# Nonlinear Control

Stuttgart university, May 2018

### Intro

Goals of Course

- overview over moder nonlinear analyses and control concepts
- modern methodologies to analyze nonlinear systems
- apply a wide range of nonlinear control method learn the mathmatic basis

Differential equations  $\dot{x} = f(x)$ 

Nonlinear differential eqution  $\dot{x} = f(t, x)$ 

System with input  $\dot{x} = f(x, u)$ 

System with input and output  $\dot{x} = f(x, u), y = g(x, u)$ 

Input-output methods

Scope

- [1] Khalil Nonlinear System, Prentice Hall, 2002
- [2] Sepulchre Constructive Nonlinear Control, Springer-Verlag 1997

## 1 Differential equations

Consider differential equality

$$\frac{d}{dt}x(t) = \dot{x}(t) = f(x(t)), \ x(0) = x_0 \tag{1}$$

Where  $f: D \to \mathbb{R}^n$ ,  $D \subset \mathbb{R}^n$  is open, [here we should explain, what means open set].

Solution to 1  $x: I_{x_0} \to D, t \to x(t)$  is differentiable

Interval existence solution

Questions:

# existence of solution

# "how large" is  $I_{x_0}$ 

# uniqueness of solution

Usually we will add some restrictions on f functions, like continuous.

#### 1.1 Existence of solutions

**Definition.** Function  $f: D \to R^n$  is continuous at  $x' \in D$  if for  $\forall \epsilon > 0 \ \exists \delta > 0$  such that for  $\forall x \in D, \|x - x'\| < \delta => \|f(x) - f(x')\| < \epsilon$ 

Function  $f: D \to \mathbb{R}^n$  is continuous on D if it's continuous at  $\forall x' \in D$ 

**Theorem 1.1** (Piano). If  $f: D \to \mathbb{R}^n$  continuous, then for each  $x_0 \in D \exists x: (-\epsilon, \epsilon) \to D, \ \epsilon > 0$  satisfying (1).

Further, given a compact set  $U \subset D$ , then  $\exists \alpha > 0$  s.t.  $\forall x_0 \in U \ \exists x : (-\epsilon, \epsilon) \to D$  satisfying (1).

**Example.** Consider equation  $\dot{x}(t) = x(t)^2$ ,  $x(0) = x_0 = 0$ . Solution  $x(t) = -\frac{1}{t-c}$ ,  $c = \frac{1}{x_0}$ . In this example solution exist in interval (-c, c).

But, what about the number of solutions? Which conditions we should add to garantie uniqueness of solution?

#### 1.2 Uniquence of solutions

**Definition.** Function  $f: D \to \mathbb{R}^n$  is locally Lipshitz (continuous???) on D if  $\forall x \in D$  there is a neighborhood  $N(x) \subset D$  and  $\exists L > 0$  s.t.

$$||f(x_1) - f(x_2)|| \le L||x_1 - x_2|| \tag{2}$$

For all  $x_1, x_2 \in N$ .

- Lipschitz on  $W \in D$  if (2) holds  $\forall x_1, x_2 \in W$  (with same L)
- globally Lipschitz if (2) holds  $\forall x_1, x_2 \in \mathbb{R}^n$  (with same L)

We have

# locally Lipschitz functions are continuous

# differentiable functions are locally Lipschitz

# locally Lipschitz functions are Lipschitz on each compact subset of D (Khalil Ex 3.19)

**Lemma 1** (Cromwall). Suppose that  $0 \le \phi(t) \le c + L \int_0^t \phi(\tau) d\tau$ , c, L > 0,  $\phi$  – continuous. Then  $\phi(t) \le ce^{Lt}$ .

Proof.  $c + L \int_0^t \phi(\tau) d\tau := \psi(t), \ \dot{\psi}(t) = L\phi(t) \le L\psi(t).$ 

Consider  $\frac{d}{dt} \left( \psi(t) e^{-LT} \right) = e^{-Lt} \left( \dot{\psi}(t) - L \psi(t) \right) \le 0$ , thus  $\psi(t) e^{-LT}$  is decreased, and as a result we have  $\phi(t) e^{-Lt} \le \psi(t) e^{-Lt} \le \psi(0) = c$ 

**Theorem 1.2** (Picard Lindelof). If function  $f: D \to \mathbb{R}^n$  is locally Lipschitz then for  $\forall x_0 \in D \exists ! x: (-\epsilon, \epsilon) \to D, \ \epsilon > 0$  satisfying (1).

*Proof.* \* existence from Piano theorem

Proof of uniqueness

Consider two solutions  $x_1(.)$  and  $x_2(.)$  to (1).  $\dot{x}_1 - \dot{x}_2 = f(x_1) - f(x_2)$ ,  $x_1(0) = x_2(0)$ . Then we can integrate equality:  $x_1(t) - x_2(t) = \int_0^t f(x_1(\tau)) - f(x_2(\tau)) d\tau$ .  $|x_1(t) - x_2(t)| \le \int_0^t |f(x_1(\tau)) - f(x_2(\tau))| d\tau$ . Now we can apply Cromwall's lemma with c = 0 and  $\phi(t) = |x_1(t) - x_2(t)|$ , then  $\phi(t) \le 0$ , then  $x_1(t) = x_2(t)$ ,  $\forall t \in (-\epsilon, \epsilon)$ 

Example.

$$\dot{x} = \begin{cases} \sqrt{x}, & \text{if } x \ge 0\\ 0, & \text{else } x < 0 \end{cases}$$

Solutions 
$$x(t) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{4}(t-c)^2, & \text{if } t \ge c \ge 0\\ 0, & \text{else} \end{cases}$$

Global existance & uniqueness

- sufficient condition: f globally Lipschitz
- another sufficient condition: solution entirely lies in a coplex set
- forward completeness has equivalent Lyapunov-like characterization: system is forward-complete iff  $\exists$  solution  $V: \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R} \geq 0$  s.t.  $\frac{\partial V}{\partial x} f(x) \leq V(x), \forall x \in \mathbb{R}^n$

### 1.3 Lyapunov stability

If functions  $\dot{V}(x) < 0, \forall x \in D \setminus \{0\}$ , then  $x^*$  is asymptotically stable.

**Definition.** Equilibrium point x = 0 is stable if  $\forall \epsilon > 0 \ \exists \delta > 0$  s.t. from  $||x_0|| < \delta$  follows  $||x(t)|| \le \epsilon$ ,  $\forall t \ge 0$ .

**Definition.** Equilibrium point x = 0 is asymptotically stable if it is stable and exist  $\delta > 0$  s.t. from  $||x_0|| < \delta$  follows  $\lim_{t\to\infty} x(t) \to 0$ .

**Theorem 1.3** (Lyapunov's direct method). Let  $x^* = 0 \in D$  be an equilibrium point of (1), i.e., f(0) = 0. Let  $f: D \to R^n$  is continuous. If there exists a differentiable  $V: D \to R$  s.t.

- 1.  $V(x^*) = 0, V(x) > 0, \forall x \in D \setminus \{0\}$
- 2.  $\dot{V}(x) = \frac{\partial V}{\partial x} f(x) \le 0, \forall x \in D$

then  $x^* = 0$  is stable.

*Proof.* Fix compact  $U = \{x : V(x) \le c\}$  s.t.  $U \in D$ . By Piano: exist  $\alpha > 0$  s.t. any solution x with  $x_0 \in U$  exists at least on the interval  $[0, \alpha)$ .

Lyapunovs direct method gives us:

- stability
- convergence (if V < 0)
- subset of the region of attraction (all compact  $U = \{x : V(x) \le c\} \in D$ )
- existance of solution for all times

## 2 Nonlinear systems

In this section we consider function  $f: R \times D \to R^n$ , where  $D \subseteq R^n$ , and D is open.

$$\dot{x}(t) = f(t, x(t)), \quad t \ge t_0 \ge 0, \quad x(t_0) = x_0$$
 (3)

The origin  $x^* \in D$  is an equilibrium point for (3), if f(t,0) = 0,  $\forall t \geq 0$ .

Remark: EP (equilibrium point)  $x^* = 0$  can be translation of a nonzero solution.

Suppose  $\overline{y}$  is a solution of  $\dot{y} = g(t, y)$ .

Change of coordinates:  $x(t) = y(t) - \overline{y}(t)$ , then  $\dot{x}(t) = \dot{y}(t) - \dot{\overline{y}}(t) = g(t, x(t) + \overline{y}(t)) - \dot{\overline{y}}(t) := f(t, x(t))$ . Since  $\dot{\overline{y}}(t) = g(t, \overline{y}(t))$ , then f(t, 0) = 0,  $\forall t \geq 0$ .

Existence and uniqueness of solution to (3):

- if f continuous, then exist local solution
- if f continuous and locally Lipschitz in  $x^*$ , then exist local unique solution

Now we need new stability definitions.

**Definition.** Point  $x^* = 0$  is stable if  $\forall \epsilon > 0$  and  $\forall t_0 \geq 0$ ,  $\exists \delta > 0$  s.t. from  $||x_0|| < \delta$  follows  $||x(t)|| < \epsilon$ ,  $\forall t \geq t_0$ .

**Definition.** Point  $x^* = 0$  is uniformly stable if  $\forall \epsilon > 0 \ \exists \delta > 0$ , s.t  $\forall t_0 \geq 0$ , from  $||x_0|| < \delta$  follows  $||x(t)|| < \epsilon, \forall t \geq t_0$ .

**Definition.** Point  $x^* = 0$  asymptotically stable if it is stable and  $\forall t_0 \ge 0 \ \exists c > 0$ , s.t from  $||x_0|| < c$  follows  $\lim_{t\to\infty} ||x(t)|| \to 0$ .

**Definition.** Point  $x^* = 0$  uniformly asymptotically stable if it is uniformly stable and  $\exists c > 0$ , s.t  $\forall t_0 \geq 0$  from  $||x_0|| < c$  follows  $\lim_{t \to \infty} ||x(t)|| \to 0$ .

**Definition.** Convergence:  $\forall \eta > 0 \ \forall t_0 \geq 0, \exists T > 0 \text{ such that } \forall t \geq t_0 + T \text{ follows } ||x(t)|| < \eta.$ 

**Definition.** Uniform convergence:  $\forall \eta > 0 \ \exists T > 0$  such that  $\forall t_0 \geq 0$  and  $\forall t \geq t_0 + T$  follows  $||x(t)|| < \eta$ .

**Example.** Consider next equation:

$$\dot{x}(t) = -\frac{x(t)}{1+t}, \quad t_0 \ge 0$$

Solution  $x(t) = x(t_0) \frac{1+t_0}{1+t}$ . It is uniformly stable, because we can choose  $\delta = \epsilon$ . But does x(t) convergence uniformly? Answer is no.

**Definition.** Point  $x^* = 0$  is globally uniformly asymptotically stable if it is uniformly stable with  $\delta \to \infty$  for  $\epsilon \to \infty$  and  $\forall c, \eta \quad \exists T > 0$  such that  $\forall t_0 \geq 0$  from  $||x_0|| < c$  follows  $||x(t)|| < \eta$ ,  $\forall t \geq t_0 + T$ .

#### 2.1 Lyapunov's direct method

Consider some function  $V:[0,\infty)\times D\to R,\ (t,x)\to V(t,x)$  such that  $\dot{V}(t,x)=\frac{\partial}{\partial t}V(t,x)+\frac{\partial}{\partial x}V(t,x)f(t,x)$ .

**Theorem 2.1** (Lyapunov's direct method). Let  $f:[0,\infty)\times D\to R^n$  is continuous and let  $x^*=0$  be equilibrium point. If there is a differentiable function  $V:[0,\infty)\times D\to R$  with:

• 
$$W_1(x) \le V(t,x) \le W_2(x), \forall t \ge 0, x \in D$$

•  $\dot{V}(t,x) \le 0, \forall t \ge 0, x \in D$ 

where  $W_1, W_2: D \to R$  continuous and positive definite, then  $x^* = 0$  is uniformly stable.

