

Shifting perspectives – interplay between non-alcoholic fatty liver disease and insulin resistance in lean individuals

Ines Bilic-Curcic, Maja Cigrovski Berkovic, Lucija Virovic-Jukic, Anna Mrzljak

ORCID number: Ines Bilic-Curcic 0000-0002-8861-5987; Maja Cigrovski Berkovic 0000-0003-0750-9785; Lucija Virovic-Jukic 0000-0002-6350-317X; Anna Mrzljak 0000-0001-6270-2305.

Author contributions: Bilic-Curcic I made contributions to the conception and design of the study, involved in drafting and revising the manuscript critically; Cigrovski Berkovic M, Virovic-Jukic L and Mrzljak A were involved in collecting the data, and drafting and writing the manuscript; All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Conflict-of-interest statement: No potential conflicts of interest.

Open-Access: This article is an open-access article that was selected by an in-house editor and fully peer-reviewed by external reviewers. It is distributed in accordance with the Creative Commons Attribution NonCommercial (CC BY-NC 4.0) license, which permits others to distribute, remix, adapt, build upon this work non-commercially, and license their derivative works on different terms, provided the original work is properly cited and the use is non-commercial. See: <http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/>

Ines Bilic-Curcic, Department of Pharmacology, Faculty of Medicine, University of J. J. Strossmayer Osijek, Osijek 31000, Croatia

Ines Bilic-Curcic, Clinical Hospital Center Osijek, Osijek 31000, Croatia

Maja Cigrovski Berkovic, Department of Kinesiological Anthropology and Methodology, Faculty of Kinesiology, University of Zagreb, Zagreb 10000, Croatia

Maja Cigrovski Berkovic, Clinical Hospital Dubrava, Zagreb 10000, Croatia

Lucija Virovic-Jukic, Department of Medicine, Division of Gastroenterology and Hepatology, Sisters of Charity University Hospital, Zagreb 10000, Croatia

Lucija Virovic-Jukic, Anna Mrzljak, School of Medicine, University of Zagreb, Zagreb 10000, Croatia

Anna Mrzljak, Department of Medicine, Merkur University Hospital, Zagreb 10000, Croatia

Corresponding author: Anna Mrzljak, FEBG, MD, PhD, Associate Professor, Department of Medicine, Merkur University Hospital, Zajčeva 19, Zagreb 10000, Croatia.

anna.mrzljak@gmail.com

Abstract

Non-alcoholic fatty liver disease (NAFLD) has become a significant public health burden affecting not only obese individuals but also people with normal weight. As opposed to previous beliefs, this particular subset of patients has an increased risk of all-cause mortality and worse outcomes than their obese counterparts. The development of NAFLD in lean subjects seems to be interconnected with metabolic phenotype, precisely visceral fat tissue, sarcopenia, and insulin resistance. Here, we summarize available data focusing on the co-dependent relationship between metabolic phenotype, insulin resistance, and development of NAFLD in lean individuals, suggesting more appropriate tools for measuring body fat distribution for the screening of patients at risk.

Key Words: Non-alcoholic fatty liver disease; Metabolic phenotype; Lean individuals; Insulin resistance; Visceral fat tissue; Sarcopenia

©The Author(s) 2021. Published by Baishideng Publishing Group Inc. All rights reserved.

Manuscript source: Invited manuscript

Specialty type: Gastroenterology and hepatology

Country/Territory of origin: Croatia

Peer-review report's scientific quality classification

Grade A (Excellent): 0

Grade B (Very good): B, B

Grade C (Good): C

Grade D (Fair): 0

Grade E (Poor): 0

Received: June 13, 2020

Peer-review started: June 13, 2020

First decision: October 21, 2020

Revised: October 22, 2020

Accepted: November 17, 2020

Article in press: November 17, 2020

Published online: January 27, 2021

P-Reviewer: Hegardt FG, Joven J

S-Editor: Liu M

L-Editor: Filipodia

P-Editor: Wang LL



Core Tip: The prevalence of non-alcoholic fatty liver disease among non-obese (overweight or lean) individuals seems to be much higher than previously reported, affecting almost 20% of the non-obese population. Non-alcoholic fatty liver disease is no longer considered solely an obesity-related disorder since non-obese individuals participate significantly in this entity. The metabolic phenotype is the key role-player in the development of non-alcoholic fatty liver disease in lean individuals. The detection of lean patients with non-alcoholic fatty liver disease is particularly challenging since the body-mass index is not a good indicator of metabolic health.

Citation: Bilic-Curcic I, Cigrovski Berkovic M, Virovic-Jukic L, Mrzljak A. Shifting perspectives – interplay between non-alcoholic fatty liver disease and insulin resistance in lean individuals. *World J Hepatol* 2021; 13(1): 80-93

URL: <https://www.wjgnet.com/1948-5182/full/v13/i1/80.htm>

DOI: <https://dx.doi.org/10.4254/wjh.v13.i1.80>

INTRODUCTION

Non-alcoholic fatty liver disease (NAFLD), recently known as metabolic-associated fatty liver disease^[1], is one of the most common causes of chronic liver disease. NAFLD was traditionally associated with metabolic syndrome encompassing obesity, insulin resistance, hypertension, and atherogenic dyslipidemia^[2]. Recently, a new clinical entity, including NAFLD in non-obese/lean individuals has emerged. It soon became apparent that the existence of NAFLD in non-obese subjects should not be neglected since its prevalence has significantly increased. According to a recently published meta-analysis, up to 40% of NAFLD patients are non-obese, with the highest prevalence in western countries as opposed to previous findings dominantly allocating this entity in Asian regions^[3]. The clinical consequences of NAFLD can be detrimental; for instance, progression to significant fibrosis remains uncertain as well as long-term cardiometabolic complications and mortality^[4-7]. However, prevalence data and terminology are quite variable since definitions used to determine lean and obese patients differ among various studies, depending on Asian or Caucasian cutoff values. In addition, a body mass index (BMI) cutoff value of 25 kg/m² is frequently used to differ between lean and obese individuals, thus excluding the overweight population (Table 1). Here, we decided to use terms “non-obese” or “lean NAFLD” depending on the study in question and definitions used.

The recognition of NAFLD in lean individuals is associated with a concept known as the metabolic phenotype. There are separate subgroups of individuals divided according to their phenotype and metabolic profile to metabolically unhealthy normal weight (MUHNW) and metabolically healthy obese (MHO), the latter being disputable due to higher incidence of cardiovascular disease (CVD) in long-term studies^[8]. Distinguishing between those phenotypes is based on BMI, an inadequate surrogate marker for determining the quantity of skeletal muscle mass and adipose tissue, especially in the visceral area^[9]. As a consequence, a MUHNW individual could be a person with sarcopenia and a high proportion of fat tissue, with a high probability of developing insulin resistance and/or metabolic syndrome (MetS), subsequently leading to the development of NAFLD^[10]. In addition, other factors could be involved in the pathogenesis of NAFLD in lean subjects such as genetics [e.g., patatin-like phospholipase domain-containing 3 (PNPLA3) variant (rs738409 C/G)]^[11], environmental factors including dietary habits^[12,13] and physical activity^[14], changes in gut microbiota^[15], and secondary causes such as hypothyroidism or polycystic ovary syndrome.

Lean NAFLD patients were traditionally considered to have milder metabolic disturbances, thus carrying a lower risk for the development of CVD and progression to non-alcoholic steatohepatitis (NASH) and fibrosis^[6,16,17]. However, recent data suggest that progression to diabetes as well as NASH and fibrosis is higher in lean NAFLD individuals, undoubtedly linking visceral fat tissue with undesirable consequences of MUHNW phenotype^[5,10,18,19]. Still, a contribution of specific components of MetS to fibrosis remains unclear, although insulin resistance seems the most probable culprit^[20-22], Table 1.

In this critical review, we summarized available data and addressed practical issues

Table 1 Prevalence, characteristics, and outcomes in lean/non-obese individuals with non-alcoholic fatty liver disease

