

Classes of Intruders – Cyber Criminals

- Individuals or members of an organized crime group with a goal of financial reward
- Their activities may include:
 - Identity theft
 - Theft of financial credentials
 - Corporate espionage
 - Data theft
 - Data ransoming
- Typically they are young, often Eastern European, Russian, or southeast Asian hackers, who do business on the Web
- They meet in underground forums to trade tips and data and coordinate attacks

Classes of Intruders – Activists

- Are either individuals, usually working as insiders, or members of a larger group of outsider attackers, who are motivated by social or political causes
- Also known as hacktivists
 - Skill level is often quite low
- Aim of their attacks is often to promote and publicize their cause typically through:
 - Website defacement
 - Denial of service attacks
 - Theft and distribution of data that results in negative publicity or compromise of their targets

Classes of Intruders – State-Sponsored Organizations

- Groups of hackers sponsored by governments to conduct espionage or sabotage activities
- Also known as Advanced Persistent Threats (APTs) due to the covert nature and persistence over extended periods involved with any attacks in this class
- Widespread nature and scope of these activities by a wide range of countries from China to the USA, UK, and their intelligence allies

Classes of Intruders – Others

- Hackers with motivations other than those previously listed
- Include classic hackers or crackers who are motivated by technical challenge or by peer-group esteem and reputation
- Many of those responsible for discovering new categories of buffer overflow vulnerabilities could be regarded as members of this class
- Given the wide availability of attack toolkits, there is a pool of “hobby hackers” using them to explore system and network security

Intruder Skill Levels – Apprentice

- Hackers with minimal technical skill who primarily use existing attack toolkits
- They likely comprise the largest number of attackers, including many criminal and activist attackers
- Given their use of existing known tools, these attackers are the easiest to defend against
- Also known as “script-kiddies” due to their use of existing scripts (tools)

Intruder Skill Levels – Journeyman

- Hackers with sufficient technical skills to modify and extend attack toolkits to use newly discovered, or purchased, vulnerabilities
- They may be able to locate new vulnerabilities to exploit that are similar to some already known
- Hackers with such skills are likely found in all intruder classes
- Adapt tools for use by others

Intruder Skill Levels – Master

- Hackers with high-level technical skills capable of discovering brand new categories of vulnerabilities
- Write new powerful attack toolkits
- Some of the better known classical hackers are of this level
- Some are employed by state-sponsored organizations
- Defending against these attacks is of the highest difficulty

Examples of Intrusion

- Remote root compromise
- Web server defacement
- Guessing/cracking passwords
- Copying databases containing credit card numbers
- Viewing sensitive data without authorization
- Running a packet sniffer
- Distributing pirated software
- Using an unsecured modem to access internal network
- Impersonating an executive to get information
- Using an unattended workstation

Intruder Behavior

- Target acquisition and information gathering
- Initial access
- Privilege escalation
- Information gathering or system exploit
- Maintaining access
- Covering tracks

Table 8.1 Examples of Intruder Behavior (1 of 4)

(a) Target Acquisition and Information Gathering

- Explore corporate website for information on corporate structure, personnel, key systems, as well as details of specific Web server and OS used.
- Gather information on target network using DNS lookup tools such as dig, host, and others; and query WHOIS database.
- Map network for accessible services using tools such as NMAP.
- Send query email to customer service contact, review response for information on mail client, server, and OS used, and also details of person responding.
- Identify potentially vulnerable services, for example, vulnerable Web CMS.

Table 8.1 Examples of Intruder Behavior (2 of 4)

(b) Initial Access

- Brute force (guess) a user's Web content management system (CMS) password.
- Exploit vulnerability in Web CMS plugin to gain system access.
- Send spear-phishing e-mail with link to Web browser exploit to key people.

(c) Privilege Escalation

- Scan system for applications with local exploit.
- Exploit any vulnerable application to gain elevated privileges.
- Install sniffers to capture administrator passwords.
- Use captured administrator password to access privileged information.

Table 8.1 Examples of Intruder Behavior (3 of 4)

(d) Information Gathering or System Exploit

- Scan files for desired information.
- Transfer large numbers of documents to external repository.
- Use guessed or captured passwords to access other servers on network.

(e) Maintaining Access

- Install remote administration tool or rootkit with backdoor for later access.
- Use administrator password to later access network.
- Modify or disable anti-virus or IDS programs running on system.

