


# Delaunay Triangulations

## Computational Geometry

### Lecture 12: Delaunay Triangulations

## Motivation: Terrains by interpolation


To build a model of the terrain surface, we can start with a number of sample points where we know the height.



# Motivation: Terrains

How do we interpolate the height at other points?

- Nearest neighbor interpolation
- Piecewise linear interpolation by a triangulation
- Moving windows interpolation
- Natural neighbor interpolation
- ...




# Triangulation

Let  $P = \{p_1, \dots, p_n\}$  be a point set.  
 A **triangulation** of  $P$  is a maximal planar subdivision with vertex set  $P$ .

## Complexity:


- $2n - 2 - k$  triangles
- $3n - 3 - k$  edges

where  $k$  is the number of points in  $P$   
 on the convex hull of  $P$



# Triangulation

But which triangulation?




# Triangulation

But which triangulation?


For interpolation, it is good if triangles are not long and skinny. We will try to use large angles in our triangulation.

# Angle Vector of a Triangulation

- Let  $\mathcal{T}$  be a triangulation of  $P$  with  $m$  triangles. Its **angle vector** is  $A(\mathcal{T}) = (\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_{3m})$  where  $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_{3m}$  are the angles of  $\mathcal{T}$  sorted by increasing value.
- Let  $\mathcal{T}'$  be another triangulation of  $P$ . We define  $A(\mathcal{T}) > A(\mathcal{T}')$  if  $A(\mathcal{T})$  is lexicographically larger than  $A(\mathcal{T}')$
- $\mathcal{T}$  is **angle optimal** if  $A(\mathcal{T}) \geq A(\mathcal{T}')$  for all triangulations  $\mathcal{T}'$  of  $P$



# Edge Flipping




- Change in angle vector:  
 $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_6$  are replaced by  $\alpha'_1, \dots, \alpha'_6$
- The edge  $e = \overline{p_i p_j}$  is **illegal** if  $\min_{1 \leq i \leq 6} \alpha_i < \min_{1 \leq i \leq 6} \alpha'_i$
- Flipping an illegal edge increases the angle vector



# Characterisation of Illegal Edges

How do we determine if an edge is illegal?

**Lemma:** The edge  $\overline{p_i p_j}$  is illegal if and only if  $p_l$  lies in the interior of the circle  $C$ .




# Thales Theorem

**Theorem:** Let  $C$  be a circle,  $\ell$  a line intersecting  $C$  in points  $a$  and  $b$ , and  $p, q, r, s$  points lying on the same side of  $\ell$ . Suppose that  $p, q$  lie on  $C$ ,  $r$  lies inside  $C$ , and  $s$  lies outside  $C$ . Then

$$\angle arb > \angle apb = \angle aqb > \angle asb,$$

where  $\angle abc$  denotes the smaller angle defined by three points  $a, b, c$ .



# Legal Triangulations

A **legal triangulation** is a triangulation that does not contain any illegal edge.

**Algorithm** LEGALTRIANGULATION( $\mathcal{T}$ )

*Input.* A triangulation  $\mathcal{T}$  of a point set  $P$ .

*Output.* A legal triangulation of  $P$ .

1. **while**  $\mathcal{T}$  contains an illegal edge  $\overline{p_i p_j}$
2.     **do** (\* Flip  $\overline{p_i p_j}$  \*)
3.         Let  $p_i p_j p_k$  and  $p_i p_j p_l$  be the two triangles adjacent to  $\overline{p_i p_j}$ .
4.         Remove  $\overline{p_i p_j}$  from  $\mathcal{T}$ , and add  $\overline{p_k p_l}$  instead.
5. **return**  $\mathcal{T}$

**Question:** Why does this algorithm terminate?


# Voronoi Diagram and Delaunay Graph

Let  $P$  be a set of  $n$  points in the plane

The **Voronoi diagram**  $\text{Vor}(P)$  is the subdivision of the plane into Voronoi cells  $\mathcal{V}(p)$  for all  $p \in P$