If further  $\dot{V}(t,x) \leq -W_3(x)$ ,  $\forall t \geq 0$ ,  $x \in D$  with  $W_3: D \to R$  continuous and positive definite, the  $x^* = 0$  is uniformly asymptotically stable.

If  $D = R^n$  and  $W_1$  is radialy unbounded then  $X^* = 0$  is globally uniformly asymptotically stable. **Example.** Consider the equation  $\dot{x}(t) = -(1+t)x(t)$ .

Check function  $V(t,x) = \frac{1}{2}x^2$  as candidate for Lyapunov's function. Then  $W_1(x) = W_2(x) = \frac{1}{2}x^2$  and  $\dot{V}(t,x) = -(1+t)x^2 \le -x^2(t) =: W_3(x)$ . Then from theorem we have, that  $X^* = 0$  is globally uniformly asymptotically stable.

#### 2.2 Exponential stability

**Definition.** Point  $X^* = 0$  is an exponentially stable EP of (3) if  $\exists \lambda, c, k > 0$  s.t.  $t \geq t_0 \geq 0$  and all  $||x_0|| < c$  follows  $||x(t)|| \leq K||x(t_0)||e^{\lambda(t-t_0)}$ .

Remark: from exponential stability follows uniformly asymptotically stability.

**Lemma 2** (Auxiliary result). Let  $\dot{x}(t) = f(t, x(t))$ , f scalar and  $\dot{\xi}(t) \leq f(t, \xi(t))$  with  $\xi(t_0) \leq x(t_0)$ . Then  $\xi(t) \leq x(t) \ \forall t \geq t_0$ .

**Theorem 2.2.** Let  $f:[0,\infty)\times D\to R^n$  be continuous and  $x^*=0\in D$  be an EP.

If there is a differentiable function  $V:[0,\infty)\times D\to R$  and constants  $k_1,k_2,k_3,a>0$  s.t.

- 1.  $k_1||x||^a \le V(t,x) \le k_2||x||^a, \forall t \ge 0, x \in D$
- 2.  $\dot{V}(t,x) \leq -k_3 ||x||^a$

then  $x^* = 0$  is exponentially stable.

If  $D = \mathbb{R}^n$ , then  $X^*$  is globally exponential stable.

*Proof.* For c>0 small enough, trajectories initialized in  $\{x:k_2||x||^a< c\}$  remain bounded and in D. From 1) and 2) we can conclude  $\dot{V}\leq -\frac{k_3}{k_2}V$ . Then from previous Lemma  $V(t,x(t))\leq -\frac{k_3}{k_2}V$ .

$$V(t_0, x(t_0))e^{-\frac{k_3}{k_2}(t-t_0)}. \quad \text{Then } ||x(t)|| \leq [from(1)] \leq \left(\frac{V(t, x(t))}{k_1}\right)^{\frac{1}{a}} \leq \left(\frac{V(t_0, x(t_0))e^{-\frac{k_3}{k_2}(t-t_0)}}{k_1}\right)^{\frac{1}{a}} \leq \left(\frac{V(t_0, x(t_0))e^{-\frac{k_3}{k_2}(t-t_0)}}{k_1}\right)^{\frac{1}{a}}$$

$$\left(\frac{k_2||x(t_0)||^a e^{-\frac{k_3}{k_2}(t-t_0)}}{k_1}\right)^{\frac{1}{a}} = \left(\frac{k_2}{k_1}\right)^{\frac{1}{a}} ||x(t_0)|| e^{-\frac{k_3}{k_2a}(t-t_0)}$$

**Example.** Consider the equation  $\dot{x}(t) = -(1+t)x(t)$ .

Here  $V(t,x) = \frac{1}{2}x^2$  then  $X^*$  is exponentially stable.

#### 2.3 Comparsion function

**Definition.** A function  $\alpha:[0,\delta)\to[0,\infty)$  is (of) "klass K" if it is continuous, strictly increasing, and  $\alpha(0)=0$ .

**Definition.** A function  $\alpha:[0,\delta)\to[0,\infty)$  is "class  $K_\infty$  if  $\alpha inK$  and  $\lim_{r\to\infty}\to\infty$ .

**Example.** Function  $\alpha(r) = \tan^{-1}(r) - \text{class } K$ 

Function  $\alpha(r) = r^k - \text{class } K_{\infty}$ 

**Definition.** A function  $\beta: [0, \delta) \times [0, \delta) \to [0, \infty)$  is "class KL if it is continuous,  $\beta(\cdot, s) \in K$  for all fixed s, and for each fixed r,  $\beta(r, \cdot)$  is strictly decreasing:  $\lim_{s\to\infty} \beta(r, s) = 0$ 

**Example.** Function  $\beta(x,s) = max(r,r^2)e^s$  belong class KL.

Properties of compasion functions:

- If  $\alpha \in K$  on  $[0, \delta)$ , then  $\alpha^{-1}$  is defined on  $[0, \alpha(\delta))$  and  $\alpha^{-1} \in K$ .
- If  $\alpha \in K_{\infty}$ , then  $\alpha^{-1} \in K_{\infty}$
- If  $\alpha_1, \alpha_2 \in K$ , then  $\alpha_1 \circ \alpha_2 \in K$  (same for  $K_{\infty}$
- If  $\alpha_1, \alpha_2 \in K$ ,  $\beta \in KL$  then  $\alpha_1(\beta(\alpha_2(r), s)) \in KL$

Now we conseider comparsion functions and stability definitions.

**Lemma 3.** The equilibrium  $x^* = 0$  of  $\dot{x}(t) = f(t, x(t))$  is uniformly stable iff  $\exists \alpha \in K$  and c > 0 s.t.  $\forall t \geq t_0, \forall ||x(t_0)|| < c$  and  $||x(t)|| \leq \alpha(||x(t_0)||)$ .

(only sufficiency). Given  $\epsilon > 0$  choose  $\delta < \min(c, \alpha^{-1}(\epsilon))$ . Then from  $||x(t_0)|| < \delta$  follows  $||x(t)|| \le \alpha(||x(t_0)||) < \alpha(\alpha^{-1}(\epsilon)) = \epsilon$ .

**Lemma 4.** The equilibrium  $x^* = 0$  of  $\dot{x}(t) = f(t, x(t))$  is uniformly asymptotically stable iff  $\exists \beta \in KL \text{ and } c > 0 \text{ s.t. } \forall t \geq t_0, \forall ||x(t_0)|| < c \text{ and } ||x(t)|| \leq \beta(||x(t_0)||, t - t_0).$ 

(only sufficiency). Let  $||x(t_0)|| < c$ . Then  $||x(t)|| \le \beta(||x(t_0)||, t - t_0) < \beta(c, t - t_0)$ . This mean uniform convergence.  $||x(t)|| \le \beta(||x(t_0)||, t - t_0) < \beta(||x_{t_0}||, 0)$ . This gives us uniform stability.  $\square$ 

**Lemma 5.** The equilibrium  $x^* = 0$  of  $\dot{x}(t) = f(t, x(t))$  is uniformly asymptotically stable iff  $\exists \beta \in KL \text{ and } c > 0 \text{ s.t. } \forall t \geq t_0, \forall ||x(t_0)|| < c \text{ and } ||x(t)|| \leq \beta(||x(t_0)||, t - t_0).$ 

(only sufficiency). Let  $||x(t_0)|| < c$ . Then  $||x(t)|| \le \beta(||x(t_0)||, t - t_0) < \beta(c, t - t_0)$ . This mean uniform convergence.  $||x(t)|| \le \beta(||x(t_0)||, t - t_0) < \beta(||x_{t_0}||, 0)$ . This gives us uniform stability.  $\square$ 

**Lemma 6.** The equilibrium  $x^* = 0$  of  $\dot{x}(t) = f(t, x(t))$  is globally uniformly asymptotically stable iff previous lemma holds for all  $x_0 \in \mathbb{R}^n$ .

Now consider comparsion functions and Lyapunov functions

If  $W: R^n \to R$  is continuous and positive definite, then  $\forall r > 0 \ \exists \alpha_1, \alpha_2 \in K$  s.t.  $\alpha_1(||x||) \le W(x) \le \alpha_2(|x||)$  for all  $x \in B_r(0) = \{x|||x|| \le r\}$ .

If W is radially unbounded, then  $\exists \alpha_1, \alpha_2 \in K_{\infty}$  s.t.  $\alpha_1(||x||) \leq W(x) \leq \alpha_2(|x||)$  for all  $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ .

**Lemma 7** (Auxility). Consider  $\dot{y} = \alpha(y)$ ,  $y(t_0) = y_0 > 0$ ,  $\alpha \in K$ . Then  $\exists \beta \in KL$  s.t.  $y(t) = \beta(y_0, t - t_0)$ .

Sketch of proof of Lyapunov's direct method:

$$\begin{cases} W_1(x) \le V(t, x) \le W_2(x) \\ \dot{V} \le -W_3(x) \end{cases}$$

Where  $W_1, W_2, W_3$  – continuous and positive defined.

Then  $\exists \alpha_1, \alpha_2, \alpha_3 \in K$  such that  $\alpha_1(||x||) \leq V(t, x) \leq \alpha_2(||x||)$  and  $\dot{V}(t, x) \leq -\alpha_3(||x||)$ .

Proof uniform stability:

$$||x(t)|| \le \alpha_1^{-1}(V(t, x(t))) \le [\alpha_1 i n K] \le \alpha_1^{-1}(V(t_0, x(t_0))) \le \alpha_1^{-1}(\alpha_2(||x(t_0)||)).$$

Proof uniform convergence

$$\begin{split} \dot{V} &\leq -\alpha_3(||x||) \leq -\alpha_3(\alpha_2^{-1}(V)). \text{ We know, that } \alpha_3 \circ \alpha_2^{-1} \in K. \text{ By comparsion lemma, } V(t,x(t)) \leq W(t), \text{ where } W \text{ solves } \dot{W} &= -\alpha_3(\alpha_2^{-1}(W)) \text{ with } W(t_0) = V(t_0,x(t_0)). \text{ By auxility lemma } \exists \beta \in KL \text{ s.t. } V(t,x(t)) \leq \beta(V(t_0,x(t_0)),t-t_0), \text{ then } ||x(t)|| \leq \alpha_1^{-1}(V(t,x(t))) \leq \alpha_1^{-1}(\beta(V(t_0,x(t_0)),t-t_0)) \leq \alpha_1^{-1}(\beta(\alpha_2(||x(t_0)||),t-t_0)) =: \bar{\beta}(||x(t_0)||,t-t_0). \text{ From this follows uniform asymptotic stability since } \bar{\beta} \in KL. \end{split}$$

#### 2.4 Converse theorems

**Theorem 2.3.** Let  $X^* = 0$  be an EP of  $\dot{x}(t) = f(t, x(t))$  with  $f: [0, \infty) \times \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}^n$  continuously differentiable and  $\frac{\partial f}{\partial x}$  bounded in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ , uniformly in  $\mathbf{t}$  ( $||\frac{\partial f}{\partial x}(t, x)|| \leq L$  for all  $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ ,  $t \geq 0$ , L > 0.

If  $x^*=0$  is globally exponentially stale, then exists differentiable  $V:[0,\infty)\times R^n\to R$  and  $c_1,c_2,c_3,c_4>0$  s.t.  $c_1||x||^2\leq V(t,x)\leq c_2||x||^2,\ \dot{V}(t,x)\leq -c_3||x||^2$  and  $\left\|\frac{\partial V}{\partial x}\right\|\leq c_4||x||$ .

*Proof.* Let  $\Phi(\tau;t,x)$  – solution to  $\dot{x}(t)=f(t,x(t))$  which static at (t,x).

