

1 Question 3(a)

If we define X_i as the indicator for wrong labeling of i_{th} sample, we then want to prove:

$$\begin{aligned} & Pr[|\hat{R}_{S'}(h) - R(h)| \leq \epsilon/2] \geq 1/2 \\ \Rightarrow & 1 - Pr[|\hat{R}_{S'}(h) - R(h)| \leq \epsilon/2] \leq 1/2 \\ \Rightarrow & Pr[|\hat{R}_{S'}(h) - R(h)| > \epsilon/2] \leq 1/2 \end{aligned}$$

Now we apply Hoeffding with $X_i \in [0, 1]$, $\epsilon := m\epsilon/2$:

$$\begin{aligned} \Rightarrow & Pr[|Z/m - \mathbb{E}[Z]/m| \geq \epsilon/2] \leq 2.e^{-\frac{2}{4}\epsilon^2 m^2 \frac{1}{\sum_{i=1}^m (b_i - a_i)^2}} \\ \Rightarrow & Pr[|\hat{R}_{S'}(h) - R(h)| \geq \epsilon/2] \leq 2.e^{-1/2\epsilon^2 m^2 \frac{1}{m}} \\ \Rightarrow & Pr[|\hat{R}_{S'}(h) - R(h)| \geq \epsilon/2] \leq 2.e^{-1/2\epsilon^2 m} \end{aligned}$$

Replacing m with $\frac{K}{\epsilon^2} (\log(\Pi_H(m)) + \log(1/\delta))$:

$$\begin{aligned} \Rightarrow & Pr[|\hat{R}_{S'}(h) - R(h)| \geq \epsilon/2] \leq 2.e^{-K/2(\log(\Pi_H(m)) + \log(1/\delta))} \\ \Rightarrow & Pr[|\hat{R}_{S'}(h) - R(h)| \geq \epsilon/2] \leq 2.(\frac{\delta}{\Pi_H(m)})^{K/2} \end{aligned}$$

Now it suffices to show:

$$\begin{aligned} & 2.(\frac{\delta}{\Pi_H(m)})^{K/2} \leq \frac{1}{2} \\ & \delta \leq \frac{1}{2} \text{ and } \Pi_H(m) \geq 1 \\ \Rightarrow & 2.(\frac{\delta}{\Pi_H(m)})^{K/2} \leq 2.(\frac{1}{2})^{K/2} \leq \frac{1}{2} \end{aligned}$$

And that's always true if we assume $K \geq 4$.

2 Question 3(b)

Assuming instead of $\frac{1}{2\Pi_H(2m)}$ you meant $\frac{\delta}{2\Pi_H(2m)}$ so that at the end we'd have $Pr[B] \leq \delta/2$. We proceed to applying Hoeffding right away. $Z_i \in [-1, +1], \epsilon := m\epsilon$.

$$\begin{aligned}
 Pr \left[\left| \sum_{i=1}^d Z_i - \mathbb{E}[\sum_{i=1}^d Z_i] \right| \geq m\epsilon \right] &\leq 2.e^{-2\epsilon^2 m^2 \frac{1}{\sum_{i=1}^m (b_i - a_i)^2}} \\
 Pr \left[\left| \sum_{i=1}^d Z_i \right| \geq m\epsilon \right] &\leq 2.e^{-2\epsilon^2 m^2 \frac{1}{4m}} \\
 \Rightarrow Pr \left[\left| \sum_{i=1}^d Z_i \right| \geq m\epsilon \right] &\leq 2.e^{-\frac{1}{2}\epsilon^2 m} \\
 \Rightarrow Pr \left[\left| \sum_{i=1}^d Z_i \right| \geq m\epsilon \right] &\leq 2.e^{-\frac{K}{2}(\log(\Pi_H(2m)) + \log(1/\delta))} \\
 \Rightarrow Pr \left[\left| \sum_{i=1}^d Z_i \right| \geq m\epsilon \right] &\leq 2.\left(\frac{\delta}{\Pi_H(2m)}\right)^{\frac{K}{2}}
 \end{aligned}$$

Now we only need to show:

$$\begin{aligned}
 2.\left(\frac{\delta}{\Pi_H(2m)}\right)^{\frac{K}{2}} &\leq \frac{\delta}{2\Pi_H(2m)} \\
 \text{If we further assume } K \geq 6, \delta \leq \frac{1}{2}, \Pi_H(2m) &> 1 \\
 2.\left(\frac{\delta}{\Pi_H(2m)}\right)^3 &= 2.\left(\frac{\delta}{\Pi_H(2m)}\right)^2.\left(\frac{\delta}{\Pi_H(2m)}\right)^1 \leq \frac{\delta}{2\Pi_H(2m)} \\
 \Rightarrow 2.\left(\frac{\delta}{\Pi_H(2m)}\right)^3 &\leq 2.\frac{1}{4}.\frac{\delta}{\Pi_H(2m)} \leq \frac{\delta}{2\Pi_H(2m)}
 \end{aligned}$$

Thus, if $K \geq 6$ both cases will always be true. Now that $Pr[B] \leq \delta/2$ and $Pr[A] \leq 2.Pr[B]$, then $Pr[A] \leq \delta$.

The catch. If we take samples from Z_i uniformly with replacement, we will have a new random variable which takes values independently. If X_i is the result of the i_{th} sample, we have:

$$\frac{1}{d} \sum_{i=1}^d Z_i = \mathbb{E}\left[\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n X_i\right].$$

We can probably use this as a proxy for Z_i , but I couldn't figure it out.