Author, year	Population, study design, sample size	Prevalence of NAFLD in lean subjects	Main findings
Zou et al ^[4] , 2020	Mixed population, 1999-2016 NHANES databases	32.3% overall NAFLD prevalence; 22.7% obese and 9.6% non-obese; Amongst NAFLD patients, 29.7% were non-obese (Caucasian BMI 25-30 kg/m ² , Asian BMI 23-27 kg/m ²), of which 13.6% had lean NAFLD (Caucasian BMI < 25 kg/m ² , Asian BMI < 23 kg/m ²)	Non-obese NAFLD individuals had higher 15-year cumulative all-cause mortality (51.7%) than obese NAFLD (27.2%) and non-NAFLD (20.7%)
Huang et al ^[20] , 2020	2483 Asian participants, community based study	44.5% NAFLD and 15.8%, MetS prevalence; Among NAFLD subjects, 48.8% were obese (BMI ≥ 24 kg/m ²)	IR is predictive of NAFLD irrespective of BMI; CV risk calculated by Framingham Risk Score may exist in lean NAFLD subjects
Tobari et al ^[18] , 2020	Asian, biopsy-proven 762 NAFLD patients, cross sectional study	Over 25% men and almost 40% women were non-obese, but most of them had visceral fat obesity and/or IR; BMI cutoff 25 kg/m ²	NAFLD was not milder in non-obese patients; Histological steatosis was associated with BMI; Advanced fibrosis was not associated with BMI and showed a significant sex difference
Kim et al ^[10] , 2020	664 Asian subjects with biopsy-proven NAFLD and controls, cross sectional study	542 subjects with biopsy-proven NAFLD 132 non-obese NAFLD (BMI < 25 kg/m ²) ; 410 obese NAFLD (BMI > 25 kg/m ²) ; 122 controls	Non-obese subjects with NAFLD displayed a similar severity of histological liver damage; Sagittal abdominal diameter was independently associated with significant fibrosis among subjects with non-obese NAFLD
Alferink et al ^[71] , 2019	4609 elderly European, population based study	1623 had NAFLD (<i>n</i> = 161 normal-weight and <i>n</i> = 1462 overweight, BMI cutoff 25 kg/m ²)	Both high fat mass and low SMI were associated with normal-weight NAFLD; Fat distribution (assessed by AGR) could best predict NAFLD prevalence
Denkmayr et al ^[19] , 2018	European, 466 patients diagnosed with NAFLD, cross sectional study	Lean (BMI ≤ 25.0 kg/m ² , <i>n</i> = 74) ; Overweight (BMI > 25.0 ≤ 30.0 kg/m ² , <i>n</i> = 242) ; Obese (BMI > 30.0 kg/m ² , <i>n</i> = 150)	Lean NAFLD patients had a histological picture similar to obese patients but more severe compared to overweight patients.
Gonzalez-Cantero et al ^[21] , 2018	European, cross-sectional study 113 non-obese, non-diabetic individuals	55 patients diagnosed with NAFLD; NAFLD defined as hepatic triglyceride content > 5.56% (quantified by 3T H1-MRS) ; BMI cutoff 25 kg/m ²	Lean-with-NAFLD group had significantly higher HOMA-IR and lower serum adiponectin than the overweight-without-NAFLD group; IR was independently associated with NAFLD but not with waist circumference or BMI
Hagström et al ^[5] , 2017	European, prospective cohort study of 646 patients with biopsy-proven NAFLD	19% lean NAFLD; 52% overweight NAFLD; 29% obese NAFLD; BMI cutoff 25 and 30 kg/m ²	Lean NAFLD had lower stages of fibrosis and higher risk for severe liver disease development compared to patients with NAFLD and a higher BMI, independent of available confounders (follow-up 19.9 years)
Leung et al ^[6] , 2017	Asian, prospective, 307 NAFLD patients	23.5% were non-obese; BMI cutoff 25 kg/m ²	Non-obese NAFLD patients have less-severe disease and may have a better prognosis than obese patients; Hypertriglyceridemia and higher creatinine are the key factors associated with advanced liver disease in non-obese patients
Fracanzani et al ^[11] , 2017	European, retrospective cohort study of 669 patients with biopsy-proven NAFLD	143 patients had BMI < 25 kg/m ² and NAFLD	20% of patients with lean NAFLD have NASH, fibrosis scores of 2 or higher, and carotid atherosclerosis
Feldman et al ^[22] , 2017	Caucasian, cross sectional, 187 subjects with hepatic steatosis on ultrasound	Lean healthy (BMI ≤ 25 kg/m ² , no steatosis, <i>n</i> = 71) ; Lean NAFLD (BMI ≤ 25 kg/m ² , steatosis, <i>n</i> = 55) ; obese NAFLD (BMI ≥ 30 kg/m ² , steatosis; <i>n</i> = 61)	Lean NAFLD have impaired glucose tolerance, low adiponectin concentrations and an increased rate of PNPLA3 risk allele carriage
Feng et al ^[7] , 2014	Asian, population based, 1779 participants	The prevalence of NAFLD was 18.33% in the lean group and 72.90% in the overweight-obese group BMI cutoff 24 kg/m ²	Lean-NAFLD was more strongly associated with diabetes, hypertension, and MetS than overweight-obese-NAFLD; NAFLD patients were more likely to have central obesity especially in lean groups
Younossi et al ^[17] , 2012	Mixed population, 1988-1994 NHANES databases	2185 (18.77% ± 0.76%) of subjects had NAFLD; 7.39% ± 0.65% had lean NAFLD; 27.75% ± 1.00% had overweight/obese NAFLD BMI cutoff 25 kg/m ²	Lean NAFLD was independently associated with younger age, female sex, and a decreased likelihood of having IR and hypercholesterolemia
Margariti et al ^[16] , 2012	European, cross sectional, 162 NAFLD patients	Normal BMI was present in 12% of patients; BMI cutoff 25 kg/m ²	Lean NAFLD patients do not have IR-associated metabolic disorders, but they have higher levels of ALT/AST than the overweight or obese NAFLD patients

3T H1-MRS: 3Tesla H1-magnetic resonance spectroscopy; ALT: Alanine aminotransferase; AGR: Android gynoid ratio; AST: Aspartate aminotransferase; BMI: Body mass index; CV: Cardiovascular; IR: Insulin resistance; MetS: Metabolic syndrome; NAFLD: Non-alcoholic fatty liver disease; NASH: Non-

alcoholic steatohepatitis; SMI: Skeletal muscle index.

of whether it is time to shift perspectives away from the scale and how to screen for non-obese patients with a metabolically unhealthy profile.

METABOLIC PHENOTYPE - THE KEY ROLE PLAYER IN THE DEVELOPMENT OF NAFLD IN LEAN INDIVIDUALS

Obesity is generally associated with severe health consequences, mainly related to increased cardiovascular risk^[8]. However, a subset of obese patients will never develop cardiovascular disease and is therefore considered an MHO. Conversely, metabolically unhealthy patients exist even in the group of normal-weight people, the category known as the MUHNW. People with this phenotype seem to have 1.5 to 3-times higher risk for cardiometabolic complications than metabolically healthy normal-weight people and even higher risk than MHO^[23,24], but unfortunately often go under the radar for cardiovascular screening and primary outcome prevention.

Generally, the assessment of cardiovascular risk, regardless of the patient's BMI, was historically mainly based on the presence of the MetS. However, according to data from prospective studies, only a smaller proportion of individuals in the normal-weight category with cardiovascular events have MetS compared to patients with cardiovascular events who were overweight or obese (20% compared to 52% and 76%, respectively)^[25]. Although MetS as such might not be an accurate predictor of CV risk in normal-weight individuals, its components, especially, lipids and glucose level, as well as waist circumference and waist-to-hip ratio might be useful for risk stratification^[9,26,27]. On the other hand, up to 30% of normal-weight individuals can be classified as metabolically obese normal weight having an increased cardiometabolic risk.

It seems that the distribution and health of fatty tissue, rather than its amount, is likely the major determinant of disease risk. For example, higher amounts of visceral fat compared to peripheral and subcutaneous fat comprise a higher metabolic risk and are directly linked to both liver inflammation and fibrosis, independently of insulin resistance and hepatic steatosis^[24,28-30].

Some previously published studies have failed to show an association of insulin resistance and NAFLD in lean individuals^[16,17]. However, more recently published studies have demonstrated the opposite, linking insulin resistance with the development of NAFLD, irrespective of BMI^[10,20-22].

In a study published by Kim *et al*^[10] comparing non-obese with obese without MetS, the ratio of visceral adipose tissue area-to-subcutaneous adipose tissue area (VAT/SAT) was independently linked with NASH or fibrosis in a dose-dependent manner, confirming that metabolic phenotype is crucial in the progression of liver disease, irrespective of the presence of obesity. Lean with MetS were non-obese, had insulin resistance, and an increased VAT area^[10]. Another community-based study in the Asian population demonstrated that insulin resistance was a significant predictive factor for NAFLD in both obese and lean subjects^[20].

Obviously, metabolic disturbances are responsible for disease progression, with insulin resistance being a key role player (Figure 1). The mechanisms involved seem to be similar as in obese individuals^[22]. Higher levels of free fatty acids, enhanced adipose tissue lipolysis, and decreased fat storage capacity of subcutaneous fat tissue overcome fatty acid oxidation and triglyceride secretion leading to the accumulation of triglycerides in hepatocytes^[23,31]. An increase in lipotoxicity causes pronounced oxidative stress^[32], whereas chronic inflammation is continuously being fueled by changed adipokine secretion from visceral adipocytes, primarily decreased adiponectin secretion together with mitochondrial dysfunction leading to further liver injury^[23,31].

Some of the major game-changers determining the nature of metabolic profiles are dietary intake and physical activity. To date, published data indicate a correlation between weight gain in non-obese individuals with the development of NAFLD^[12,13], suggesting that calorie intake and modest weight gain in non-obese individuals have deleterious effects on metabolic disturbances primarily through an increase in visceral adipose tissue. Conversely, waist circumference and body weight reduction achieved through lifestyle intervention were independent predictors of NAFLD resolution in lean subjects^[33]. Furthermore, sarcopenia is positively correlated to insulin resistance in




Figure 1 Pathophysiological mechanisms and outcomes of non-alcoholic fatty liver disease in non-obese individuals. NAFLD: Non-alcoholic fatty liver disease.

obese patients and is considered one of the major factors responsible for the obesity paradox^[14]. The potential mechanisms involved are the accumulation of intramyocellular lipid and intermuscular adipocytes, chronic inflammation, and loss of insulin sensitivity to protein synthesis preceding insulin resistance to glucose metabolism^[34]. Thus, we could hypothesize that the unfavorable ratio of skeletal muscle mass and visceral adipose tissue in non-obese individuals is one of the main determinants of insulin resistance. Indeed, it has been shown that physical activity increases skeletal muscle mass, thus improving sarcopenia and lean/fat tissue mass ratio advancing metabolic health in non-obese individuals through the reduction of insulin resistance^[18,35].