 $\begin{array}{ll} V(t,x) \,=\, \int_t^{t+\delta} \Phi^T(\tau;t,x) \Phi(\tau;t,x) d\tau, & \delta > 0. \quad \text{Upper bound:} \quad V(t,x) \,=\, \int_t^{t+\delta} ||\Phi(\tau;t,x)||_2^2 d\tau \,\leq \\ [exponential \ stability] \leq \int_t^{t+\delta} k^2 e^{-2\lambda(\tau-t)} d\tau ||x||_2^2 = \frac{k^2}{2\lambda} (1-e^{-2\lambda\delta}) ||x||_2^2. \end{array}$ 

Lower bound: since  $\left\| \frac{\partial V}{\partial x} \right\| \leq L$ , then  $||f(t,x)||_2 \leq L||x||_2$ . Thus by comparation lemma  $||\Phi(\tau;t,x)||_2^2 \geq ||x||_2^2 e^{-2L(\tau-t)}$ . Set it in  $V(t,x) \geq \int_t^{t+\delta} e^{-2L(\tau-t)} d\tau ||x||_2^2 = \frac{1}{2L} (1-e^{-2L\delta}) ||x||_2^2$ .

Decrease conditions:  $\dot{V}(t,x) = \cdots \leq -(1 - k^2 e^{-2\lambda \delta})||x||_2^2$ .

### 3 System with inputs

Consider equation:

$$\dot{x}(t) = f(x(t), u(t)), \quad x(0) = x_0$$
 (4)

where  $f: \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}^n$ .

Assumption: f in locally Lipschitz.

Exageneous signa  $u: R \to R^n$ .

Input can be "bad" (disturbance) or "good" (control).

#### 3.1 Input-to-state stability

Motivation: LTI system  $\dot{x} = Ax + Bu$ ,  $x(0) = x_0$ .

Solution:  $x(t) = e^{At}x_0 + \int_0^t e^{A(t-\tau)}Bu(\tau)d\tau$ . If A is Hurwitz, then  $||e^{At}|| \le ce^{-\lambda t}$  for some  $c, \lambda > 0$ .

How large can x grow for some bounded u?  $||x(t)|| \leq ||e^{At}|| ||x_0|| + \int_0^t ||e^{A(t-\tau)}|| ||B|| ||u(\tau)|| d\tau \leq e^{-\lambda t} c||x_0|| + \int_0^t e^{-\lambda (t-\tau)} c||B|| ||u(\tau)|| d\tau = ce^{-\lambda t} ||x_0|| + (1-e^{-\lambda t}) \frac{c}{\lambda} ||B|| \sup_{\tau \in [0,t]} ||u(\tau)||.$ 

- $ce^{-\lambda t}||x_0||$  class KL in  $(||x_0||,t)$
- $(1 e^{-\lambda t})$  less than 1
- $\frac{c}{\lambda}||B||\sup||u(\tau)||$  class K

If  $\sup_{\tau \in [0,t]} ||u(\tau)||$  is bounded than  $\dot{x}$  remains bounded. Even more: the smaller  $\sup_{\tau \in [0,t]} ||u(\tau)||$ , the smaller ||x(t)||.

**Definition.** System (4) is input-to-state stable (ISS) if  $\exists \beta \in KL, \ \gamma \in K \text{ s.t. } \forall x_0 \in R^n, \ \forall t \geq 0$  follows  $||x(t)|| \leq \beta(||x_0||, t) + \gamma(\sup_{\tau \in [0, t]} ||u(\tau)||)$ .

Remarks:

- From ISS follows O-GAS (global assymptotical stability of x = 0 for  $\dot{x} = f(x, 0)$
- $\bullet$   $\gamma$  can be interpreted as "gain" w.r.t. u

• if  $\lim_{t\to\infty} u(t) = 0$  then  $\lim_{t\to\infty} x(t) = 0$ 

**Example.** Consider equation  $\dot{x} = -x + xu$ . System is O-GASS, not ISS (for example  $u \equiv \alpha \Rightarrow \dot{x} = x(\alpha - 1)$  all solution diverge).

**Example.** Consider equation  $\dot{x} = -3x + (1 + 2x^2)u$ . System is O-GASS, not ISS (for example  $u \equiv 1$ ,  $x_0 = 2$ ,  $x(t) = \frac{3-e^t}{3-2e^t}$  has a finite escape time.

**Theorem 3.1.** Suppose that there exists a continuously differentiable function  $V: \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}$  and  $\alpha_1, \alpha_2 \in K_{\infty}$  and  $\alpha_3, \rho \in K$  such that  $\alpha_1(||x||) \leq V(x) \leq \alpha_2(||x||)$ ,  $\forall x \in \mathbb{R}^n$  and  $\frac{\partial V}{\partial x} f(x, u) \leq -\alpha_3(||x||)$ ,  $\forall x: ||x|| \geq \rho(||u||)$ . Then (4) is ISS with  $\gamma = \alpha_1^{-1} \circ \alpha_2 \circ \rho$ 

*Proof.* Idea: same as Lyapunovs direct method when x is "outside" of ball  $\{x|||x|| \le \rho(||u||)\}$ 

**Example.** Consider equality  $\dot{x} = -x^3 + u$ . Let  $V(x) = \frac{1}{2}x^2$ , then  $\dot{V} = -x^4 + xu = [0 < \Theta < 1] = -(1 - \Theta)x^4 - \Theta x^4 + xu \le -(1 - \Theta)x^4$  for all  $x : ||x|| \ge \left(\frac{||u||}{\Theta}\right)^{\frac{1}{3}}$ . Thus, system is ISS with  $\gamma(v) = \rho(v) = \left(\frac{v}{\Theta}\right)^{\frac{1}{3}}$ .

Remarks:

- Existence of V is both neccessary and sufficient for ISS;
- (??) is equivalent to  $\frac{\partial V}{\partial x}f(x,u) \leq -\alpha_4(||x||) + \alpha_5(||u||), \forall x, u \text{ for some } \alpha_4, \alpha_5 \in K;$
- If  $x_1 = 0$  is a globally asymptotically stable EP of  $\Sigma_1$  and  $\Sigma_2$  is ISS w.r.t. "input"  $x_1$ , then  $(x_1, x_2) = (0, 0)$  is a globally asymptotically stable EP for the cascaded system.

**Theorem 3.2.** Assume that:

- f is globally Lipschitz;
- x=0 is a globally exponentially stable EP for  $\dot{x}=f(x,0)$

Then the system (4) is ISS.

*Proof.* Sketch:  $\exists$  continuous differentiable V:

$$c_1||x||^2 \le V(x) \le c_2||x||^2$$
$$\frac{\partial V}{\partial x}f(x,0) \le -c_3||x||^2$$
$$||\frac{\partial V}{\partial x}|| \le c_4||x||$$

Then:

Then:
$$\frac{\partial V}{\partial x} f(x, u) = \frac{\partial V}{\partial x} f(x, 0) + \frac{\partial V}{\partial x} (f(x, u) - f(x, 0) \le -c_3 ||x||^2 + c_4 ||x|| |L||u|| = -c_3 (1 - \theta) ||x||^2 + c_4 L||x|||u|| \le -c_3 (1 - \theta) ||x||^2$$
if  $||x|| \ge \frac{c_4 L}{\theta c_3} ||u||$ .

### 3.2 Control Lyapunov functions

Motivation: Lyapunov theory for control systems.

(input affine systems)

 $\dot{x} = f(x) + \sum_{i=1}^{m} g_i(x)u_i = f(x) + G(x)u,$  $f: R^n \to R^n, g: R^n \to R^n, G: R^n \to R^{n \times m}$ 

 $u: t \to u(t), R \to R^m$  is a control signal (decision variable).

**Definition.** A function  $V: \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}$  is a control Lyapunov function (CLF) if it's differentiable positive definite, radially unbounded and

$$\forall x \neq 0 \quad \inf_{u} (\nabla V(x) \cdot (f(x) + G(x)u)) < 0 \tag{5}$$

Remark:

Concept can be generalized to systems  $\dot{x} = f(x, u)$ . Then 5 becomes

$$\forall x \neq 0 \quad \inf_{u} (\nabla V(x) \cdot f(x, u)) < 0$$

**Theorem 3.3** (Artstein). There exists  $k: \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}^m$  (state feedback) which is continuous on  $\mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\}$  s.t.  $x^* = 0$  is globally asymptotically stable EP for  $\dot{x} = f(x) + G(x)k(x)$  iff there exists a CLF.

How to find CLFs?

Proposition:

Condition (5) is equivalent to

$$\forall x \neq 0, \quad \frac{\partial V}{\partial x}G(x) = 0 \implies L_f V(x) < 0$$
 (6)

Remark:

$$\frac{\partial V}{\partial x}G(x) = (\nabla V(x)g_1(x), \dots \nabla V(x)g_m(x)) =: L_G V(x)$$
(6)  $\iff \forall x \neq 0, \ L_f V(x) \geq 0 \implies L_G V(x) \neq 0$ 

 $Proof. \iff$ :

Assume (6) holds. Then:

$$\inf_{u} (\nabla V(x) \cdot (f(x) + G(x)u)) = \inf_{u} L_f V(x) + L_G V(x)u < 0$$

Why?

- If  $L_G V(x) = 0$ , then by (6)  $L_f V(x) < 0$ ;
- If  $L_GV(x) \neq 0$ , then (at least) for one i we have  $\nabla V(x) \cdot g_i(x) \neq 0 \implies \text{set } u_i = -c\nabla V(x) \cdot g_i(x)$ .

 $\Longrightarrow$ :

If (5) holds for some x with  $L_GV(x) = 0$ , then we must have  $L_fV(x) < 0$ .

Example (discontinuous control):

$$\dot{x} = \begin{cases} 1 - u, & u \ge 1 \\ -1 - u, & u \le -1 \\ 0, & else \end{cases}$$

If you want to move the system you need to apply control  $|u| \ge 1$ . Using

$$V(x) = \begin{cases} x+1, & x > 0 \\ x-1, & x \le 0 \end{cases}$$

results in closed loop  $\dot{x} = -x$  - asymptotically stable.  $V(x) = x^2$  is a CLF.

**Theorem 3.4.** There exists a continuous  $k: \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}^m$ , smooth on  $\mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\}$  s.t.  $x^* = 0$  is globally asymptotically stable EP for  $\dot{x} = f(x) + G(x)k(x)$  iff:

- there exists a (smooth)CLF V;
- $\begin{array}{l} \bullet \ \, \forall \varepsilon > 0 \ \, \exists \delta > 0 : \ \, \forall x : 0 < ||x|| < \delta \\ \exists u \in R^m : ||u|| < \varepsilon \ \, \text{s.t.} \, \, L_f V(x) + L_G V(x) u < 0 \end{array}$

How to construct a globally stabilizing state feedback k from knowledge of a CLF?

