0$ s.t. $\frac{\partial V}{\partial x} f(x) \leq V(x), \forall x \in \mathbb{R}^n$

1.3 Lyapunov stability

If functions $\dot{V}(x) < 0$, $\forall x \in D$ $\{0\}$, then x^* is asymptotically stable.

Definition. Equilibrium point x=0 is stable if $\forall \epsilon>0 \ \exists \delta>0$ s.t. from $||x_0||<\delta$ follows $||x(t)|| \le \epsilon, \ \forall t \ge 0.$

Definition. Equilibrium point x = 0 is asymptotically stable if it stable and exist $\delta > 0$ s.t. from $||x_0|| < \delta$ follows $\lim_{t\to\infty} x(t) \to 0$.

Theorem 1.3 (Lyapunov's direct method). Let $x^* = 0 \in D$ be an equilibrium point of (1), i.e., f(0) = 0. Let $f: D \to R^n$ is continious. If there exist a differentiable $V: D \to R$ s.t.

1.
$$V(x^*) = 0, V(x) > 0, \forall x \in D$$

{0}

2.
$$\dot{V}(x) = \frac{\partial V}{\partial x} f(x) \le 0, \forall x \in D$$

then $x^* = 0$ is stable.

Proof. Fix compact $U = \{x : V(x) \le c\}$ s.t. $U \in D$. By Piano: exist $\alpha > 0$ s.t. any solution x with $x_0 \in U$ exists at least on the interval $[0, \alpha)$.

Lyapunovs direct method gives us:

- stability
- convergence (if V < 0)
- subset of the region of attraction (all compact $U = \{x : V(x) \le c\} \in D$)
- existance of solution for all times

2 Nonlinear systems

In this section we consider function $f: R \times D \to R^n$, where $D \subseteq R^n$, and D is open.

$$\dot{x}(t) = f(t, x(t)), \quad t > t_0 > 0, \quad x(t_0) = x_0$$
 (3)

The origin $x^* \in D$ is an equilinrium point for (3), if f(t,0) = 0, $\forall t \ge 0$.

Remark: EP (equilibrium point) $x^* = 0$ can be translation of a nonzero solution.

Suppose \overline{y} is a solution of $\dot{y} = g(t, y)$.

Change of coordinates: $x(t) = y(t) - \overline{y}(t)$, then $\dot{x}(t) = \dot{y}(t) - \dot{\overline{y}}(t) = g(t, x(t) + \overline{y}(t)) - \dot{\overline{y}}(t) := f(t, x(t))$. Since $\dot{\overline{y}}(t) = g * t, \overline{y}(t)$, then $f(t, 0) = 0, \ \forall t \geq 0$. Existance and uniquence of solution to (3):

- if f continuous, then exist local colution
- if f continuous and locally Lipschitz in x^* , then exist local uniq solution

Now we need new stability definitions.

Definition. Point $x^* = 0$ is stable if $\forall \epsilon > 0$ and $\forall t_0 \geq 0$, $\exists \delta > 0$ s.t. from $||x_0|| < \delta$ follows $||x(t)|| < \epsilon$, $\forall t \geq t_0$.

Definition. Point $x^* = 0$ is uniformly stable if $\forall \epsilon > 0 \ \exists \delta > 0$, s.t $\forall t_0 \geq 0$, from $||x_0|| < \delta$ follows $||x(t)|| < \epsilon, \forall t \geq t_0$.

Definition. Point $x^* = 0$ asymptotically stable if it is stable and $\forall t_0 \ge 0 \ \exists c > 0$, s.t from $||x_0|| < c$ follows $\lim_{t\to\infty} ||x(t)|| \to 0$.

Definition. Point $x^* = 0$ uniformly asymptotically stable if it is uniformly stable and $\exists c > 0$, s.t $\forall t_0 \geq 0$ from $||x_0|| < c$ follows $\lim_{t \to \infty} ||x(t)|| \to 0$.

Definition. Convergence: $\forall \eta > 0 \ \forall t_0 \geq 0, \exists T > 0 \text{ such that } \forall t \geq t_0 + T \text{ follows } ||x(t)|| < \eta.$

Definition. Uniform convergence: $\forall \eta > 0 \ \exists T > 0$ such that $\forall t_0 \geq 0$ and $\forall t \geq t_0 + T$ follows $||x(t)|| < \eta$.

Example. Consider next equation:

$$\dot{x}(t) = -\frac{x(t)}{1+t}, \quad t_0 \ge 0$$

Solution $x(t) = x(t_0) \frac{1+t_0}{1+t}$. It is uniformly stable, because we can choose $\delta = \epsilon$. But does x(t) convergence uniformly? Answer is no.

Definition. Point $x^* = 0$ is globaly uniformly asymptotically stable if it is uniformly stable with $\delta \to \infty$ for $\epsilon \to \infty$ and $\forall c, \eta \quad \exists T > 0$ such that $\forall t_0 \geq 0$ from $||x_0|| < c$ follows $||x(t)|| < \eta$, $\forall t \geq t_0 + T$.

2.1 Lyapunov's direct method

Consider some function $V:[0,\infty)\times D\to R,\ (t,x)\to V(t,x)$ such that $\dot{V}(t,x)=\frac{\partial}{\partial t}V(t,x)+\frac{\partial}{\partial x}V(t,x)f(t,x).$

Theorem 2.1 (Lyapunov's direct method). Let $f:[0,\infty)\times D\to R^n$ is continuous and let $x^*=0$ be equilibrium point. If there is a differentiable function $V:[0,\infty)\times D\to R$ with:

- $W_1(x) \leq V(t,x) \leq W_2(x), \forall t \geq 0, x \in D$
- $\dot{V}(t,x) < 0, \forall t > 0, x \in D$

where $W_1, W_2: D \to R$ continuous and positive definite, then $x^* = 0$ is uniformly stable.

If further $\dot{V}(t,x) \leq -W_3(x)$, $\forall t \geq 0$, $x \in D$ with $W_3: D \to R$ continuous and positive definite, the $x^* = 0$ is uniformly asymptotically stable.

If $D = \mathbb{R}^n$ and W_1 is radialy unbounded then $X^* = 0$ is globally uniformly asymptotically stable.

Example. Consider the equation $\dot{x}(t) = -(1+t)x(t)$.

Check function $V(t,x) = \frac{1}{2}x^2$ as candidat for Lyapunov's function. Then $W_1(x) = W_2(x) = \frac{1}{2}x^2$ and $\dot{V}(t,x) = -(1+t)x^2 \le -x^2(t) =: W_3(x)$. Then from theorem we have, that $X^* = 0$ is globally uniformly asymptotically stable.

2.2 Exponential stability

Definition. Point $X^* = 0$ is an exponentially stable EP of (3) if $\exists \lambda, c, k > 0$ s.t. $t \ge t_0 \ge 0$ and all $||x_0|| < c$ follows $||x(t)|| \le K||x(t_0)||e^{\lambda(t-t_0)}$.

Remark: from exponential stability follows uniformly asymptotically stability.

Lemma 2 (Auxilarity result). Let $\dot{x}(t) = f(t, x(t))$, f scalar and $\dot{\xi}(t) \leq f(t, \xi(t))$ with $\xi(t_0) \leq x(t_0)$. Then $\xi(t) \leq x(t) \ \forall t \geq t_0$.

Theorem 2.2. Let $f:[0,\infty)\times D\to R^n$ be continuous and $x^*=0\in D$ be an EP.

If there is a differentiable function $V:[0,\infty)\times D\to R$ and constants $k_1,k_2,k_3,a>0$ s.t.

- 1. $k_1||x||^a \le V(t,x) \le k_2||x||^a, \forall t \ge 0, x \in D$
- 2. $\dot{V}(t,x) \leq -k_3 ||x||^a$

then $x^* = 0$ is exponentially stable.

If $D = \mathbb{R}^n$, then X^* is globally exponential stable.

