

**Example 1**

For instance, let us consider only  $N = 3$  particles. We want to check all the possible ways to put  $n_1 = 2$  particles in the first box,  $n_2 = 1$  in the second one and no particle in all the other boxes. Computing the factorial of the occupation number we obtain:

$$\frac{N}{n_1!n_2!\dots n_\infty!} = \frac{3!}{2!1!\dots 1!} = 3$$

(remember that  $0! = 1 = 1!$ ). It corresponds to the different particles that can occupy the second state which has occupation equal to one.

It is convenient to define a new (normalized) coefficient:

$$f(n_1, n_2, \dots, n_\infty, t) \equiv \left( \frac{N!}{n_1!n_2!\dots n_\infty!} \right)^{1/2} \bar{c}(n_1, n_2, \dots, n_\infty, t) \quad (1)$$

that is related to the coefficient  $\bar{c}$  up to a multiplicative factor. It has the property of being normalized:

$$\sum_{\substack{n_1, n_2, \dots, n_\infty \\ (\sum_{i=1}^N n_i = N)}} |f(n_1, n_2, \dots, n_\infty, t)|^2 = 1$$

Hence, the  $f$  coefficients correspond to the probability of having  $n_1$  particles in the  $\varepsilon_1$  state,  $n_2$  in  $\varepsilon_2$  and so on.

Let us rewrite the original  $N$ -body wave function as a function of single particle wave function with the  $f$  coefficients as weights:

$$\begin{aligned} \psi(x_1, \dots, x_N, t) &= \sum_{E_1, \dots, E_N} c(E_1, \dots, E_N, t) \varphi_{E_1}(x_1) \dots \varphi_{E_N}(x_N) \\ &= \sum_{\substack{n_1, n_2, \dots, n_\infty \\ (\sum_{i=1}^N n_i = N)}} \bar{c}(n_1, n_2, \dots, n_\infty, t) \sum_{\substack{E_1, \dots, E_N \\ (n_1, n_2, \dots, n_\infty)}} \varphi_{E_1}(x_1) \dots \varphi_{E_N}(x_N) \\ &\stackrel{(a)}{=} \sum_{\substack{n_1, n_2, \dots, n_\infty \\ (\sum_{i=1}^N n_i = N)}} f(n_1, n_2, \dots, n_\infty, t) \Phi_{n_1 n_2 \dots n_\infty}(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_N) \\ &\equiv \sqrt{\frac{\prod_i n_i!}{N!}} \sum_{\substack{E_1, \dots, E_N \\ (n_1, n_2, \dots, n_\infty)}} \varphi_{E_1}(x_1) \dots \varphi_{E_N}(x_N) \end{aligned}$$

where in (a) we have simplified the formula by defining a new set of many body  $N$ -particle wave function  $\Phi$  which is in any case independent on time. Hence, we are able to express the original time dependent  $N$ -body wave function into a set of *completely symmetric* (under the exchange of 2 particles) function  $\Phi$ , which also obey the **orthonormality condition**:

$$\int dx_1 \dots dx_N \Phi_{n'_1 n'_2 \dots n'_\infty}^\dagger(x_1, \dots, x_N) \Phi_{n_1 n_2 \dots n_\infty}(x_1, \dots, x_N) = \delta_{n'_1, n_1} \dots \delta_{n'_\infty, n_\infty}$$

To summarize, the original  $N$ -body wave function  $\psi$  can be expanded in a *complete* and *orthonormal* basis set made of *completely symmetrized* functions defined in terms of *occupation numbers*:  $\{\Phi_{n_1 \dots n_\infty}(x_1, \dots, x_N)\}$ .

**Lecture 2.**

Friday 13<sup>th</sup> March, 2020.

Compiled:

Thursday 19<sup>th</sup> March, 2020.

$f$  coefficients

Expansion of the  $N$ -body wave function

**Example 2**

In the previous example of the 3 spinless bosons, we had:  $n_1 = 2, n_2 = 1$  and  $n_i = 0$  for  $i > 2$  (all the other states are empty). In this case, the function  $\Phi$  is defined as:

$$\Phi_{210\dots 0}(x_1, x_2, x_3) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}} \left[ \varphi_1(x_1)\varphi_1(x_2)\varphi_2(x_3) + \varphi_1(x_1)\varphi_2(x_2)\varphi_1(x_3) + \varphi_2(x_1)\varphi_1(x_2)\varphi_1(x_3) \right]$$

where we have a normalization factor and the sum of the three possibilities corresponding to the three possible ways of distribution the three particles satisfying the constraint of the occupation number above choosen.