"Sontag's formula"

Fix 
$$c \ge 0, a(x) := L_f V(x), b(x) := (L_G V(x))^T$$

$$k(x) = \begin{cases} -cb(x) - \frac{a(x) + \sqrt{a(x)^2 + (b(x)^T b(x))^2}}{b(x)^T b(x)}, & b(x) \neq 0\\ 0, & b(x) = 0 \end{cases}$$

Proposition: Let  $V: \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}$  be a CLF and k as above. Then  $x^* = 0$  is globally asymptotically stable EP for  $\dot{x} = f(x) + G(x)k(x)$ 

Proof. 
$$\dot{V} = L_f V(x) + L_G V(x) k(x) = a(x) - cb(x)^T b(x) - \frac{a(x) + \sqrt{a(x)^2 + (b(x)^T b(x))^2}}{b(x)^T b(x)} b(x)^T b(x) = -cb(x)^T b(x) - \sqrt{a(x)^2 + (b(x)^T b(x))^2} < 0 \quad \forall x \neq 0 \text{ s.t. } L_G V(x) \neq 0$$

$$\dot{V} = L_f V(x) + L_G V(x) \cdot 0 < 0 \ \forall x \neq 0 \text{ s.t. } L_G V(x) = 0 \text{ (since } V \text{ is CLF)}$$

$$\implies V$$
 - Lyapunov function  $\implies \dots$ 

Remarks:

- Sontag's formula is smooth on  $\mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\}$ ;
- Sontag's formula is continuous at x = 0 iff small control property holds.

$$\forall x \neq 0 : \inf_{u} \frac{\partial V}{\partial x} f(x, u) < 0 \ \dot{x} = f(x) + G(x)u$$

So this leads to

$$\forall x \neq 0 L_G V(x) = 0 \Rightarrow L_f V(x) \neq 0$$

Remark: The last formula is "optimal" if minimize:

$$\int_0^\infty \frac{1}{2} p(x) b(x)^T b(x) + \frac{1}{2p(x)} u^T u dt$$

$$b(x) := (L_G V(x))^T$$

where c > 0

$$p(x) = \begin{cases} c + \frac{a(x) + \sqrt{a(x)^2 + (b(x)^T b(x))^2}}{b(x)^T b(x)} & b(x) \neq 0\\ c & b(x) = 0 \end{cases}$$

It still works if  $u = \lambda h(x)$  with  $\lambda \in [\frac{1}{2}; \infty)$  is applied (large "gain margin")

### 4 Backstepping

Integrator backstepping

$$\dot{x}_1 = f_1(x_1) + g_1(x_1)x_2$$

$$\dot{x}_2 = u$$
(7)

where  $x_1 \in \mathbb{R}^m$ ,  $x_2$ ,  $u \in \mathbb{R}$  (single input)

image to be inserted

Assumption: we know (smooth) "feedback"  $\alpha_1: \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}$ , and positive definite, differentiable  $v_1: \mathbb{R}^m \to \mathbb{R}$ 

s.t.  $L_{f_1+g_1\alpha_1}V_1(x)$  is negative definite  $\Rightarrow$  origin of  $\dot{x_1} = f_1(x_1) + g_1(x_1)\alpha_1(x_1)$  is asymptotically stable

Goal: Compute feedback u = k(x) which stabilises (7). Backstepping constructs  $u = \alpha_2(x_1, x_2)$  s.t.  $(e_1, e_2) = (x_1 - 0, x_2 - \alpha_1(x_1)) = 0$  error coordinates

Rewrite (7):

$$\dot{x}_1 = f_1(x_1) + g_1\alpha_1(x_1) + g_1(x_1)(x_2 - \alpha_1(x_1))$$

$$\dot{x}_2 = u$$

image to be inserted

In error coordinates

$$\dot{e}_{1} = f_{1}(e_{1}) + g_{1}(e_{1})\alpha_{1}(e_{1}) + g_{1}(e_{1})e_{2} 
\dot{e}_{2} = u - \dot{\alpha}_{1} = u - \frac{\partial \alpha_{1}}{\partial e_{1}}\dot{e}_{1} = u - \frac{\partial \alpha_{1}}{\partial e_{1}}$$
(8)

"backstepping"  $\alpha_1$  through the integrator

Define  $V_2(e_2) := \frac{1}{2}e_2^2$ , and

$$V(e_1, e_2) = V_1(e_1) + V_2(e_2)$$

$$\dot{V}(e_1, e_2) = \frac{\partial V_1}{\partial e_1} (f_1(e_1) + g_1(e_1)\alpha_1(e_1)) + \frac{\partial V_1}{\partial e_1} g_1(e_1)e_2 + \frac{\partial V_2}{\partial e_2} (u - \dot{\alpha}_1)$$

as far as  $L_{f_1+g_1\alpha_1}V_1$  -negative definite and  $\frac{\partial V_2}{\partial e_2} o e_2$ 

Choose

$$u = \left(-\frac{\partial V_1}{\partial e_1}g_1(e_1) + \dot{\alpha}_1\right)(\text{"canaling terms"}) - k_2 e_2(\text{"stabilizing term"})k_2 > 0 \tag{9}$$

$$\Rightarrow$$
 Then  $\dot{V}(e_1, e_2) = L_{f_1 + g_1 \alpha_1} V_1(e_1) - k_2 e_2^2 < 0, \ \forall (e_1, e_2) \neq 0$ 

$$\Rightarrow$$
 Then  $\dot{V}(e_1, e_2) = L_{f_1 + g_1 \alpha_1} V_1(e_1) - k_2 e_2^2 < 0 \ \forall (e_1, e_2) \neq 0$ 

 $\Rightarrow$   $(e_1, e_2) = (0, 0)$  is an asymptotically stable EP for (8) with u as in (9)

Remark:  $(e_1, e_2) \rightarrow (0, 0)$  does not necessarily imply that  $(x_1, x_2) \rightarrow 0$  for  $u = \alpha_2(x_1, x_2) = -\frac{\partial V_1}{\partial x_1}g_1(x_1) + \dot{\alpha}_1 - k_2(x_2 - \alpha_1(x_1))$ 

where  $u \leftarrow (9)$  the original coordinates and  $\dot{\alpha_1} \leftarrow \frac{\partial \alpha_1}{\partial x_1} (f_1(x_1) + g_1(x_1)x_2)$ 

But  $(x_1, x_2) = (0, 0)$  is asymptotically stable if  $\alpha_1(0) = 0$  why?  $(e_1, e_2) \rightarrow 0 \Rightarrow x_1 \rightarrow 0 \ x_2 \rightarrow \alpha_1(0) = 0$ 

Example.

$$\dot{x_1} = x_1 x_2$$

$$\dot{x_2} = u$$

Choose 
$$\alpha_1(x_1) = -k \ (k > 0) \rightarrow \dot{x_1} = -kx_1 \Rightarrow V_1(x_1) = \frac{1}{2}x_1^2$$

Then:

$$e_1 = x_1 - 0 \ \dot{e_1} = e_1(e_2 - k)$$

$$e_2 = x_2 + k \ e_2 = u$$

Backstepping yields:  $u = -e_1^2 - k_2 e_2 \ k_2 > 0 \Rightarrow (e_1, e_2) = (0, 0)$  is asymptotically stabilized  $(x_1, x_2) = (0, -k)$  is asymptotically stabilized

Can we choose different  $\alpha_1$  s.t.  $(x_1, x_2) = (0, 0)$  is stabilized?

Yes, e.g.

$$\alpha_1(x_1) = -x_1^2 \Rightarrow \dot{x_1} = -x_1^3 V_1(x_1) = \frac{1}{2}x_1^2$$

So we have equations

$$e_1 = x_1 - 0 \ \dot{e_1} = e_1(e_2 - e_1^2)$$
  
 $e_2 = x_2 + x_1^2 \ \dot{e_2} = u + 2e_1^2(e_2 - e_1^2)$ 

Backstepping results in

$$u = -e_1^2 - 2e_1^2(e_2 - e_1^2) - k_2e_2, \ k_2 > 0 \Rightarrow (e_1, e_2) \to (0, 0), \ (x_1, x_2) \to (0, 0)$$

Generalization-1

$$\dot{x_1} = f_1(x_1) + g_1(x_1)x_2$$
$$\dot{x_2} = f_2(x_1, x_2) + g_2(x_1, x_2)u$$

Assumption:  $g_2(x_1, x_2) \neq 0 \forall x_1, x_2 \Rightarrow \text{Input transformation: } u = \frac{1}{g_2(x_1, x_2)} (V - f_2(x_1, x_2)) \Rightarrow \dot{x_1} = f_1(x_1) + g_1(x_1)x_2 \ \dot{x_2} = V \Rightarrow \text{can apply integrator backstepping to determine } V \text{ results in}$ 

$$u = \alpha_2(x_1, x_2) = \frac{1}{q_2(x_1, x_2)} \left( -\frac{\partial V_1}{\partial x_1} g_1(x_1) + \dot{\alpha}_1 - k_2(x_2 - \alpha_1(x_1)) - f_2(x_1, x_2) \right)$$

Generalization 2: (Backstepping through 2 integrators)

$$\dot{x}_1 = f_1(x_1) + g_1(x_1)x_2, \quad x_1 \in \mathbb{R}^{n_1}$$

$$\dot{x}_2 = f_2(x_1, x_2) + g_2(x_1, x_2)x_3, \quad x_2, x_3 \in \mathbb{R}$$

$$\dot{x}_3 = f_3(x_1, x_2, x_3) + g_3(x_1, x_2, x_3)u, \quad u \in \mathbb{R}$$

Assumption:  $g_2, g_3$  nowhere zero.

Shown before:  $\exists \alpha_2$ : for  $x_3 = \alpha_2(x_1, x_2)$   $(e_1, e_2) \to 0$ Thus  $e_3 := x_3 - \alpha_2(x_1, x_2)$ 

Input transformation:

$$u = \frac{1}{g_3(x_1, x_2, x_3)} (V - f_3(x_1, x_2, x_3))$$

 $\implies \dot{x}_1 = \dots, \dot{x}_2 = \dots, \dot{x}_3 = V \implies$  can apply backstepping once more.

In "error" coordinates:

$$\dot{e}_1 = f_1(e_1) + g_1(e_1)(e_2 + \alpha_1(e_1))$$

$$\dot{e}_2 = f_2(e_1, e_2 + \alpha_1(e_1)) + g_2(e_1, e_2 + \alpha_1(e_1))(e_3 + \alpha_2(e_1, e_2 + \alpha_1(e_1))) - \dot{\alpha}_1$$

$$\dot{e}_3 = V - \dot{\alpha}_2$$

Define 
$$V_3(e_3) = \frac{1}{2}e_3^2$$
,  $V(e_1, e_2, e_3) = \sum_{i=1}^3 V_i(e_i)$   

$$\dot{V}(e_1, e_2, e_3) = \frac{\partial V_1}{\partial e_1}(f_1(e_1) + g_1(e_1)(e_2 + \alpha_1(e_1)) + e_2(f_2(e_1, e_2 + \alpha_1(e_1)) + g_2(e_1, e_2 + \alpha_1(e_1))) + e_3(V - \dot{\alpha}_2)$$

$$\alpha_2(e_1, e_2 + \alpha_1(e_1))) - \dot{\alpha}_1) + e_3(V - \dot{\alpha}_2)$$

All the underlined terms were designed (previously) to be  $=L_{f_1+g_1\alpha_1}V_1(e_1)-k^2e_2^2<0$ 

So: 
$$\dot{V}(e_1, e_2, e_3) = L_{f_1 + g_1 \alpha_1} V_1(e_1) - k^2 e_2^2 + e_2 g_2(e_1, e_2 + \alpha_1(e_1)) e_3 + e_3 (V - \dot{\alpha}_2)$$

Structurally it is exactly the same as it was in backstepping through 1.

Choose:

$$V = \dot{\alpha}_2 - e_2 q_2(e_1, e_2 + \alpha_1(e_1)) - k_3 e_3$$

 $\dot{\alpha}_2 - e_2 g_2(e_1, e_2 + \alpha_1(e_1))$  - "cancelling terms".  $k_3 e_3$  - "stabilizing term".

In original coordinates:

$$u = \frac{1}{g_3(x_1, x_2, x_3)} (\dot{\alpha}_2 - (x_2 - \alpha_1(x_1))g_2(x_1, x_2) - k_3(x_3 - \alpha_2(x_1, x_2)) - f_3(x_1, x_2, x_3))$$

We need  $\alpha_1, \alpha_2$  to compute u.