Proof. For c > 0 small enough, trajectories initialized in $\{x : k_2 ||x||^a < c\}$ remain bounded and in D. From 1) and 2) we can conclude $\dot{V} \leq -\frac{k_3}{k_2}V$. Then from previous Lemma $V(t, x(t)) \leq$

$$V(t_0, x(t_0))e^{-\frac{k_3}{k_2}(t-t_0)}. \text{ Then } ||x(t)|| \leq [from 1)] \leq \left(\frac{V(t, x(t))}{k_1}\right)^{\frac{1}{a}} \leq \left(\frac{V(t_0, x(t_0))e^{-\frac{k_3}{k_2}(t-t_0)}}{k_1}\right)^{\frac{1}{a}} \leq \left(\frac{k_2||x(t_0)||^a e^{-\frac{k_3}{k_2}(t-t_0)}}{k_1}\right)^{\frac{1}{a}} \leq \left(\frac{k_2||x(t_0)||^a e^{-\frac{k_3}{k_2}(t-t_0)}}{k_1}\right)^{\frac{1}{a}}$$

Example. Consider the equation $\dot{x}(t) = -(1+t)x(t)$.

Here $V(t,x) = \frac{1}{2}x^2$ then X^* is exponentially stable.

2.3 Comparsion function

Definition. A function $\alpha:[0,\delta)\to[0,\infty)$ is (of) "klass K" if it is continuous, strictly increasing, and $\alpha(0)=0$.

Definition. A function $\alpha:[0,\delta)\to[0,\infty)$ is "class K_∞ if αinK and $\lim_{r\to\infty}\to\infty$.

Example. Function $\alpha(r) = \tan^{-1}(r) - \text{class } K$

Function $\alpha(r) = r^k - \text{class } K_{\infty}$

Definition. A function $\beta: [0, \delta) \times [0, \delta) \to [0, \infty)$ is "class KL if it is continuous, $\beta(\cdot, s) \in K$ for all fixed s, and for each fixed r, $\beta(r, \cdot)$ is strictly decreasing: $\lim_{s\to\infty} \beta(r, s) = 0$

Example. Function $\beta(x,s) = max(r,r^2)e^s$ belong class KL.

Properties of compasion functions:

- If $\alpha \in K$ on $[0, \delta)$, then α^{-1} is defined on $[0, \alpha(\delta))$ and $\alpha^{-1} \in K$.
- If $\alpha \in K_{\infty}$, then $\alpha^{-1} \in K_{\infty}$
- If $\alpha_1, \alpha_2 \in K$, then $\alpha_1 \circ \alpha_2 \in K$ (same for K_{∞}
- If $\alpha_1, \alpha_2 \in K$, $\beta \in KL$ then $\alpha_1(\beta(\alpha_2(r), s)) \in KL$

Now we conseider comparsion functions and stability definitions.

Lemma 3. The equilibrium $x^* = 0$ of $\dot{x}(t) = f(t, x(t))$ is uniformly stable iff $\exists \alpha \in K$ and c > 0 s.t. $\forall t \geq t_0, \forall ||x(t_0)|| < c$ and $||x(t)|| \leq \alpha(||x(t_0)||)$.

(only sufficiency). Given $\epsilon > 0$ choose $\delta < \min(c, \alpha^{-1}(\epsilon))$. Then from $||x(t_0)|| < \delta$ follows $||x(t)|| \le \alpha(||x(t_0)||) < \alpha(\alpha^{-1}(\epsilon)) = \epsilon$.

Lemma 4. The equilibrium $x^* = 0$ of $\dot{x}(t) = f(t, x(t))$ is uniformly asymptotically stable iff $\exists \beta \in KL \text{ and } c > 0 \text{ s.t. } \forall t \geq t_0, \forall ||x(t_0)|| < c \text{ and } ||x(t)|| \leq \beta(||x(t_0)||, t - t_0).$

(only sufficiency). Let $||x(t_0)|| < c$. Then $||x(t)|| \le \beta(||x(t_0)||, t - t_0) < \beta(c, t - t_0)$. This mean uniform convergence. $||x(t)|| \le \beta(||x(t_0)||, t - t_0) < \beta(||x_{t_0}||, 0)$. This gives us uniform stability. \square

Lemma 5. The equilibrium $x^* = 0$ of $\dot{x}(t) = f(t, x(t))$ is uniformly asymptotically stable iff $\exists \beta \in KL \text{ and } c > 0 \text{ s.t. } \forall t \geq t_0, \forall ||x(t_0)|| < c \text{ and } ||x(t)|| \leq \beta(||x(t_0)||, t - t_0).$

(only sufficiency). Let $||x(t_0)|| < c$. Then $||x(t)|| \le \beta(||x(t_0)||, t - t_0) < \beta(c, t - t_0)$. This mean uniform convergence. $||x(t)|| \le \beta(||x(t_0)||, t - t_0) < \beta(||x_{t_0}||, 0)$. This gives us uniform stability. \square

Lemma 6. The equilibrium $x^* = 0$ of $\dot{x}(t) = f(t, x(t))$ is globally uniformly asymptotically stable iff previous lemma holds for all $x_0 \in \mathbb{R}^n$.

Now consider comparsion functions and Lyapunov functions

If $W: R^n \to R$ is continuous and positive definite, then $\forall r > 0 \ \exists \alpha_1, \alpha_2 \in K$ s.t. $\alpha_1(||x||) \le W(x) \le \alpha_2(|x||)$ for all $x \in B_r(0) = \{x|||x|| \le r\}$.

If W is radially unbounded, then $\exists \alpha_1, \alpha_2 \in K_{\infty}$ s.t. $\alpha_1(||x||) \leq W(x) \leq \alpha_2(|x||)$ for all $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$.

Lemma 7 (Auxility). Consider $\dot{y} = \alpha(y)$, $y(t_0) = y_0 > 0$, $\alpha \in K$. Then $\exists \beta \in KL$ s.t. $y(t) = \beta(y_0, t - t_0)$.

Sketch of proof of Lyapunov's direct method:

$$\begin{cases} W_1(x) \le V(t, x) \le W_2(x) \\ \dot{V} \le -W_3(x) \end{cases}$$

Where W_1, W_2, W_3 – continuous and positive defined.

Then $\exists \alpha_1, \alpha_2, \alpha_3 \in K$ such that $\alpha_1(||x||) \leq V(t,x) \leq \alpha_2(||x||)$ and $\dot{V}(t,x) \leq -\alpha_3(||x||)$.

Proof uniform stability:

$$||x(t)|| \le \alpha_1^{-1}(V(t, x(t))) \le [\alpha_1 i n K] \le \alpha_1^{-1}(V(t_0, x(t_0))) \le \alpha_1^{-1}(\alpha_2(||x(t_0)||)).$$

Proof uniform convergence

$$\begin{split} \dot{V} &\leq -\alpha_3(||x||) \leq -\alpha_3(\alpha_2^{-1}(V)). \text{ We know, that } \alpha_3 \circ \alpha_2^{-1} \in K. \text{ By comparsion lemma, } V(t,x(t)) \leq W(t), \text{ where } W \text{ solves } \dot{W} &= -\alpha_3(\alpha_2^{-1}(W)) \text{ with } W(t_0) = V(t_0,x(t_0)). \text{ By auxility lemma } \exists \beta \in KL \text{ s.t. } V(t,x(t)) \leq \beta(V(t_0,x(t_0)),t-t_0), \text{ then } ||x(t)|| \leq \alpha_1^{-1}(V(t,x(t))) \leq \alpha_1^{-1}(\beta(V(t_0,x(t_0)),t-t_0)) \leq \alpha_1^{-1}(\beta(\alpha_2(||x(t_0)||),t-t_0)) =: \bar{\beta}(||x(t_0)||,t-t_0). \text{ From this follows uniform asymptotic stability since } \bar{\beta} \in KL. \end{split}$$

2.4 Converse theorems

Theorem 2.3. Let $X^* = 0$ be an EP of $\dot{x}(t) = f(t, x(t))$ with $f: [0, \infty) \times \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}^n$ continuously differentiable and $\frac{\partial f}{\partial x}$ bounded in \mathbb{R}^n , uniformly in \mathbf{t} ($||\frac{\partial f}{\partial x}(t, x)|| \leq L$ for all $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$, $t \geq 0$, L > 0.

If $x^*=0$ is globally exponentially stale, then exists differentiable $V:[0,\infty)\times R^n\to R$ and $c_1,c_2,c_3,c_4>0$ s.t. $c_1||x||^2\leq V(t,x)\leq c_2||x||^2,\ \dot{V}(t,x)\leq -c_3||x||^2$ and $\left\|\frac{\partial V}{\partial x}\right\|\leq c_4||x||$.

Proof. Let $\Phi(\tau;t,x)$ – solution to $\dot{x}(t)=f(t,x(t))$ which static at (t,x).