OTHER RISK FACTORS INVOLVED IN THE DEVELOPMENT OF NAFLD IN LEAN INDIVIDUALS

Compared to obese and overweight NAFLD patients, some clinical, biochemical, and histological distinctions have been observed in lean NAFLD subjects, going far beyond the simple differences in the BMI. Specifically, low adiponectin levels and high concentrations of proinflammatory cytokines suggest a pronounced degree of adipose tissue dysfunction and distinct metabolic and gut microbiota profiles^[11,19,36-38]. Additionally, impaired glucose metabolism and carriage of the *PNPLA3* minor allele was seen in lean Caucasian NAFLD patients^[23].

Genetic factors

Several genes and single-nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs) associated with NAFLD have been identified, of which transmembrane 6 superfamily member 2 (*TM6SF2*)^[39-41] and the patatin like *PNPLA3*^[42-44] are the most investigated ones.

The rs58542926 genetic variant of *TM6SF2* gene, which encodes the E167K aminoacidic substitution and determines neutral fat accumulation in the liver, has been implicated in NAFLD development. Previous studies suggested a significant association between the *TM6SF2* polymorphism and disease severity and/or progression^[39,41].

The rs738409 genetic variant of the *PNPLA3* gene, which takes part in lipid transformation, is now recognized as the major genetic determinant of NAFLD. A meta-analysis based on 23 case-control studies involving 6071 NAFLD patients and 10366 controls showed that *PNPLA3* rs738409 polymorphism is associated with disease severity and progression and that these changes were not influenced by the ethnicities or age of subjects^[45]. In addition, Shen and al. demonstrated that the G allele in

PNPLA3 rs738409 increases the risk of NAFLD, especially in subjects without MetS, independent of dietary pattern and metabolic factors^[46].

Genetic background for developing NAFLD in the absence of obesity has also been investigated in different populations. Initial reports on NAFLD in lean individuals originated mostly from an Asian background^[7,47,48], and implicated Asian ethnic preponderance. However, “non-obese” NAFLD makes just over 40% of the NAFLD population and is common in both eastern and western countries^[3].

Earlier studies in Asian populations found that the G allele at the *PNPLA3* rs738409 mutation has been more common in lean than obese NAFLD patients (78.4% vs 59.8%; $P = 0.001$)^[49]. However, a study investigating the prevalence of metabolic comorbidities and *PNPLA3* risk alleles (GG) in the Japanese population did not confirm the difference among the non-obese, obese, and severely obese groups of both sexes^[18]. Similarly, a recently published study in the Chinese population found no difference in the SNPs of several genes (*SIRT1*, *APOC3*, *PNPLA3*, *AGTR1*, and *PPARGC1A*) between lean subjects with and without NAFLD^[50].

In the Caucasian population, Feldman *et al*^[11] showed a high rate of *PNPLA3* risk alleles (CG/GG) in the lean NAFLD group compared with lean controls (odds ratio [OR] 2.676, $P = 0.007$), but at a comparable rate to obese NAFLD subjects (OR 0.759, $P = 0.464$)^[22]. Another study investigating gene polymorphisms in the Caucasian population demonstrated that in lean NAFLD subjects, the only independent variable associated with NASH and significant fibrosis (≥ 2) was the GG *PNPLA3* polymorphism^[11]. In addition, in lean NAFLD patients, a significantly higher prevalence of *TM6SF2* E167K variant carriers was associated with more severe steatosis, inflammation, and NASH.

Gut microbiota

The human gut microbiota (GM) forms a complex ecosystem involving different microorganisms (bacteria; dominated by four bacterial phyla: Bacteroidetes, Firmicutes, Proteobacteria, and Actinobacteria^[51], viruses, uni/pluricellular eukaryotes) that have been implicated in various physiological processes^[52]. The impact of diet on GM composition and function is well established, and alterations in the microbiome composition have been associated with the development of obesity, diabetes, MetS and NAFLD^[15,53,54]. Previous studies have identified that NAFLD patients have altered microbiome with fewer proportions of Bacteroidetes and higher proportions of Porphyromas and Prevotella than healthy individuals^[55,56]. Moreover, an increase in *Lactobacillus*, *Escherichia*, *Streptococcus* abundance, decrease in *Ruminococcaceae*, and *Faecalibacterium prausnitzii*, have also been identified in NAFLD patients^[57-59].

In addition, substantial differences in fecal and blood microbiota profiles between obese and lean individuals with NAFLD have been identified in the Asian population^[18]. Similarly, a Brazilian study confirmed a specific gut microbiota composition in lean NASH patients, showing a lower abundance of *Faecalibacterium* and *Ruminococcus*, and a deficiency in *Lactobacillus* compared with overweight and obese NASH patients^[60]. These differences in microbiota composition between lean and obese NAFLD patients may serve as biomarkers for identifying the specific metabolic NAFLD phenotype.

AVOIDING PITFALLS IN THE DIAGNOSIS OF LEAN NAFLD

After publishing a meta-analysis on metabolic health, which suggested the highest CV risk among individuals of normal weight who are metabolically unhealthy (response rate [RR] 3.14, 95% confidence interval [CI] 2.36-3.93)^[61], Kramer *et al*^[61] raised the need to phenotype metabolically unhealthy individuals.

Currently, definitions of metabolic health are not unique (Table 2). Sometimes they include either the absence of insulin resistance^[62,63], or the absence of insulin resistance and low C-reactive protein (CRP) levels as a surrogate marker for inflammation, in combination with up to any two parameters of MetS^[64,65]. In clinical practice, only the latter are used^[66,67].

The study by Stefan *et al*^[23] (2017) was the first head-to-head comparison of cardiometabolic risk phenotypes suggesting that metabolically unhealthy lean people mainly have insulin secretion failure, insulin resistance, and increased carotid intima-media thickness. Among the aforementioned, insulin resistance is the most widely used cardiovascular risk marker. Metabolically unhealthy normal-weight subjects (defined by a BMI < 25 kg/m² and presence of insulin resistance), compared to their

Table 2 Definitions of metabolic health in non-obese

Definitions of metabolic health in non-obese individuals:	
Absence of insulin resistance	Meigs et al ^[62] ; Stefan et al ^[63]
Absence of insulin resistance and low CRP levels as a surrogate marker for inflammation, in combination with up to any two parameters of metabolic syndrome	Wildman et al ^[64] ; Karelis et al ^[65]
Combination with up to any two parameters of metabolic syndrome	Stefan et al ^[66] ; Phillips ^[67]
Definition of metabolically unhealthy non-obese individuals:	
BMI < 25 kg/m ² and presence of insulin resistance	Stefan et al ^[23]
Waist circumference adjusted for BMI and/or android gynoid ratio and presence of insulin resistance	Suggested by authors

BMI: Body mass index; CRP: C-reactive protein.

healthy counterparts, in addition to elevated CV risk, have an elevated risk of colorectal cancer (OR = 1.59, 95%CI: 1.10-2.28)^[68].

As already mentioned, BMI is an inadequate surrogate marker of metabolic health, especially in determining the ratio of visceral and subcutaneous fat tissue, the most important risk factors of NAFLD's insulin resistance and progression in lean individuals^[10]. In addition, data on muscle mass are missing, thus providing no information on sarcopenia^[69], which is clinically relevant in the development of NAFLD in lean patients. Thus waist circumference and/or waist-to-hip ratio might be a better tool. However, waist circumference is mostly dependent on BMI, meaning that normal-weight patients could have waist circumference in the normal range, but still have higher visceral fat tissue and increased cardiometabolic risk^[9]. This issue could be avoided by using waist circumference adjusted for BMI, which has shown a strong linear increase in risk for cardiovascular mortality^[70], but no data are available on the association of adjusted waist circumference and NAFLD in lean individuals.

Additionally, in an elderly population-based study, both high-fat mass and low skeletal muscle index were associated with normal-weight NAFLD, although fat distribution assessed by the android gynoid ratio was the best predictor of NAFLD prevalence^[71].

CLINICAL AND THERAPEUTIC IMPLICATIONS OF NAFLD IN LEAN INDIVIDUALS ASSOCIATED WITH INSULIN RESISTANCE

The liver-related and general outcomes of patients with NAFLD depend on a number of factors including the presence of metabolic risk factors, especially type 2 diabetes mellitus and hypertension, severity of fibrosis, genetic predisposition, age, diet and other environmental factors.