Let  $\mathcal{G}$  be the *dual graph* of  $\text{Vor}(P)$

The **Delaunay graph**  $\mathcal{DG}(P)$  is the *straight line embedding* of  $\mathcal{G}$




# Voronoi Diagram and Delaunay Graph

Let  $P$  be a set of  $n$  points in the plane

The **Voronoi diagram**  $\text{Vor}(P)$  is the subdivision of the plane into Voronoi cells  $\mathcal{V}(p)$  for all  $p \in P$

Let  $\mathcal{G}$  be the *dual graph* of  $\text{Vor}(P)$

The **Delaunay graph**  $\mathcal{DG}(P)$  is the *straight line embedding* of  $\mathcal{G}$




# Voronoi Diagram and Delaunay Graph

Let  $P$  be a set of  $n$  points in the plane

The **Voronoi diagram**  $\text{Vor}(P)$  is the subdivision of the plane into Voronoi cells  $\mathcal{V}(p)$  for all  $p \in P$

Let  $\mathcal{G}$  be the *dual graph* of  $\text{Vor}(P)$

The **Delaunay graph**  $\mathcal{DG}(P)$  is the *straight line embedding* of  $\mathcal{G}$




# Voronoi Diagram and Delaunay Graph

Let  $P$  be a set of  $n$  points in the plane

The **Voronoi diagram**  $\text{Vor}(P)$  is the subdivision of the plane into Voronoi cells  $\mathcal{V}(p)$  for all  $p \in P$


Let  $\mathcal{G}$  be the *dual graph* of  $\text{Vor}(P)$

The **Delaunay graph**  $\mathcal{DG}(P)$  is the *straight line embedding* of  $\mathcal{G}$



# Planarity of the Delaunay Graph


**Theorem:** The Delaunay graph of a planar point set is a plane graph.






# Delaunay Triangulation

If the point set  $P$  is in *general position* then the Delaunay graph is a triangulation.




# Empty Circle Property

**Theorem:** Let  $P$  be a set of points in the plane, and let  $\mathcal{T}$  be a triangulation of  $P$ . Then  $\mathcal{T}$  is a Delaunay triangulation of  $P$  if and only if the circumcircle of any triangle of  $\mathcal{T}$  does not contain a point of  $P$  in its interior.



# Delaunay Triangulations and Legal Triangulations

**Theorem:** Let  $P$  be a set of points in the plane. A triangulation  $\mathcal{T}$  of  $P$  is legal if and only if  $\mathcal{T}$  is a Delaunay triangulation.



# Angle Optimality and Delaunay Triangulations

**Theorem:** Let  $P$  be a set of points in the plane.  
Any angle-optimal triangulation of  $P$  is a Delaunay triangulation of  $P$ . Furthermore, any Delaunay triangulation of  $P$  maximizes the minimum angle over all triangulations of  $P$ .

# Computing Delaunay Triangulations

There are several ways to compute the Delaunay triangulation:

- By iterative flipping from any triangulation
- By plane sweep
- By randomized incremental construction
- By conversion from the Voronoi diagram

The last three run in  $O(n \log n)$  time [expected] for  $n$  points in the plane


## Using Delaunay Triangulations

Delaunay triangulations help in constructing various things:

- Euclidean Minimum Spanning Trees
- Approximations to the Euclidean Traveling Salesperson Problem
- $\alpha$ -Hulls


# Euclidean Minimum Spanning Tree

For a set  $P$  of  $n$  points in the plane, the **Euclidean Minimum Spanning Tree** is the graph with minimum summed edge length that connects all points in  $P$  and has only the points of  $P$  as vertices



# Euclidean Minimum Spanning Tree

For a set  $P$  of  $n$  points in the plane, the **Euclidean Minimum Spanning Tree** is the graph with minimum summed edge length that connects all points in  $P$  and has only the points of  $P$  as vertices