 $\begin{array}{ll} V(t,x) \,=\, \int_t^{t+\delta} \Phi^T(\tau;t,x) \Phi(\tau;t,x) d\tau, & \delta > 0. \quad \text{Upper bound:} \quad V(t,x) \,=\, \int_t^{t+\delta} ||\Phi(\tau;t,x)||_2^2 d\tau \,\leq \\ [exponential \ stability] \leq \int_t^{t+\delta} k^2 e^{-2\lambda(\tau-t)} d\tau ||x||_2^2 = \frac{k^2}{2\lambda} (1-e^{-2\lambda\delta}) ||x||_2^2. \end{array}$

Lower bound: since $\left\| \frac{\partial V}{\partial x} \right\| \leq L$, then $||f(t,x)||_2 \leq L||x||_2$. Thus by comparation lemma $||\Phi(\tau;t,x)||_2^2 \geq ||x||_2^2 e^{-2L(\tau-t)}$. Set it in $V(t,x) \geq \int_t^{t+\delta} e^{-2L(\tau-t)} d\tau ||x||_2^2 = \frac{1}{2L} (1-e^{-2L\delta}) ||x||_2^2$.

Decrease conditions: $\dot{V}(t,x) = \cdots \leq -(1 - k^2 e^{-2\lambda \delta})||x||_2^2$.

3 System with inputs

Consider equation:

$$\dot{x}(t) = f(x(t), u(t)), \quad x(0) = x_0$$
(4)

where $f: \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}^n$.

Assumption: f in locally Lipschitz.

Exageneous signa $u: R \to R^n$.

Input can be "bad" (disturbance) or "good" (control).

3.1 Input-to-state stability

Motivation: LTI system $\dot{x} = Ax + Bu$, $x(0) = x_0$.

Solution: $x(t) = e^{At}x_0 + \int_0^t e^{A(t-\tau)}Bu(\tau)d\tau$. If A is Hurwitz, then $||e^{At}|| \le ce^{-\lambda t}$ for some $c, \lambda > 0$.

How large can x grow for some bounded u? $||x(t)|| \leq ||e^{At}|| ||x_0|| + \int_0^t ||e^{A(t-\tau)}|| ||B|| ||u(\tau)|| d\tau \leq e^{-\lambda t} c||x_0|| + \int_0^t e^{-\lambda (t-\tau)} c||B|| ||u(\tau)|| d\tau = ce^{-\lambda t} ||x_0|| + (1-e^{-\lambda t}) \frac{c}{\lambda} ||B|| \sup_{\tau \in [0,t]} ||u(\tau)||.$

- $ce^{-\lambda t}||x_0||$ class KL in $(||x_0||,t)$
- $(1 e^{-\lambda t})$ less than 1
- $\frac{c}{\lambda}||B||\sup||u(\tau)||$ class K

If $\sup_{\tau \in [0,t]} ||u(\tau)||$ is bounded than \dot{x} remains bounded. Even more: the smaller $\sup_{\tau \in [0,t]} ||u(\tau)||$, the smaller ||x(t)||.

Definition. System (4) is input-to-state stable (ISS) if $\exists \beta \in KL, \ \gamma \in K \text{ s.t. } \forall x_0 \in R^n, \ \forall t \geq 0$ follows $||x(t)|| \leq \beta(||x_0||, t) + \gamma(\sup_{\tau \in [0, t]} ||u(\tau)||)$.

Remarks:

- From ISS follows O-GAS (global assymptotical stability of x = 0 for $\dot{x} = f(x, 0)$
- \bullet γ can be interpreted as "gain" w.r.t. u

• if $\lim_{t\to\infty} u(t) = 0$ then $\lim_{t\to\infty} x(t) = 0$

Example. Consider equation $\dot{x} = -x + xu$. System is O-GASS, not ISS (for example $u \equiv \alpha \Rightarrow \dot{x} = x(\alpha - 1)$ all solution diverge).

Example. Consider equation $\dot{x} = -3x + (1 + 2x^2)u$. System is O-GASS, not ISS (for example $u \equiv 1$, $x_0 = 2$, $x(t) = \frac{3-e^t}{3-2e^t}$ has a finite escape time.

Theorem 3.1. Suppose that there exists a continuously differentiable function $V: \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}$ and $\alpha_1, \alpha_2 \in K_{\infty}$ and $\alpha_3, \rho \in K$ such that $\alpha_1(||x||) \leq V(x) \leq \alpha_2(||x||)$, $\forall x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ and $\frac{\partial V}{\partial x} f(x, u) \leq -\alpha_3(||x||)$, $\forall x: ||x|| \geq \rho(||u||)$. Then (4) is ISS with $\gamma = \alpha_1^{-1} \circ \alpha_2 \circ \rho$

Proof. Idea: same as Lyapunovs direct method when x is "outside" of ball $\{x|||x|| \le \rho(||u||)\}$

Example. Consider equality $\dot{x} = -x^3 + u$. Let $V(x) = \frac{1}{2}x^2$, then $\dot{V} = -x^4 + xu = [0 < \Theta < 1] = -(1 - \Theta)x^4 - \Theta x^4 + xu \le -(1 - \Theta)x^4$ for all $x : ||x|| \ge \left(\frac{||u||}{\Theta}\right)^{\frac{1}{3}}$. Thus, system is ISS with $\gamma(v) = \rho(v) = \left(\frac{v}{\Theta}\right)^{\frac{1}{3}}$.

Remarks:

- Existence of V is both neccessary and sufficient for ISS;
- (??) is equivalent to $\frac{\partial V}{\partial x}f(x,u) \leq -\alpha_4(||x||) + \alpha_5(||u||), \forall x, u \text{ for some } \alpha_4, \alpha_5 \in K;$
- If $x_1 = 0$ is a globally asymptotically stable EP of Σ_1 and Σ_2 is ISS w.r.t. "input" x_1 , then $(x_1, x_2) = (0, 0)$ is a globally asymptotically stable EP for the cascaded system.

Theorem 3.2. Assume that:

- f is globally Lipschitz;
- x=0 is a globally exponentially stable EP for $\dot{x}=f(x,0)$

Then the system (4) is ISS.

Proof. Sketch: \exists continuous differentiable V:

$$c_1||x||^2 \le V(x) \le c_2||x||^2$$
$$\frac{\partial V}{\partial x}f(x,0) \le -c_3||x||^2$$
$$||\frac{\partial V}{\partial x}|| \le c_4||x||$$

Then:

Then:
$$\frac{\partial V}{\partial x} f(x, u) = \frac{\partial V}{\partial x} f(x, 0) + \frac{\partial V}{\partial x} (f(x, u) - f(x, 0) \le -c_3 ||x||^2 + c_4 ||x|| |L||u|| = -c_3 (1 - \theta) ||x||^2 + c_4 L||x|||u|| \le -c_3 (1 - \theta) ||x||^2$$
if $||x|| \ge \frac{c_4 L}{\theta c_3} ||u||$.

3.2 Control Lyapunov functions

Motivation: Lyapunov theory for control systems.

(input affine systems)

 $\dot{x} = f(x) + \sum_{i=1}^{m} g_i(x)u_i = f(x) + G(x)u,$ $f: R^n \to R^n, g: R^n \to R^n, G: R^n \to R^{n \times m}$

 $u: t \to u(t), R \to R^m$ is a control signal (decision variable).

Definition. A function $V: \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}$ is a control Lyapunov function (CLF) if it's differentiable positive definite, radially unbounded and

$$\forall x \neq 0 \quad \inf_{u} (\nabla V(x) \cdot (f(x) + G(x)u)) < 0 \tag{5}$$

Remark:

Concept can be generalized to systems $\dot{x} = f(x, u)$. Then 5 becomes

$$\forall x \neq 0 \quad \inf_{u} (\nabla V(x) \cdot f(x, u)) < 0$$

Theorem 3.3 (Artstein). There exists $k: \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}^m$ (state feedback) which is continuous on $\mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\}$ s.t. $x^* = 0$ is globally asymptotically stable EP for $\dot{x} = f(x) + G(x)k(x)$ iff there exists a CLF.

How to find CLFs?

Proposition:

Condition (5) is equivalent to

$$\forall x \neq 0, \quad \frac{\partial V}{\partial x}G(x) = 0 \implies L_f V(x) < 0$$
 (6)

Remark:

$$\frac{\partial V}{\partial x}G(x) = (\nabla V(x)g_1(x), \dots \nabla V(x)g_m(x)) =: L_G V(x)$$
(6) $\iff \forall x \neq 0, \ L_f V(x) \geq 0 \implies L_G V(x) \neq 0$

 $Proof. \iff$:

Assume (6) holds. Then:

$$\inf_{u} (\nabla V(x) \cdot (f(x) + G(x)u)) = \inf_{u} L_f V(x) + L_G V(x)u < 0$$

Why?