Table 8.1 Examples of Intruder Behavior (4 of 4)

(f) Covering Tracks

- Use rootkit to hide files installed on system.
- Edit logfiles to remove entries generated during the intrusion.

Definitions

- Security Intrusion:

Unauthorized act of bypassing the security mechanisms of a system


- Intrusion Detection:

A hardware or software function that gathers and analyzes information from various areas within a computer or a network to identify possible security intrusions

Intrusion Detection System (IDS)

- Host-based IDS (HIDS)
 - Monitors the characteristics of a single host for suspicious activity
- Network-based IDS (NIDS)
 - Monitors network traffic and analyzes network, transport, and application protocols to identify suspicious activity
- Distributed or hybrid IDS
 - Combines information from a number of sensors, often both host and network based, in a central analyzer that is able to better identify and respond to intrusion activity
- Comprises three logical components:
 - Sensors - collect data
 - Analyzers - determine if intrusion has occurred
 - User interface - view output or control system behavior

Figure 8.1 Profiles of Behavior of Intruders and Authorized Users



IDS Requirements

- Run continually
- Be fault tolerant
- Resist subversion
- Impose a minimal overhead on system
- Configured according to system security policies
- Adapt to changes in systems and users
- Scale to monitor large numbers of systems
- Provide graceful degradation of service
- Allow dynamic reconfiguration

Analysis Approaches

Anomaly detection

- Involves the collection of data relating to the behavior of legitimate users over a period of time
- Current observed behavior is analyzed to determine whether this behavior is that of a legitimate user or that of an intruder

Signature/Heuristic detection

- Uses a set of known malicious data patterns or attack rules that are compared with current behavior
- Also known as misuse detection
- Can only identify known attacks for which it has patterns or rules

Anomaly Detection

- A variety of classification approaches are used:
 - Statistical
 - Analysis of the observed behavior using univariate, multivariate, or time-series models of observed metrics
 - Knowledge based
 - Approaches use an expert system that classifies observed behavior according to a set of rules that model legitimate behavior
 - Machine-learning
 - Approaches automatically determine a suitable classification model from the training data using data mining techniques

Signature or Heuristic Detection

- **Signature approaches**
 - Match a large collection of known patterns of malicious data against data stored on a system or in transit over a network
 - The signatures need to be large enough to minimize the false alarm rate, while still detecting a sufficiently large fraction of malicious data
 - Widely used in anti-virus products, network traffic scanning proxies, and in NIDS
- **Rule-based heuristic identification**
 - Involves the use of rules for identifying known penetrations or penetrations that would exploit known weaknesses
 - Rules can also be defined that identify suspicious behavior, even when the behavior is within the bounds of established patterns of usage
 - Typically rules used are specific
 - SNORT is an example of a rule-based NIDS

Host-Based Intrusion Detection (HIDS)

- Adds a specialized layer of security software to vulnerable or sensitive systems
- Can use either anomaly or signature and heuristic approaches
- Monitors activity to detect suspicious behavior
 - Primary purpose is to detect intrusions, log suspicious events, and send alerts
 - Can detect both external and internal intrusions

Data Sources and Sensors

- A fundamental component of intrusion detection is the sensor that collects data
- Common data sources include:
 - System call traces
 - Audit (log file) records
 - File integrity checksums
 - Registry access

Table 8.2 Linux System Calls and Windows DLLs Monitored (1 of 2)