# Euclidean Minimum Spanning Tree

**Lemma:** The Euclidean Minimum Spanning Tree does not have cycles (it really is a tree)


**Proof:** Suppose  $G$  is the shortest connected graph and it has a cycle. Removing one edge from the cycle makes a new graph  $G'$  that is still connected but which is shorter. Contradiction

# Euclidean Minimum Spanning Tree

**Lemma:** Every edge of the Euclidean Minimum Spanning Tree is an edge in the Delaunay graph

**Proof:** Suppose  $T$  is an EMST with an edge  $e = \overline{pq}$  that is not Delaunay

Consider the circle  $C$  that has  $e$  as its diameter. Since  $e$  is not Delaunay,  $C$  must contain another point  $r$  in  $P$  (different from  $p$  and  $q$ )




# Euclidean Minimum Spanning Tree

**Lemma:** Every edge of the Euclidean Minimum Spanning Tree is an edge in the Delaunay graph

**Proof: (continued)**

Either the path in  $T$  from  $r$  to  $p$  passes through  $q$ , or vice versa. The cases are symmetric, so we can assume the former case




# Euclidean Minimum Spanning Tree

**Lemma:** Every edge of the Euclidean Minimum Spanning Tree is an edge in the Delaunay graph

**Proof: (continued)**

Then removing  $e$  and inserting  $\overline{pr}$  instead will give a connected graph again (in fact, a tree)

Since  $q$  was the furthest point from  $p$  inside  $C$ ,  $r$  is closer to  $q$ , so  $T$  was not a *minimum* spanning tree.  
 Contradiction



# Euclidean Minimum Spanning Tree

How can we compute a Euclidean Minimum Spanning Tree efficiently?

From your Data Structures course: A data structure exists that maintains disjoint sets and allows the following two operations:

- **Union**: Takes two sets and makes one new set that is the union (destroys the two given sets)
- **Find**: Takes one element and returns the name of the set that contains it

If there are  $n$  elements in total, then all **Unions** together take  $O(n \log n)$  time and each **Find** operation takes  $O(1)$  time

# Euclidean Minimum Spanning Tree

Let  $P$  be a set of  $n$  points in the plane for which we want to compute the EMST

- ① Make a Union-Find structure where every point of  $P$  is in a separate set
- ② Construct the Delaunay triangulation  $DT$  of  $P$
- ③ Take all edges of  $DT$  and sort them by length
- ④ For all edges  $e$  from short to long:
  - Let the endpoints of  $e$  be  $p$  and  $q$
  - If  $\text{Find}(p) \neq \text{Find}(q)$ , then put  $e$  in the EMST, and  $\text{Union}(\text{Find}(p), \text{Find}(q))$

# Euclidean Minimum Spanning Tree


Step 1 takes linear time, the other three steps take  $O(n \log n)$  time

**Theorem:** Let  $P$  be a set of  $n$  points in the plane.  
The Euclidean Minimum Spanning Tree of  $P$  can be computed in  $O(n \log n)$  time

# The traveling salesperson problem

Given a set  $P$  of  $n$  points in the plane, the **Euclidean Traveling Salesperson Problem** is to compute a tour (cycle) that visits all points of  $P$  and has minimum length

A tour is an *order* on the points of  $P$  (more precisely: a cyclic order). A set of  $n$  points has  $(n - 1)!$  different tours





# The traveling salesperson problem

We can determine the length of each tour in  $O(n)$  time: a brute-force algorithm to solve the Euclidean Traveling Salesperson Problem (ETSP) takes  $O(n) \cdot O((n-1)!) = O(n!)$  time

How bad is  $n!$ ?