#### General backstepping recursion:

Systems in "strict feedback form":

$$\dot{x}_1 = f_1(x_1) + g_1(x_1)x_2, \quad x_1 \in \mathbb{R}^{n_1} 
\dot{x}_2 = f_2(x_1, x_2) + g_2(x_1, x_2)x_3$$

. .

$$\dot{x}_k = f_k(x_1, \dots x_k) + g_k(x_1, \dots x_k)u, \quad x_2, \dots x_k, u \in R$$

 $g_2, \ldots g_k$  nowhere zero,  $f_i, g_i$  (sufficiantly) smooth, as it is needed in  $\alpha_i$ .

Backstepping recursion:

- 1. "Input data": a CLF  $V_1$  for  $\dot{x}_1 = f_1(x_1) + g_1(x_1)u_1$  with a (smooth) feedback  $u_1 = \alpha_1 x_1$ which as. stabilizes the origin of  $\dot{x}_1 = f_1(x_1) + g_1(x_1)u_1$ .
- 2. for i = 2, ... k:

construct a CLF  $V_i(e_i) = \frac{1}{2}e_i^2$ ,  $V = \sum_{j=1}^i V_j(e_j)$  and a feedback  $\alpha_1$  which as. stabilizes origin of  $(e_1, \ldots e_i) = (x_1, x_2 - \alpha_1(x_1), \ldots, x_i - \alpha_{i-1}(x_1, \ldots x_i))$ 

$$\alpha_i(x_1, \dots x_i) = \frac{1}{q_i} (\dot{\alpha}_{i-1} - \frac{\partial V_{i-1}}{\partial e_{i-1}} g_{i-1} - k_i (x_i - \alpha_{i-1} - f_i)$$

3. apply  $u = \alpha_k(x_1, \dots x_k)$ 

Backstepping and CLFs:

Backstepping is sensitive to uncertainties in  $f_i, g_i$  (due to cancelling terms)  $\implies$  Sontag's formula is more practical  $\implies$  we can use it since V is CLF.

Error system is input affine (using input transformation)  $\dot{e} = f(e) + g(e)V$ 

with 
$$f(e) = \begin{pmatrix} f_1(e_1) + g_1(e_1)(e_2 + \alpha_1(e_1)) \\ \dots \\ -\alpha_{k-1} \end{pmatrix}, g(e) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ \dots \\ 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$V(e) = \sum_{i=1}^{k} V_i(e_i)$$
 is a CLF.

*Proof.* For input affine systems we need to show  $L_qV=0 \implies L_fV<0, \ \forall e\neq 0.$ 

$$\dot{V}(e) = L_{f_1 + g_1 \alpha_1} V_1(e_1) - \sum_{i=2}^{k-1} k_i e_i^2 + e_{k-1} g_{k-1}(\dots) e_k - e_k \dot{\alpha}_{k-1} + e_k u.$$
Here  $e_k u = L_g V$  and the rest is  $L_f V$ .

Assume  $L_q V = 0 \iff e_k = 0$ 

$$\implies L_f V = L_{f_1 + g_1 \alpha_1} V_1(e_1) - \sum_{i=2}^{k-1} k_i e_i^2 < 0 \quad \forall e \neq 0 \text{ with } e_k = 0.$$

 $\implies$  We can apply Sontag's formula to construct V.

This theory can be extended to systems with  $x_2, \ldots x_k, u \in \mathbb{R}^m$  ("block backstepping").

#### 5 Systems with inputs and outputs

Study/control systems  $\dot{x} = f(x, u)$  with "output" y(t) = W(x(t))

### 5.1 Sliding mode control

Motivating example

$$\dot{x_1} = x_2$$

$$\dot{x_2} = u \Rightarrow \dot{y} = x_2 + u$$

$$y = x_1 + x_2$$

Choose:

$$u = \begin{cases} -x_2 - 1, & y > 0 \\ -x_2 + 1, & y < 0 \\ -x_2, & y = 0 \end{cases}$$
$$\Rightarrow \dot{y} = \begin{cases} -1, & y > 0 \\ +1, & y < 0 \\ 0, & y = 0 \end{cases}$$

Solutions(Laratheodory) are if y(0) > 0, then

$$y(t) = \begin{cases} y(0) - t, & t \le y(0) \\ 0, & t > y(0) \end{cases}$$

If y(0) < 0, then

$$y(t) = \begin{cases} y(0) + t, & t \le y(0) \\ 0, & t > -y(0) \end{cases}$$

Key property: choose u s.t. y(t) goes to zero in finite time  $\Rightarrow x(t)$  tends  $\{(x_1, x_2) \in \mathbb{R}^2 | x_1 + x_2 = 0\}$  in finite time

Consider dynamics on S

$$\begin{cases} \dot{x_1} = x_2(x_2 = -x_1 \text{ if } y = 1) = -x_1 \\ \dot{x_2} = u = -x_2 \end{cases}$$

globally as stable

Two "phases"

- 1. solutions converge to S in finite time
- 2. solutions converge to zero ("on S") asymptotically

 $\rightsquigarrow$  "sliding mode" control

Remark: in (1) "finite time convergence is crucial"

General procedure:

$$\dot{x} = f(x) + q(x)uy = h(x) = s(x)$$

$$f: \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}^n, \ y: \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}^n, \ s: \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}$$

u - scalar input, s(x) - sliding

single input, single output

Assumptions: y has relative degree 1, well - defined globally, i.e.  $L_g s(x) \neq 0 \ \forall \in \mathbb{R}^n$ 

### 6 Exercises

#### 6.1 Exercise 1

Problem 1:

*Proof.* For any  $t \geq 0$ , we have

$$\frac{d}{dt}V(x(t)) = \frac{d}{dt}(V \circ x)(t) = \langle \nabla V(x(t)), \frac{d}{dt}x(t) \rangle = \langle \nabla V(x(t)), f(x(t)) \rangle = L_f V(x(t))$$

Problem 2:

Proof.

**Lemma 8.** Given the assumptions in Problem 2, if there exists a solution  $x:[0,+\infty]\to R^n, t\to x(t)$ , of  $\dot{x}=f(x)$  s.t.  $x(t)\in K$  for any  $t\geq 0$ , where  $k\subset R^n$  is a compact with  $O\in K$  (O - origin), then  $x(t)\xrightarrow{t\to +\infty} 0$ .

Clearly, for any c > 0,  $lev_{\leq c}V$  is positive invariant w.r.t  $\dot{x} = f(x)$ . Given c > 0, let  $x_0 \in lev_{\leq c}V$ , i.e.,  $V(x_0) \leq c$ . Then, for any  $t \geq 0$ 

$$V(x(t)) = V(x_0) + \int_0^t \frac{d}{dt} V(x(\tau)) d\tau < V(x_0) \le c,$$

i.e.  $x(t) \in lev_{\leq c}V$  for any  $t \geq 0$ .

Then, for any  $x_0 \in lev_{\leq c}V$  there exists a solution  $x:[0,+\infty] \to R^n$  of  $\dot{x}=f(x)$  s.t.  $x(t) \in lev_{\leq c}V$  for all  $t \geq 0$ . Clearly,  $O \in lev_{\leq c}V$ . We conclude by using the above Lemma  $(K = lev_{\leq c}V)$ .

Problem 3:

*Proof.* Let r > 0. By assumption, there exists c > 0 s.t.  $\overline{B(0,r)} \subset lev_{\leq c}V$ .

Since any bounded set  $lev_{\leq c}V$  is a subset of the region of attraction, and since the sublevel sets are arbitrary large,  $R^n$  is also the region of attraction.

A condition that ensures that for any c > 0,  $lev_{\leq c}V$  is bounded is  $V(x) \xrightarrow{||x|| \to +\infty} +\infty$ .

Problem 4:

*Proof.* Let  $P: \mathbb{R}^2 \to \mathbb{R}^2$  be continuously differentiable. Consider

$$m\dot{v} = -g\nabla P(q).$$

Consider  $x=(q,v), \dot{q}=v, \dot{v}=-\frac{g}{m}\nabla P(q)$ . Let  $H:\mathbb{R}^2\to\mathbb{R}$  be defined by

$$H(q, v) = \frac{1}{2}||v||^2 + \frac{g}{m}P(q).$$

We have

$$\begin{pmatrix} \dot{q} \\ \dot{v} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} & I \\ -I & \end{pmatrix} \nabla H(q,v)$$

Since P is positive definite, then H is positive definite.

Then

$$L_{\begin{pmatrix} & I \\ -I & \end{pmatrix} \nabla H} H(q,v) = \langle \nabla H(q,v), \begin{pmatrix} & I \\ -I & \end{pmatrix} \nabla H(q,v) \rangle = 0 \quad \forall (q,v) \in R^2 \times R^2$$

 $\implies$  the origin is stable.

Problem 5:

*Proof.* For any  $t \geq 0$ , we have

Proof. For any 
$$t \geq 0$$
, we have 
$$\frac{d}{dt}V(t,x(t)) = \frac{d}{dt}(V \circ (id_R,x))(t) = [id_R : R \to R, t->t] = \left\langle \begin{pmatrix} \frac{\partial}{\partial t}V(t,x(t))\\ \frac{\partial}{\partial x}V(t,x(t)) \end{pmatrix}, \frac{d}{dt}(id_R(t),x(t)) \right\rangle = \left\langle \begin{pmatrix} \frac{\partial}{\partial t}V(t,x(t))\\ \frac{\partial}{\partial x}V(t,x(t)) \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 1\\ f(t,x(t)) \end{pmatrix} = \frac{\partial}{\partial t}V(t,x(t)) + \left\langle \frac{\partial}{\partial t}V(t,x(t)), f(t,x(t)) \right\rangle = L\begin{pmatrix} 1\\ f \end{pmatrix} V(x(t)).$$

$$g(t,x(t)) := \begin{pmatrix} 1\\ f(t,x(t)) \end{pmatrix}$$

Problem 6:

*Proof.* Consider  $\dot{x} = a \sin(\omega t)$ ,  $x(0) = x_0 \in R$   $a, \omega > 0$ .

This is solved by  $x(t) = -\frac{a}{\omega}\cos(\omega t) + \frac{a}{\omega} + x_0$ . Clearly, x is bounded on  $[0, +\infty]$  since  $x(t) \ge x_0$ , and  $x(t) \le x_0 + 2\frac{a}{\omega}$  for any  $t \ge 0$ .

Choose  $\varepsilon = \frac{a}{\omega}$  and  $t_0 = 0$ . Then  $\forall \delta > 0$   $\exists x_0 \in B(0, \delta)$ , namely  $x_0$ , s.t.  $\exists t \geq t_0$ , namely  $t = \frac{\pi}{\omega}$ , with  $x(t) \notin B(0, \varepsilon)$   $(x(\frac{\pi}{\omega}) = 2\frac{a}{\omega} > \varepsilon)$ .

Short notes:

Problem 7:

Take  $V(t, x) = \frac{1}{2}x^2$ .

Problem 8:

Take  $V(t,x) = x_1^2 + (1 + e^{-2t})x_2^2$ .

#### 6.2 Exercise 2

Problem 1:

*Proof.* a) Since  $\alpha_1$  is continuous and strictly increasing:

$$\forall x, y \in [0, \delta), x < y \quad \alpha_1(x) < \alpha_1(y)$$

 $\implies \alpha_1$  is injective, i.e.

$$\forall x, y \in [0, \delta), x \neq y \implies \alpha_1(x) \neq \alpha_1(y).$$

Clearly,  $\alpha_1:[0,\delta)\to\alpha_1([0,\delta))$  is surjective, i.e.

$$\forall y \in \alpha_1([0,\delta)) \ \exists x \in [0,\delta): \ \alpha_1(x) = y$$

Thus  $\alpha_1$  is bijective.

Define  $\alpha_1^{-1} : [0, \alpha_1(\delta)) \to [0, \delta)$  by  $\alpha_1^{-1}(\alpha_1(x)) = x$ .