- If $L_G V(x) = 0$, then by (6) $L_f V(x) < 0$;
- If $L_GV(x) \neq 0$, then (at least) for one i we have $\nabla V(x) \cdot g_i(x) \neq 0 \implies \text{set } u_i = -c\nabla V(x) \cdot g_i(x)$.

 \Longrightarrow :

If (5) holds for some x with $L_GV(x) = 0$, then we must have $L_fV(x) < 0$.

Example (discontinuous control):

$$\dot{x} = \begin{cases} 1 - u, & u \ge 1 \\ -1 - u, & u \le -1 \\ 0, & else \end{cases}$$

If you want to move the system you need to apply control $|u| \ge 1$. Using

$$V(x) = \begin{cases} x+1, & x > 0 \\ x-1, & x \le 0 \end{cases}$$

results in closed loop $\dot{x} = -x$ - asymptotically stable. $V(x) = x^2$ is a CLF.

Theorem 3.4. There exists a continuous $k: \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}^m$, smooth on $\mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\}$ s.t. $x^* = 0$ is globally asymptotically stable EP for $\dot{x} = f(x) + G(x)k(x)$ iff:

- there exists a (smooth)CLF V;
- $\begin{array}{l} \bullet \ \, \forall \varepsilon > 0 \ \, \exists \delta > 0 : \ \, \forall x : 0 < ||x|| < \delta \\ \exists u \in R^m : ||u|| < \varepsilon \ \, \text{s.t.} \, \, L_f V(x) + L_G V(x) u < 0 \end{array}$

How to construct a globally stabilizing state feedback k from knowledge of a CLF?

"Sontag's formula"

Fix
$$c \ge 0, a(x) := L_f V(x), b(x) := (L_G V(x))^T$$

$$k(x) = \begin{cases} -cb(x) - \frac{a(x) + \sqrt{a(x)^2 + (b(x)^T b(x))^2}}{b(x)^T b(x)}, & b(x) \neq 0\\ 0, & b(x) = 0 \end{cases}$$

Proposition: Let $V: \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}$ be a CLF and k as above. Then $x^* = 0$ is globally asymptotically stable EP for $\dot{x} = f(x) + G(x)k(x)$

Proof.
$$\dot{V} = L_f V(x) + L_G V(x) k(x) = a(x) - cb(x)^T b(x) - \frac{a(x) + \sqrt{a(x)^2 + (b(x)^T b(x))^2}}{b(x)^T b(x)} b(x)^T b(x) = -cb(x)^T b(x) - \sqrt{a(x)^2 + (b(x)^T b(x))^2} < 0 \quad \forall x \neq 0 \text{ s.t. } L_G V(x) \neq 0$$

$$\dot{V} = L_f V(x) + L_G V(x) \cdot 0 < 0 \ \forall x \neq 0 \text{ s.t. } L_G V(x) = 0 \text{ (since } V \text{ is CLF)}$$

$$\implies V$$
 - Lyapunov function $\implies \dots$

Remarks:

- Sontag's formula is smooth on $\mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\}$;
- Sontag's formula is continuous at x = 0 iff small control property holds.

$$\forall x \neq 0 : \inf_{u} \frac{\partial V}{\partial x} f(x, u) < 0 \ \dot{x} = f(x) + G(x)u$$

So this leads to

$$\forall x \neq 0 L_G V(x) = 0 \Rightarrow L_f V(x) \neq 0$$

Remark: The last formula is "optimal" if minimize:

$$\int_0^\infty \frac{1}{2} p(x) b(x)^T b(x) + \frac{1}{2p(x)} u^T u dt$$

$$b(x) := (L_G V(x))^T$$

where c > 0

$$p(x) = \begin{cases} c + \frac{a(x) + \sqrt{a(x)^2 + (b(x)^T b(x))^2}}{b(x)^T b(x)} & b(x) \neq 0\\ c & b(x) = 0 \end{cases}$$

It still works if $u = \lambda h(x)$ with $\lambda \in [\frac{1}{2}; \infty)$ is applied (large "gain margin")

4 Backstepping

Integrator backstepping

$$\dot{x}_1 = f_1(x_1) + g_1(x_1)x_2$$

$$\dot{x}_2 = u$$
(7)

where $x_1 \in \mathbb{R}^m$, x_2 , $u \in \mathbb{R}$ (single input)

image to be inserted

Assumption: we know (smooth) "feedback" $\alpha_1: \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}$, and positive definite, differentiable $v_1: \mathbb{R}^m \to \mathbb{R}$

s.t. $L_{f_1+g_1\alpha_1}V_1(x)$ is negative definite \Rightarrow origin of $\dot{x_1} = f_1(x_1) + g_1(x_1)\alpha_1(x_1)$ is asymptotically stable

Goal: Compute feedback u = k(x) which stabilises (7). Backstepping constructs $u = \alpha_2(x_1, x_2)$ s.t. $(e_1, e_2) = (x_1 - 0, x_2 - \alpha_1(x_1)) = 0$ error coordinates

Rewrite (7):

$$\dot{x}_1 = f_1(x_1) + g_1\alpha_1(x_1) + g_1(x_1)(x_2 - \alpha_1(x_1))$$

$$\dot{x}_2 = u$$

image to be inserted

In error coordinates

$$\dot{e}_{1} = f_{1}(e_{1}) + g_{1}(e_{1})\alpha_{1}(e_{1}) + g_{1}(e_{1})e_{2}
\dot{e}_{2} = u - \dot{\alpha}_{1} = u - \frac{\partial \alpha_{1}}{\partial e_{1}}\dot{e}_{1} = u - \frac{\partial \alpha_{1}}{\partial e_{1}}$$
(8)

"backstepping" α_1 through the integrator

Define $V_2(e_2) := \frac{1}{2}e_2^2$, and

$$V(e_1, e_2) = V_1(e_1) + V_2(e_2)$$

$$\dot{V}(e_1, e_2) = \frac{\partial V_1}{\partial e_1} (f_1(e_1) + g_1(e_1)\alpha_1(e_1)) + \frac{\partial V_1}{\partial e_1} g_1(e_1)e_2 + \frac{\partial V_2}{\partial e_2} (u - \dot{\alpha}_1)$$

as far as $L_{f_1+g_1\alpha_1}V_1$ -negative definite and $\frac{\partial V_2}{\partial e_2} o e_2$

Choose

$$u = \left(-\frac{\partial V_1}{\partial e_1}g_1(e_1) + \dot{\alpha}_1\right)(\text{"canaling terms"}) - k_2 e_2(\text{"stabilizing term"})k_2 > 0 \tag{9}$$

$$\Rightarrow$$
 Then $\dot{V}(e_1, e_2) = L_{f_1 + g_1 \alpha_1} V_1(e_1) - k_2 e_2^2 < 0, \ \forall (e_1, e_2) \neq 0$

$$\Rightarrow$$
 Then $\dot{V}(e_1, e_2) = L_{f_1 + g_1 \alpha_1} V_1(e_1) - k_2 e_2^2 < 0 \ \forall (e_1, e_2) \neq 0$

 \Rightarrow $(e_1, e_2) = (0, 0)$ is an asymptotically stable EP for (8) with u as in (9)

Remark: $(e_1, e_2) \rightarrow (0, 0)$ does not necessarily imply that $(x_1, x_2) \rightarrow 0$ for $u = \alpha_2(x_1, x_2) = -\frac{\partial V_1}{\partial x_1}g_1(x_1) + \dot{\alpha}_1 - k_2(x_2 - \alpha_1(x_1))$

where $u \leftarrow (9)$ the original coordinates and $\dot{\alpha_1} \leftarrow \frac{\partial \alpha_1}{\partial x_1} (f_1(x_1) + g_1(x_1)x_2)$

But $(x_1, x_2) = (0, 0)$ is asymptotically stable if $\alpha_1(0) = 0$ why? $(e_1, e_2) \rightarrow 0 \Rightarrow x_1 \rightarrow 0 \ x_2 \rightarrow \alpha_1(0) = 0$

Example.

$$\dot{x_1} = x_1 x_2$$

$$\dot{x_2} = u$$

Choose
$$\alpha_1(x_1) = -k \ (k > 0) \rightarrow \dot{x_1} = -kx_1 \Rightarrow V_1(x_1) = \frac{1}{2}x_1^2$$

Then:

$$e_1 = x_1 - 0 \ \dot{e_1} = e_1(e_2 - k)$$

$$e_2 = x_2 + k \ e_2 = u$$

Backstepping yields: $u = -e_1^2 - k_2 e_2 \ k_2 > 0 \Rightarrow (e_1, e_2) = (0, 0)$ is asymptotically stabilized $(x_1, x_2) = (0, -k)$ is asymptotically stabilized

Can we choose different α_1 s.t. $(x_1, x_2) = (0, 0)$ is stabilized?