Metabolic consequences

Regarding metabolic health and clinical outcomes, cardiometabolic complications take the most prominent place in driving the mortality. It seems that metabolically unhealthy, regardless of BMI, including individuals within the normal range of BMI category, have the highest risk of cardiometabolic consequences^[72]. Moreover, in a recently published study, normal-weight patients with central adiposity and coronary artery disease had a worse survival rate than normal, overweight, or obese subjects without central obesity^[73]. However, long term studies in lean NAFLD patients and cardiovascular health are lacking. In a retrospective study of lean Caucasian patients with biopsy-proven NAFLD vs obese or overweight individuals, 20% of patients who were lean developed NASH, significant fibrosis, and carotid atherosclerosis^[11].

A study by Feng and coauthors addressed the question of metabolic consequences and laboratory discrepancies in lean subjects with NAFLD. Compared to obese and overweight NAFLD counterparts, lean Chinese NAFLD individuals had a higher risk of developing diabetes (OR = 2.47, 95%CI: 1.14-5.35), hypertension (OR = 1.72, 95%CI: 1.00-2.96) and MetS (OR = 3.19, 95%CI: 1.17-4.05), making them prone to the development of cardiovascular disease^[7].

In terms of mortality, the higher fat mass could be associated with better nutritional

state associated with higher survival rates (also known as obesity paradox); thus, lean individuals with the more severe and advanced liver disease could have a poor prognosis, especially if sarcopenia is present^[74]. This was confirmed in a recently published meta-analysis, encompassing 93 studies including lean NAFLD individuals, demonstrating that all-cause mortality, liver-related mortality, and cardiovascular-related mortality in non-obese individuals with NAFLD was higher than that of obese individuals with NAFLD (12.1 vs 7.5 per 1000 person-years; 4.1 vs 2.4 per 1000 person-years; 4.0 vs 2.4 per 1000 person-years respectively)^[3].

In addition, NHANES based study demonstrated that non-obese NAFLD individuals had increased 15-year cumulative all-cause mortality (51.7%) compared to obese NAFLD (27.2%) and non-NAFLD (20.7%) patients^[4].

Therefore it seems that NAFLD in lean individuals has serious cardiometabolic complications leading to an increase in mortality, even higher than in their obese counterparts.

Liver consequences - fibrosis, cirrhosis and cancer

Non-alcoholic fatty liver disease encompasses a spectrum of histological changes with different evolution and outcomes, ranging from simple steatosis to NASH with varying degree of fibrosis. The later entity is characterized by lobular inflammation and hepatocyte ballooning degeneration accompanied by various stages of fibrosis that more often progresses to cirrhosis. However, fibrosis can be found in liver biopsy specimens in the absence of significant inflammation; in a recent multicenter study from Italy and Finland, 34% of patients with significant fibrosis did not have NASH and 10.0% had no inflammation^[75].

Currently there are no published data on the specific inflammatory pathways or hepatic stellate cells activation pathways that would be unique to the development of NASH in lean patients as opposed to obese NASH patients. It is therefore believed that progression of NASH in lean individuals follows pathways similar to those demonstrated in obese patients with NASH, and that rate of progression probably depends on the similar risk factors as in their obese counterparts^[76].

In general, NAFLD is a slowly progressive disease, but more rapid progression occurs in 20% of patients^[77]. In a meta-analysis of over 400 patients with paired liver biopsy, 34% of NAFLD patients had fibrosis progression, 43% had stable fibrosis, and 22% showed an improvement in the fibrosis stage during follow-up^[77]. The rate of progression was doubled in the presence of arterial hypertension^[77]. The data on the natural history and prognosis of lean patients with NAFLD remains conflicting. Although better or similar metabolic and histological profiles than in obese NAFLD patients are mainly suggested, long term liver related outcomes remain an open question^[5,6,19].

In a retrospective cohort study from Italy, significantly lower proportions of lean NAFLD patients had NASH (17% vs 40% of obese or overweight patients), and significant fibrosis of F2 or more (17% vs 42% for obese/overweight NAFLD patients)^[11]. However, lean patients with high waist circumference had increased risk of significant fibrosis of F2 or more, compared to overweight/obese subjects with the same waist circumference^[11]. A study from two university centers from Sweden with a median follow-up of 20 years reported that 50% of lean patients had NASH compared to 65% and 80% of overweight and obese subjects^[5]. Yet, lean patients with NAFLD had slightly more events of severe liver disease (defined as decompensated liver disease, liver failure, hepatocellular carcinoma, or cirrhosis) compared to overweight patients (16% vs 9%), but similar to obese patients (14%)^[5]. The main finding of the study was that although lean patients had a better prognostic profile at baseline with less advanced fibrosis and NASH, an increased risk for the development of severe liver disease was found compared to patients with a higher BMI^[5].

In a study from Hong Kong, non-obese patients had lower NAFLD activity score and lower fibrosis stages compared to obese patients^[6]. In a recently published meta-analysis, 39% of non-obese or lean NAFLD patients had NASH (compared to 53% of obese individuals), 25% had significant lobular inflammation (compared to 36% of obese), 29% had significant fibrosis of F2 or more (compared to 38% of obese individuals), and 3% had cirrhosis in one study^[3]. However liver related mortality was higher in non-obese NAFLD subjects compared to obese equivalents (4.1 per 1000 person-years vs 2.4 per 1000 person-years)^[3].

Additionally, in a study published by Kim et al^[10] progression to NASH and fibrosis was equally present in non-obese patients with MetS and obese patients without MetS (55%-60%) linking metabolic phenotype with the liver disease progression.

Cirrhosis of any etiology is a well-known risk factor for the development of hepatocellular carcinoma (HCC); the same is true for NAFLD-induced cirrhosis. The

reported incidence of HCC development in patients with NAFLD varies significantly depending on the study population, ranging from 0.25% to 11% after 5 years^[78,79]. Furthermore, in a significant proportion of patients, ranging from 23% to 46%, HCC has been reported to develop in the earlier stages of the disease, before the development of cirrhosis^[80,81]. Except for the study of Hagström *et al*^[5] where the incidence of hepatocellular carcinoma was collectively reported with other liver-related outcomes, no data on the incidence and risk of HCC development in the subgroup of lean patients with NAFLD has been published. Until new data becomes available, no conclusions can be drawn on the risk for HCC development in lean individuals with NAFLD.

MANAGEMENT

As 3%-25% of lean/non-obese and non-diabetic individuals are diagnosed with NAFLD, with potential for progression to NASH and subsequently liver fibrosis with metabolic dysfunction, it is of interest to find pharmacological modalities and lifestyle interventions to treat this specific phenotype^[82-84]. Animal studies on obese rats and mice showed significant reductions in hepatic steatosis and oxidative stress when glucagon-like peptide-1 receptor agonists (GLP-1RAs) were used to treat liver steatosis with no or mild fibrosis^[85,86]. Moreover, randomized control trial investigating the role of liraglutide (daily GLP-1RA) reported on histological resolution of NASH after 48 wk of treating obese and overweight NASH patients^[87]. Data on lean NAFLD/NASH counterparts are lacking, but recently published animal study gave promising results. Ipsen and colleagues reported on liraglutide effects in reducing both inflammation and hepatocyte ballooning in advanced NAFLD in an animal model. The treatment was more effective than dietary intervention, and when the two were combined, they led to rapid weight loss^[88].

Still, available data on the treatment and management of lean subjects with NAFLD are practically non-existent, and further studies are needed to evaluate the effects of lifestyle changes and pharmacotherapy in this vulnerable population.

CONCLUSION

NAFLD in lean individuals presents a severe global burden with detrimental clinical consequences. Determining metabolic phenotype is crucial for detecting normal-weight patients at risk of developing NAFLD and preventing possible long-term complications, such as the cardiometabolic, liver, and all-cause mortality, which may be even more pronounced than in the obese individuals. The main characteristic of MUHNW seems to be insulin resistance associated with visceral adiposity; thus, waist circumference or the android gynoid ratio along with HOMA IR could be better predictors of NAFLD in lean subjects than traditionally used BMI and other components of metabolic syndrome. Insulin resistance is undoubtedly associated with the development of NAFLD in lean individuals irrespective of BMI and the presence of MetS; however, is it causality or correlation remains an open question.