- b) From a) we have  $\alpha_3^{-1} \in K$ . Since  $\alpha_3 \in K_\infty, \alpha_3 1$  is defined om  $[0, +\infty)$  and  $\alpha_3^{-1}(r) \xrightarrow{r \to \infty} \infty$
- c) Let  $\alpha = \alpha_1 \circ \alpha_2$ . Then we have  $\alpha(0) = \alpha_1(\alpha_2(0)) = 0$  and  $\alpha(r) > 0$  whenever r > 0. Moreover, for any x, y:

$$x < y \implies \alpha_2(x) < \alpha_2(y) \implies \alpha(x) = \alpha_1(\alpha_2(x)) < \alpha_1(\alpha_2(y)) = \alpha(y)$$

It is continuous (as composition of continuous functions).

d) From c) we have  $\alpha := \alpha_3 \circ \alpha_4 \in K$ ,  $\alpha$  is defined on  $[0, +\infty)$  since  $\alpha_3, \alpha_4 \in K_\infty$  and

$$r \to +\infty \implies \alpha_4(r) \to +\infty \implies \alpha(r) \to +\infty$$

e) For each  $s, r \mapsto \beta(\alpha_2(r), s)$  is of class K.

Thus  $r \mapsto \alpha_1(\beta(\alpha_2(r), s)) \in K$ .

For each  $r, s \mapsto \beta(\alpha_2(r), s)$  decreases.

Hence,  $s \mapsto \alpha_1(\beta(\alpha_2(r), s))$  decreases.

Moreover,

$$\alpha_1(\beta(\alpha_2(r),s)) \xrightarrow{s \to +\infty} 0$$

Problem 3:

*Proof.* For u=0 the origin is UGAS. Consider  $V:[0,+\infty)\times R\to R,\ (t,x)\mapsto \frac{1}{2}x^2.$  We have

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t}V(t,x) + \frac{\partial}{\partial x}V(t,x)f(t,x,u) = (\sin(t)-2)x^2 + xu \leq -x^2 + |x||u| = -(1-\theta)x^2 - \theta x^2 + |x||u|, \ \theta \in (0,1)$$

Hence, whenever  $|x| \geq \frac{|u|}{\theta}$ , the system is ISS with  $\gamma = \frac{r}{\theta}$ .

Problem 4:

Proof.

$$\dot{x} = -x + (x^2 + 1)d\tag{10}$$

$$\dot{x} = -2x - x^3 + (x^2 + 1)d\tag{11}$$

System (10): Clearly, the system is 0-GAS. However, for d=1 and x>1 we have  $x^2+1>x$ .

$$f(x,1) = -x + (x^2 + 1) > 0$$

and thus  $\dot{x} > 0$ . Hence, if  $x(0) = x_0 > 1$ , the solution diverges (in finite time).  $\implies$  System (10) isn't ISS.

System (11): It is 0-GAS. Moreover, for any finite d there exists a "large" x s.t.

$$2x + x^3 > (x^2 + 1)d$$

$$\implies f(x, d) = -2x - x^3 + (x^2 + 1)d < 0$$

and  $\dot{x} < 0 \implies \text{System 11 is ISS}$ .

Consider  $V: R \to R, x \mapsto \frac{1}{2}x^2$  s.t

$$V'(x)f(x,d) = -2x^2 - x^4 + x(x^2 + 1)d \le -x^2 - x^2(x^2 + 1) + (x^2 + 1)|x||d|$$

Hence, whenever  $|x| \geq |d|$ ,

$$V'(x) f(x,d) < -x^2$$

s.t. system (11) is ISS with  $\gamma(r) = r$ .

Problem 5:

Proof.

$$\langle \nabla V(x), -\nabla V(x) + \delta u \rangle \leq -||\nabla V(x)||^2 + |\langle \nabla V(x), \delta u \rangle| \leq |YI| \leq -||\nabla V(x)||^2 + \frac{1}{2}||\nabla V(x)||^2 + \frac{\delta^2}{2}||u||^2$$

Young's inequality:

$$\forall x,y: \ |\langle x,y\rangle| \leq \varepsilon \frac{||x||^p}{p} + \frac{||y||^q}{\varepsilon q}, \ p,q > 1, \frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} = 1, \varepsilon > 0$$

Hence, whenever  $||x|| > \frac{\delta}{\sqrt{c}}||u||, t \mapsto ||x(t)||$  is decreasing.

Moreover whenever  $||x|| \geq \frac{\delta}{\sqrt{c\theta}}||u||, \theta \in (0,1)$ , we have  $\langle \nabla V(x), -\nabla V(x) + \delta u \rangle \leq -\frac{c}{2}(1-\theta)||x||^2 \implies$  ISS.

#### 6.3 Exercise 3

Motivation: Lyapunov Theory

$$\dot{x} = f(x, u)$$

 $f: \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^m \to \mathbb{R}^n$ 

**Definition.** (CLF) A function  $V: \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}$  is a CLF if it is continuous differentiable, positive definite, radially unbounded and  $\forall x \neq 0 \text{ inf}_u < \nabla V(x), f(x, u) >< 0$ 

In order to find CLFs, we restrict our analysis to input -affine systems

$$\dot{x} = f(x) + G(x)u$$

where  $f: \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}^n$ ,  $G: \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}^{n \times m}$ 

Proposition: A continuous, differentiable, positive definite and radially unbounded.  $V: \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}$  is a CLF iff

$$\forall x \neq 0 \ L_G V(x) = 0 \Rightarrow L_f V(x) < 0$$

Image to be inserted

Problem 1

Consider  $\dot{x} = \cos(x) + (1 + e^x)u$  where  $f(x) = \cos(x)$ - drift and  $g(x) = 1 + e^x$ 

Let  $V: \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$ ,  $x \mapsto \frac{1}{2}x^2$ . Clearly, continuous differentiable, positive definite and radially unbounded. Moreover, for any nonzero x, we have  $L_GV(x) \neq 0$ .

Thus, for any  $x \neq 0$ , there exists a control that readers  $\langle \nabla V(x), f(x) + g(x)u \rangle$  negative. Givn this CLF, there exists a state feedback u = u(x), e.g.

$$u(x) = -\frac{kx + \cos(x)}{1 + e^x}, \ k > 0$$

Problem

Consider

$$\dot{x_1} = -x_1^3 + x_2 e^{x_1} \cos(x_2)$$
$$\dot{x_2} = x_1^5 \sin(x_2) + u$$

Take  $V: \mathbb{R}^2 \to \mathbb{R}, \ (x_1, x_2) \mapsto \frac{1}{2}(x_1^2 + x_2^2)$ 

For any  $x \neq 0$ , we have

$$\inf_{u \in \mathbb{R}} (L_f V(x) + L_G V(x) u) = \begin{cases} L_f V(x), & \text{if } L_G V(x) = 0\\ -\infty & \text{else} \end{cases}$$

In particular,

$$L_f V(x) = \dots = x_1 (-x_1^3 + x_2 e_1^x cos(x_2)) + x_2 x_1^5 sin(x_2)$$
  
 $L_G V(x) = \dots = x_2$ 

However,

$$L_f V(x)|_{x_2=0} = -x_1^4 < 0 \ \forall x_1 \neq 0$$

Image to be inserted

Concluding that V is a CLF.

Problem 2:

 $\dot{x} = Ax + Bu$ , input defined system where (A, B) is stabilizable, there exists  $K \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}$  s.t. A + BK is Hurwitz (cf. KRT). The latter is equivalent to the existance  $P = P^T > 0$  s.t.  $P(A + BK) + (A + BK)^T P < 0$  (cf. Khalil theorem 4,6)

Let  $V: \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}, x \mapsto \langle x, Px \rangle$ . Moreover,  $\forall x \neq 0 \exists u = Kx \text{ s.t. } \langle \nabla V(x), Ax + Bu \rangle \langle 0, \text{ since } X \rangle$ 

$$<\nabla V(x), Ax + Bu> = u=Kx < x, (P(A+BK) + (A+BK)^TP)x> < 0$$

In addition,

$$\forall \epsilon > 0 \exists \delta = \frac{\epsilon}{\|K\|} > 0 \ \forall x \neq 0, \ \|x\| < \delta \ \exists u = Kx \ \|u\| < \epsilon$$

s.t. 
$$L_f V(x) + L_G V(x) u < 0$$
 since  $||u|| = ||Kx|| \le ||K|| ||x|| < ||K|| \delta = \epsilon$ 

Problem 3

Let  $P: \mathbb{R}^2 \to \mathbb{R}$  be continuous, differentiable consider

$$m\dot{v} = -g\nabla P(q) + F, \ m, g > 0$$

a) Hamiltonian form. Let 
$$x:=(q,v)$$
. Then  $\dot{x}=\left(-\frac{g}{m}\nabla P(q)+\frac{1}{m}F\right)=\begin{bmatrix}I\\-I\end{bmatrix}\begin{bmatrix}\frac{g}{m}\nabla P(q)\\V\end{bmatrix}+\begin{bmatrix}\frac{1}{m}I\end{bmatrix}F=\begin{bmatrix}I\\-I\end{bmatrix}\nabla H(x)+G(x)$  given  $H(x)=\frac{1}{2}\|\nu\|^2+\frac{g}{m}P(q)$ 

b) "CLF". Take H as a CLF candidate. Then, for any x

$$<\triangledown H(x), \begin{bmatrix} & I \\ -I & \end{bmatrix} \\ \triangledown H(x) + G(x)F> = < \triangledown H(x), \begin{bmatrix} & I \\ -I & \end{bmatrix} \\ \triangledown H(x)> + < \triangledown H(x), G(x)F> = [< \triangledown H(x), \begin{bmatrix} & I \\ -I & \end{bmatrix} \\ \triangledown H(x)> + < \neg H(x) \\ \vdash H(x)> + < \neg H(x)$$

Strictly speaking, H is no CLF, but it reveals how to choose F s.t. the origin is GAS.

For any point x for which there exists no control F s.t.  $\langle \nabla H(x), \begin{bmatrix} I \\ -I \end{bmatrix} \nabla H(x) + G(x)F \rangle \langle 0 \rangle$ 

Choose F = 0. Why? Using the Krasovsky-Lasallle inv. principle, we conclude that the origin is GAS, since any solution in  $\{x|\dot{H}(x)=0\}$  verifies  $v(t)\equiv 0$ , implying  $\dot{v}(t)\equiv 0$  s.t.

$$0 = -\frac{g}{m} \nabla P(q(t)) + \frac{1}{m} P(t)$$

The last part equals 0. Since F = 0 (by choice) and  $\nabla P(q) = 0$  iff q = 0 we conclude that  $\dot{H}(x) = 0$  can only be "maintained" at the origin.

Problem 4

Consider

$$\dot{x_1} = x_2$$

$$\dot{x_2} = -ux_2 + u^3$$

show that  $V(x) = \frac{1}{2}x_1^2 + \frac{1}{2}(x_1 + x_2)^2$  is CLF and let  $V: \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}$  be defined by

$$\ddot{x} + u\dot{x} - u^3 = 0$$

For any x and u, we have  $\langle \nabla V(x), f(x, u) \rangle = \cdots = x_1(2x_2 - ux_2 + u^3) + x_2(x_2 - ux_2 + u^3) = x_1h_1 + x_2h_2$ 

Image to be inserted

Hence if u < 0 and -u "large", then we can render  $\langle \nabla V(x), f(x, u) \rangle < 0$ .

#### 6.4 Exercise 4

Consider

$$\begin{cases}
\dot{x}_1 = f_1(x_1) + g_1(x_1)x_2 \\
\dot{x}_2 = f_2(x_1) + g_2(x_1, x_2)u
\end{cases}$$
(12)

Using the "preliminary control"

$$\begin{cases} \dot{x}_1 = f_1(x_1) + g_1(x_1)x_2 \\ \dot{x}_2 = \check{u} \end{cases}$$
 (13)

$$u = \frac{1}{g_2(x_1, x_2)} (\check{u} - f_2(x_1, x_2))$$

Idea: Look at the upper(-most) system only and consider  $x_2$  as a "virtual control".