Yes, e.g.

$$\alpha_1(x_1) = -x_1^2 \Rightarrow \dot{x_1} = -x_1^3 V_1(x_1) = \frac{1}{2}x_1^2$$

So we have equations

$$e_1 = x_1 - 0 \ \dot{e_1} = e_1(e_2 - e_1^2)$$

 $e_2 = x_2 + x_1^2 \ \dot{e_2} = u + 2e_1^2(e_2 - e_1^2)$

Backstepping results in

$$u = -e_1^2 - 2e_1^2(e_2 - e_1^2) - k_2e_2, \ k_2 > 0 \Rightarrow (e_1, e_2) \to (0, 0), \ (x_1, x_2) \to (0, 0)$$

Generalization-1

$$\dot{x_1} = f_1(x_1) + g_1(x_1)x_2$$
$$\dot{x_2} = f_2(x_1, x_2) + g_2(x_1, x_2)u$$

Assumption: $g_2(x_1, x_2) \neq 0 \forall x_1, x_2 \Rightarrow \text{Input transformation: } u = \frac{1}{g_2(x_1, x_2)} (V - f_2(x_1, x_2)) \Rightarrow \dot{x_1} = f_1(x_1) + g_1(x_1)x_2 \ \dot{x_2} = V \Rightarrow \text{can apply integrator backstepping to determine } V \text{ results in}$

$$u = \alpha_2(x_1, x_2) = \frac{1}{q_2(x_1, x_2)} \left(-\frac{\partial V_1}{\partial x_1} g_1(x_1) + \dot{\alpha}_1 - k_2(x_2 - \alpha_1(x_1)) - f_2(x_1, x_2) \right)$$

Generalization 2: (Backstepping through 2 integrators)

$$\dot{x}_1 = f_1(x_1) + g_1(x_1)x_2, \quad x_1 \in \mathbb{R}^{n_1}$$

$$\dot{x}_2 = f_2(x_1, x_2) + g_2(x_1, x_2)x_3, \quad x_2, x_3 \in \mathbb{R}$$

$$\dot{x}_3 = f_3(x_1, x_2, x_3) + g_3(x_1, x_2, x_3)u, \quad u \in \mathbb{R}$$

Assumption: g_2, g_3 nowhere zero.

Shown before: $\exists \alpha_2$: for $x_3 = \alpha_2(x_1, x_2)$ $(e_1, e_2) \to 0$ Thus $e_3 := x_3 - \alpha_2(x_1, x_2)$

Input transformation:

$$u = \frac{1}{g_3(x_1, x_2, x_3)} (V - f_3(x_1, x_2, x_3))$$

 $\implies \dot{x}_1 = \dots, \dot{x}_2 = \dots, \dot{x}_3 = V \implies$ can apply backstepping once more.

In "error" coordinates:

$$\dot{e}_1 = f_1(e_1) + g_1(e_1)(e_2 + \alpha_1(e_1))$$

$$\dot{e}_2 = f_2(e_1, e_2 + \alpha_1(e_1)) + g_2(e_1, e_2 + \alpha_1(e_1))(e_3 + \alpha_2(e_1, e_2 + \alpha_1(e_1))) - \dot{\alpha}_1$$

$$\dot{e}_3 = V - \dot{\alpha}_2$$

Define
$$V_3(e_3) = \frac{1}{2}e_3^2$$
, $V(e_1, e_2, e_3) = \sum_{i=1}^3 V_i(e_i)$

$$\dot{V}(e_1, e_2, e_3) = \frac{\partial V_1}{\partial e_1}(f_1(e_1) + g_1(e_1)(e_2 + \alpha_1(e_1)) + e_2(f_2(e_1, e_2 + \alpha_1(e_1)) + g_2(e_1, e_2 + \alpha_1(e_1))) + e_3(V - \dot{\alpha}_2)$$

$$\alpha_2(e_1, e_2 + \alpha_1(e_1))) - \dot{\alpha}_1) + e_3(V - \dot{\alpha}_2)$$

All the underlined terms were designed (previously) to be $=L_{f_1+g_1\alpha_1}V_1(e_1)-k^2e_2^2<0$

So:
$$\dot{V}(e_1, e_2, e_3) = L_{f_1 + g_1 \alpha_1} V_1(e_1) - k^2 e_2^2 + e_2 g_2(e_1, e_2 + \alpha_1(e_1)) e_3 + e_3 (V - \dot{\alpha}_2)$$

Structurally it is exactly the same as it was in backstepping through 1.

Choose:

$$V = \dot{\alpha}_2 - e_2 q_2(e_1, e_2 + \alpha_1(e_1)) - k_3 e_3$$

 $\dot{\alpha}_2 - e_2 g_2(e_1, e_2 + \alpha_1(e_1))$ - "cancelling terms". $k_3 e_3$ - "stabilizing term".

In original coordinates:

$$u = \frac{1}{g_3(x_1, x_2, x_3)} (\dot{\alpha}_2 - (x_2 - \alpha_1(x_1))g_2(x_1, x_2) - k_3(x_3 - \alpha_2(x_1, x_2)) - f_3(x_1, x_2, x_3))$$

We need α_1, α_2 to compute u.

General backstepping recursion:

Systems in "strict feedback form":

$$\dot{x}_1 = f_1(x_1) + g_1(x_1)x_2, \quad x_1 \in \mathbb{R}^{n_1}
\dot{x}_2 = f_2(x_1, x_2) + g_2(x_1, x_2)x_3$$

. .

$$\dot{x}_k = f_k(x_1, \dots x_k) + g_k(x_1, \dots x_k)u, \quad x_2, \dots x_k, u \in R$$

 $g_2, \ldots g_k$ nowhere zero, f_i, g_i (sufficiantly) smooth, as it is needed in α_i .

Backstepping recursion:

- 1. "Input data": a CLF V_1 for $\dot{x}_1 = f_1(x_1) + g_1(x_1)u_1$ with a (smooth) feedback $u_1 = \alpha_1 x_1$ which as. stabilizes the origin of $\dot{x}_1 = f_1(x_1) + g_1(x_1)u_1$.
- 2. for i = 2, ... k:

construct a CLF $V_i(e_i) = \frac{1}{2}e_i^2$, $V = \sum_{j=1}^i V_j(e_j)$ and a feedback α_1 which as. stabilizes origin of $(e_1, \dots e_i) = (x_1, x_2 - \alpha_1(x_1), \dots, x_i - \alpha_{i-1}(x_1, \dots x_{i-1}))$

$$\alpha_i(x_1, \dots x_i) = \frac{1}{q_i} (\dot{\alpha}_{i-1} - \frac{\partial V_{i-1}}{\partial e_{i-1}} g_{i-1} - k_i (x_i - \alpha_{i-1} - f_i)$$

3. apply $u = \alpha_k(x_1, \dots x_k)$

Backstepping and CLFs:

Backstepping is sensitive to uncertainties in f_i, g_i (due to cancelling terms) \implies Sontag's formula is more practical \implies we can use it since V is CLF.

Error system is input affine (using input transformation)

$$\dot{e} = f(e) + g(e)V$$

with
$$f(e) = \begin{pmatrix} f_1(e_1) + g_1(e_1)(e_2 + \alpha_1(e_1)) \\ \dots \\ -\alpha_{k-1} \end{pmatrix}, g(e) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ \dots \\ 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

Claim

$$V(e) = \sum_{i=1}^{k} V_i(e_i)$$
 is a CLF.

Proof. For input affine systems we need to show $L_gV=0 \implies L_fV<0, \ \forall e\neq 0.$

$$\dot{V}(e) = L_{f_1+g_1\alpha_1}V_1(e_1) - \sum_{i=2}^{k-1} k_i e_i^2 + e_{k-1}g_{k-1}(\dots)e_k - e_k \dot{\alpha}_{k-1} + e_k u.$$

Here $e_k u = L_g V$ and the rest is $L_f V$.