REFERENCES

- 1 **Kanaskie ML**, Noerr B. Implementing the use of nursing diagnosis in a university hospital setting. *J Nurs Staff Dev* 1988; **4**: 179-185 [PMID: 3204431 DOI: 10.1053/j.gastro.2019.11.312]
- 2 **Brar G**, Tsukamoto H. Alcoholic and non-alcoholic steatohepatitis: global perspective and emerging science. *J Gastroenterol* 2019; **54**: 218-225 [PMID: 30643981 DOI: 10.1007/s00535-018-01542-w]
- 3 **Ye Q**, Zou B, Yeo YH, Li J, Huang DQ, Wu Y, Yang H, Liu C, Kam LY, Tan XXE, Chien N, Trinh S, Henry L, Stave CD, Hosaka T, Cheung RC, Nguyen MH. Global prevalence, incidence, and outcomes of non-obese or lean non-alcoholic fatty liver disease: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Lancet Gastroenterol Hepatol* 2020; **5**: 739-752 [PMID: 32413340 DOI: 10.1016/S2468-1253(20)30077-7]
- 4 **Zou B**, Yeo YH, Nguyen VH, Cheung R, Ingelsson E, Nguyen MH. Prevalence, characteristics and mortality outcomes of obese, nonobese and lean NAFLD in the United States, 1999-2016. *J Intern Med* 2020; **288**: 139-151 [PMID: 32319718 DOI: 10.1111/joim.13069]
- 5 **Hagström H**, Nasr P, Ekstedt M, Hammar U, Stål P, Hultcrantz R, Kechagias S. Risk for development of severe liver disease in lean patients with nonalcoholic fatty liver disease: A long-term follow-up study. *Hepatol Commun* 2018; **2**: 48-57 [PMID: 29404512 DOI: 10.1002/hep4.1124]

- 6 **Leung JC**, Loong TC, Wei JL, Wong GL, Chan AW, Choi PC, Shu SS, Chim AM, Chan HL, Wong VW. Histological severity and clinical outcomes of nonalcoholic fatty liver disease in nonobese patients. *Hepatology* 2017; **65**: 54-64 [PMID: 27339817 DOI: 10.1002/hep.28697]
- 7 **Feng RN**, Du SS, Wang C, Li YC, Liu LY, Guo FC, Sun CH. Lean-non-alcoholic fatty liver disease increases risk for metabolic disorders in a normal weight Chinese population. *World J Gastroenterol* 2014; **20**: 17932-17940 [PMID: 25548491 DOI: 10.3748/wjg.v20.i47.17932]
- 8 **Schulze MB**. Metabolic health in normal-weight and obese individuals. *Diabetologia* 2019; **62**: 558-566 [PMID: 30569272 DOI: 10.1007/s00125-018-4787-8]
- 9 **Emerging Risk Factors Collaboration**, Wormser D, Kaptoge S, Di Angelantonio E, Wood AM, Pennells L, Thompson A, Sarwar N, Kizer JR, Lawlor DA, Nordestgaard BG, Ridker P, Salomaa V, Stevens J, Woodward M, Sattar N, Collins R, Thompson SG, Whitlock G, Danesh J. Separate and combined associations of body-mass index and abdominal adiposity with cardiovascular disease: collaborative analysis of 58 prospective studies. *Lancet* 2011; **377**: 1085-1095 [PMID: 21397319 DOI: 10.1016/S0140-6736(11)60105-0]
- 10 **Kim D**, Kim W, Joo SK, Han J, Kim JH, Harrison SA, Younossi ZM, Ahmed A. Association between body size-metabolic phenotype and nonalcoholic steatohepatitis and significant fibrosis. *J Gastroenterol* 2020; **55**: 330-341 [PMID: 31535207 DOI: 10.1007/s00535-019-01628-z]
- 11 **Fracanzani AL**, Petta S, Lombardi R, Pisano G, Russellino M, Consonni D, Di Marco V, Cammà C, Mensi L, Dongiovanni P, Valenti L, Craxì A, Fargion S. Liver and Cardiovascular Damage in Patients With Lean Nonalcoholic Fatty Liver Disease, and Association With Visceral Obesity. *Clin Gastroenterol Hepatol* 2017; **15**: 1604-1611. e1 [PMID: 28554682 DOI: 10.1016/j.cgh.2017.04.045]
- 12 **Zelber-Sagi S**, Lotan R, Shlomai A, Webb M, Harrari G, Buch A, Nitzan Kaluski D, Halpern Z, Oren R. Predictors for incidence and remission of NAFLD in the general population during a seven-year prospective follow-up. *J Hepatol* 2012; **56**: 1145-1151 [PMID: 22245895 DOI: 10.1016/j.jhep.2011.12.011]
- 13 **Chang Y**, Ryu S, Sung E, Woo HY, Cho SI, Yoo SH, Ahn HY, Choi NK. Weight gain within the normal weight range predicts ultrasonographically detected fatty liver in healthy Korean men. *Gut* 2009; **58**: 1419-1425 [PMID: 19505882 DOI: 10.1136/gut.2008.161885]
- 14 **Srikanthan P**, Hevener AL, Karlamangla AS. Sarcopenia exacerbates obesity-associated insulin resistance and dysglycemia: findings from the National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey III. *PLoS One* 2010; **5**: e10805 [PMID: 22421977 DOI: 10.1371/journal.pone.0010805]
- 15 **Yun Y**, Kim HN, Lee EJ, Ryu S, Chang Y, Shin H, Kim HL, Kim TH, Yoo K, Kim HY. Fecal and blood microbiota profiles and presence of nonalcoholic fatty liver disease in obese vs lean subjects. *PLoS One* 2019; **14**: e0213692 [PMID: 30870486 DOI: 10.1371/journal.pone.0213692]
- 16 **Margariti E**, Deutsch M, Manolakopoulos S, Papathodoridis GV. Non-alcoholic fatty liver disease may develop in individuals with normal body mass index. *Ann Gastroenterol* 2012; **25**: 45-51 [PMID: 24713801]
- 17 **Younossi ZM**, Stepanova M, Negro F, Hallaji S, Younossi Y, Lam B, Srishord M. Nonalcoholic fatty liver disease in lean individuals in the United States. *Medicine (Baltimore)* 2012; **91**: 319-327 [PMID: 23117851 DOI: 10.1097/MD.0b013e3182779d49]
- 18 **Tobari M**, Hashimoto E, Taniai M, Ikarashi Y, Kodama K, Kogiso T, Tokushige K, Takayoshi N, Hashimoto N. Characteristics of non-alcoholic steatohepatitis among lean patients in Japan: Not uncommon and not always benign. *J Gastroenterol Hepatol* 2019; **34**: 1404-1410 [PMID: 30590868 DOI: 10.1111/jgh.14585]
- 19 **Denkmayr L**, Feldman A, Stechemesser L, Eder SK, Zandanell S, Schranz M, Strasser M, Huber-Schönauer U, Buch S, Hampe J, Paulweber B, Lackner C, Haufe H, Sotlar K, Datz C, Aigner E. Lean Patients with Non-Alcoholic Fatty Liver Disease Have a Severe Histological Phenotype Similar to Obese Patients. *J Clin Med* 2018; **7**: 562 [PMID: 30562976 DOI: 10.3390/jcm7120562]
- 20 **Huang JF**, Tsai PC, Yeh ML, Huang CF, Huang CI, Hsieh MH, Dai CY, Yang JF, Chen SC, Yu ML, Chuang WL, Chang WY. Risk stratification of non-alcoholic fatty liver disease across body mass index in a community basis. *J Formos Med Assoc* 2020; **119**: 89-96 [PMID: 30952479 DOI: 10.1016/j.jfma.2019.03.014]
- 21 **Gonzalez-Cantero J**, Martin-Rodriguez JL, Gonzalez-Cantero A, Arreola JP, Gonzalez-Calvin JL. Insulin resistance in lean and overweight non-diabetic Caucasian adults: Study of its relationship with liver triglyceride content, waist circumference and BMI. *PLoS One* 2018; **13**: e0192663 [PMID: 29425212 DOI: 10.1371/journal.pone.0192663]
- 22 **Feldman A**, Eder SK, Felder TK, Kedenko L, Paulweber B, Stadlmayr A, Huber-Schönauer U, Niederseer D, Stickel F, Auer S, Haschke-Becher E, Patsch W, Datz C, Aigner E. Clinical and Metabolic Characterization of Lean Caucasian Subjects With Non-alcoholic Fatty Liver. *Am J Gastroenterol* 2017; **112**: 102-110 [PMID: 27527746 DOI: 10.1038/ajg.2016.318]
- 23 **Stefan N**, Schick F, Häring HU. Causes, Characteristics, and Consequences of Metabolically Unhealthy Normal Weight in Humans. *Cell Metab* 2017; **26**: 292-300 [PMID: 28768170 DOI: 10.1016/j.cmet.2017.07.008]
- 24 **Eckel N**, Li Y, Kuxhaus O, Stefan N, Hu FB, Schulze MB. Transition from metabolic healthy to unhealthy phenotypes and association with cardiovascular disease risk across BMI categories in 90 257 women (the Nurses' Health Study): 30 year follow-up from a prospective cohort study. *Lancet Diabetes Endocrinol* 2018; **6**: 714-724 [PMID: 29859908 DOI: 10.1016/S2213-8587(18)30137-2]
- 25 **Lassale C**, Tzoulaki I, Moons KGM, Sweeting M, Boer J, Johnson L, Huerta JM, Agnoli C, Freisling H, Weiderpass E, Wennberg P, van der A DL, Arriola L, Benetou V, Boeing H, Bonnet F, Colorado-