# Efficiency

$n$	$n^2$	$2^n$	$n!$
6	36	64	720
7	49	128	5040
8	64	256	40K
9	81	512	360K
10	100	1024	3.5M
15	225	32K	2,000,000T
20	400	1M	
30	900	1G	

Clever algorithms can solve instances in  $O(n^2 \cdot 2^n)$  time

# Approximation algorithms

If an algorithm  $A$  solves an optimization problem always within a factor  $k$  of the optimum, then  $A$  is called an  **$k$ -approximation algorithm**

If an instance  $I$  of ETSP has an optimal solution of length  $L$ , then a  $k$ -approximation algorithm will find a tour of length  $\leq k \cdot L$

# Approximation algorithms

Consider the diameter problem of a set of  $n$  points. We can compute the real value of the diameter in  $O(n \log n)$  time

Suppose we take any point  $p$ , determine its furthest point  $q$ , and return their distance. This takes only  $O(n)$  time


**Question:** Is this an approximation algorithm?

# Approximation algorithms

Consider the diameter problem of a set of  $n$  points. We can compute the real value of the diameter in  $O(n \log n)$  time

Suppose we take any point  $p$ , determine its furthest point  $q$ , and return their distance. This takes only  $O(n)$  time

**Question:** Is this an approximation algorithm?



# Approximation algorithms

Suppose we determine the point with minimum  $x$ -coordinate  $p$  and the point with maximum  $x$ -coordinate  $q$ , and return their distance. This takes only  $O(n)$  time

**Question:** Is this an approximation algorithm?

# Approximation algorithms

Suppose we determine the point with minimum  $x$ -coordinate  $p$  and the point with maximum  $x$ -coordinate  $q$ , and return their distance. This takes only  $O(n)$  time

**Question:** Is this an approximation algorithm?



## Approximation algorithms

Suppose we determine the point with minimum  $x$ -coordinate  $p$  and the point with maximum  $x$ -coordinate  $q$ .

Then we determine the point with minimum  $y$ -coordinate  $r$  and the point with maximum  $y$ -coordinate  $s$ .

We return  $\max(d(p, q), d(r, s))$ .

This takes only  $O(n)$  time

**Question:** Is this an approximation algorithm?




# Approximation algorithms

Suppose we determine the point with minimum  $x$ -coordinate  $p$  and the point with maximum  $x$ -coordinate  $q$ .

Then we determine the point with minimum  $y$ -coordinate  $r$  and the point with maximum  $y$ -coordinate  $s$ .

We return  $\max(d(p, q), d(r, s))$ .

This takes only  $O(n)$  time




**Question:** Is this an approximation algorithm?

# Approximation algorithms

Back to Euclidean Traveling Salesperson:

We will use the EMST to approximate the ETSP




start at any vertex

# Approximation algorithms

Back to Euclidean Traveling Salesperson:

We will use the EMST to approximate the ETSP




follow an edge on one side

# Approximation algorithms

Back to Euclidean Traveling Salesperson:

We will use the EMST to approximate the ETSP




... to get to another vertex

# Approximation algorithms

Back to Euclidean Traveling Salesperson:

We will use the EMST to approximate the ETSP




proceed this way

# Approximation algorithms

Back to Euclidean Traveling Salesperson:

We will use the EMST to approximate the ETSP




proceed this way

# Approximation algorithms

Back to Euclidean Traveling Salesperson:

We will use the EMST to approximate the ETSP




proceed this way

# Approximation algorithms

Back to Euclidean Traveling Salesperson:

We will use the EMST to approximate the ETSP




skipping visited vertices



# Approximation algorithms

Back to Euclidean Traveling Salesperson:

We will use the EMST to approximate the ETSP




skipping visited vertices

# Approximation algorithms

Back to Euclidean Traveling Salesperson:

We will use the EMST to approximate the ETSP




skipping visited vertices

# Approximation algorithms

Back to Euclidean Traveling Salesperson:

We will use the EMST to approximate the ETSP




skipping visited vertices

# Approximation algorithms

Back to Euclidean Traveling Salesperson:

We will use the EMST to approximate the ETSP




skipping visited vertices

# Approximation algorithms

Back to Euclidean Traveling Salesperson:

