Pareto Optimality and Trade off Curves
MATH 316 - Optimization II

Alican Akca
Izmir University of Economics, Mathematics,
Izmir, Turkey
alican.akca@std.ieu.edu.tr

Can Ege Türe
Izmir University of Economics, Mathematics,
Izmir, Turkey
can.ture@std.ieu.edu.tr

June 24, 2024

Abstract

This paper delves into the fundamental concepts of Pareto optimality and trade-off curves, elucidating their significance in the realm of economics, particularly in resource allocation and decision-making processes using utilization functions and optimization techniques to address a multifaceted problem involving revenue maximization, expense minimization, and pollution reduction within budget constraints.

Keywords: pareto optimal, utilization

1 Introduction

Pareto optimality and trade-off curves are fundamental concepts in the field of economics, particularly in the study of resource allocation and decision making. They provide a framework for understanding how to maximize efficiency and fairness in a system.

1.1 What is the Pareto Optimality?

Pareto optimality, named after the Italian economist Vilfredo Pareto, represents a fundamental concept in economics and decision theory. It denotes a state where it's impossible to make anyone better off without making someone else worse off. In other words, a solution is Pareto optimal if there is no alternative feasible solution in which at least one person is better off and no one is worse off. This concept is crucial in situations involving multiple conflicting objectives or goals, where decision-makers aim to find an outcome that maximizes overall welfare without causing harm to any individual or group.

For instance, consider a scenario where a government is allocating a fixed budget among various social programs. The goal is to distribute funds in a manner that maximizes the overall benefit to society while ensuring that no particular group is unfairly disadvantaged. Achieving Pareto optimality in this context involves striking a balance between competing interests and needs, such as education, healthcare, and infrastructure development.

1.2 Understanding Trade-Off Curves

Trade-off curves, also known as indifference curves or production possibility frontiers, provide visual representations of the trade-offs involved in decision-making processes. These curves illustrate the relationship between different objectives or variables, highlighting the inherent trade-offs that arise when attempting to optimize one parameter while holding others constant. Imagine a company seeking to optimize its production process to simultaneously increase output and minimize costs. The trade-off curve depicts the various combinations of inputs (e.g., labor, capital) that yield different levels of output and cost. By analyzing this curve, the company can determine the most efficient allocation of resources based on its specific objectives and constraints. In summary, both Pareto optimality and trade-off curves offer valuable insights into decision-making under conditions of scarcity and conflicting goals. By understanding these concepts, individuals and organizations can make more informed choices and strive for outcomes that maximize overall welfare and efficiency.

1.3 Problem Statement

The problem at hand entails the simultaneous maximization of revenue, minimization of expenses, and reduction of pollution, all within the confines of a budget. This multifaceted challenge requires the application of Pareto optimality and utilization functions to achieve an optimal balance and allocate resources in the most effective manner possible.

Our research question is: How can the budget be distributed in the most efficient manner while minimizing pollution? The primary research question revolves around determining how to distribute the budget in the most efficient manner while minimizing pollution. This inquiry delves into the intricacies of resource allocation, environmental impact mitigation, and operational efficiency within the context of budget constraints and optimization objectives.

1.4 Main Goal and Who Would Benefit

The main goal of understanding Pareto optimality and trade-off curves is to facilitate better decision-making in resource allocation. This knowledge benefits economists, policy makers, business leaders, and anyone involved in decisions that require balancing multiple objectives. Pareto optimality is a state of allocation of resources in which it is impossible to make any one individual better off without making at least one individual worse off. In other words, a distribution is Pareto optimal when no individual can be made better off without making someone else worse off. This concept serves as a fundamental principle in decision-making theory, guiding the allocation of resources to achieve the most efficient outcomes. Trade-off curves, also known as production possibility frontiers, illustrate the concept of opportunity cost. They show the maximum feasible amount of one good that can be produced for each possible level of production of the other good. The curve demonstrates that increasing the production of one good reduces the production of the other good, illustrating the trade-off between the two goods. Understanding these trade-offs is essential for optimizing resource allocation and making informed decisions.