Assumptions: Suppose

- $\exists$  CLF  $V_1$ ;
- $\exists$  (smooth) feedback  $\alpha_1$  s.t.  $L_{f_1+g_1\alpha_1}V_1 < 0$ .

Now, add and subtract  $g_1\alpha_1$  in 13 s.t.

$$\begin{cases} \dot{x}_1 = f_1(x_1) + g_1(x_1)\alpha_1(x_1) + g_1(x_1)(x_2 - \alpha_1(x_1)) \\ \dot{x}_2 = \check{u} \end{cases}$$
(14)

Next, introduce  $(e_1, e_2) := (x_1 - 0, x_2 - \alpha_1(x_1))$  s.t.

$$\begin{cases}
\dot{e}_1 = f_1(e_1) + g_1(e_1)\alpha_1(e_1) + g_1(e_1)e_2 \\
\dot{e}_2 = \check{u} - \dot{\alpha}_1(e_1)
\end{cases}$$
(15)

Problem 1:

$$\begin{pmatrix} \dot{x}_1 \\ \dot{x}_2 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} u$$

*Proof.* 1. Choose "virtual control":

$$x_2 = -(k+1)x_1 =: \alpha_1(x_1), \quad k > 0$$

The origin of  $\dot{x}_1 = -kx_1$  is GAS.

(Take 
$$V_1: R \to R$$
,  $x_1 \mapsto \frac{1}{2}x_1^2$  s.t.  $\dot{V}_1(x_1) = -kx_1^2 < 0$  for all  $x_1 \neq 0$ )

2. Error coordinates:

Let 
$$(e_1, e_2) := (x_1 - 0, x_2 - \alpha_1(x_1))$$
 s.t.

$$\dot{e}_1 = -ke_1 + e_2$$

$$\dot{e}_2 = u + (k+1)(-ke_1 + e_2)$$

3. "Composite CLF":

Define  $V: R \times R \to R$ ,  $(e_1, e_2) \mapsto V_1(e_1) + \frac{1}{2}e_2^2$  s.t.

$$\dot{V}(e_1, e_2) = -ke_1^2 + e_2(u + (k+1)(-ke_1 + e_2) + e_1)$$

4. Choose control:

Let 
$$u = -e_1 - (k+1)(e_2 - ke_1) - ke_2$$
  
s.t.  $\dot{V}(e_1, e_2) = -ke_1^2 - ke_2^2 < 0$  for all  $(e_1, e_2) \neq (0, 0)$ 

Remark: The closed-loop system reads:

$$\begin{pmatrix} \dot{e}_1 \\ \dot{e}_2 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} -k & 1 \\ -1 & -k \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} e_1 \\ e_2 \end{pmatrix}$$

Problem 2:

$$\dot{x}_1 = x_1(x_2 - k), \quad k > 0$$
$$\dot{x}_2 = u$$

*Proof.* 1.  $x_2 = 0 =: \alpha_1(x_1)$ The origin of  $\dot{x}_1 = -kx_1$  is GAS  $(V_1(x_1) = \frac{1}{2}x_1^2)$ 

2. 
$$(e_1, e_2) := (x_1, x_2)$$
 s.t.

$$\dot{e}_1 = e_1(e_2 - k)$$
$$\dot{e}_2 = u$$

3. 
$$V(e_1, e_2) = V_1(e_1) + \frac{1}{2}e_2^2$$
 s.t.

$$\dot{V}(e_1, e_2) = -ke_1^2 + e_2(e_1^2 + u)$$

4. 
$$u = -e_1^2 - ke_2$$

Problem 3:

$$\dot{x}_1 = x_1(x_2 - k)$$

$$\dot{x}_2 = x_2(x_3 - k) - x_1^2$$

$$\dot{x}_3 = u$$

*Proof.* 1. From problem 2:

$$\dot{x}_2 = x_2(x_3 - k) - x_1^2 = -x_1^2 - kx_2 = u$$
 in Problem 2.

The origin of

$$\dot{x}_1 = x_1(x_2 - k)$$
$$\dot{x}_2 = x_2(x_3 - k) - x_1^2$$

is GAS.

And this is true for  $x_3 = 0 =: \alpha_2(x_1, x_2)$ .

2. 
$$(e_1, e_2, e_3) := (x_1 - 0, x_2 - \alpha_1(x_1), x_3 - \alpha_2(x_1, x_2))$$
 s.t.

$$\dot{e}_1 = e_1(e_2 - k)$$

$$\dot{e}_2 = e_2(e_3 - k) - e_1^2$$
$$\dot{e}_3 = u$$

3. 
$$V(e_1, e_2, e_3) = V_1(e_1) + \frac{1}{2}e_2^2 + \frac{1}{2}e_3^2$$
 s.t.

4. 
$$u = -e_2^2 - ke_3$$

Problem 4:

$$\dot{x}_1 = x_1(x_2 - k)$$

$$\dot{x}_2 = x_2(x_3 - k) - x_1^2$$

$$\dot{x}_3 = x_3(x_4 - k) - x_2^2$$

$$\dot{x}_4 = u$$

Proof. 1. Is GAS for

$$x_3(x_4-k)-x_2^2=-x_2^2-kx_3$$

which is attained for  $x_4 = 0 =: \alpha_3(x_1, x_2, x_3)$ .

2.

$$\dot{e}_1 = e_1(e_2 - k)$$

$$\dot{e}_2 = e_2(e_3 - k) - e_1^2$$

$$\dot{e}_3 = e_3(e_4 - k) - e_2^2$$

$$\dot{e}_4 = u$$

3.  $u = -e_3^2 - ke_4$ 

Problem 5:

$$\dot{x}_1 = x_1(x_2 - k)$$

$$\dot{x}_2 = x_2(x_3 - k) - x_1^2$$

$$\dots$$

$$\dot{x}_i = x_i(x_{i+1} - k) - x_{i-1}^2$$

$$\dots$$

$$\dot{x}_n = u$$

Proof. We will always have  $u=e_{n-1}^2-ke_n$ . Let  $V:R\times\cdots\times R\to R,\ (e_1,\ldots e_n)\mapsto \sum_{i=1}^n V_i(e_i),$  where  $V_i(e_i)=\frac{1}{2}e_i^2,\ i=2,\ldots n.$ We have  $\dot{V}(e_1,\ldots e_n)=L_{f_1+g_1\alpha_1}V_1(e_1)-k\sum_{i=2}^{n-1}e_i^2+e_nu+e_{n-1}g_{n-1}(x_1,\ldots x_{n-1})e_n-e_n\dot{\alpha}_{n-1}(x_1,\ldots x_{n-1}).$ We observe that for  $\alpha_i$  being zero, the inequality

$$e_{n-1}g_{n-1}(x_1, \dots x_{n-1})e_n - e_n\dot{\alpha}_{n-1}(x_1, \dots x_{n-1}) + e_nu < 0$$

hence  $e_{n-1}^2e_n+e_nu<0$  for non-zero e. It is solved by  $u=e_{n-1}^2-ke_n,\ k>0.$ 

#### 6.5Exercise 5

Consider the SISO system

$$\dot{x} = f(x) + g(x)(u + \sigma(x))$$
$$y = s(x)$$

 $f,g:R^n\to R^n,\ \sigma:R^n\to R$  and bounded,  $s:R^n\to R$ 

Design steps for SMC:

- 1. If no output is provided, design a sliding surface  $S := \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n | s(x) = 0\}$  s.t.
  - (a) the system has rel. degree one;
  - (b) for  $y(t) \equiv 0$ , all solutions converge to the origin ("zero dynamics" have GAS origin)
- 2. Choose a control s.t. the sliding surface is reached (in finite time), e.g.

$$v(x) = -\frac{1}{L_q s(x)} (L_f s(x) + \hat{u} \cdot sgn(s(x))), \quad \hat{u} > 0$$

Problem 1:

$$\dot{x}_1 = (x_2 - x_1)x_1^2$$
$$\dot{x}_2 = x_2 + u$$

Sliding surface  $S, s: \mathbb{R}^2 \to \mathbb{R}, (x_1, x_2) \mapsto x_2$ 

*Proof.* (a) For the given S, we have  $L_g s(x) = 1$  for any  $x \in \mathbb{R}^2$ . Moreover, from

$$\dot{s}(x) = L_f s(x) + L_g s(x) u$$

(we want = 0) we have that for

$$u = -\frac{L_f s(x)}{L_g s(x)} = -x_2$$

the "dynamics on S" (i.e.  $x_2 = 0$ ) reduced to

$$\dot{\eta} = -\eta^3$$

whose origin is GAS.

(b) Consider

$$u = -\frac{1}{L_{a}s(x)}(L_{f}s(x) + \hat{u} \cdot sgn(s(x))) = -x_{2} - \hat{u} \cdot sgn(x_{2}), \quad \hat{u} > 0$$

such that x(t) "tends to S" in finite time (phase 1). Moreover, "on S", x(t) converges to the origin  $t \to +\infty$  (phase 2).

Remark: Given a system in regular form

$$x = (\eta, \xi)^{T}$$
$$\dot{\eta} = f_{1}(\eta, \xi)$$
$$\dot{\xi} = f_{2}(\eta, \xi) + g_{2}(\eta, \xi)u$$

choose  $s(x) = \xi - \Phi(\eta)$ , s.t.  $\Phi$  as. stabilizes  $\dot{\eta} = f_1(\eta, \Phi(\eta))$ .

Problem 2:

$$\dot{x}_1 = -x_1 \cos x_2 + x_1 x_2$$
$$\dot{x}_2 = x_1 \cos x_1 + \sigma(x) + u$$

Proof. (a) (For the design of sliding surface pretend that uncertainty  $\sigma(x)=0$ ) Let  $S:=\{x\in R^2|s(x)=0\}$  be def. by  $s:R^2\to R$ ,  $(x_1,x_2)\mapsto x_2(-\Phi(x_1)=0)$ . We have  $L_gs(x)=1$  for all  $x\in R^2$ . From

$$\dot{s}(x) = L_f s(x) + L_q s(x) u$$

(we want = 0) s.t. for  $u = -\frac{L_f s(x)}{L_g s(x)} (= -x_1 \cos x_1)$  the "dynamics on S" (i.e.  $x_2 = 0$ ) reads

$$\dot{\eta} = -\eta$$

whose origin is GAS.

(b) Take

$$u = -\frac{1}{L_g s(x)} (L_f s(x) + (\hat{u} + \beta(x)|L_g s(x)|) \cdot sgn(s(x))) (= -x_1 \cos x_1 - (\hat{u} + (x_1^2 + x_2^2)) \cdot sgn(x_2)), \quad \hat{u} > 0$$

Consider the Lyapunov(-like) function  $V(x) = \frac{1}{2}s(x)^2$  s.t.

$$\dot{V}(x) = s(x)(L_f s(x) + L_g s(x)(u + \sigma(x)))$$

Choosing u as above

$$\dot{V}(x) = s(x)(-(\hat{u} + \beta(x)|L_g s(x)|) \cdot sgn(s(x)) + \sigma(x)L_g s(x)) \le -(\hat{u} + \beta(x)|L_g s(x)|)|s(x)| + |\sigma(x)||L_g s(x)||s(x)| \le -\hat{u}|s(x)| < 0 \text{ for } s(x) \ne 0$$

Problem 3:

$$\dot{x}_1 = x_2$$

$$\dot{x}_2 = -x_1^3 + \sigma(x) + u$$

$$s(x) = x_2 + x_1, \quad u = -x_2 + x_1^3 - 2 \cdot sgn(s(x))$$

*Proof.* (a) Given S, we have  $L_g s(x) = 1$  for all  $x \in \mathbb{R}^2$ . The "dynamics on S" (i.e.  $x_1 + x_2 = 0$ ) reads

$$\dot{\eta}_1 = -\eta_1$$

$$\dot{\eta}_2 = -\eta_2$$

whose origin is GAS.