We will use the EMST to approximate the ETSP




skipping visited vertices

# Approximation algorithms

Back to Euclidean Traveling Salesperson:

We will use the EMST to approximate the ETSP




skipping visited vertices

# Approximation algorithms

Back to Euclidean Traveling Salesperson:

We will use the EMST to approximate the ETSP




skipping visited vertices

# Approximation algorithms

Back to Euclidean Traveling Salesperson:

We will use the EMST to approximate the ETSP




and close the tour



# Approximation algorithms

Back to Euclidean Traveling Salesperson:

We will use the EMST to approximate the ETSP




and close the tour

# Approximation algorithms

Why is this tour an approximation?

- The walk visits every edge twice, so it has length  $2 \cdot |EMST|$
- The tour skips vertices, which means the tour has length  $\leq 2 \cdot |EMST|$
- The optimal ETSP-tour is a spanning tree if you remove any edge!!!  
 So  $|EMST| < |ETSP|$



# Approximation algorithms


**Theorem:** Given a set of  $n$  points in the plane, a tour visiting all points whose length is at most twice the minimum possible can be computed in  $O(n \log n)$  time

In other words: an  $O(n \log n)$  time, 2-approximation for ETSP exists

# $\alpha$ -Shapes

Suppose that you have a set of points in the plane that were sampled from a shape


We would like to reconstruct the shape



# $\alpha$ -Shapes

Suppose that you have a set of points in the plane that were sampled from a shape


We would like to reconstruct the shape



# $\alpha$ -Shapes

An  $\alpha$ -disk is a disk of radius  $\alpha$


The  $\alpha$ -shape of a point set  $P$  is the graph with the points of  $P$  as the vertices, and two vertices  $p, q$  are connected by an edge if there exists an  $\alpha$ -disk with  $p$  and  $q$  on the boundary but no other points of  $P$  inside or on the boundary



# $\alpha$ -Shapes

An  $\alpha$ -disk is a disk of radius  $\alpha$


The  $\alpha$ -shape of a point set  $P$  is the graph with the points of  $P$  as the vertices, and two vertices  $p, q$  are connected by an edge if there exists an  $\alpha$ -disk with  $p$  and  $q$  on the boundary but no other points of  $P$  inside or on the boundary



# $\alpha$ -Shapes

An  $\alpha$ -disk is a disk of radius  $\alpha$

The  $\alpha$ -shape of a point set  $P$  is the graph with the points of  $P$  as the vertices, and two vertices  $p, q$  are connected by an edge if there exists an  $\alpha$ -disk with  $p$  and  $q$  on the boundary but no other points of  $P$  inside or on the boundary






# $\alpha$ -Shapes

An  $\alpha$ -disk is a disk of radius  $\alpha$


The  $\alpha$ -shape of a point set  $P$  is the graph with the points of  $P$  as the vertices, and two vertices  $p, q$  are connected by an edge if there exists an  $\alpha$ -disk with  $p$  and  $q$  on the boundary but no other points of  $P$  inside or on the boundary



# $\alpha$ -Shapes



Because of the empty disk property of Delaunay triangulations (each Delaunay edge has an empty disk through its endpoints), every  $\alpha$ -shape edge is also a Delaunay edge

Hence: there are  $O(n)$   $\alpha$ -shape edges, and they cannot properly intersect



# $\alpha$ -Shapes

Given the Delaunay triangulation, we can determine for any edge all sizes of empty disks through the endpoints in  $O(1)$  time



So the  $\alpha$ -shape can be computed in  $O(n \log n)$  time

## Conclusions

The **Delaunay triangulation** is a versatile structure that can be computed in  $O(n \log n)$  time for a set of  $n$  points in the plane

**Approximation algorithms** are like heuristics, but they come with a guarantee on the quality of the approximation. They are useful when an optimal solution is too time-consuming to compute