Assume $L_q V = 0 \iff e_k = 0$

$$\implies L_f V = L_{f_1 + g_1 \alpha_1} V_1(e_1) - \sum_{i=2}^{k-1} k_i e_i^2 < 0 \quad \forall e \neq 0 \text{ with } e_k = 0.$$

 \implies We can apply Sontag's formula to construct V.

This theory can be extended to systems with $x_2, \ldots x_k, u \in \mathbb{R}^m$ ("block backstepping").

5 Exercises

5.1 Exercise 1

Problem 1:

Proof. For any $t \geq 0$, we have

$$\frac{d}{dt}V(x(t)) = \frac{d}{dt}(V \circ x)(t) = \langle \nabla V(x(t)), \frac{d}{dt}x(t) \rangle = \langle \nabla V(x(t)), f(x(t)) \rangle = L_f V(x(t))$$

Problem 2:

Proof.

Lemma 8. Given the assumptions in Problem 2, if there exists a solution $x:[0,+\infty]\to R^n, t\to x(t)$, of $\dot{x}=f(x)$ s.t. $x(t)\in K$ for any $t\geq 0$, where $k\subset R^n$ is a compact with $O\in K$ (O - origin), then $x(t)\xrightarrow{t\to +\infty} 0$.

Clearly, for any c > 0, $lev_{\leq c}V$ is positive invariant w.r.t $\dot{x} = f(x)$. Given c > 0, let $x_0 \in lev_{\leq c}V$, i.e., $V(x_0) \leq c$. Then, for any $t \geq 0$

$$V(x(t)) = V(x_0) + \int_0^t \frac{d}{dt} V(xx(\tau)) d\tau < V(x_0) \le c,$$

i.e. $x(t) \in lev_{\leq c}V$ for any $t \geq 0$.

Then, for any $x_0 \in lev_{\leq c}V$ there exists a solution $x:[0,+\infty] \to R^n$ of $\dot{x}=f(x)$ s.t. $x(t) \in lev_{\leq c}V$ for all $t \geq 0$. Clearly, $O \in lev_{< c}V$. We conclude by using the above Lemma $(K = lev_{< c}V)$.

Problem 3:

Proof. Let r > 0. By assumption, there exists c > 0 s.t. $\overline{B(0,r)} \subset lev \leq cV$.

Since any bounded set $lev_{\leq c}V$ is a subset of the region of attraction, and since the sublevel sets are arbitrary large, R^n is also the region of attraction.

A condition that ensures that for any c > 0, $lev_{\leq c}V$ is bounded is $V(x) \xrightarrow{||x|| \to +\infty} +\infty$.

Problem 4:

Proof. Let $P: \mathbb{R}^2 \to \mathbb{R}^2$ be continuously differentiable. Consider

$$m\dot{v} = -g\nabla P(q).$$

Consider $x = (q, v), \dot{q} = v, \dot{v} = -\frac{g}{m} \nabla P(q)$. Let $H: \mathbb{R}^2 \to \mathbb{R}$ be defined by

$$H(q,r) = \frac{1}{2}||v||^2 + \frac{g}{m}P(q).$$

We have

$$\begin{pmatrix} \dot{q} \\ \dot{r} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} & I \\ -I & \end{pmatrix} \nabla H(q,r)$$

Since P is positive definite, then H is positive definite.

Then

$$L \begin{pmatrix} I \\ -I \end{pmatrix} \nabla H (q,r) = \langle \nabla H(q,r), \begin{pmatrix} I \\ -I \end{pmatrix} \nabla H(q,r) \rangle = 0 \quad \forall (q,r) \in R^2 \times R^2$$

 \implies the origin is stable.

Problem 5:

Proof. For any $t \geq 0$, we have

Troof. For any
$$t \geq 0$$
, we have
$$\frac{d}{dt}V(t,x(t)) = \frac{d}{dt}(V \circ (id_R,x))(t) = [id_R : R \to R, t->t] = \langle \begin{pmatrix} \frac{\partial}{\partial t}V(t,x(t)) \\ \frac{\partial}{\partial x}V(t,x(t)) \end{pmatrix}, \frac{d}{dt}(id_R(t),x(t)) \rangle = \langle \begin{pmatrix} \frac{\partial}{\partial t}V(t,x(t)) \\ \frac{\partial}{\partial x}V(t,x(t)) \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ f(t,x(t)) \end{pmatrix} = \frac{\partial}{\partial t}V(t,x(t)) + \langle \frac{\partial}{\partial t}V(t,x(t)), f(t,x(t)) \rangle = L \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ f \end{pmatrix} V(x(t)).$$

$$g(t, x(t)) := \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ f(t, x(t)) \end{pmatrix}$$

Problem 6:

Proof. Consider $\dot{x} = a \sin(\omega t)$, $x(0) = x_0 \in R$ $a, \omega > 0$.

This is solved by $x(t) = -\frac{a}{\omega}\cos(\omega t) + \frac{a}{\omega} + x_0$.

Clearly, x is bounded on $[0, +\infty]$ since $x(t) \ge x_0$, and $x(t) \le x_0 + 2\frac{a}{\omega}$ for any $t \ge 0$.

Choose $\varepsilon = \frac{a}{\omega}$ and $t_0 = 0$. Then $\forall \delta > 0 \ \exists x_0 \in B(0, \delta)$, namely x_0 , s.t. $\exists t \geq t_0$, namely $t = \frac{\pi}{\omega}$, with $x(t) \notin B(0, \varepsilon) \ (x(\frac{\pi}{\omega}) = 2\frac{a}{\omega} > \varepsilon).$

Short notes:

Problem 7:

Take $V(t,x) = \frac{1}{2}x^2$.

Problem 8:

Take $V(t,x) = x_1^2 + (1 + e^{-2t})x_2^2$.

5.2 Exercise 2

Problem 1:

Proof. a) Since α_1 is continuous and strictly increasing:

$$\forall x, y \in [0, \delta), x < y \quad \alpha_1(x) < \alpha_1(y)$$

 $\implies \alpha_1$ is injective, i.e.

$$\forall x, y \in [0, \delta), x \neq y \implies \alpha_1(x) \neq \alpha_1(y).$$

Clearly, $\alpha_1:[0,\delta)\to\alpha_1([0,\delta))$ is surjective, i.e.

$$\forall y \in \alpha_1([0,\delta)) \ \exists x \in [0,\delta): \ \alpha_1(x) = y$$

Thus α_1 is bijective.

Define $\alpha_1^{-1} : [0, \alpha_1(\delta)) \to [0, \delta)$ by $\alpha_1^{-1}(\alpha_1(x)) = x$.

- b) From a) we have $\alpha_3^{-1} \in K$. Since $\alpha_3 \in K_\infty, \alpha_3 1$ is defined om $[0, +\infty)$ and $\alpha_3^{-1}(r) \xrightarrow{r \to \infty} \infty$
- c) Let $\alpha = \alpha_1 \circ \alpha_2$. Then we have $\alpha(0) = \alpha_1(\alpha_2(0)) = 0$ and $\alpha(r) > 0$ whenever r > 0. Moreover, for any x, y:

$$x < y \implies \alpha_2(x) < \alpha_2(y) \implies \alpha(x) = \alpha_1(\alpha_2(x)) < \alpha_1(\alpha_2(y)) = \alpha(y)$$

It is continuous (as composition of continuous functions).

d) From c) we have $\alpha := \alpha_3 \circ \alpha_4 \in K$, α is defined on $[0, +\infty)$ since $\alpha_3, \alpha_4 \in K_\infty$ and

$$r \to +\infty \implies \alpha_4(r) \to +\infty \implies \alpha(r) \to +\infty$$

e) For each $s, r \mapsto \beta(\alpha_2(r), s)$ is of class K.

Thus $r \mapsto \alpha_1(\beta(\alpha_2(r), s)) \in K$.

For each $r, s \mapsto \beta(\alpha_2(r), s)$ decreases.

Hence, $s \mapsto \alpha_1(\beta(\alpha_2(r), s))$ decreases.

Moreover,

$$\alpha_1(\beta(\alpha_2(r),s)) \xrightarrow{s \to +\infty} 0$$

Problem 3:

Proof. For u=0 the origin is UGAS. Consider $V:[0,+\infty)\times R\to R,\ (t,x)\mapsto \frac{1}{2}x^2.$ We have

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t}V(t,x) + \frac{\partial}{\partial x}V(t,x)f(t,x,u) = (\sin(t)-2)x^2 + xu \le -x^2 + |x||u| = -(1-\theta)x^2 - \theta x^2 + |x||u|, \ \theta \in (0,1)$$

Hence, whenever $|x| \geq \frac{|u|}{\theta}$, the system is ISS with $\gamma = \frac{r}{\theta}$.