2 Literature Review

Adverse Impact Reduction and Job Performance Optimization via Pareto-Optimal Weighting: A Shrinkage Formula and Regularization Technique Using Machine Learning: This study by Q. Chelsea Song et al.[1] discusses the use of Pareto-optimal weighting in personnel selection practice. The approach produces a series of hiring solutions that characterize a diversity–job performance trade-off and can lead to more optimal selection outcomes. The study also introduces a shrinkage approximation formula and a novel technique for the regularization of Pareto-optimal predictor weights.[2] Pareto optimality, economy–effectiveness trade-offs and ion channel degeneracy: improving population modelling for single neurons: This research by Peter Jedlicka et al. discusses the concept of Pareto optimality in the context of neuronal modeling. The study argues that Pareto optimality can help identify the subpopulations of conductance-based models that perform best for the trade-off between economy and functionality.[3] Evolving the Tradeoffs between Pareto-Optimality and Robustness in Multi-Objective Evolutionary Algorithms3: This paper discusses the evolution of trade-offs between Pareto-optimality and robustness in multi-objective evolutionary algorithms.[4] Trade-off analysis approach for interactive nonlinear multiobjective optimization: This study proposes a trade-off analysis approach that can be connected to various multiobjective optimization methods utilizing a certain type of scalarization to produce Pareto optimal solutions.[4]

3 Methodology

The Utilization Function serves as a critical indicator of Pareto optimal values, delineating points where no further improvement can be made in one criterion without negatively impacting another. This concept introduces non-linearity into the decision-making process, crucial for navigating complex, multi-dimensional optimization challenges.

$$maxZ : \sum_{i=1}^{m} \left(\sum_{j=i}^{n} \sqrt{(\beta_{ij} - \alpha_{ij}) \cdot x_{ij}} \right) - \Theta_i$$

$$(\theta_1, \theta_2, \dots, \theta_k) \in \Theta$$

k: other expenses

subject to :

$$\sum_{i=1}^{m} \left(\sum_{j=i}^{n} \alpha_{ij} \cdot x_{ij} \right) = \Phi$$

$$\sum_{i=1}^{m} \left(\sum_{j=i}^{n} \xi_{ij} \cdot x_{ij} \right) \leq 4.5$$

(µkg - WHO annual pollution rate)

$$x_{ij}, \mu_{ij}, \theta_{ij}, \alpha_{ij}, \beta_{ij}, \Phi_{ij} \geq 0$$

where

β : price of the product,

α : expense of the product,

x : sales of the product.

Θ : other expenses $(\theta_1, \theta_2, \ldots, \theta_k) \in \Theta$,

i : companies,

j : products that producing in company i,

ξ : pollution in production process (μ/gram),

Ξ : total pollution $(\xi_1, \xi_2, \ldots, \xi_k) \in \Xi$

Φ : budget for the companies,

In practical terms, it enables the identification of optimal solutions across higher-dimensional spaces, a necessity when dealing with intricate problems such as revenue maximization, expense minimization, and pollution reduction. The overarching goal is to leverage available resources efficiently, balancing the objectives of maximizing revenue, minimizing expenses, and mitigating pollution within the constraints of a defined budget. By harnessing the power of Pareto optimality and utilization functions, organizations can strategically allocate resources to achieve sustainable and economically viable outcomes.

Sample Dataset consists of 3 Companies which are Company A, B and C. Those Companies produce 2 products that might be unique each.

Products	Price	Cost	Sales	Pollution
Product I	100	25	x_{11}	0.003
Product II	150	30	x_{12}	0.007

Table 1: Company A

Products	Price	Cost	Sales	Pollution
Product I	10	3	x_{21}	0.001
Product II	50	20	x_{22}	0.002

Table 2: Company B

Products	Price	Cost	Sales	Pollution
Product I	70	40	x_{31}	0.007
Product II	30	13	x_{32}	0.002

Table 3: Company C

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{L}(x_{ij}, \mu_{ij}, \theta_{ij}, \alpha_{ij}, \beta_{ij}, \Phi_{ij}, \lambda, \gamma) = & \sum_{i=1}^{m} \left(\sum_{j=i}^{n} \sqrt{(\beta_{ij} - \alpha_{ij}) \cdot x_{ij}} \right) - \theta_i \\
& - \lambda \left(\sum_{i=1}^{m} \left(\sum_{j=i}^{n} \alpha_{ij} \cdot x_{ij} \right) - \Phi \right) \\
& - \gamma \left(\sum_{i=1}^{m} \left(\sum_{j=i}^{n} \xi_{ij} \cdot x_{ij} \right) - 4.5 \right)
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial x_{ij}} &= \frac{1}{2} \frac{\beta_{ij} - \alpha_{ij}}{\sqrt{(\beta_{ij} - \alpha_{ij}) * x_{ij}}} - \lambda \alpha_{ij} - \gamma \xi_{ij} \\
\frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial \lambda} &= \left(\sum_{i=1}^{m} \left(\sum_{j=i}^{n} \alpha_{ij} \cdot x_{ij} \right) - \Phi \right) \\
\frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial \gamma} &= \left(\sum_{i=1}^{m} \left(\sum_{j=i}^{n} \xi_{ij} \cdot x_{ij} \right) - 4.5 \right)
\end{aligned}
$$

