# ImageNet-21K Pretraining for the Masses - Rebuttal Experiments

#### 1 Impact of Different Number of Training Samples

In Figure 1 and Figure 2 we test the impact of the number of training samples in ImageNet-21K on upstream and downstream results.




Figure 1: Upstream results for different number of training images.




Figure 2: Downstream results for different number of training images, on Inaturalist Dataset.

#### 4 2 Pretraining Comparisons on Non-classification Tasks

- 5 In Table 1 and Table 2 we compare 1K and 21K pretraining on two additional computer-vision tasks:
- 6 object detection and image retrieval.

#### 7 2.1 Object Detection

	1K Pretraining	21K Pretraining
mAP [%]	42.9	44.3

Table 1: Comparing downstream results Open Images dataset.

#### **8 2.2 Image Retrieval**

	1K Pretraining	21K Pretraining
mAP [%]	81.1	82.1

Table 2: Comparing downstream results for image retrieval task on INRIA Holidays dataset.

### 9 3 Impact of Pretraining on Large Downstream Datasets

in Table 3 we compare downstream results on Open Images datasets, once when using ImageNet-21L pretraining, and once when doing random initialization.

	No Pretraining	21K Pretraining
mAP [%]	80.3	86.0

Table 3: Comparing downstream results for Open Images dataset.

11

## 4 Comparison to Other Large-scale Datasets Pretraining

in Table 4 we compare downstream results when using two types of pretraining: ImageNet-21K and

14 Open Images.

Dataset	ImageNet-21K	Open Images
	Pretrain	Pretrain
ImageNet1K <sup>(1)</sup>	81.4	81.0
iNaturalist <sup>(1)</sup>	72.0	70.7
Food 251 <sup>(1)</sup>	75.8	74.8
CIFAR 100 <sup>(1)</sup>	90.4	89.4
MS-COCO <sup>(2)</sup>	81.3	80.5
Pascal-VOC <sup>(2)</sup>	89.7	89.6
Kinetics 200 <sup>(3)</sup>	83.0	81.6

 $\label{thm:comparing ImageNet21K pretraining to OpenImages pretraining.} Downstream dataset types and metrics: (1) - single-label, top-1 Acc. [\%]; (2) - multi-label, mAP [\%]; (3) - action recognition, top-1 Acc. [\%].$