

مثال برنامه ریزی یو یای قطعی

نامیه	1	2	3
فروشنده	1	2	4
2	5	2	3
3	2	1	5
3	1	4	5

دنبال میگردیم که در فروش اعداد اقل جدول

هستیم.

هر نامیه حداقل یک فروشنده

هر فروشنده تنها در یک نامیه کار میکند.

- A policy determines actions based on history and observation period.
- Define history sets:
 - $H_n = \Gamma^{n-1} \times S$ for $n > 0$.
 - $H_0 = S$.
- A policy $\pi = (\pi_0, \pi_1, \dots) \in \Pi$:
 - For any $n \geq 0$ and history $h_n = (i_0, a_0, \dots, i_n) \in H_n$:
 - $\pi_n(h_n)$ is a probability distribution on $A(i_n)$.

Question 1 What is a deterministic policy?

Question 2 What is a Markov policy?

تعریف ۱: سیاست ثابت مستقل از زمان است.

تعریف ۲: $\pi_t = (\pi_t, t \geq 0) \in \Pi_m$

- For $n \geq 0$:
 - X_n : State at period n .
 - Δ_n : Action chosen at period n .
- The process $\{X_n, \Delta_n, n \geq 0\}$ is well-defined under any policy $\pi \in \Pi$.
- Under a Markov policy $\pi \in \Pi_M$ Forms a discrete-time Markov chain.
- For each $\pi \in \Pi$ and $i \in S$:
 - $P_{\pi,i}$: Probability under policy π with initial state i .
 - $E_{\pi,i}$: Expectation under policy π with initial state i .
- Reward structure:
 - Reward $r(X_n, \Delta_n)$ at period n is random.

Question How to compare different policies?

① Average reward: $V_{(\pi,i)} = \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{N+1} \sum_{n=0}^N r(X_n, \Delta_n)$

Discounted criterion/total reward criterion

$$V_{\beta}(\pi, i) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \beta^n \mathbf{E}_{\pi, i}(r(X_n, \Delta_n)), \quad i \in S, \pi \in \Pi$$

In the literature, the discount rate $\beta \in [0, 1]$ is often assumed. **Why?**

The **optimal** value function for this criterion is defined by:

$$V_{\beta, \mathbf{N}}(i) = \sup_{\pi \in \Pi} V_{\beta, \mathbf{N}}(\pi, i), \quad i \in S$$

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \beta_k = \infty \quad \text{و} \quad \sum_k \beta_k^2 < \infty$$

و این را کم کنیم

شرط زمین گشته همگامی با احتمال یک

می‌توانیم β را بدست زمان صرف کنیم.

A Gambling Problem [Ross, 2014]

At each play of the game, a gambler can bet any nonnegative amount up to his present fortune and will either win or lose that amount with probabilities p and $q = 1 - p$, respectively. The gambler is allowed to make n bets and his objective is to maximize the expectations of the logarithm of his final fortune. What strategy achieves this end?

$$X \rightarrow V_n(X) = \max_{0 \leq \alpha \leq 1} \{ p V_{n+1}(X + \alpha X) + q V_{n+1}(X - \alpha X) \}$$

$$\begin{aligned} V_0(X) &= \log X \rightarrow V_1(X) = \max_{0 \leq \alpha \leq 1} \{ p \log(X + \alpha X) + q \log(X - \alpha X) \} \rightarrow V_1(X) = \max_{0 \leq \alpha \leq 1} \{ p \log(1 + \alpha) + q \log(1 - \alpha) \} + \log X \\ &\rightarrow V_1(X) = C + \log X \Rightarrow V_2(X) = 2C + \log X \Rightarrow V_n(X) = nC + \log X \end{aligned}$$

$$\frac{dV}{d\alpha} = \frac{p}{X + \alpha X} - \frac{q}{X - \alpha X} \geq 0 \rightarrow \alpha \neq 1 \rightarrow p - q \geq \alpha \leq 2p - 1 \geq \alpha$$

Sequential Investment Problem

Suppose one has an amount M of money and considers investing this money over N future periods. However, the opportunity for investment is not deterministic. At each period, an investment opportunity occurs with probability p , which is independent of the past and the amount of remaining money. When an investment opportunity occurs, if he invests x , he will earn a revenue $r(x)$, including his investment. Assume that both his investment and his return at any period cannot be reinvested in the future. What is the optimal strategy for this problem?

Let $V_n(X)$ be the maximal expected profit when there are n periods remaining, X money available for future investment, and an investment opportunity occurs.

1. Write the optimality equation.
2. Assume that $r(x)$ is nondecreasing, concave, and satisfies $r(0) = 0$. Show that $V_n(X)$ is also concave in X .

$$\begin{aligned}
 & 0 \leq X \leq M \\
 & \textcircled{1} \quad V_n(X) = \max_{0 \leq x \leq M} \left\{ r(x) + \overline{V_{n+1}}(M-x) \right\} \\
 & \quad \quad \quad \overline{V_n(A)} = p V_n(A) + q V_{n+1}(A) \\
 & \textcircled{2} \quad V_n(\lambda A_1 + (1-\lambda)A_2) \geq \lambda V_n(A_1) + (1-\lambda) V_n(A_2) \text{ و } 0 \leq \lambda \leq 1 \rightarrow \dots
 \end{aligned}$$