

Arquea vs. Bacteria: Challenging the boundaries of osmoregulation



Alicia García-Roldán, Taylor Priest, Fernando Puente-Sánchez, Rafael R. de la Haba, Cristina Sánchez-Porro, Shinichi Sunagawa and Antonio Ventosa

Department of Microbiology and Parasitology, Faculty of Pharmacy, University of Sevilla, Spain

agroldan@us.es

AGTCGATCG

community, tipping the scales in favor of the Archaea.

HYPERSALINE ENVIRONMENTS = SALTERN

These environments, characterized by high salt levels and nutrient scarcity, have

been previously considered to harbour a low diversity of Archaea and Bacteria.

Rising salinity levels not only decrease diversity but reshape the prokaryotic

Microbial capacity to survive in such extreme conditions is driven by different

strategies, including specialized osmoregulation processes and dedicated

metabolic pathways. However, our knowledge on these adaptation strategies is

derived largely from studies on isolated microbes or on select hypersaline


environments, with a focus on extreme salt concentrations. As such, we know

little about salt adaptation strategies employed by environmental microbial

TGAATTGA


INTRODUCTION

as pH, solar radiation, temperature, pressure, nutrients, or salinity.











- 2. Determine whether microbial communities exhibit a tipping point along the salinity gradient, at which diversity is significantly reduced and Archaea become dominant.
- 3. Elucidate the mechanisms of osmoregulation and metabolic adaptations of microbial populations that shape the community at different salinities.



We taxonomically profiled the metagenomes into species-level units using the mOTUs database and assessed how diversity and community turnover shifted across the salinity gradient.

From these samples 1,478 MAGs were recovered using the cross-mapping binning technique and their metabolic capabilities were compared with MAGs from nine seawater metagenomes in the surrounding area of the studied salterns, together with over 800 reference genomes of cultured halophilic taxa. Bioinformatic tools like metaSPAdes, SqueezeMeta, GTDB-Tk, HMMER or eggNOG, among others, were used to perform this study.






Fig. 3. Filter used in the first stage of metagenomic DNA extraction.






Fig. 4. Extraction of metagenomic DNA using the phenol:chloroform:isoamyl
















RESULTS & DISCUSSION

DIVERSITY & COMMUNITY DINAMICS






Fig. 5. Alpha diversity (Richness) calculated for the whole set of metagenomes.





Salinity (% [w/v]) Fig. 7. Turnover rates between consecutive samples.

Fig. 5 shows that diversity does not decrease drastically with increasing salinity, as initially expected. However, Archaea do maintain their dominance within the community across the entire salinity range and even increase in abundance at higher salinities.

On the other hand, at intermediate salinities, we observe relatively stable diversity. Bray Curtis dissimilarities, based on the relative abundances of mOTUs, were calculated, and the samples were clustered accordingly in a dendrogram (Fig. 6). As shown, samples from low and high salinities group into a single cluster, respectively. However, the samples from intermediate salinities display a clear disorganization, which gradually converges toward the high-salinity samples.

Subsequently, turnover was assessed by comparing the abundances between samples from immediately successive salinity levels (Fig. 7). As observed, turnover values are high across the community, with a marked increase at intermediate salinities.

This suggests that the community undergoes multiple and continuous changes at these salinity levels.

OSMOREGULATORY MECHANISMS


The various osmoregulatory mechanisms known to occur in such environments (salt-in and salt-out strategies) were investigated across all the MAGs recovered and reference genomes.

These MAGs were analyzed by grouping them taxonomically according to the GTDB classification into three groups: Bacteria, Archaea, and a group referred to as "Nano". The "Nano group" includes taxa formally classified within the Archaea domain (Nanobdellota and "Ca. Nanohaloarchaeota") but which, a priori, display distinctive features—such as smaller, simpler genomes and a tendency toward symbiosis with other archaea.

As shown in the Venn diagram (Fig. 8), and contrary to expectations, there are very few differences in osmoregulatory mechanisms between Archaea and Bacteria, with only seven genes found to be exclusive to the Bacteria domain. A closer examination of the "Nano group" reveals that, surprisingly, it shares similar osmoregulatory traits with both Bacteria and Archaea, despite belonging to the latter.

Finally, a phylum-level analysis of osmoregulation (Fig. 9) reveals that the relevant genes are distributed in a nearly universal manner, blurring the phylogenetic boundaries in salt adaptation strategies and showing that osmoregulatory mechanisms are not domain-specific as was previously assumed.

CONCLUSIONS






Fig. 9. Distribution of genes related to osmoregulation across all taxa associated with halophiles, as well as the degree of gene sharing exhibited by each phylum.

Diversity is not strictly inversely proportional to salinity, as expected.

- The community dynamics involve an initial drop in diversity, followed by a recovery and stabilization. However, the rate of change is very high and eventually decreases as saline saturation is reached.
- Osmoregulatory mechanisms are not domain-specific, blurring the phylogenetic boundaries in salt adaptation strategies.

FUNDING AND ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS





This study has been funded by projects from the Ministry of Science and Innovation/AEI/10.13039/501100011033 (PID2020-118136GB-I00 and PID2023-148654NB-I00).