(a) Ubuntu Linux System Calls

accept, accept, acct, adjtime, aiocancel, aioread, aiowait, aiowrite, alarm, async_daemon, auditsys, bind, chdir, chmod, chown, chroot, close, connect, creat, dup, dup2, execv, execve, exit, exportfs, fchdir, fchmod, fchown, fchroot, fcntl, flock, fork, fpathconf, fstat, fstatfs, fsync, ftime, ftruncate, getdents, getdirenties, getdomainname, getopt, getdtablesize, getfh, getgid, getgroups, gethostid, gethostname, getitimer, getmsg, getpagesize, getpeername, getpgrp, getpid, getpriority, getrlimit, getrusage, getsockname, getsockopt, gettimeofday, getuid, gtty, ioctl, kill, killpg, link, listen, lseek, lstat, madvise, mctl, mincore, mknod, mmap, mount, mount, mprotect, mpxchan, msgsys, msync, munmap, nfs_mount, nfssvc, nice, open, pathconf, pause, pcfs_mount, phys, pipe, poll, profil, ptrace, putmsg, quota, quotactl, read, readlink, ready, reboot, recv, recvfrom, recvmsg, rename, resuba, rfssys, rmdir, sbreak, sbrk, select, semsys, send, sendmsg, sendto, setdomainname, getopt, setgid, setgroups, sethostid, sethostname, setitimer, setpgid, setpgrp, setpgrp, setpriority, setquota, setregid, setreuid, setrlimit, setsid, setsockopt, gettimeofday, setuid, shmsys, shutdown, sigblock, sigpause, sigpending, sigsetmask, sigstack, sigsys, sigvec, socket, socketaddr, socketpair, sstk, stat, stat, statfs, stime, stty, swapon, symlink, sync, sysconf, time, times, truncate, umask, umount, uname, unlink, unmount, ustata, utime, utimes, vadvise, vfork, vhangup, vlimit, vpixsys, vread, vtimes, vtrace, vwrite, wait, wait3, wait4, write, writev

Table 8.2 Linux System Calls and Windows DLLs Monitored (2 of 2)

(b) Key Windows DLLs and Executables

comctl32

kernel32

msvcpp

msvcrt

mswsock

ntdll

ntoskrnl

user32

ws2_32

Figure 8.2 Architecture for Distributed Intrusion Detection





Figure 8.3 Agent Architecture



Network-Based IDS (NIDS)

- Monitors traffic at selected points on a network
- Examines traffic packet by packet in real or close to real time
- May examine network, transport, and/or application-level protocol activity
- Comprised of a number of sensors, one or more servers for NIDS management functions, and one or more management consoles for the human interface
- Analysis of traffic patterns may be done at the sensor, the management server or a combination of the two

Figure 8.4 Passive NIDS Sensor





Figure 8.5 Example of NIDS Sensor Deployment



Intrusion Detection Techniques

Attacks suitable for Signature detection

- Application layer reconnaissance and attacks
- Transport layer reconnaissance and attacks
- Network layer reconnaissance and attacks
- Unexpected application services
- Policy violations

Attacks suitable for Anomaly detection

- Denial-of-service (DoS) attacks
- Scanning
- Worms


Stateful Protocol Analysis (SPA)

- Subset of anomaly detection that compares observed network traffic against predetermined universal vendor supplied profiles of benign protocol traffic
 - This distinguishes it from anomaly techniques trained with organization specific traffic protocols
- Understands and tracks network, transport, and application protocol states to ensure they progress as expected
- A key disadvantage is the high resource use it requires

Logging of Alerts

- Typical information logged by a NIDS sensor includes:
 - Timestamp
 - Connection or session ID
 - Event or alert type
 - Rating
 - Network, transport, and application layer protocols
 - Source and destination IP addresses
 - Source and destination TCP or UDP ports, or ICMP types and codes
 - Number of bytes transmitted over the connection
 - Decoded payload data, such as application requests and responses
 - State-related information

Figure 8.6 Overall Architecture of an Autonomic Enterprise Security System



IETF Intrusion Detection Working Group (1 of 3)

- Purpose is to define data formats and exchange procedures for sharing information of interest to intrusion detection and response systems and to management systems that may need to interact with them
- The working group issued the following RFCs in 2007:


IETF Intrusion Detection Working Group (2 of 3)

- Intrusion Detection Message Exchange Requirements (RFC 4766)
 - Document defines requirements for the Intrusion Detection Message Exchange Format (IDMEF)
 - Also specifies requirements for a communication protocol for communicating IDMEF
- The Intrusion Detection Message Exchange Format (RFC 4765)
 - Document describes a data model to represent information exported by intrusion detection systems and explains the rationale for using this model
 - An implementation of the data model in the Extensible Markup Language (XML) is presented, and XML Document Type Definition is developed, and examples are provided

IETF Intrusion Detection Working Group (3 of 3)

- The Intrusion Detection Exchange Protocol (RFC 4767)
 - Document describes the Intrusion Detection Exchange Protocol (IDXP), an application level protocol for exchanging data between intrusion detection entities
 - IDXP supports mutual authentication, integrity, and confidentiality over a connection oriented protocol

