

Lecture 19.
 Wednesday 18th
 December, 2019.
 Compiled:
 Wednesday 18th
 December, 2019.

0.1 Scaling theory

It is used whenever you have a collective behaviour. The length scale of the problem are a, L, ξ , but ξ is the only relevant length scale in the problem.

Which are the experimental data which gives us this ideas? What you can see from experiment is figure 1

Widom \rightarrow static scaling theory \rightarrow homogeneous functions.

0.1.1 Single variable r

$f(r)$ is homogeneous in r , if $\forall \lambda \in \mathbb{R}$ we have $f(\lambda r) = \lambda f(r)$. More general,

$$f(\lambda r) = g(\lambda) f(r) \quad (1)$$

Example 1.

$$f(r) = Br^2 \quad (2)$$

$$f(\lambda r) = B(\lambda r)^2 = \lambda^2 f(r) \Rightarrow g(\lambda) = \lambda^2 \quad (3)$$

Sine it is valid for any λ , you can choose also a λ in that way

$$f(r) = f(\lambda r_0) = g(\lambda) f(r_0) \quad (4)$$

Theorem 0.1.1.

$$g(\lambda) = \lambda^p \quad (5)$$

where p is the degree of the homogeneity of the function.

We can make it for any variable, not only for a single one.

0.1.2 Generalized homogeneous functions

We are discussing $f(x, y)$, that is a generalized homogeneous function if $f(\lambda^a x, \lambda^b y) = \lambda f(x, y)$. In general any polynomial is a generalized homogeneous function. If we choose $\lambda^p \equiv s$, we have

$$f(s^{a/p} x, s^{b/p} y) = s f(x, y) \quad (6)$$

Consider $t \equiv \frac{T-T_c}{T_c}$, $h \equiv \frac{H-H_c}{H_c}$

$$f(T, H) = f_{ANA}(T, H) + f_{SING}(t, h) \quad (7)$$

where f_{ANA} is an analytic term and f_{SING} diverges, has a singularity.

The singular part of the free energy

$$f_s(\lambda^{p_1} t, \lambda^{p_2} h) = \lambda f_s(t, h) \quad (8)$$

where $\forall \lambda \in \mathbb{R}$.

Another important feature, choose λ as

$$\lambda = h^{-1/p_2} \Rightarrow f_s(t, h) = h^{1/p_2} f_s(h^{-p_1/p_2} t, 1) \quad (9)$$

$$\Delta \equiv \frac{p_1}{p_2} \quad (10)$$

is called the *gap exponent*.

M is the first derivative of f with respect to H .

$$\lambda^{p_2} M_s(\lambda^{p_1} t, \lambda^{p_2} h) = \lambda M_s(t, h) \quad (11)$$

so you have the same story for the magnetization. Consider $h = 0$ and $t \rightarrow 0^-$, we have

$$M_s(t) \sim (-t)^\beta \quad (12)$$

starting from this one try to figure out what is happening at this level.

$$M_s(t, 0) = \lambda^{p_2-1} M_s(\lambda^{p_1} t, 0) \quad (13)$$

$$\lambda^{p_1} t = -1 \quad \Rightarrow \quad \lambda = (t)^{-1/p_1} \quad (14)$$

so

$$M_s(t, 0) = -(t)^{\frac{1-p_2}{p_1}} M_s(-1, 0) \quad (15)$$

$$\beta = \frac{1-p_2}{p_1} \quad (16)$$

For δ , we have $T = T_c$ and $h \rightarrow 0^+$, so the magnetization goes like $M_s \sim h^{1/\delta}$.

$$M_s(0, h) = \lambda^{p_2-1} M_s(0, \lambda^{p_2} h) \quad (17)$$

Now we want

$$\lambda^{p_1} h = 1 \quad \Rightarrow \quad \lambda = h^{-1/p_2} \quad (18)$$

$$M_s(0, h) = h^{\frac{1-p_2}{p_2}} M_s(0, +1) \quad (19)$$

$$\delta = \frac{p_2}{1-p_2} \quad (20)$$

From this you have a very simple relation

$$p_1 = \frac{1}{\beta(\delta+1)}, \quad p_2 = \frac{\delta}{\delta+1} \quad (21)$$