Now, let us make a step further. We remember that implicitly we are working in coordinate representation space,  $\varphi = \langle x|\varphi \rangle$ ; hence, we can write the  $N$ -body wave function formally as

$$\Phi_{n_1 n_2 \dots n_\infty}(x_1, \dots, x_N) = \langle x_1 \dots x_N | n_1 \dots n_\infty \rangle$$

where  $\{|n_1 n_2 \dots n_\infty\rangle\}$  is the set of **abstract state vectors** (independent on time) in the Hilbert space, with  $n_i \geq 0 \forall i$ . In this way, these vectors are no more linked to a specific space representation: we have the only essential information concerning the list of occupation numbers, with the constraint that the occupation number must be positive.

Clearly, due to the property of the original wave function it is easy to check that this basis set has the correct property:

- It must be **orthogonal**:  $\langle n'_1 n'_2 \dots n'_\infty | n_1 n_2 \dots n_\infty \rangle = \delta_{n'_1 n_1} \delta_{n'_2 n_2} \dots \delta_{n'_\infty n_\infty}$ .
- It must be **complete**:  $\sum_{n_1 n_2 \dots n_\infty} |n_1 n_2 \dots n_\infty\rangle \langle n_1 n_2 \dots n_\infty| = \mathbb{1}$ .

In order to manipulate the abstract state vectors, we introduce suitable (bosonic), time-independent operators:  $b_k, b_k^\dagger$  so that they satisfy the following *commutation rules*<sup>1</sup>:

$$\begin{cases} [b_k, b_{k'}] = [b_k^\dagger, b_{k'}^\dagger] = 0 \\ [b_k, b_{k'}^\dagger] = \delta_{k, k'} \end{cases} \quad (2)$$

which are the same commutation rules for the "creation" and "destruction" operator of the quantum harmonic oscillator. For the harmonic oscillator, the combination of these operators gives the so called **number operator**  $\hat{n}_k$ :

$$b_k^\dagger b_k |n_k\rangle \equiv \hat{n}_k |n_k\rangle = n_k |n_k\rangle \quad (3)$$

By applying it into a state characterized by an occupation number  $|n_k\rangle$  it gives the corresponding state multiplied by the occupation number itself.

Let us focus on a given state  $k$ :

$$\hat{n} = b^\dagger b$$

is **hermitian**. In particular, it means that

$$\hat{n} = b^\dagger b = (b^\dagger b)^\dagger = \hat{n}^\dagger$$

<sup>1</sup>The commutator is defined as  $[a, b] \equiv ab - ba$ .

Abstract  
representation

Creation and  
destruction  
operators

Number operator

This relation implies that the eigenvalues are real which is consistent with our assumption and that  $\hat{n}$  is also **positive definite**:

$$n = \langle n | b^\dagger b | n \rangle = \sum_m \langle n | b^\dagger | m \rangle \langle m | b | n \rangle = \sum_m |\langle m | b | n \rangle|^2 \geq 0$$

where we have inserted the completeness relation and then we wrote the square modulus. We have shown that the eigenvalues are non negative. Eventually, as said, the interpretation of the  $\hat{n}$  is number particle operator.

Since we have  $[b, b^\dagger] = bb^\dagger - b^\dagger b = 1$  (for a given state  $k = k'$ ), we obtain two important relations:

*Commutation properties*

$$[b^\dagger b, b] = b^\dagger bb - bb^\dagger b = -b \quad (4a)$$

$$[b^\dagger b, b^\dagger] = b^\dagger bb^\dagger - b^\dagger b^\dagger b = b^\dagger \quad (4b)$$

By applying this operator to the state  $|n\rangle$  and using the above relations, we obtain:

$$\begin{aligned} b^\dagger b(b | n) &= b(b^\dagger b | n) - b | n \rangle = b(b^\dagger b - 1) | n \rangle \\ &= b(\hat{n} - 1) | n \rangle = (n - 1)b | n \rangle \end{aligned}$$

It means that if the state  $|n\rangle$  is an eigenstate of the operator  $\hat{n} = b^\dagger b$  with eigenvalue  $n$ ,  $b | n \rangle$  is eigenstate of  $\hat{n}$  with eigenvalue  $(n - 1)$ :

$$b | n \rangle \rightarrow | n - 1 \rangle$$

so we refers to  $b$  as the *destruction operator*: it reduces the occupation number of a given state by a factor 1, one particle. Similarly,  $b^\dagger | n \rangle$  is eigenstate of  $\hat{n}$  with eigenvalue  $(n + 1)$ :

$$b^\dagger | n \rangle \rightarrow | n + 1 \rangle$$

and we refers to  $b^\dagger$  as the *creation operator*.