(b) Take  $V(x) = \frac{1}{2}s(x)^2$  s.t.  $\dot{V}(x) = s(x)(L_f s(x) + L_g s(x)(u + \sigma(x))) \le -\hat{u}|L_g s(x)||s(x)| + |\sigma(x)||L_g s(x)||s(x)| \le |\sigma(x)| \le c \le -(\hat{u} - c)|L_g s(x)||s(x)|.$  Hence, for  $c < \hat{u} = 2$  there exists  $\varepsilon > 0$  s.t.  $\dot{V}(x) \le -\varepsilon|s(x)| < 0$  for  $s(x) \ne 0$ 

#### 6.6 Exercise 6

Problem 1:

$$\dot{x} = xu(x^2 + u)$$
$$\dot{y} = h(x)$$

$$\begin{array}{ll} s:R\times R\to R, & (u,y)\mapsto uy^2+u^2y\\ S:R\to R, & x\mapsto \frac{x^2}{2} \end{array}$$

*Proof.* Clearly, S is non-negative. Moreover:  $\dot{S}(x) = x^2 u(x^2 + u) = x^4 u + x^2 u^2 = [h(x) = x^2] = s(u, x^2)$  for all  $x, u \in R$  with  $h: R \to R, x \mapsto x^2$ .

Problem 2:

$$\dot{x} = u, \quad x(0) = x_0$$
$$y = x$$

$$s: \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}, \ (u,y) \mapsto \langle u,y \rangle$$

*Proof.* For any  $x_0 \in \mathbb{R}^n$ , we have

$$S_a(x_0) = \sup_{u:[0,t]\to R^n, \ t\ge 0, \ x(0)=x_0} \left(-\int_0^t \langle u(\tau), y(\tau) \rangle d\tau\right) =$$

$$= \sup_{-//-} \left(-\frac{1}{2} \int_0^t \frac{d}{d\tau} ||x(\tau)||^2 d\tau\right) = \sup_{-//-} \left(-\frac{1}{2} ||x(t)||^2 + \frac{1}{2} ||x(0)||^2\right) \le \frac{1}{2} ||x_0||^2$$

 $\implies$  av. storage is finite  $\implies$  system is dissipative. Moreover, we have for any  $x_0 \in \mathbb{R}^n$ ,

$$S_r(x_0) = \inf_{u:[-t,0]\to R^n,\ t\geq 0,\ x(-t)=0,\ x(0)=x_0} \int_{-t}^0 \langle u(\tau),y(\tau)\rangle d\tau = \inf_{-//-} (\frac{1}{2}||x_0||^2 - \frac{1}{2}||x(-t)||^2) = \frac{1}{2}||x_0||^2$$

 $(S_a = S_r \implies \text{this is a unique stor. func.})$ 

Hence the (lossless) system is reachable (from 0 to any  $x_0$ ).

Problem 3:

*Proof.* Consider the Lyapunov func. cand. 
$$V(x) = S_1(x_1) + S_2(x_2)$$
 s.t.  $\dot{V}(x) \leq S_1(u_1, y_1) + S_2(u_2, y_2) = S_1(u_1, y_1) + S_2(y_1, -u_1) = 0 \implies \text{origin is stable.}$ 

Remark: the above problem captures many stability results (in the frequency domain). Particular choices of supply rates are:

•  $s_i(u_i, y_i) = ||u_i||^2 - ||y_i||^2, i = 1, 2$  (small-gain theorem);

- $s_i(u_i, y_i) = \langle u_i, y_i \rangle, i = 1, 2$  (positive operator theorem);
- $s_1(u_1, y_1) = \langle u_1 + ay_1, u_1 + by_1 \rangle$  $s_2(u_2, y_2) = -ab \langle u_2 - \frac{1}{a}y_2, u_2 - \frac{1}{b}y_2 \rangle$  (conic operator theorem).

Problem 4:

$$\dot{x} = f(x) + G(x)u$$
$$y = h(x)$$

$$s: R^m \times R^m \to R, \ (u, y) \mapsto ||u||^2 - ||y||^2$$

Proof. Take V = S s.t.

$$\dot{V}(x) \le ||u||^2 - ||h(x)||^2, \ \forall x \in \mathbb{R}^n, \ \forall u \in \mathbb{R}^m$$

Then the (continuous) state feedback  $u = \gamma h(x)$  for some  $|\gamma|^2 < 1$ , s.t.

$$\dot{V}(x) \le (|\gamma|^2 - 1)||h(x)||^2 < 0, \ \forall x \ne 0$$

Problem 5:

Proof. Take  $S(x) = \langle x, P_x \rangle$  s.t.

$$\dot{S}(x) = < x, (PA + A^TP)x > +2 < x, PBu >$$

Add and subtract  $\gamma^2 ||u||^2$  and  $\frac{1}{\gamma^2} ||B^T P x||^2$ .

$$\dot{S}(x) = \langle x, (PA + A^TP + \frac{1}{\gamma^2}PBB^TP)x \rangle + \gamma^2||u||^2 - \gamma^2||u\frac{1}{\gamma^2}B^TPx||^2$$

Add and subtract  $||y||^2$ .

$$\dot{S}(x) = \langle x, (PA + A^T P + \frac{1}{\gamma^2} PBB^T P + C^T C) x \rangle + \gamma^2 ||u||^2 - ||y||^2 - \gamma^2 ||u - \frac{1}{\gamma^2} B^T P x||^2$$

$$\dot{S}(x) \leq \gamma^2 ||u||^2 - ||y||^2$$

6.7 Exercise 7

**Definition.** A mapping  $\Phi: R \to R, u \mapsto \Phi(u)$ , belongs to the sector

- $[0, +\infty]$  if  $u\Phi(u) \ge 0$ ,  $\forall u \in R$ ;
- $[\alpha, +\infty]$  if  $u(\Phi(u) \alpha u) \ge 0$ ,  $\forall u \in R$  and some  $\alpha \in R$ ;

- $[0, \beta]$  if  $\Phi(u)(\Phi(u) \beta u) \leq 0$ ,  $\forall u \in R$  and some  $\beta \in R$ ;
- $[\alpha, \beta]$  if  $(\Phi(u) \alpha u)(\Phi(u) \beta u) \le 0$ ,  $\forall u \in R$  and some  $\alpha, \beta \in R$ ;

Notation: we write, e.g.,  $\Phi \in [0, +\infty]$ .

Problem 1:

$$\dot{x} = x^3 - kx + u, \ k > 0$$
$$y = x$$

*Proof.* Take, e.g.,  $S: R \to R, x \mapsto \frac{x^2}{2} \ (S \ge 0)$  s.t.

$$\dot{S}(x) = x^2(x^2 - k) + yu \le yu$$

whenever  $x \in [-\sqrt{k}, \sqrt{k}]$ .

Let  $\bar{x} \in R$  and take  $u = -\bar{x}^3 + k\bar{x}$  with init. condition  $x(0) = \bar{x}$ , s.t. we have  $x(t) = \bar{x}$  for all  $t \ge 0$ . If the system is passive, then along this (constant) solution we must have

$$S(x(t)) - S(\bar{x}) \le \int_0^t u(\tau)y(\tau)d\tau, \ t \ge 0$$

This inequality, however, is violated for  $\bar{x} \notin [-\sqrt{k}, \sqrt{k}]$  and hence  $[-\sqrt{k}, \sqrt{k}]$  must be the largest interval.

Problem 2:

$$\dot{x} = -x + \frac{1}{\beta}h(x) + u, \ \beta > 0$$
$$y = h(x)$$

$$S(x) = \int_0^x h(\sigma) d\sigma, \ h \in [0, \beta]$$

*Proof.* Clearly, we have  $S \geq 0$  since  $h \in [0, \beta]$ . Moreover,

$$\dot{S}(x) = S'(x)\dot{x} = \dot{x}\frac{d}{dx}\int_0^x h(\sigma)d\sigma = h(x)\dot{x} = \frac{1}{\beta}h(x)(h(x) - \beta x) + yu \le yu$$

since  $h \in [0, \beta]$ .

Problem 3:

$$H_1: \begin{cases} \dot{x}_1 = x_2 \\ \dot{x}_2 = -x_1 + kx_2 + u, \ k > 0 \\ y = x_2 \end{cases}$$

Proof. Take  $S: \mathbb{R}^2 \to \mathbb{R}$ ,  $(x_1, x_2) \mapsto \frac{x_1^2}{2} + \frac{x_2^2}{2}$  s.t.  $\dot{S}(x) = uy + ky^2$ . Let  $u = -\Phi(y)$ ,  $\Phi: \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$  satisfying  $\Phi \in [l, +\infty]$  for some l > k  $(\nu_2 + \rho_1 > 0)$  s.t.

$$\dot{S}(x) = -y\Phi(y) + ky^2 \le -(l-k)y^2$$

Since the system  $H_1$  is ZSO the origin is GAS.

Problem 4:

*Proof.* Take  $S(x) = S_1(x_1) + S_2(x_2)$  s.t.

$$\dot{S}(x) \le < u_1, y_1 > -\rho_1 ||y_1||^2 - \nu_1 ||u_1||^2 + < u_2, y_2 > -\rho_2 ||y_2||^2 - \nu_2 ||u_2||^2$$

Using that

$$< u_1, y_1 > + < u_2, y_2 > = < u - y_2, y_1 > + < v + y_1, y_2 > = < u, y_1 > + < v, y_2 >$$

and

$$||u_1||^2 = ||u||^2 - 2 < u, y_2 > + ||y_2||^2$$
  
 $||u_2||^2 = ||v||^2 + 2 < v, y_1 > + ||y_1||^2$ 

$$\begin{split} \dot{S}(x) &= - < \binom{y_1}{y_2}, \binom{(\nu_2 + \rho_1)I_m}{(\nu_1 + \rho_2)I_m} \binom{y_1}{y_2} > - < \binom{u}{v}, \binom{\nu_1I_m}{\nu_2I_m} \binom{u}{v} > + < \binom{u}{v}, \binom{I_m}{-2\nu_2I_m} \frac{2\nu_1I_m}{I_m} \binom{u}{v} > \leq [Coshi - Schwarz] \leq -a||(y_1, y_2)||^2 + b||(u, v)||||(y_1, y_2)|| + c||(u, v)||^2 \end{split}$$

with  $a = \min\{\nu_2 + \rho_1, \nu_1 + \rho_2\} > 0$ ,  $b = ||N|| \ge 0$  and  $c = ||M|| \ge 0$ .

Hence, 
$$\dot{S}(x) \leq -\frac{1}{2a}(b||(u,v)||-a||(y_1,y_2)||)^2 + \frac{b^2}{2a}||(u,v)||^2 - \frac{a}{2}||(y_1,y_2)||^2 + c||(u,v)||^2 \leq \frac{b^2+2ac}{2a}||(u,v)||^2 - \frac{a}{2}||(y_1,y_2)||^2$$

Problem 5:

*Proof.* Take  $V(x) = \langle x, Px \rangle$  s.t.

$$\dot{V}(x) = \langle x, (PA + A^T P)x \rangle - 2\Phi(y) \langle x, PB \rangle$$

Add and subtract  $2\Phi(y)^2$  and  $2\Phi(y)BCx$  yields

$$\dot{V}(x) = -\varepsilon < x, Px > - < x, L^T Lx > -2\Phi(y) < x, PB - BC^T > -2\Phi(y)^2 + 2\Phi(y)(\Phi(y) - By) = -\varepsilon < x, Px > -|Lx - \sqrt{2}\Phi(y)|^2 + 2\Phi(y)(\Phi(y) - By) \le -\varepsilon < x, Px > .$$