Feedback — Course Project **Please Note: No Grace Period** Help Center

Thank you. Your submission for this exam was received.

You submitted this exam on **Mon 10 Aug 2015 9:10 PM PDT**. You got a score of **7.00** out of **10.00**. You can attempt again, if you'd like.

In this project you will be reading a genomic data science paper and answering some questions to help you learn about how the different fields in genomic data science work together and to evaluate your understanding of some of the concepts we have learned throughout the course.

The paper we are reading is called: "Microbial Genes in the Human Genome: Lateral Transfer or Gene Loss?" You can access the paper from the Science Magazine site here:

http://www.sciencemag.org/content/292/5523/1903.full.

You'll need to register for a free Science account to access the full text. If you aren't able to do that, then you can also access the via this link:

Salzberg et al. 2001

You may also find the annotated version of the manuscript helpful for the project.

Annotated version

Question 1

Why did the authors write this paper?

Your Answer Score Explanation

To compute the E-values for the BlastP matches to the proteins from the human proteome.

To propose the hypothesis that genes had been "laterally" transferred to humans.	
To identify species that share more genes in common between humans and bacteria.	
To propose a plausible alternative to the hypothesis that genes had been "laterally" transferred to humans.	✓ 1.00
Total	1.00 / 1.00

Question 2

What is "lateral gene transfer"?

Your Answer		Score	Explanation
• When genetic information is passed from bacteria to humans directly through infections or another process.	~	1.00	
When a gene is transferred out of the DNA and permanently lost.			
When genes are transferred out of the nucleus and into the cell.			
When genetic material is passed between one human and another human.			
Total		1.00 / 1.00	

Question 3

Why is lateral gene transfer (LGT) from bacteria to humans unlikely?

Your Answer Score Explanation

• There hasn't been sufficient time since humans and bacteria diverged for laterally transferred genes to spread through the population.	× 0.00
 Because human cells destroy bacteria too quickly for LGT to occur. 	
 Bacterial genes are so different from human genes that a human could not survive with bacterial genes in his/her chromosomes. 	
Because a bacterium would have to infect a germline cell, enter the nucleus of that cell, and insert some of its DNA into one of the host's chromosomes, after which the mutation would then have to provide an evolutionary advantage to spread through the population.	
Total	0.00 / 1.00

Question 4		
What are homologs?		
Your Answer	Score	Explanation
Two genes in different organisms that have been mutated at the same rate.		
Two copies of a gene in different organisms that share a common ancestor.		
Genes that have identical DNA sequences	x 0.00	
Oldentical mutations that occurred over evolutionary time.		
Total	0.00 / 1.00	

Question 5

What was the main method used to rule out lateral gene transfers between humans and bacteria?

Your Answer	Score	Explanation
If a homolog of a eukaryote was found in another eukaryote but not in humans.		
If a homolog of a gene found in humans was also found in a species of nonvertebrate eukaryotes.	✓ 1.00	
If genes were found to have mutated between eukaryotic genomes and human genomes.		
If a homolog of a gene found in humans was also found in bacteria.		
Total	1.00 /	
	1.00	

Question 6

Why would this method rule out lateral gene transfers?

Your Answer	Score	Explanation
Inheritance of common genes is less common than lateral gene transfer and nonvertebrate eukaryotic organisms and humans are evolutionarily "closer" than bacteria and humans. If humans and bacteria share a homologous gene, it was likely directly passed from bacteria to humans.		
Lateral gene transfer is a more likely explanation only when organisms that are close together evolutionarily share homologous genetic material.		
Nonvertebrate eukaryotic organisms and bacteria are evolutionarily "closer" than invertebrate eukaryotic organisms and humans. If they share a homologous gene, then bacteria are		

likely to have passed genes directly to humans.

• Genes that appear in nonvertebrate eukaryotic organisms and humans are genes that must have been shared in common well after humans and bacteria diverged, so these genes probably weren't transferred directly to humans.	✓ 1.00
Total	1.00 /
	1.00

Question 7

Your Answer	Score	Explanation
Biological: the argument that a Blast cutoff of 10^-10 should		
define homologs		
Computational: The plotting of number of genomes versus number of genes in common		
Statistical: Observing and quantifying the trend in genes shared versus genome sample size.		
Biological: the argument that a Blast cutoff of 10^-10 should define homologs		
Computational: The identification of homologs by performing Blastp searches on known protein sets.		
Statistical: Observing and quantifying the trend in genes shared versus genome sample size.		
Biological: the argument that lateral transfer should be ruled out if there is a human/nonvertebrate eukaryote homologs.	1.00	
Computational: The identification of homologs by performing Blastp searches on known protein sets.		
Statistical: Observing and quantifying the trend in genes shared versus genome sample size.		
Biological: the argument that lateral transfer should be ruled		
out if there is a human/nonvertebrate eukaryote homologs. Computational: the plotting of number of genomes versus		
number of genes in common		
Statistical: Observing and quantifying the trend in genes shared		

versus genome sample size.

Total

1.00 /
1.00

Question 8

What are the biological, computational, and statistical parts of Figure 2?

Your Answer	Score	Explanation
Biological: the argument that proteins should have more		
similar sequences if they are evolutionarily closer.		
Computational: The identification of homologs of human HAS		
genes by iterative BlastP searches and application of the		
neighbor-joining algorithm to create the phylogenetic tree.		
Statistical: The inference that humans cluster more closely		
(have smaller distances to) other eukaryotes than to bacteria.		
Biological: the argument that proteins should have more		
similar sequences if they are evolutionarily closer.		
Computational: The calculation of statistical significance of the		
protein hits in the Blastp search.		
Statistical: The statistical modeling of protein sequences via a		
Markov Model.		
Biological: the argument that lateral gene transfer is less		
common than standard gene flow through reproduction		
Computational: The storage of data in a low redundancy protein database.		
Statistical: The inference that humans cluster more closely		
(have smaller distances to) other eukaryotes than to bacteria.		
Biological: the argument that lateral gene transfer is less	× 0.00	
common than standard gene flow through reproduction.		
Computational: The calculation of statistical significance of the		
orotein hits in the Blastp search.		
Statistical: The statistical modeling of protein sequences via a		
Markov Model.		
Total	0.00 /	
	1.00	

Question 9

The analysis in this paper required multiple data sources. Which of the following data sources was not used in the paper?

Your Answer		Score	Explanation
The complete set of noncoding RNA genes from the human genome.	~	1.00	·
The complete set of genes from the fruit fly, nematode worm, yeast, and mustard weed genomes.			
The complete set of annotated genes from two different versions of the human genome.			
The set of all known genes (at the time) from the malaria parasite, Plasmodium falciparum.			
Total		1.00 /	
		1.00	

Question 10

In the end what is the conclusion of the paper?

Your Answer		Score	Explanation
• That a more plausible explanation for the observation of homologous genes found in bacteria and humans but not in non-vertebrate eukaryotes is gene loss and low sample size.	~	1.00	
That the argument for lateral gene transfer is statistical because we must average over multiple possible transfer events.			
That clustering organisms by protein similarity is the right way			

 That genes are more likely to be laterally tr certain types of bacteria to humans. 	ransferred from
Total	1.00 /
	1.00