More precisely, by taking the normalization condition into account, we obtain:

- For the **destruction operator**  $b$ :  $(\langle n | b)^\dagger (b | n) = \langle n | b^\dagger b | n \rangle = n = n \langle n - 1 | n - 1 \rangle$ .

Hence,

$$b | n \rangle = \sqrt{n} | n - 1 \rangle \quad (5)$$

- For the **creation operator**  $b^\dagger$ :  $(\langle n | b^\dagger)^\dagger (b^\dagger | n) = \langle n | b b^\dagger | n \rangle = \langle n | n \rangle + \langle n | b^\dagger b | n \rangle = 1 + n = (1 + n) \langle n + 1 | n + 1 \rangle$ . Finally:

$$b^\dagger | n \rangle = \sqrt{n + 1} | n + 1 \rangle \quad (6)$$

*Remark.* Applying  $b$  many times eventually give "0" (*empty state*) and the  $|n\rangle$  state can be obtained by applying the creation operator  $b^\dagger$  "n" times to it.

Until now, for simplicity, we have made some considerations focusing on just a given state, so now we want to see what happens if we generalize this definition to all the states of our system. In fact, this procedure can be generalized to many levels<sup>2</sup> and the generic state can be obtained by repeated applications of  $b_k^\dagger$  operators to the "vacuum" (empty) state  $|0\rangle$ . To be more precise:

*Generic state*

$$|n_1 n_2 \dots n_\infty\rangle = \frac{(b_1^\dagger)^{n_1}}{\sqrt{(n_1 + 1)!}} \frac{(b_2^\dagger)^{n_2}}{\sqrt{(n_2 + 1)!}} \dots \frac{(b_\infty^\dagger)^{n_\infty}}{\sqrt{(n_\infty + 1)!}} |0\rangle$$

<sup>2</sup>Recall that the occupations numbers operators relative to different states commute:  $[\hat{n}_k, \hat{n}_{k'}] = 0$  if  $\vec{k} \neq \vec{k}'$ .

where of course we have to include the normalization factors.

Let us come back to the original Schrödinger equation:

$$i\hbar \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \psi(x_1, \dots, x_N, t) = H\psi(x_1, \dots, x_N, t) = (T + V)\psi(x_1, \dots, x_N, t)$$

where, in the Hamiltonian, we have a single-particle and one-body term ( $T$ ), and a two-particle and interacting potential energy term ( $V$ ). In particular, the explicit expression of the kinetic energy term is

$$T = \sum_{k=1}^N T_k = \sum_{k=1}^N \left( -\frac{\hbar^2 \nabla_k^2}{2m} \right)$$

and by definition only acts on one particle. For instance, by fixing  $k$  as quantum number, it can only act on the corresponding single particle wave function  $\varphi_{E_k}(x_k)$ .

Now we expand the  $N$ -body wave function considering a superposition of single particle wave functions, where the weights are the time dependent  $c$  coefficients. In particular, we use the  $E'$  notations because it is convenient for the subsequent demsotration. The expansion is:

$$\psi(x_1, \dots, x_N, t) = \sum_{E'_1, \dots, E'_N} c(E'_1, \dots, E'_N, t) \varphi_{E'_1}(x_1) \dots \varphi_{E'_N}(x_N)$$

Considering that the wave functions are orthonormal:

$$\int dx_i \varphi_{E'_i}^\dagger(x_i) \varphi_{E'_i}(x_i) = \delta_{E'_i E_i}$$

lets multiply the right hand of the Schrödinger equation by product of the wave functions  $\varphi_{E'_i}^\dagger(x_i)$  and then integrate over all the coordinates of the particles. The result is:

Focus on the  
kinetic term

$$\begin{aligned} & \int dx_1 \dots dx_N \varphi_{E'_1}^\dagger(x_1) \dots \varphi_{E'_N}^\dagger(x_N) \sum_{k=1}^N T_k \sum_{E'_1, \dots, E'_N} c(E'_1, \dots, E'_N, t) \varphi_{E'_1}(x_1) \dots \varphi_{E'_N}(x_N) = \\ & = \sum_{k=1}^N \sum_{E'_k} c(E_1, \dots, E'_k, \dots, E_N, t) \int dx_k \varphi_{E'_k}^\dagger(x_k) T_k \varphi_{E'_k}(x_k) \end{aligned}$$

where for a given  $k$ ,  $E'_k$  can be different from  $E_k$ . What happens is that since the kinetic term only acts to the corresponding single particle wave function, if  $k$  has a given value, essentially the sum is eliminated due to the presence of the delta terms, for all the terms. It means that only the  $E'_i$  reduces to the  $i$  state on the left with an exception for the term relative to the  $k$  state, because in this case the coefficients can be different. In other words, in the sum  $\sum_{E'_i} c(E'_i)$  the only term that survies is the sum over  $E'_k$ .

