




TAAF: A Trace Abstraction and Analysis Framework Synergizing Knowledge Graphs and LLMs

Alireza Ezaz

Methodology Architecture

Response



Research Questions

- RQ1: To what extent does the incorporation of a Knowledge Graph improve the accuracy of the TAAF when answering trace-related queries?
- **RQ2:** Does providing the LLM with the graph schema (node types and features) enhance the accuracy of its responses?
- **RQ3:** How does the accuracy and quality of TAAF responses vary across different LLM models (GPT-4 .1 nano (small), GPT-4o, o4-mini (Reasoning))?
- **RQ4:** What is the effect of time interval length on the performance of TAAF's answers?
- RQ5: How does TAAF's accuracy vary across different query types (e.g., multiple-choice, true/false, explanatory), and graph structures (single-hub vs. multi-hub)?

Approach


- We designed **100** unique questions.
- Each question is asked 3 times under the raw-data condition, yielding 300 data points.
- Each question is also asked three times under the KG-powered condition, adding another 300 data points.
- Therefore, each experiment produces 600 data points in total.
- To date, we've run **10** experiments, resulting in **6,000** data points overall.
- The main Metric we use is Accuracy:

$$\frac{(Number\ of\ 0s\times 0) + (Number\ of\ 0.5s\times 0.5) + (Number\ of\ 1s\times 1)}{300}\times 100$$


4 / 16

RQ1: To what extent does the incorporation of a Knowledge Graph improve the accuracy of the TAAF when answering trace-related queries?

Min Improvement: +8.7% Max Improvement: +31.2% Average Improvement: +21.5%




RQ1: To what extent does the incorporation of a Knowledge Graph improve the accuracy of the TAAF when answering trace-related queries?



RQ2: Does providing the LLM with the graph schema (node types and features) enhance the accuracy of its responses?


Experiment was done on 1s of data from the mid timestamp with 4o model +21.67% Improvement

Effect of Graph Schema on Accuracy (Improvement: +21.67%)




RQ3: How does the accuracy and quality of TAAF responses vary across different LLM models (GPT-4.1 nano (small), GPT-40, 04-mini (Reasoning))?

Min Accuracy: 50.50 Max Accuracy: 95.50




RQ4: What is the effect of time interval length on the performance of TAAF's answers?



Note: Aggregated results across all models

TAAF Best Accuracy: True/False Single-Hub 90.99%

TAAF Worst Accuracy: Explanation Multi-Hub 61.11%



Note: Aggregated results across all models TAAF Best Accuracy Gain: True/False Multi-Hub 30%




Table 4.1: GPT 4.1 nano — 1 s interval

Query Type	Graph Structure		Witho	ut KG		With KG				
		0%	0.5%	1%	Acc %	0%	0.5%	1%	Acc %	
Explanation	Single-Hub	45.00	13.33	41.67	48.33	33.33	8.33	58.33	62.50	
	Multi-Hub	61.67	20.00	18.33	28.33	46.67	20.00	33.33	43.33	
Multiple Choice	Single-Hub	35.56	17.78	46.67	55.56	11.11	5.56	83.33	86.11	
	Multi-Hub	40.00	11.11	48.89	54.44	26.67	4.44	68.89	71.11	
True/False	Single-Hub	20.00	8.89	71.11	75.56	10.00	2.22	87.78	88.89	
,	Multi-Hub	41.11	21.11	37.78	48.33	16.67	12.22	71.11	77.22	

Table 4.2: GPT 4.1 nano — 10 s interval

Query Type	Graph Structure		Witho	at KG		With KG				
		0%	0.5%	1%	Acc %	0%	0.5%	1%	Acc %	
Explanation	Single Hub	46.67	16.67	36.67	45.00	43,33	5.00	51.67	54.17	
	Multi-Hub	56.67	21.67	21.67	32,50	46.67	13,33	40,00	46.57	
Multiple Choice	Single-Hub	50,00	13,33	36.67	43.33	29.17	5.83	65.00	67.32	
	Multi-Hub	55,67	13.00	31.33	37.83	37,14	4.29	58,57	60.71	
True/False	Single Hub	27.78	9.44	62.78	67.50	11.11	4.44	81.44	86.57	
	Multi-Hub	55,56	16.67	27.78	36,11	29.17	9.17	61.67	66.25	

Table 4.3: GPT 4.1 nano — 100 s interval

Query Type	Graph Structure		Witho	ut KG		With KG				
		0%	0.5%	1%	Acc %	0%	0.5%	1%	Acc %	
Explanation	Single Hub	49.03	15.97	34.99	42.98	45.10	7.84	47.06	50.00	
	Multi-Hub	59.15	21.13	19.72	30.29	49.30	19.72	30.99	40.85	
Multiple Choice	Single-Hub	43.40	19.81	36.79	46.70	26.61	6.42	66.97	70.18	
	Multi-Hub	48.50	13.50	38.00	44.75	33.58	6.72	59.70	62.06	
True/False	Single Hub	35.63	14.18	50.00	57.19	14.13	6.38	79.50	82.69	
	Multi-Hub	55.10	17.65	27.25	36.07	26.13	10.92	62.95	67.41	