Figure 8.7 Model for Intrusion Detection Message Exchange



Honeypots

- Decoy systems designed to:
 - Lure a potential attacker away from critical systems
 - Collect information about the attacker's activity
 - Encourage the attacker to stay on the system long enough for administrators to respond
- Systems are filled with fabricated information that a legitimate user of the system wouldn't access
- Resources that have no production value
 - Therefore incoming communication is most likely a probe, scan, or attack
 - Initiated outbound communication suggests that the system has probably been compromised

Honeypot Classifications (1 of 2)

- Low interaction honeypot
 - Consists of a software package that emulates particular IT services or systems well enough to provide a realistic initial interaction, but does not execute a full version of those services or systems
 - Provides a less realistic target
 - Often sufficient for use as a component of a distributed IDS to warn of imminent attack

Honeypot Classifications (2 of 2)

- High interaction honeypot
 - A real system, with a full operating system, services and applications, which are instrumented and deployed where they can be accessed by attackers
 - Is a more realistic target that may occupy an attacker for an extended period
 - However, it requires significantly more resources
 - If compromised could be used to initiate attacks on other systems

Figure 8.8 Example of Honeypot Deployment




Figure 8.10 Snort Rule Formats

Action	Protocol	Source IP address	Source port	Direction	Dest IP address	Dest port
--------	----------	-------------------	-------------	-----------	-----------------	-----------

(a) Rule header

Option keyword	Option arguments	...
----------------	------------------	-----

(b) Options

Table 8.3 Snort Rule Actions

Action	Description
alert	Generate an alert using the selected alert method, and then log the packet.
log	Log the packet.
pass	Ignore the packet.
activate	Alert and then turn on another dynamic rule.
dynamic	Remain idle until activated by an activate rule, then act as a log rule.
Drop	Make iptables drop the packet and log the packet.
reject	Make iptables drop the packet, log it, then send a TCP reset if the protocol is TCP or an ICMP port unreachable message if the protocol is UDP.
sdrop	Make iptables drop the packet but does not log it.

Table 8.4 Examples of Snort Rule Options (1 of 3)

meta-data

msg Defines the message to be sent when a packet generates an event.

reference Defines a link to an external attack identification system, which provides additional information.

classtype Indicates what type of attack the packet attempted.

payload

content Enables Snort to perform a case-sensitive search for specific content (text and/or binary) in the packet payload.

depth Specifies how far into a packet Snort should search for the specified pattern. Depth modifies the previous content keyword in the rule.

offset Specifies where to start searching for a pattern within a packet. Offset modifies the previous content keyword in the rule.

nocase Snort should look for the specific pattern, ignoring case. ~~Nocase~~ modifies the previous content keyword in the rule.

Table 8.4 Examples of Snort Rule Options (2 of 3)

non-payload

ttl Check the IP time-to-live value. This option was intended for use in the detection of traceroute attempts.

id Check the IPID field for a specific value. Some tools (exploits, scanners and other odd programs) set this field specifically for various purposes, for example, the value 31337 is very popular with some hackers.

dsize Test the packet payload size. This may be used to check for abnormally sized packets. In many cases, it is useful for detecting buffer overflows.

flags Test the TCP flags for specified settings.

seq Look for a specific TCP header sequence number.

icmp-id Check for a specific ICMPID value. This is useful because some covert channel programs use static ICMP fields when they communicate. This option was developed to detect the stacheldraht DDoS agent.

Table 8.4 Examples of Snort Rule Options (3 of 3)

post-detection

logto Log packets matching the rule to the specified filename.

session Extract user data from TCP Sessions. There are many cases where seeing what users are typing in telnet, rlogin, ftp, or even web sessions is very useful.

Summary (1 of 2)

- Intruders
 - Intruder behavior
- Intrusion detection
 - Basic principles
 - The base-rate fallacy
 - Requirements
- Analysis approaches
 - Anomaly detection
 - Signature or heuristic detection
- Distributed or hybrid intrusion detection
- Intrusion detection exchange format
- Honeypots

Summary (2 of 2)

- Host-based intrusion detection
 - Data sources and sensors
 - Anomaly HIDS
 - Signature or heuristic HIDS
 - Distributed HIDS
- Network-based intrusion detection
 - Types of network sensors
 - NIDS sensor deployment
 - Intrusion detection techniques
 - Logging of alerts
- Example system: Snort
 - Snort architecture
 - Snort rules