Problem 4:

Proof.

$$\dot{x} = -x + (x^2 + 1)d\tag{10}$$

$$\dot{x} = -2x - x^3 + (x^2 + 1)d\tag{11}$$

System (10): Clearly, the system is 0-GAS. However, for d=1 and x>1 we have $x^2+1>x$.

$$f(x,1) = -x + (x^2 + 1) > 0$$

and thus $\dot{x} > 0$. Hence, if $x(0) = x_0 > 1$, the solution diverges (in finite time). \implies System (10) isn't ISS.

System (11): It is 0-GAS. Moreover, for any finite d there exists a "large" x s.t.

$$2x + x^3 > (x^2 + 1)d$$

$$\implies f(x,d) = -2x - x^3 + (x^2 + 1)d < 0$$

and $\dot{x} < 0 \implies \text{System 11 is ISS}$.

Consider $V: R \to R, x \mapsto \frac{1}{2}x^2$ s.t

$$V'(x)f(x,d) = -2x^2 - x^4 + x(x^2 + 1)d \le -x^2 - x^2(x^2 + 1) + (x^2 + 1)|x||d|$$

Hence, whenever $|x| \ge |d|$,

$$V'(x)f(x,d) \le -x^2$$

s.t. system (11) is ISS with $\gamma(r) = r$.

Problem 5:

Proof.

$$\langle \nabla V(x), -\nabla V(x) + \delta u \rangle \le -||\nabla V(x)||^2 + |\langle \nabla V(x), \delta u \rangle| \le ||YI|| \le -||\nabla V(x)||^2 + \frac{1}{2}||\nabla V(x)||^2 + \frac{\delta^2}{2}||u||^2$$

Young's inequality:

$$\forall x,y: \ |\langle x,y\rangle| \leq \varepsilon \frac{||x||^p}{p} + \frac{||y||^q}{\varepsilon q}, \ p,q>1, \frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} = 1, \varepsilon > 0$$

Hence, whenever $||x|| > \frac{\delta}{\sqrt{c}}||u||, t \mapsto ||x(t)||$ is decreasing.

Moreover whenever $||x|| \ge \frac{\delta}{\sqrt{c\theta}} ||u||, \theta \in (0,1)$, we have $\langle \nabla V(x), -\nabla V(x) + \delta u \rangle \le -\frac{c}{2} (1-\theta) ||x||^2 \Longrightarrow$ ISS.

5.3 Exercise 4

Consider

$$\begin{cases} \dot{x}_1 = f_1(x_1) + g_1(x_1)x_2\\ \dot{x}_2 = f_2(x_1) + g_2(x_1, x_2)u \end{cases}$$
 (12)

Using the "preliminary control"

$$\begin{cases} \dot{x}_1 = f_1(x_1) + g_1(x_1)x_2 \\ \dot{x}_2 = \check{u} \end{cases}$$
 (13)

$$u = \frac{1}{g_2(x_1, x_2)} (\check{u} - f_2(x_1, x_2))$$

Idea: Look at the upper(-most) system only and consider x_2 as a "virtual control".

Assumptions: Suppose

- \exists CLF V_1 ;
- \exists (smooth) feedback α_1 s.t. $L_{f_1+g_1\alpha_1}V_1 < 0$.

Now, add and subtract $g_1\alpha_1$ in 13 s.t.

$$\begin{cases} \dot{x}_1 = f_1(x_1) + g_1(x_1)\alpha_1(x_1) + g_1(x_1)(x_2 - \alpha_1(x_1)) \\ \dot{x}_2 = \check{u} \end{cases}$$
(14)

Next, introduce $(e_1, e_2) := (x_1 - 0, x_2 - \alpha_1(x_1))$ s.t.

$$\begin{cases}
\dot{e}_1 = f_1(e_1) + g_1(e_1)\alpha_1(e_1) + g_1(e_1)e_2 \\
\dot{e}_2 = \check{u} - \dot{\alpha}_1(e_1)
\end{cases}$$
(15)

Problem 1:

$$\begin{pmatrix} \dot{x}_1 \\ \dot{x}_2 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} u$$

Proof. 1. Choose "virtual control":

$$x_2 = -(k+1)x_1 =: \alpha_1(x_1), k > 0$$

The origin of $\dot{x}_1 = -kx_1$ is GAS.

(Take
$$V_1: R \to R$$
, $x_1 \mapsto \frac{1}{2}x_1^2$ s.t. $\dot{V}_1(x_1) = -kx_1^2 < 0$ for all $x_1 \neq 0$)

2. Error coordinates:

Let
$$(e_1, e_2) := (x_1 - 0, x_2 - \alpha_1(x_1))$$
 s.t.

$$\dot{e}_1 = -ke_1 + e_2$$

$$\dot{e}_2 = u + (k+1)(-ke_1 + e_2)$$

3. "Composite CLF":

Define $V: R \times R \to R$, $(e_1, e_2) \mapsto V_1(e_1) + \frac{1}{2}e_2^2$ s.t.

$$\dot{V}(e_1, e_2) = -ke_1^2 + e_2(u + (k+1)(-ke_1 + e_2) + e_1)$$

4. Choose control:

Let
$$u = -e_1 - (k+1)(e_2 - ke_1) - ke_2$$

s.t. $\dot{V}(e_1, e_2) = -ke_1^2 - ke_2^2 < 0$ for all $(e_1, e_2) \neq (0, 0)$

Remark: The closed-loop system reads:

$$\begin{pmatrix} \dot{e}_1 \\ \dot{e}_2 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} -k & 1 \\ -1 & -k \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} e_1 \\ e_2 \end{pmatrix}$$

Problem 2:

$$\dot{x}_1 = x_1(x_2 - k), \quad k > 0$$
$$\dot{x}_2 = u$$

Proof. 1. $x_2 = 0 =: \alpha_1(x_1)$

The origin of $\dot{x}_1 = -kx_1$ is GAS $(V_1(x_1) = \frac{1}{2}x_1^2)$

2. $(e_1, e_2) := (x_1, x_2)$ s.t.

$$\dot{e}_1 = e_1(e_2 - k)$$
$$\dot{e}_2 = u$$

3. $V(e_1, e_2) = V_1(e_1) + \frac{1}{2}e_2^2$ s.t.

$$\dot{V}(e_1, e_2) = -ke_1^2 + e_2(e_1^2 + u)$$

4. $u = -e_1^2 - ke_2$

Problem 3:

$$\dot{x}_1 = x_1(x_2 - k)$$

$$\dot{x}_2 = x_2(x_3 - k) - x_1^2$$

$$\dot{x}_3 = u$$

Proof. 1. From problem 2:

$$\dot{x}_2 = x_2(x_3 - k) - x_1^2 = -x_1^2 - kx_2 = u$$
 in Problem 2.

The origin of

$$\dot{x}_1 = x_1(x_2 - k)$$
$$\dot{x}_2 = x_2(x_3 - k) - x_1^2$$

is GAS.

And this is true for $x_3 = 0 =: \alpha_2(x_1, x_2)$.

2.
$$(e_1, e_2, e_3) := (x_1 - 0, x_2 - \alpha_1(x_1), x_3 - \alpha_2(x_1, x_2))$$
 s.t.

$$\dot{e}_1 = e_1(e_2 - k)$$

$$\dot{e}_2 = e_2(e_3 - k) - e_1^2$$

$$\dot{e}_3 = u$$

3.
$$V(e_1, e_2, e_3) = V_1(e_1) + \frac{1}{2}e_2^2 + \frac{1}{2}e_3^2$$
 s.t.

4.
$$u = -e_2^2 - ke_3$$

Problem 4:

$$\dot{x}_1 = x_1(x_2 - k)$$

$$\dot{x}_2 = x_2(x_3 - k) - x_1^2$$

$$\dot{x}_3 = x_3(x_4 - k) - x_2^2$$

$$\dot{x}_4 = u$$

Proof. 1. Is GAS for

$$x_3(x_4 - k) - x_2^2 = -x_2^2 - kx_3$$

which is attained for $x_4 = 0 =: \alpha_3(x_1, x_2, x_3)$.