- Yohar SM, Engström G, Eriksen AK, Ferrari P, Grioni S, Johansson M, Kaaks R, Katsoulis M, Katzke V, Key TJ, Matullo G, Melander O, Molina-Portillo E, Moreno-Iribas C, Norberg M, Overvad K, Panico S, Quirós JR, Saieva C, Skeie G, Steffen A, Stepien M, Tjønneland A, Trichopoulou A, Tumino R, van der Schouw YT, Verschuren WMM, Langenberg C, Di Angelantonio E, Riboli E, Wareham NJ, Danesh J, Butterworth AS. Separate and combined associations of obesity and metabolic health with coronary heart disease: a pan-European case-cohort analysis. *Eur Heart J* 2018; **39**: 397-406 [PMID: 29020414 DOI: 10.1093/euroheartj/ehx448]
- 26 **Emerging Risk Factors Collaboration**, Di Angelantonio E, Sarwar N, Perry P, Kaptoge S, Ray KK, Thompson A, Wood AM, Lewington S, Sattar N, Packard CJ, Collins R, Thompson SG, Danesh J. Major lipids, apolipoproteins, and risk of vascular disease. *JAMA* 2009; **302**: 1993-2000 [PMID: 19903920 DOI: 10.1001/jama.2009.1619]
- 27 **Emerging Risk Factors Collaboration**, Sarwar N, Gao P, Seshasai SR, Gobin R, Kaptoge S, Di Angelantonio E, Ingelsson E, Lawlor DA, Selvin E, Stampfer M, Stehouwer CD, Lewington S, Pennells L, Thompson A, Sattar N, White IR, Ray KK, Danesh J. Diabetes mellitus, fasting blood glucose concentration, and risk of vascular disease: a collaborative meta-analysis of 102 prospective studies. *Lancet* 2010; **375**: 2215-2222 [PMID: 20609967 DOI: 10.1016/S0140-6736(10)60484-9]
- 28 **Winkler TW**, Günther F, Höllerer S, Zimmermann M, Loos RJ, Katalik Z, Heid IM. A joint view on genetic variants for adiposity differentiates subtypes with distinct metabolic implications. *Nat Commun* 2018; **9**: 1946 [PMID: 29769528 DOI: 10.1038/s41467-018-04124-9]
- 29 **Perseghin G**. Lipids in the wrong place: visceral fat and nonalcoholic steatohepatitis. *Diabetes Care* 2011; **34** Suppl 2: S367-S370 [PMID: 21525484 DOI: 10.2337/dc11-s249]
- 30 **Yaghoodeh H**, Lotta LA, Tyrrell J, Smit RA, Jones SE, Donnelly L, Beaumont R, Campbell A, Tuke MA, Hayward C, Ruth KS, Padmanabhan S, Jukema JW, Palmer CC, Hattersley A, Freathy RM, Langenberg C, Wareham NJ, Wood AR, Murray A, Weedon MN, Sattar N, Pearson E, Scott RA, Frayling TM. Genetic Evidence for a Link Between Favorable Adiposity and Lower Risk of Type 2 Diabetes, Hypertension, and Heart Disease. *Diabetes* 2016; **65**: 2448-2460 [PMID: 27207519 DOI: 10.2337/db15-1671]
- 31 **Conus F**, Rabasa-Lhoret R, Péronnet F. Characteristics of metabolically obese normal-weight (MONW) subjects. *Appl Physiol Nutr Metab* 2007; **32**: 4-12 [PMID: 17332780 DOI: 10.1139/h06-092]
- 32 **Bedossa P**. Diagnosis of non-alcoholic fatty liver disease/non-alcoholic steatohepatitis: Why liver biopsy is essential. *Liver Int* 2018; **38** Suppl 1: 64-66 [PMID: 29427497 DOI: 10.1111/Liv.13653]
- 33 **Wong VW**, Wong GL, Chan RS, Shu SS, Cheung BH, Li LS, Chim AM, Chan CK, Leung JK, Chu WC, Woo J, Chan HL. Beneficial effects of lifestyle intervention in non-obese patients with non-alcoholic fatty liver disease. *J Hepatol* 2018; **69**: 1349-1356 [PMID: 30142427 DOI: 10.1016/j.jhep.2018.08.011]
- 34 **Cleasby ME**, Jamieson PM, Atherton PJ. Insulin resistance and sarcopenia: mechanistic links between common co-morbidities. *J Endocrinol* 2016; **229**: R67-R81 [PMID: 26931135 DOI: 10.1530/JOE-15-0533]
- 35 **Kwak JH**, Jun DW, Lee SM, Cho YK, Lee KN, Lee HL, Lee OY, Choi HS, Yoon BC. Lifestyle predictors of obese and non-obese patients with nonalcoholic fatty liver disease: A cross-sectional study. *Clin Nutr* 2018; **37**: 1550-1557 [PMID: 28918170 DOI: 10.1016/j.clnu.2017.08.018]
- 36 **Chitturi S**, Wong VW, Farrell G. Nonalcoholic fatty liver in Asia: Firmly entrenched and rapidly gaining ground. *J Gastroenterol Hepatol* 2011; **26** Suppl 1: 163-172 [PMID: 21199528 DOI: 10.1111/j.1440-1746.2010.06548.x]
- 37 **Akyuz U**, Yesil A, Yilmaz Y. Characterization of lean patients with nonalcoholic fatty liver disease: potential role of high hemoglobin levels. *Scand J Gastroenterol* 2015; **50**: 341-346 [PMID: 25540973 DOI: 10.3109/00365521.2014.983160]
- 38 **Chen F**, Esmaili S, Rogers GB, Bugianesi E, Petta S, Marchesini G, Bayoumi A, Metwally M, Azardaryany MK, Coulter S, Choo JM, Younes R, Rosso C, Liddle C, Adams LA, Craxi A, George J, Eslam M. Lean NAFLD: A Distinct Entity Shaped by Differential Metabolic Adaptation. *Hepatology* 2020; **71**: 1213-1227 [PMID: 31442319 DOI: 10.1002/hep.30908]
- 39 **Liu YL**, Reeves HL, Burt AD, Tiniakos D, McPherson S, Leathart JB, Allison ME, Alexander GJ, Piguet AC, Anty R, Donaldson P, Aithal GP, Francque S, Van Gaal L, Clement K, Ratziu V, Dufour JF, Day CP, Daly AK, Anstee QM. TM6SF2 rs58542926 influences hepatic fibrosis progression in patients with non-alcoholic fatty liver disease. *Nat Commun* 2014; **5**: 4309 [PMID: 24978903 DOI: 10.1038/ncomms5309]
- 40 **Kozlitina J**, Smagris E, Stender S, Nordestgaard BG, Zhou HH, Tybjærg-Hansen A, Vogt TF, Hobbs HH, Cohen JC. Exome-wide association study identifies a TM6SF2 variant that confers susceptibility to nonalcoholic fatty liver disease. *Nat Genet* 2014; **46**: 352-356 [PMID: 24531328 DOI: 10.1038/ng.2901]
- 41 **Sookoian S**, Castaño GO, Scian R, Mallardi P, Fernández Gianotti T, Burgueño AL, San Martino J, Pirola CJ. Genetic variation in transmembrane 6 superfamily member 2 and the risk of nonalcoholic fatty liver disease and histological disease severity. *Hepatology* 2015; **61**: 515-525 [PMID: 25302781 DOI: 10.1002/hep.27556]
- 42 **Romeo S**, Kozlitina J, Xing C, Pertsemlidis A, Cox D, Pennacchio LA, Boerwinkle E, Cohen JC, Hobbs HH. Genetic variation in PNPLA3 confers susceptibility to nonalcoholic fatty liver disease. *Nat Genet* 2008; **40**: 1461-1465 [PMID: 18820647 DOI: 10.1038/ng.257]
- 43 **Valenti L**, Al-Serri A, Daly AK, Galmozzi E, Rametta R, Dongiovanni P, Nobili V, Mozzi E,

