

Lecture 6.
 Friday 25th
 October, 2019.
 Compiled: Friday
 25th October, 2019.

0.1 Ising Model

Consider a Bravais lattice, the spin is chosen as $S_i = \pm 1$. The minimal model that can try to capture the interaction between the spin is the following. Remember that we have our set of $\{\mathcal{C}\} = \{S_1, \dots, S_{N(\Omega)}\}$ and that $\#\{\mathcal{C}\} = 2^N$. Given that, supposing a magnetic field that at the moment depends on the site $H_{i=1, \dots, N}$ and that there is a coupling that derives from electrons coupling $J_{ij} = f(|\mathbf{r}_i - \mathbf{r}_j|)$. Therefore, the Hamiltonian in the simplest way is :

$$-H(\mathcal{C}) = \sum_{i=1}^N H_i S_i + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i \neq j}^N J_{ij} S_i S_j \quad (1)$$

where the first term is a one body interaction, in the second term we consider the two body interaction that is a quadratic term. We have put the minus because we want to minimize the energy, looking for the minimum. It depends on the sign of J . Our problem is to find the partition function with N sites, which depends on T and in principle depends in the configuration given (it is fixed both for H and J !):

$$Z_N(T, \{H_i\}, \{J_{ij}\}) = \sum_{S_1=\pm 1} \cdots \sum_{S_N=\pm 1} \exp[-\beta H(\{S\})] \quad (2)$$

The spin glasses comes from the random Ising model.

$$F_N(T, \{H_i\}, \{J_{ij}\}) = -k_B T \ln Z_N \quad (3)$$

this is what we called the bulk free energy. The bulk free energy density is:

$$f_b(T, \{H_i\}, \{J_{ij}\}) = \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{N} F_N \quad (4)$$

The questions is: does this limit exist? The surface is not important in the bulk limit. Note that we are assuming that the interaction between the spin is a short range force, it is not as the size of the system. A condition needed to proof the existence of the limit is

$$\sum_{i \neq j} |J_{ij}| < \infty \quad (5)$$

with

$$J_{ij} = A |\mathbf{r}_i - \mathbf{r}_j|^{-\sigma} \quad \text{if } \sigma > D \quad (6)$$

In that way the limit exists. Suppose for example a system that is made by dipolar interaction, this interaction goes to infinity as the power of six. We have to ask in each case if when we take the thermodynamic limit, the limit exists.

When we assume $S_i = \pm 1$, it is a light lattice model. Now we assume also the simplification:

$$J_{ij} = \begin{cases} J & \text{if } i \text{ and } j \text{ are nearest neighbours} \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} \quad (7)$$

For the moment we assume also homogeneity (uniform magnetic field, as a solenoid):

$$H_i = H \quad \forall i \quad (8)$$

The model is now very simple:

$$-H^{\text{Ising}}(\mathcal{C}) = H \sum_{i=1}^N S_i + \frac{J}{2} \sum_{\langle ij \rangle} S_i S_j \quad (9)$$

We have to figure out that if we look at the magnetization per site:

$$\langle m \rangle_N = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^N \langle S_i \rangle = -\frac{1}{N} \frac{\partial F_N}{\partial H} \quad (10)$$

with

$$\sum_i \langle S_i \rangle = \frac{1}{Z} \text{Tr} \left[\left(\sum_i S_i \right) e^{-\beta H} \right] \quad (11)$$

We have again a connection between the free energy and the magnetization (?). Now, suppose that $f_b < 0$, and f_b is continuous function of T, J, H .

$$s = -\frac{\partial f_b}{\partial T} \geq 0 \quad (12)$$

with $\frac{\partial f_b}{\partial T}$ monotone non increasing function of T , that means $\frac{\partial^2 f_b}{\partial T^2} \leq 0$ and therefore

$$\Rightarrow c = -T \left(\frac{\partial^2 f_b}{\partial T^2} \right) \geq 0 \quad (13)$$

Theorem 0.1.1. $f_b(T, H, J)$ is a concave function of H .

Proof. To proof this we use the *Holden inequality*. If $\{g_k\}, \{f_k\} \geq 0 \quad \forall k$ and $\alpha_1, \alpha_2 \in \mathbb{R}^+$ with $\alpha_1 + \alpha_2 = 1$.

$$\sum_k (g_k)^{\alpha_1} (f_k)^{\alpha_2} \leq \left(\sum_k g_k \right)^{\alpha_1} \left(\sum_k f_k \right)^{\alpha_2} \quad (14)$$

□

The partition function is:

$$Z_N(H) = \text{Tr} \left[\exp \left(\beta H \sum_i S_i \right) \underbrace{\exp \left(\beta J \sum_{\langle ij \rangle} S_i S_j \right)}_{\psi(S)} \right] \quad (15)$$