This procedure can be applied also to the potential energy term and for the left hand of the Schrödinger equation. For the latter, the procedure is trivial, while for the potential energy the computing is more complicated (see [?] for details), because we have to consider pairs of particles, so we will implicitly assumed to do this. The Schrödinger equation transform as:

$$i\hbar \frac{\partial}{\partial t} c(E_1, \dots, E_N, t) = \sum_{k=1}^N \sum_{E'_k} c(E_1, \dots, E'_k, \dots, E_N, t) \langle \varphi_{E_k} | T | \varphi_{E_k} \rangle + (\dots V \dots) \quad (7)$$

Basically, by considering this equation and focusing on the kinetic energy contribution, we note that only the difference between the coefficients  $c$  in both sides is that in the right term we have the  $E'_k$  term instead of  $E_k$  on the left.

By focusing on the kinetic term and introducing the occupation number coefficients:

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{k=1}^N \sum_{E'_k} c(E_1, \dots, E'_k, \dots, E_N, t) \langle \varphi_{E_k} | T | \varphi_{E'_k} \rangle = \\ = \sum_{k=1}^N \sum_{E'_k} \bar{c}(n_1, n_2, \dots, (n_{E_k} - 1), \dots, (n_{E_k} + 1), \dots, t) \langle \varphi_{E_k} | T | \varphi_{E'_k} \rangle \end{aligned}$$

Now we can replace the sum over  $k$  (sum of total number of particles,  $k = 1, \dots, N$ ) with the sum over *states* that forms an infinite set of levels (the sum is infinite). We can do this because every time we observe that  $E_k$  assume the same value in the summation over  $k$  (for ex.  $E$ ), it gives the same contribution to the sum (since the particles are indistinguishable); if it occurs  $n_E$  times:

$$\sum_{k=1}^N \rightarrow \sum_E n_E$$

To make the notation more symmetric we replace  $E'_k$  with  $w$ . Eventually, we obtain

$$\sum_E \sum_w n_E \bar{c}(n_1, \dots, (n_E - 1), \dots, (n_w + 1), \dots, n_\infty, t) \langle E | T | w \rangle \quad (8)$$

### Example 3

The last procedure is not completely easy to understand at first glance and to better understand why it works it is better to make an exercise. Let us consider for instance the simple case of  $N = 3$  particles. The occupation numbers in this quantum states are  $n_1 = 2, n_2 = 1$ . If we try to repeat the procedure followed for the general case for a very simple case, by considering all the possibilities of the sum, we should easily understand that we can replace the sum by multiplying it for the occupation number of the state  $n_E$ .

Now, we remember that the  $\bar{c}$  coefficients are also defined by  $f$  coefficients. The relation is:

$$\bar{c}(n_1, \dots, n_\infty, t) = \sqrt{\frac{\prod_i n_i!}{N!}} f(n_1, \dots, n_\infty, t)$$

To make the notation even more symmetric (using the same notation of [?]), in the double sum we replace the  $E$  and  $w$  state with  $i$  and  $j$ . If we consider the result in Eq. (8) and if we consider the relation between  $\bar{c}$  and  $f$ , we arrive easily at the new form of the Schrödinger equation as a function of the  $f$  coefficients:

$$\begin{aligned} i\hbar \frac{\partial}{\partial t} f(n_1, \dots, n_\infty, t) \sqrt{\frac{\prod_i n_i!}{N!}} = \sum_{i,j=1}^{\infty} n_i f(n_1, \dots, (n_i - 1), \dots, (n_j + 1), \dots, n_\infty, t) \cdot \\ \cdot \sqrt{\frac{\prod_l n_l!}{N!}} (n_j + 1)! (n_i + 1)! \langle i | T | j \rangle + (\dots V \dots) \end{aligned} \quad (9)$$

where, as said, for the potential energy term contribution we have assumed to have done the same procedure however we have not done it explicitly. In particular, the

