

Team Retrospective

Meeting Discussion

Team member	What went well?	What could have been done better ?	What will we try next?	What questions do we have?
Nikki	<ul style="list-style-type: none">- maintain velocity- Team dynamics- working together on the code- communication and fast response- helpful team members	<ul style="list-style-type: none">- Better git usage with not work on master branch directly	<ul style="list-style-type: none">- branching from master branch and make sure everyone working on a branch then merge into the master branch	<ul style="list-style-type: none">- none
Ali	<ul style="list-style-type: none">- tasks are understandable within the team.- tasks are allocated fairly.- team communication is more frequent.- Darkmode UI is professional.	<ul style="list-style-type: none">- none	<ul style="list-style-type: none">- add extra short meetings.- the naming conventions of our code should be consistent	<ul style="list-style-type: none">- none
Linh	<ul style="list-style-type: none">- Users stories are finished timely- Team member cooperates very well together	<ul style="list-style-type: none">- Some data was lost due to limited experience in using git	<ul style="list-style-type: none">- Avoid directly editing the master branch	<ul style="list-style-type: none">- none
Tan	<ul style="list-style-type: none">- Very responsive	<ul style="list-style-type: none">- none	<ul style="list-style-type: none">- Explaining and elaborate the your function for better learning experience	<ul style="list-style-type: none">- none
Ryan	<ul style="list-style-type: none">- Good documentation of test cases and pointed addressal of marking scheme	<ul style="list-style-type: none">- none	<ul style="list-style-type: none">- Trial run of presentation	<ul style="list-style-type: none">- none

Retrospective outputs

1. What went well?
 - Maintaining development velocity
 - Team dynamics
 - Collaborative coding
 - Timely and frequent communication
 - Helping team members
 - Well-defined tasks
 - Fair work-load allocation
 - Timely completion of tasks
 - Good documentation in general
 - Focus on marking scheme points
2. What could have been done better?
 - Usage of Git and to not work on master
 - Loss of data due to branching sometimes, need to be more careful
3. What will we try next?
 - Choose 1 naming convention
 - Branching from the master branch with everyone working on a different branch and later merging into the master branch
 - Avoid Editing the master branch directly
 - The addition of extra short meetings on top of what the team already has.
 - Explaining and elaborate the your function for better learning experience
 - Reherce the sprint review prior to presenting
4. Questions?
 - None