Since $\psi(S) = \psi(S)^{\alpha_1} \psi(S)^{\alpha_2}$

$$Z_N(H_1 \alpha_1 + H_2 \alpha_2) = \text{Tr} \left(\exp \left\{ \beta \alpha_1 H_1 \sum_i S_i + \beta \alpha_2 H_2 \sum_i S_i \right\} \psi(S)^{\alpha_1} \psi(S)^{\alpha_2} \right) \quad (16)$$

$$\Rightarrow \text{Tr} \left[(e^{\beta H_1 \sum_i S_i} \psi(S))^{\alpha_1} (e^{\beta H_2 \sum_i S_i} \psi(S))^{\alpha_2} \right] \quad (17)$$

$$\leq \left(\text{Tr} \left(e^{\beta H_1 \sum_i S_i} \psi(S) \right)^{\alpha_1} \right) \left(\text{Tr} \left(e^{\beta H_2 \sum_i S_i} \psi(S) \right)^{\alpha_2} \right) = Z(H_1)^{\alpha_1} Z(H_2)^{\alpha_2} \quad (18)$$

So:

$$\lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} -\frac{1}{N} k_B T \ln Z_N(H_1 \alpha_1 + H_2 \alpha_2) \geq -\lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\alpha_1}{N} \ln Z_N(H_1 \alpha_1) - \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\alpha_2}{N} \ln Z_N(H_2 \alpha_2) \quad (19)$$

$$f_b(H_1 \alpha_1 + H_2 \alpha_2) \geq \alpha_1 f_b(H_1 \alpha_1) + \alpha_2 f_b(H_2 \alpha_2) \quad (20)$$

The symmetry of the system in sense of the Hamiltonian is: you can invert the value of the S and the Hamiltonian does not change. It is valid when $H = 0$ (? or T is at

the critical point booh). Otherwise is not true. Let us see this Z symmetry. Another interesting relation is the following:

$$\sum_{\{S_i\}} \Phi(\{S_i\}) = \sum_{\{S_i\}} \Phi(\{-S_i\}) \quad (21)$$

this is true for all function of the spin.

$$-\mathcal{H} = J \sum_{\langle ij \rangle} S_i S_j + H \sum S_i \quad (22)$$

$$\mathcal{H}(H, J, \{S_i\}) = \mathcal{H}(-H, J, \{-S_i\}) \quad (23)$$

This is a spontaneous broken symmetry.

$$Z_N(-H, J, T) = \sum_{\{S_i\}} \exp[-\beta \mathcal{H}(-H, J, \{S_i\})] = \sum_{\{S_i\}} \exp[-\beta \mathcal{H}(-H, J, \{-S_i\})] \quad (24)$$

using equation (21)

$$= \sum_{\{S_i\}} \exp[-\beta \mathcal{H}(-H, J, \{S_i\})] = Z_N(H, J, T) \quad (25)$$

It implies also that:

$$F_N(T, J, H) = F_N(T, J, -H) \Rightarrow f_b(T, J, H) = f_b(T, J, -H) \quad (26)$$

We have:

$$Nm(H) = -\frac{\partial F_N(H)}{\partial H} \stackrel{(26)}{=} -\frac{\partial F_N(-H)}{\partial(H)} = \frac{\partial F_N(-H)}{\partial(-H)} = -Nm(-H) \quad (27)$$

$$m(H) = -m(-H) \quad \forall H \Rightarrow m(0) = -m(0) \Rightarrow m = 0, H = 0 \quad \forall N \text{ finite} \quad (28)$$

Even if you haven't seen any transition, it is an interesting model because we can use this model to solve other problems that seems different. Consider for example the *Lattice gas model*, where a gas is put in a lattice. Another is the *Fluid lattice model*.

0.1.1 Lattice gas model

What is a lattice gas model? Consider a system divided into cell (Fig 1) with only one particle in each cell, where the distance from neighbour cell is the constant lattice a . The n_i is the i -esim cell and it is

$$n_i = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if empty} \\ 1 & \text{if occupied} \end{cases} \quad (29)$$

and $N = \sum_{i=1}^{N_c} n_i$. Let us consider the Hamiltonian

$$\mathcal{H} = \sum_{i=1}^{N_c} U_1(i) n_i + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{ij} U_2(i, j) n_i n_j + \dots \quad (30)$$

where U_1 is an external field for instance, while U_2 is a many body interaction.

$$\mathcal{H} - \mu N = \sum_{i=1}^{N_c} (U_1(i) - \mu) n_i + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{ij} U_2(i, j) n_i n_j + \dots \quad (31)$$

we put $U_1 = 0$ for convenience. We can write

$$n_i = \frac{1}{2}(1 + S_i) \quad (32)$$

What we get finally is:

$$\mathcal{H} - \mu N = E_0 - H \sum_{i=1}^N S_i - J \sum_{\langle ij \rangle} S_i S_j \quad (33)$$

$$E_0 = -\frac{1}{2}\mu N_c + \frac{z}{8}U_2 N_c \quad (34a)$$

$$H = -\frac{1}{2}\mu + \frac{z}{4}U_2 \quad (34b)$$

$$-J = \frac{U_2}{4} \quad (34c)$$

where z is the coordination number of neighbours.

$$\mathcal{Z}_{LG} = \text{Tr}_{\{n\}}(e^{-\beta(\mathcal{H}-\mu N)}) = e^{-\beta E_0} Z_{N_c}^{\text{Ising}}(H, J) \quad (35)$$

We have seen that the Ising model is something more general than the magnetization transition.