- Roviaro G, Vanni E, Bugianesi E, Maggioni M, Fracanzani AL, Fargion S, Day CP. Homozygosity for the patatin-like phospholipase-3/adiponutrin I148M polymorphism influences liver fibrosis in patients with nonalcoholic fatty liver disease. *Hepatology* 2010; **51**: 1209-1217 [PMID: 20373368 DOI: 10.1002/hep.23622]
- 44 **Sookoian S**, Pirola CJ. Meta-analysis of the influence of I148M variant of patatin-like phospholipase domain containing 3 gene (PNPLA3) on the susceptibility and histological severity of nonalcoholic fatty liver disease. *Hepatology* 2011; **53**: 1883-1894 [PMID: 21381068 DOI: 10.1002/hep.24283]
- 45 **Xu R**, Tao A, Zhang S, Deng Y, Chen G. Association between patatin-like phospholipase domain containing 3 gene (PNPLA3) polymorphisms and nonalcoholic fatty liver disease: a HuGE review and meta-analysis. *Sci Rep* 2015; **5**: 9284 [PMID: 25791171 DOI: 10.1038/srep09284]
- 46 **Shen J**, Wong GL, Chan HL, Chan HY, Yeung DK, Chan RS, Chim AM, Chan AW, Choi PC, Woo J, Chu WC, Wong VW. PNPLA3 gene polymorphism accounts for fatty liver in community subjects without metabolic syndrome. *Aliment Pharmacol Ther* 2014; **39**: 532-539 [PMID: 24417250 DOI: 10.1111/apt.12609]
- 47 **Kim HJ**, Kim HJ, Lee KE, Kim DJ, Kim SK, Ahn CW, Lim SK, Kim KR, Lee HC, Huh KB, Cha BS. Metabolic significance of nonalcoholic fatty liver disease in nonobese, nondiabetic adults. *Arch Intern Med* 2004; **164**: 2169-2175 [PMID: 15505132 DOI: 10.1001/archinte.164.19.2169]
- 48 **Liu CJ**. Prevalence and risk factors for non-alcoholic fatty liver disease in Asian people who are not obese. *J Gastroenterol Hepatol* 2012; **27**: 1555-1560 [PMID: 22741595 DOI: 10.1111/j.1440-1746.2012.07222.x]
- 49 **Wei JL**, Leung JC, Loong TC, Wong GL, Yeung DK, Chan RS, Chan HL, Chim AM, Woo J, Chu WC, Wong VW. Prevalence and Severity of Nonalcoholic Fatty Liver Disease in Non-Obese Patients: A Population Study Using Proton-Magnetic Resonance Spectroscopy. *Am J Gastroenterol* 2015; **110**: 1306-14; quiz 1315 [PMID: 26215532 DOI: 10.1038/ajg.2015.235]
- 50 **Zeng J**, Yang RX, Sun C, Pan Q, Zhang RN, Chen GY, Hu Y, Fan JG. Prevalence, clinical characteristics, risk factors, and indicators for lean Chinese adults with nonalcoholic fatty liver disease. *World J Gastroenterol* 2020; **26**: 1792-1804 [PMID: 32351294 DOI: 10.3748/wjg.v26.i15.1792]
- 51 **Clemente JC**, Ursell LK, Parfrey LW, Knight R. The impact of the gut microbiota on human health: an integrative view. *Cell* 2012; **148**: 1258-1270 [PMID: 22424233 DOI: 10.1016/j.cell.2012.01.035]
- 52 **Gilbert JA**, Blaser MJ, Caporaso JG, Jansson JK, Lynch SV, Knight R. Current understanding of the human microbiome. *Nat Med* 2018; **24**: 392-400 [PMID: 29634682 DOI: 10.1038/nm.4517]
- 53 **Vrieze A**, Van Nood E, Holleman F, Salojärvi J, Kootte RS, Bartelsman JF, Dallinga-Thie GM, Ackermans MT, Serlie MJ, Oozeer R, Derrien M, Druesne A, Van Hylckama Vlieg JE, Bloks VW, Groen AK, Heilig HG, Zoetendal EG, Stroes ES, de Vos WM, Hoekstra JB, Nieuwdorp M. Transfer of intestinal microbiota from lean donors increases insulin sensitivity in individuals with metabolic syndrome. *Gastroenterology* 2012; **143**: 913-6, e7 [PMID: 22728514 DOI: 10.1053/j.gastro.2012.06.031]
- 54 **Qin J**, Li Y, Cai Z, Li S, Zhu J, Zhang F, Liang S, Zhang W, Guan Y, Shen D, Peng Y, Zhang D, Jie Z, Wu W, Qin Y, Xue W, Li J, Han L, Lu D, Wu P, Dai Y, Sun X, Li Z, Tang A, Zhong S, Li X, Chen W, Xu R, Wang M, Feng Q, Gong M, Yu J, Zhang Y, Zhang M, Hansen T, Sanchez G, Raes J, Falony G, Okuda S, Almeida M, LeChatelier E, Renault P, Pons N, Batto JM, Zhang Z, Chen H, Yang R, Zheng W, Li S, Yang H, Wang J, Ehrlich SD, Nielsen R, Pedersen O, Kristiansen K, Wang J. A metagenome-wide association study of gut microbiota in type 2 diabetes. *Nature* 2012; **490**: 55-60 [PMID: 23023125 DOI: 10.1038/nature11450]
- 55 **Zhu L**, Baker SS, Gill C, Liu W, Alkhouri R, Baker RD, Gill SR. Characterization of gut microbiomes in nonalcoholic steatohepatitis (NASH) patients: a connection between endogenous alcohol and NASH. *Hepatology* 2013; **57**: 601-609 [PMID: 23055155 DOI: 10.1002/hep.26093]
- 56 **Betrapally NS**, Gillevet PM, Bajaj JS. Changes in the Intestinal Microbiome and Alcoholic and Nonalcoholic Liver Diseases: Causes or Effects? *Gastroenterology* 2016; **150**: 1745-1755.e3 [PMID: 26948887 DOI: 10.1053/j.gastro.2016.02.073]
- 57 **Machado MV**, Cortez-Pinto H. Diet, Microbiota, Obesity, and NAFLD: A Dangerous Quartet. *Int J Mol Sci* 2016; **17**: 481 [PMID: 27043550 DOI: 10.3390/ijms17040481]
- 58 **Wong VW**, Tsui CH, Lam TT, Wong GL, Chim AM, Chu WC, Yeung DK, Law PT, Kwan HS, Yu J, Sung JJ, Chan HL. Molecular characterization of the fecal microbiota in patients with nonalcoholic steatohepatitis--a longitudinal study. *PLoS One* 2013; **8**: e62885 [PMID: 23638162 DOI: 10.1371/journal.pone.0062885]
- 59 **Jiang W**, Wu N, Wang X, Chi Y, Zhang Y, Qiu X, Hu Y, Li J, Liu Y. Dysbiosis gut microbiota associated with inflammation and impaired mucosal immune function in intestine of humans with non-alcoholic fatty liver disease. *Sci Rep* 2015; **5**: 8096 [PMID: 25644696 DOI: 10.1038/srep08096]
- 60 **Duarte SMB**, Stefano JT, Miele L, Ponziani FR, Souza-Basqueira M, Okada LSRR, de Barros Costa FG, Toda K, Mazo DFC, Sabino EC, Carrilho FJ, Gasbarrini A, Oliveira CP. Gut microbiome composition in lean patients with NASH is associated with liver damage independent of caloric intake: A prospective pilot study. *Nutr Metab Cardiovasc Dis* 2018; **28**: 369-384 [PMID: 29482963 DOI: 10.1016/j.numecd.2017.10.014]
- 61 **Kramer CK**, Zinman B, Retnakaran R. Are metabolically healthy overweight and obesity benign conditions? *Ann Intern Med* 2013; **159**: 758-769 [PMID: 24297192 DOI: 10.7326/0003-4819-159-11-201312030-00008]
- 62 **Meigs JB**, Wilson PW, Fox CS, Vasan RS, Nathan DM, Sullivan LM, D'Agostino RB. Body mass