Table 4.4: GPT 40 — 1 s interval

Query Type	Graph Structure		Witho	ut KG		With KG				
		0%	0.5%	1%	Acc %	0%	0.5%	1%	Acc %	
Explanation	Single-Hub	30.00	8.00	62.00	66.00	18.00	2.67	79.33	80.57	
	Multi-Hub	46.00	12.00	42.00	48.00	29.33	9.33	61.33	66.00	
Multiple Choice	Single-Hub	26.00	6.00	68.00	71.00	7.00	1.33	91.67	92.13	
	Multi-Hub	33,33	8.33	58.33	62.50	13.33	3.33	83.33	85.00	
True/False	Single-Hub	13.33	5.00	81.67	84.17	4.00	0.67	95.33	95.57	
	Multi-Hub	32.00	14.67	53.33	60.67	12.67	3.33	84.00	85.67	

Table 4.5: GPT 40 - 10 s interval

Query Type	Graph Structure	Without KG				With KG				
		0%	0.5%	1%	Acc.	0%	0.5%	1%	Acc %	
Explanation	Single Hub	34.48	11.49	54.02	59.76	33.33	3.45	63.22	64.94	
	Multi-Hub	50.00	12.50	37,50	43.75	38.46	11.54	50.00	55.77	
Multiple Choice	Single-Hub	34.55	12.73	52.73	58.09	13.64	2.73	81.64	85.00	
	Multi-Hub	46.43	11.90	41.67	47.62	22.32	3.57	74.11	75.89	
True/False	Single Hub	18.27	6.73	75.00	78.37	6.25	2.50	91.25	92.50	
	Multi-Hub	41.67	16.67	41.67	50.00	17,44	10.47	72.09	76.32	

Table 4.6: GPT 4o - 100 s interval

Query Type	Graph Structure		Witho	at KG		With KG				
		0%	0.5%	1%	Acc %	0%	0.5%	1%	Acc %	
Explanation	Single-Ilub	39.39	12.12	48.48	34.55	29.54	8.41	62.05	66.26	
	Multi-Tub	55.17	15.52	29.31	36.07	35.79	14.74	49.47	56.84	
Multiple Choice	Single-Hub	39.22	14.71	46.08	53.44	11,76	3.92	84.31	86.27	
	Multi-Flub	50.88	14.04	35.09	42.11	23.81	5.95	70.24	72.22	
True/False	Single-Hub	26.92	9.62	63.46	€8.27	7.21	2.88	89.90	91.35	
	Multi-liub	47.83	17,39	34.78	43,48	15,85	6.71	77,44	80.80	

Table 4.7: GPT o4-mini - 1 s interval

Query Type	Graph Structure		Witho	ut KG	With KG				
		0%	0.5%	1%	Acc %	0%	0.5%	1%	Acc %
Explanation	Single-Hub	17.78	15.56	66.67	74.44	4.44	5.00	90.56	93,06
	Multi-Hub	35.24	22.86	41.90	53.33	8.57	14.29	77.14	84.29
Multiple Choice	Single-Hub	17.62	8.51	74.47	78.72	3.19	1.06	95.74	96.27
	Multi-IIub	21.21	14.14	64.65	71.72	5.41	2.70	91.89	53.24
True/False	Single-Hub	8.33	7.22	84.44	88.06	2.22	1.11	96.67	97.22
	Multi-IIub	21.75	13.75	64.50	71.88	4.37	2.46	93.17	94.35


Table 4.8: GPT o4-mini - 10 s interval

Query Type	Graph Structure	Without KG				With KG				
		0%	0.5%	1%	Acc %	0%	0.5%	1%	Acc %	
Explanation	Single-Hub	9.52	13.10	77.38	83.33	5.95	5.95	88.10	91.07	
	Multi-Hub	27.40	19.86	52.74	62,67	9.59	13.70	76.71	83,56	
Multiple Choice	Single-Hub	13.68	10.26	76.06	81.19	3.08	3.08	93.85	95.38	
	Multi-IIub	18.10	11.43	70.48	76.19	5.24	2.86	91.90	93.33	
True/False	Single-Hub	3.33	5.00	91.67	94.17	0.56	0.56	98.89	99.17	
	Multi-Hub	14.29	12.14	73.57	79.64	1.78	3.56	94.67	96.44	


Table 4.9: GPT o4-mini - 100 s interval

Query Type	Graph Structure	Without KG				With KG				
		0%	0.5%	1%	Acc %	0%	0.5%	1%	Acc %	
Explanation	Single-Hub	12.10	12.50	75.00	81.25	6.15	6.15	87.69	90.76	
	Multi-IIub	25.64	17.95	56.41	65.38	7.60	12.82	79.49	85.90	
Multiple Choice	Single-Hub	17.59	11.59	71.01	76.81	4.96	2.48	92.56	94,65	
	Multi-IIub	22.22	11.11	66.67	72.22	5.88	2.94	91.18	92.65	
True/False	Single-Hub	6.58	7.89	85.53	89.47	1.54	1.92	96.54	97,50	
	Multi-IIub	18.25	13.14	68.61	75.18	2.55	3.63	93.83	95.64	

RQ6: To what extent does the choice of temporal location (early vs. late in the trace) affect system performance and reasoning accuracy?



RQ6: To what extent does the choice of temporal location (early vs. late in the trace) affect system performance and reasoning accuracy?



Future Research Questions

- **RQ7**: How does the temperature (sampling randomness) parameter affect the accuracy and consistency of LLM responses within TAAF?
- **RQ8:** How does introducing an AI agent (e.g., multi-turn dialogue, clarification loops) improve the interpretability and correctness of TAAF responses?

15 / 16



Thanks!

Do you have any questions?



sezaz@brocku.ca



www.linkedin.com/in/s-alireza-ezaz