2.

$$\dot{e}_1 = e_1(e_2 - k)$$

$$\dot{e}_2 = e_2(e_3 - k) - e_1^2$$

$$\dot{e}_3 = e_3(e_4 - k) - e_2^2$$

$$\dot{e}_4 = u$$

. . .

3.
$$u = -e_3^2 - ke_4$$

Problem 5:

$$\dot{x}_1 = x_1(x_2 - k)$$

$$\dot{x}_2 = x_2(x_3 - k) - x_1^2$$

. . .

$$\dot{x}_i = x_i(x_{i+1} - k) - x_{i-1}^2$$

. . .

$$\dot{x}_n = u$$

Proof. We will always have $u=e_{n-1}^2-ke_n$. Let $V:R\times\cdots\times R\to R,\ (e_1,\ldots e_n)\mapsto \sum_{i=1}^n V_i(e_i),$ where $V_i(e_i)=\frac{1}{2}e_i^2,\ i=2,\ldots n.$ We have $\dot{V}(e_1,\ldots e_n)=L_{f_1+g_1\alpha_1}V_1(e_1)-k\sum_{i=2}^{n-1}e_i^2+e_nu+e_{n-1}g_{n-1}(x_1,\ldots x_{n-1})e_n-e_n\dot{\alpha}_{n-1}(x_1,\ldots x_{n-1}).$ We observe that for α_i being zero, the inequality

$$e_{n-1}g_{n-1}(x_1, \dots x_{n-1})e_n - e_n\dot{\alpha}_{n-1}(x_1, \dots x_{n-1}) + e_nu < 0$$

hence $e_{n-1}^2 e_n + e_n u < 0$ for non-zero e. It is solved by $u = e_{n-1}^2 - k e_n, \quad k > 0$.

5.4 Exercise 3

Motivation: Lyapunov Theory

$$\dot{x} = f(x, u)$$

 $f: \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^m \to \mathbb{R}^n$

Definition. (CLF) A function $V: \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}$ is a CLF if it is continuous differentiable, positive definite, radially unbounded and $\forall x \neq 0 \text{ inf}_u < \nabla V(x), f(x, u) >< 0$

In order to find CLFs, we restrict our analysis to input -affine systems

$$\dot{x} = f(x) + G(x)u$$

where $f: \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}^n$, $G: \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}^{n \times m}$

Proposition: A continuous, differentiable, positive definite and radially unbounded. $V: \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}$ is a CLF iff

$$\forall x \neq 0 \ L_G V(x) = 0 \Rightarrow L_f V(x) < 0$$

Image to be inserted

Problem 1

Consider $\dot{x} = \cos(x) + (1 + e^x)u$ where $f(x) = \cos(x)$ - drift and $g(x) = 1 + e^x$

Let $V: \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$, $x \mapsto \frac{1}{2}x^2$. Clearly, continuous differentiable, positive definite and radially unbounded. Moreover, for any nonzero x, we have $L_GV(x) \neq 0$.

Thus, for any $x \neq 0$, there exists a control that readers $\langle \nabla V(x), f(x) + g(x)u \rangle$ negative. Givn this CLF, there exists a state feedback u = u(x), e.g.

$$u(x) = -\frac{kx + \cos(x)}{1 + e^x}, \ k > 0$$

Problem

Consider

$$\dot{x_1} = -x_1^3 + x_2 e^{x_1} \cos(x_2)$$
$$\dot{x_2} = x_1^5 \sin(x_2) + u$$

Take $V: \mathbb{R}^2 \to \mathbb{R}, \ (x_1, x_2) \mapsto \frac{1}{2}(x_1^2 + x_2^2)$

For any $x \neq 0$, we have

$$\inf_{u \in \mathbb{R}} (L_f V(x) + L_G V(x) u) = \begin{cases} L_f V(x), & \text{if } L_G V(x) = 0\\ -\infty & \text{else} \end{cases}$$

In particular,

$$L_f V(x) = \dots = x_1 (-x_1^3 + x_2 e_1^x cos(x_2)) + x_2 x_1^5 sin(x_2)$$

 $L_G V(x) = \dots = x_2$

However,

$$L_f V(x)|_{x_2=0} = -x_1^4 < 0 \ \forall x_1 \neq 0$$

Image to be inserted

Concluding that V is a CLF.

Problem 2:

 $\dot{x} = Ax + Bu$, input defined system where (A, B) is stabilizable, there exists $K \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}$ s.t. A + BK is Hurwitz (cf. KRT). The latter is equivalent to the existence $P = P^T > 0$ s.t. $P(A + BK) + (A + BK)^T P < 0$ (cf. Khalil theorem 4,6)

Let
$$V: \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}, x \mapsto \langle x, Px \rangle$$
. Moreover, $\forall x \neq 0 \exists u = Kx \text{ s.t. } \langle \nabla V(x), Ax + Bu \rangle \langle 0, \text{ since} \rangle$
 $\langle \nabla V(x), Ax + Bu \rangle = u = Kx \langle x, (P(A + BK) + (A + BK)^T P)x \rangle \langle 0$

In addition,

$$\forall \epsilon > 0 \exists \delta = \frac{\epsilon}{\|K\|} > 0 \ \forall x \neq 0, \ \|x\| < \delta \ \exists u = Kx \ \|u\| < \epsilon$$

s.t.
$$L_f V(x) + L_G V(x) u < 0$$
 since $||u|| = ||Kx|| \le ||K|| ||x|| < ||K|| \delta = \epsilon$

Problem 3

Let $P: \mathbb{R}^2 \to \mathbb{R}$ be continuous, differentiable consider

$$m\dot{v} = -g\nabla P(q) + F$$
, $m, q > 0$

a) Hamiltonian form. Let
$$x:=(q,v)$$
. Then $\dot{x}=\left(-\frac{g}{m}\nabla P(q)+\frac{1}{m}F\right)=\begin{bmatrix}I\\-I\end{bmatrix}\begin{bmatrix}\frac{g}{m}\nabla P(q)\\V\end{bmatrix}+\begin{bmatrix}\frac{1}{m}I\end{bmatrix}F=\begin{bmatrix}I\\-I\end{bmatrix}\nabla H(x)+G(x)$ given $H(x)=\frac{1}{2}\|\nu\|^2+\frac{g}{m}P(q)$

b) "CLF". Take H as a CLF candidate. Then, for any x

$$<\triangledown H(x), \begin{bmatrix} & I \\ -I & \end{bmatrix} \\ \triangledown H(x) + G(x)F> = < \triangledown H(x), \begin{bmatrix} & I \\ -I & \end{bmatrix} \\ \triangledown H(x)> + < \triangledown H(x), G(x)F> = [< \triangledown H(x), \begin{bmatrix} & I \\ -I & \end{bmatrix} \\ \triangledown H(x)> + < \neg H(x) \\ \vdash H(x)> + < \neg H(x)$$

Strictly speaking, H is no CLF, but it reveals how to choose F s.t. the origin is GAS.

For any point x for which there exists no control F s.t. $\langle \nabla H(x), \begin{bmatrix} I \\ -I \end{bmatrix} \nabla H(x) + G(x)F \rangle \langle 0 \rangle$

Choose F = 0. Why? Using the Krasovsky-Lasallle inv. principle, we conclude that the origin is GAS, since any solution in $\{x|\dot{H}(x)=0\}$ verifies $v(t)\equiv 0$, implying $\dot{v}(t)\equiv 0$ s.t.

$$0 = -\frac{g}{m} \nabla P(q(t)) + \frac{1}{m} P(t)$$

The last part equals 0. Since F = 0 (by choice) and $\nabla P(q) = 0$ iff q = 0 we conclude that $\dot{H}(x) = 0$ can only be "maintained" at the origin.

Problem 4

Consider

$$\dot{x_1} = x_2$$

$$\dot{x_2} = -ux_2 + u^3$$

show that $V(x) = \frac{1}{2}x_1^2 + \frac{1}{2}(x_1 + x_2)^2$ is CLF and let $V: \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}$ be defined by

$$\ddot{x} + u\dot{x} - u^3 = 0$$

For any x and u, we have $\langle \nabla V(x), f(x, u) \rangle = \cdots = x_1(2x_2 - ux_2 + u^3) + x_2(x_2 - ux_2 + u^3) = x_1h_1 + x_2h_2$

Image to be inserted

Hence if u < 0 and -u "large", then we can render $\langle \nabla V(x), f(x, u) \rangle < 0$.