- index, metabolic syndrome, and risk of type 2 diabetes or cardiovascular disease. *J Clin Endocrinol Metab* 2006; **91**: 2906-2912 [PMID: 16735483 DOI: 10.1210/jc.2006-0594]
- 63 **Stefan N**, Kantartzis K, Machann J, Schick F, Thamer C, Rittig K, Balletshofer B, Machicao F, Fritsche A, Häring HU. Identification and characterization of metabolically benign obesity in humans. *Arch Intern Med* 2008; **168**: 1609-1616 [PMID: 18695074 DOI: 10.1001/archinte.168.15.1609]
- 64 **Wildman RP**, Muntner P, Reynolds K, McGinn AP, Rajpathak S, Wylie-Rosett J, Sowers MR. The obese without cardiometabolic risk factor clustering and the normal weight with cardiometabolic risk factor clustering: prevalence and correlates of 2 phenotypes among the US population (NHANES 1999-2004). *Arch Intern Med* 2008; **168**: 1617-1624 [PMID: 18695075 DOI: 10.1001/archinte.168.15.1617]
- 65 **Karelis AD**, Rabasa-Lhoret R. Inclusion of C-reactive protein in the identification of metabolically healthy but obese (MHO) individuals. *Diabetes Metab* 2008; **34**: 183-184 [PMID: 18329310 DOI: 10.1016/j.diabet.2007.11.004]
- 66 **Stefan N**, Häring HU, Hu FB, Schulze MB. Metabolically healthy obesity: epidemiology, mechanisms, and clinical implications. *Lancet Diabetes Endocrinol* 2013; **1**: 152-162 [PMID: 24622321 DOI: 10.1016/S2213-8587(13)70062-7]
- 67 **Phillips CM**. Metabolically healthy obesity across the life course: epidemiology, determinants, and implications. *Ann N Y Acad Sci* 2017; **1391**: 85-100 [PMID: 27723940 DOI: 10.1111/nyas.13230]
- 68 **Murphy N**, Cross AJ, Abubakar M, Jenab M, Aleksandrova K, Boutron-Ruault MC, Dossus L, Racine A, Kühn T, Katzke VA, Tjønneland A, Petersen KE, Overvad K, Quirós JR, Jakobsen P, Molina-Montes E, Dorronsoro M, Huerta JM, Barricarte A, Khaw KT, Wareham N, Travis RC, Trichopoulou A, Lagiou P, Trichopoulos D, Masala G, Krogh V, Tumino R, Vineis P, Panico S, Bueno-de-Mesquita HB, Siersema PD, Peeters PH, Ohlsson B, Ericson U, Palmqvist R, Nyström H, Weiderpass E, Skeie G, Freisling H, Kong SY, Tsilidis K, Muller DC, Riboli E, Gunter MJ. A Nested Case-Control Study of Metabolically Defined Body Size Phenotypes and Risk of Colorectal Cancer in the European Prospective Investigation into Cancer and Nutrition (EPIC). *PLoS Med* 2016; **13**: e1001988 [PMID: 27046222 DOI: 10.1371/journal.pmed.1001988]
- 69 **Bosy-Westphal A**, Müller MJ. Identification of skeletal muscle mass depletion across age and BMI groups in health and disease--there is need for a unified definition. *Int J Obes (Lond)* 2015; **39**: 379-386 [PMID: 25174451 DOI: 10.1038/ijo.2014.161]
- 70 **Pischon T**, Boeing H, Hoffmann K, Bergmann M, Schulze MB, Overvad K, van der Schouw YT, Spencer E, Moons KG, Tjønneland A, Halkjaer J, Jensen MK, Stegger J, Clavel-Chapelon F, Boutron-Ruault MC, Chajes V, Linseisen J, Kaaks R, Trichopoulou A, Trichopoulos D, Bamia C, Sieri S, Palli D, Tumino R, Vineis P, Panico S, Peeters PH, May AM, Bueno-de-Mesquita HB, van Duijnhoven FJ, Hallmans G, Weinermann L, Manjer J, Hedblad B, Lund E, Agudo A, Arriola L, Barricarte A, Navarro C, Martinez C, Quirós JR, Key T, Bingham S, Khaw KT, Boffetta P, Jenab M, Ferrari P, Riboli E. General and abdominal adiposity and risk of death in Europe. *N Engl J Med* 2008; **359**: 2105-2120 [PMID: 19005195 DOI: 10.1056/NEJMoa0801891]
- 71 **Alferink LJM**, Trajanoska K, Erler NS, Schoufour JD, de Knegt RJ, Ikram MA, Janssen HLA, Franco OH, Metselaar HJ, Rivadeneira F, Darwish Murad S. Nonalcoholic Fatty Liver Disease in The Rotterdam Study: About Muscle Mass, Sarcopenia, Fat Mass, and Fat Distribution. *J Bone Miner Res* 2019; **34**: 1254-1263 [PMID: 31074909 DOI: 10.1002/jbm.3713]
- 72 **Ruderman N**, Chisholm D, Pi-Sunyer X, Schneider S. The metabolically obese, normal-weight individual revisited. *Diabetes* 1998; **47**: 699-713 [PMID: 9588440 DOI: 10.2337/diabetes.47.5.699]
- 73 **Coutinho T**, Goel K, Corrêa de Sá D, Carter RE, Hodge DO, Kraglund C, Kanaya AM, Zeller M, Park JS, Kober L, Torp-Pedersen C, Cottin Y, Lorges L, Lee SH, Kim YJ, Thomas R, Roger VL, Somers VK, Lopez-Jimenez F. Combining body mass index with measures of central obesity in the assessment of mortality in subjects with coronary disease: role of "normal weight central obesity". *J Am Coll Cardiol* 2013; **61**: 553-560 [PMID: 23369419 DOI: 10.1016/j.jacc.2012.10.035]
- 74 **Curcic IB**, Berkovic MC, Kuna L, Roguljic H, Smolic R, Varzic SC, Jukic LV, Smolic M. Obesity Paradox in Chronic Liver Diseases: Product of Bias or a Real Thing? *J Clin Transl Hepatol* 2019; **7**: 275-279 [PMID: 31608220 DOI: 10.14218/JCTH.2019.00029]
- 75 **Pelusi S**, Cespiati A, Rametta R, Pennisi G, Mannisto V, Rosso C, Baselli G, Dongiovanni P, Fracanzani AL, Badiali S, Maggioni M, Craxi A, Fargion S, Prati D, Nobili V, Bugianesi E, Romeo S, Pihlajamaki J, Petta S, Valenti L. Prevalence and Risk Factors of Significant Fibrosis in Patients With Nonalcoholic Fatty Liver Without Steatohepatitis. *Clin Gastroenterol Hepatol* 2019; **17**: 2310-2319.e6 [PMID: 30708111 DOI: 10.1016/j.cgh.2019.01.027]
- 76 **Albhaisi S**, Chowdhury A, Sanyal AJ. Non-alcoholic fatty liver disease in lean individuals. *JHEP Rep* 2019; **1**: 329-341 [PMID: 32039383 DOI: 10.1016/j.jhepr.2019.08.002]
- 77 **Singh S**, Allen AM, Wang Z, Prokop LJ, Murad MH, Loomba R. Fibrosis progression in nonalcoholic fatty liver vs nonalcoholic steatohepatitis: a systematic review and meta-analysis of paired-biopsy studies. *Clin Gastroenterol Hepatol* 2015; **13**: 643-54. quiz e39-40 [PMID: 24768810 DOI: 10.1016/j.cgh.2014.04.014]
- 78 **European Association for the Study of the Liver (EASL)**. EASL-EASD-EASO Clinical Practice Guidelines for the management of non-alcoholic fatty liver disease. *J Hepatol* 2016; **64**: 1388-1402 [PMID: 27062661 DOI: 10.1016/j.jhep.2015.11.004]
- 79 **Degasperis E**, Colombo M. Distinctive features of hepatocellular carcinoma in non-alcoholic fatty liver disease. *Lancet Gastroenterol Hepatol* 2016; **1**: 156-164 [PMID: 28404072 DOI: 10.1016/S2468-1253(16)30018-8]

- 80 **Dyson J**, Jaques B, Chattopadyhay D, Lochan R, Graham J, Das D, Aslam T, Patanwala I, Gaggar S, Cole M, Sumpter K, Stewart S, Rose J, Hudson M, Manas D, Reeves HL. Hepatocellular cancer: the impact of obesity, type 2 diabetes and a multidisciplinary team. *J Hepatol* 2014; **60**: 110-117 [PMID: 23978719 DOI: 10.1016/j.jhep.2013.08.011]
- 81 **Piscaglia F**, Svegliati-Baroni G, Barchetti A, Pecorelli A, Marinelli S, Tiribelli C, Bellentani S; HCC-NAFLD Italian Study Group. Clinical patterns of hepatocellular carcinoma in nonalcoholic fatty liver disease: A multicenter prospective study. *Hepatology* 2016; **63**: 827-838 [PMID: 26599351 DOI: 10.1002/hep.28368]
- 82 **Wattacheril J**, Sanyal AJ. Lean NAFLD: An Underrecognized Outlier. *Curr Hepatol Rep* 2016; **15**: 134-139 [PMID: 27668144 DOI: 10.1007/s11901-016-0302-1]
- 83 **Ratziu V**, Goodman Z, Sanyal A. Current efforts and trends in the treatment of NASH. *J Hepatol* 2015; **62**: S65-S75 [PMID: 25920092 DOI: 10.1016/j.jhep.2015.02.041]
- 84 **Kumar R**, Mohan S. Non-alcoholic Fatty Liver Disease in Lean Subjects: Characteristics and Implications. *J Clin Transl Hepatol* 2017; **5**: 216-223 [PMID: 28936403 DOI: 10.14218/JCTH.2016.00068]
- 85 **Mells JE**, Fu PP, Sharma S, Olson D, Cheng L, Handy JA, Saxena NK, Sorescu D, Anania FA. Glp-1 analog, liraglutide, ameliorates hepatic steatosis and cardiac hypertrophy in C57BL/6J mice fed a Western diet. *Am J Physiol Gastrointest Liver Physiol* 2012; **302**: G225-G235 [PMID: 22038829 DOI: 10.1152/ajpgi.00274.2011]
- 86 **Gao H**, Zeng Z, Zhang H, Zhou X, Guan L, Deng W, Xu L. The Glucagon-Like Peptide-1 Analogue Liraglutide Inhibits Oxidative Stress and Inflammatory Response in the Liver of Rats with Diet-Induced Non-alcoholic Fatty Liver Disease. *Biol Pharm Bull* 2015; **38**: 694-702 [PMID: 25947915 DOI: 10.1248/bpb.b14-00505]
- 87 **Armstrong MJ**, Gaunt P, Aithal GP, Barton D, Hull D, Parker R, Hazlehurst JM, Guo K; LEAN trial team; Abouda G; Aldersley MA; Stocken D; Gough SC; Tomlinson JW; Brown RM; Hübscher SG; Newsome PN. Liraglutide safety and efficacy in patients with non-alcoholic steatohepatitis (LEAN): a multicentre, double-blind, randomised, placebo-controlled phase 2 study. *Lancet* 2016; **387**: 679-690 [PMID: 26608256 DOI: 10.1016/S0140-6736(15)00803-X]
- 88 **Ipsen DH**, Rolin B, Rakipovski G, Skovsted GF, Madsen A, Kolstrup S, Schou-Pedersen AM, Skat-Rørdam J, Lykkesfeldt J, Tveden-Nyborg P. Liraglutide Decreases Hepatic Inflammation and Injury in Advanced Lean Non-Alcoholic Steatohepatitis. *Basic Clin Pharmacol Toxicol* 2018; **123**: 704-713 [PMID: 29953740 DOI: 10.1111/bcpt.13082]



Published by **Baishideng Publishing Group Inc**
7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite 160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA

Telephone: +1-925-3991568

E-mail: bpgoftice@wjnet.com

Help Desk: <https://www.f6publishing.com/helpdesk>

<https://www.wjnet.com>