$\prod$  " means the productory with  $l \neq i$  and  $l \neq j$ . In fact, we have:

$$\begin{aligned}\prod_i n_i! &= \prod_l " n_l! n_i! n_j! \\ (n_j + 1)! &= (n_j + 1) n_j! \\ (n_i - 1)! &= \frac{n_i!}{n_i}\end{aligned}$$

and we can rewrite very easily the constant factor in this way:

$$n_i \sqrt{\prod_l " n_l! (n_j + 1)! (n_i - 1)!} = \sqrt{n_i} \sqrt{(n_j + 1)} \sqrt{\prod_i n_i!}$$

The Schrödinger becomes:

$$\begin{aligned}i\hbar \frac{\partial}{\partial t} f(n_1, \dots, n_\infty, t) &= \sum_{i,j}^{\infty} \sqrt{n_i} \sqrt{n_j + 1} \\ &\cdot f(n_1, \dots, (n_i - 1), \dots, (n_j + 1), \dots, n_\infty, t) \langle i | T | i \rangle + (\dots V \dots)\end{aligned}$$

We can also consider

$$|\psi(t)\rangle = \sum_{n_1 \dots n_\infty} f(n_1, \dots, n_\infty, t) |n_1, \dots, n_\infty\rangle$$

and write

$$\begin{aligned}i\hbar \frac{\partial}{\partial t} |\psi(t)\rangle &= \sum_{n_1, \dots, n_\infty} \langle i | T | j \rangle f(n_1, \dots, (n_i - 1), \dots, (n_j + 1), \dots, n_\infty, t) \cdot \\ &\cdot \sqrt{n_i} \sqrt{n_j + 1} |n_1, \dots, n_\infty\rangle + (\dots V \dots)\end{aligned}$$

*Change occupation numbers*

Now, let us change the occupation numbers by introducing a new set:

$$\begin{cases} n'_i \equiv n_i - 1 \\ n'_j \equiv n_j + 1 \\ n'_k \equiv n_k \end{cases} \quad (k \neq i, j)$$

with the constraint

$$\sum_l n'_l = \sum_l n_l = N$$

*Remark.* It is possible to sum the new occupation numbers over exactly the same values of the original ones, because  $\sqrt{n_i} \sqrt{n_j + 1} = 0$  for  $n'_j = 0$  and  $n'_i = -1$ . In fact,

- $n'_j = 0$  would correspond to  $n_j = -1$  that is absent in the original sum, because occupation numbers can be not negative. Hence,  $\sqrt{n_j + 1} = 0$ .
- $n'_i = -1$  is absent in the new sum, but  $n_i = 0$  implies  $\sqrt{n_i} = 0$ .

By considering now the  $n'$  occupation number we arrive to this expression:

$$\begin{aligned}i\hbar \frac{\partial}{\partial t} |\psi(t)\rangle &= \sum_{\substack{n'_1 \dots n'_\infty \\ (\sum_i n'_i = N)}} \sum_{i,j} \langle i | T | j \rangle f(n'_1, \dots, n'_i, \dots, n'_j, \dots, n'_\infty, t) \cdot \\ &\cdot \sqrt{(n'_i + 1)} \sqrt{n'_j} |\dots (n'_i + 1) \dots (n'_j + 1) \dots\rangle + (\dots V \dots)\end{aligned} \tag{11}$$

If we now recall the definition of the (bosonic) creation and destruction operators (with the proper normalization):

$$\begin{aligned} b_k |n_k\rangle &= \sqrt{n_k} |n_k - 1\rangle \\ b_k^\dagger |n_k\rangle &= \sqrt{n_k + 1} |n_k + 1\rangle \end{aligned}$$

clearly we see that we can write:

$$\sqrt{(n'_i + 1)} \sqrt{n'_j} |\dots (n'_i + 1) \dots (n'_j - 1) \dots\rangle = b_i^\dagger b_j |\dots n'_i \dots n'_j \dots\rangle$$

Eventually, we rewrite the Schrödinger equation in a very compact way:

*Final result*

$$i\hbar \frac{\partial}{\partial t} |\psi(t)\rangle = \sum_{i,j} \langle i|T|j\rangle b_i^\dagger b_j |\psi(t)\rangle + (\dots V \dots) \quad (13)$$

In conclusion, we have explicitly seen how we can transform the Schrödinger equation, by expressing the kinetic term in terms of the creation and destruction operators. We can say that the kinetic part of  $H$  is expressed in the **second quantization form**! We can repeat exactly the same procedure for the potential term but it is much complicated and longer. However, the strategy is very similar so we do not do it explicitly (for the final result look at [?]).