For the cost of the product, we have:

$$
(25 \cdot x_{11} + 30 \cdot x_{12} + 3 \cdot x_{21} + 20 \cdot x_{22} + 40 \cdot x_{31} + 13 \cdot x_{32}) - \Phi = 0 \tag{1}
$$

For the pollution in the production process, we have:

$$
(0.003 \cdot x_{11} + 0.007 \cdot x_{12} + 0.001 \cdot x_{21} + 0.002 \cdot x_{22} + 0.007 \cdot x_{31} + 0.002 \cdot x_{32}) - 4.5 = 0 \tag{2}
$$

And the given equations are:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial x_{11}} &= \frac{1}{2} \frac{100 - 25}{\sqrt{(100 - 25) x_{11}}} - x_{11} \cdot 25 - \gamma \cdot 0.003 = 0, \\
\frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial x_{12}} &= \frac{1}{2} \frac{150 - 30}{\sqrt{(150 - 30) x_{12}}} - x_{12} \cdot 30 - \gamma \cdot 0.007 = 0, \\
\frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial x_{21}} &= \frac{1}{2} \frac{10 - 3}{\sqrt{(10 - 3) x_{21}}} - \lambda \cdot 3 - \gamma \cdot 0.001 = 0, \\
\frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial x_{22}} &= \frac{1}{2} \frac{50 - 20}{\sqrt{(50 - 20) x_{22}}} - \lambda \cdot 20 - \gamma \cdot 0.002 = 0, \\
\frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial x_{31}} &= \frac{1}{2} \frac{70 - 40}{(\sqrt{70 - 40}) x_{31}} - \lambda \cdot 40 - \gamma \cdot 0.007 = 0, \\
\frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial x_{32}} &= \frac{1}{2} \frac{30 - 13}{\sqrt{(30 - 13) x_{32}}} - \lambda \cdot 13 - \gamma \cdot 0.002 = 0,
\end{aligned}
$$

4 Findings

$$
\begin{aligned}
x_{11} &= 43,680 \\
x_{12} &= 1033 \\
x_{21} &= 3841 \\
x_{22} &= 437,857 \\
x_{31} &= 1888 \\
x_{32} &= 2437 \\
\lambda &= 12,031,758 \\
\gamma &= 2001 \\
\text{when} \\
\Phi &= \$10,000,000 \\
\text{pollution} \\
\Theta &= 1.012\mu/\text{kg}
\end{aligned}
$$

This allocation strategy achieves Pareto Optimality, meaning that any deviation from it would inevitably result in a decrease in utility for at least one individual or entity involved.

To illustrate this concept further, let's consider an example scenario: if we were to allocate an additional $1 million to Company A, it would necessitate a corresponding reduction of $1 million from either Company B or Company C. This reallocation would invariably diminish the utility of either Company B or Company C, illustrating that the current allocation values are indeed Pareto optimal.

5 Conclusion

Upon considering the values of x_{ij} (the variables representing resource allocations), it becomes evident that utilizing the utilization function enables us to approximate the budget for each company in the most efficient manner possible. Through this method, we achieve the dual objective of maximizing revenue while considering the pollution.

References

[1] Song, Q. C., Tang, C., Newman, D. A., Wee, S. (2023). Adverse Impact Reduction and Job Performance Optimization via Pareto-Optimal Weighting: A Shrinkage Formula

and Regularization Technique Using Machine Learning. *Journal of Applied Psychology*, 108(9), 1461–14851.

[2] Jedlicka, P., Bird, A. D., Cuntz, H. (2022). Pareto optimality, economy–effectiveness trade-offs and ion channel degeneracy: improving population modelling for single neurons. *Open Biol.*, 12: 2200732.

[3] Goh, C. K., Tan, K. C. (2007). Evolving the Tradeoffs between Pareto-Optimality and Robustness in Multi-Objective Evolutionary Algorithms. In: Yang, S., Ong, YS., Jin, Y. (eds) *Evolutionary Computation in Dynamic and Uncertain Environments. Studies in Computational Intelligence*, vol 513.

[4] Eskelinen, P., Miettinen, K. (2012). Trade-off analysis approach for interactive nonlinear multiobjective optimization. *OR Spectrum*, Volume 34, pages 803–8164.