0.2 Ising d=1

The Bravais lattice is just a one dimensional lattice (Figure 2) and the partition function is (we solve it in the case $H = 0$):

$$Z_N(T) = \sum_{S_1=\pm 1} \sum_{S_2=\pm 1} \cdots \sum_{S_N=\pm 1} \exp \left[\overbrace{\beta J}^K \sum_{i=1}^{N-1} S_i S_{i+1} \right] \quad (36)$$

the two body interaction is the sum in all the neighbours that in that case are $i-1$ and $i+1$, but you have only to consider the one after, because the one behind is yet taken by the behind site. Solve now this partition function. Consider *free boundary* condition, therefore the N does not have a $N+1$, almost for the moment. We have

$$K \equiv \beta J, \quad h \equiv \beta H \quad (37)$$

What if we just add another spin at the end S_{N+1} ? Which is the partition function with that spin ?

$$Z_{N+1}(T) = \sum_{S_{N+1}=\pm 1} \sum_{S_1=\pm 1} \sum_{S_2=\pm 1} \cdots \sum_{S_N=\pm 1} e^{K(S_1 S_2 + S_2 S_3 + \cdots + S_{N-1} S_N)} e^{K S_N S_{N+1}} \quad (38)$$

This sum is just involve this term:

$$\sum_{S_{N+1}=\pm 1} e^{K S_N S_{N+1}} = e^{K S_N} + e^{-K S_N} = 2 \cosh(K S_N) = 2 \cosh(K) \quad (39)$$

$$Z_{N+1}(T) = (2 \cosh(K)) Z_N(T) \quad (40)$$

$$Z_N(T) = (2 \cosh(K)) Z_{N-1}(T) \quad (41)$$

In general, we get:

$$\Rightarrow Z_N(T) = Z_1(2 \cos(K))^{N-1} \quad \text{with} \quad Z_1 = \sum_{S_1=\pm 1} 1 = 2 \quad (42)$$

therefore

$$Z_N(T) = 2(2 \cosh(K))^{N-1} \quad (43)$$

$$F_N(T) = -k_B T \ln Z_N(T) = -k_B T \ln 2 - k_B T (N-1) \ln 2 \cosh(K) \quad (44)$$

$$f_b \equiv \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{N} F_N = -k_B T \ln 2 \cosh\left(\frac{J}{k_B T}\right) \quad (45)$$

The function goes as Figure 3.

Now introduce another way to introduce the same story: compute the magnetization analitic again. Magnetization is the average over spin. Assume $S_i = \pm 1$:

$$\exp[k S_i S_{i+1}] = \cosh(K) + S_i S_{i+1} \sinh(K) = \cosh(K) [1 + S_i S_{i+1} \tanh(K)] \quad (46)$$

It means that

$$Z_N(T) = \sum_{S_1=\pm 1} \sum_{S_2=\pm 1} \cdots \sum_{S_N=\pm 1} \exp\left[K \sum_{i=1}^{N-1} S_i S_{i+1}\right] \Rightarrow \sum_{S_1=\pm 1} \cdots \sum_{S_N=\pm 1} \prod_{i=1}^{N-1} [\cosh(K)(1 + S_i S_{i+1} \tanh(K))] \quad (47)$$

$$= (\cosh K)^{N-1} \sum_{\{S\}} \prod_{i=1}^{N-1} (1 + S_i S_{i+1} \tanh K) \quad (48)$$

In principle there will be a generic term written as this

$$\sum_{\substack{S_{i_e}=\pm 1 \\ e=1,\dots,M}} (\tanh K)^M S_{i_1} S_{i_{1+1}} S_{i_2} S_{i_{2+1}} \cdots S_{i_M} S_{i_{M+1}} = 0 \quad (49)$$

The sum is zero and there is something we can do, except $M = 0$. Therefore:

$$(48) = 2^N (\cosh K)^{N-1} \quad (50)$$

If I want to compute the average $\langle S_i \rangle$ we have a term S_i inside the equation shown previously, this is the only difference.

$$(\tanh K)^M S_{i_1} S_{i_{1+1}} S_{i_2} S_{i_{2+1}} \cdots S_{i_M} S_{i_{M+1}} S_j \quad (51)$$

In that way it depends on S_j which sum is also zero, therefore we have prove that there is no magnetization.