

---

# RL Project Template

---

**Alireza Samar, Arnold Gomes, Danny Demarco, Tyler Christie**  
Department of Computer Science  
University of Bath  
Bath, BA2 7AY  
{as4731, ag2342, dd480, rdw41, tc905}@bath.ac.uk

## 1 Problem Definition

A clear, precise and concise description of your chosen problem, including the states, actions, transition dynamics, and the reward function. You will lose marks for an unclear, incorrect, or incomplete problem definition.

Application of reinforcement learning methods to modern artificial intelligence applications are both interesting and challenging, especially when the environment is unknown and there may be delayed rewards. This group project is an investigation into some of these methods and will attempt to train a number of agents to land a simulated spacecraft on the moon and compare their results for efficacy. To enable this the choice was made to make use of the LunarLander-v2 environment provided by OpenAI in their Gym package. The environment provides a classic rocket trajectory problem in which the rocket, starting at an elevated position is tasked with landing safely on a designated landing pad. There are two options in using this environment, discrete or continuous and we have chosen to use the discrete option. The 2d environment consists of the moon on the lower boundary with a section of it designated as the landing pad limited by the area between 2 flags, and an area of space above it in which the rocket may fly. The rocket itself is fitted with 2 legs to its base and three engines to provide thrust vertically or horizontally. To understand how the environment functions in more depth we can break it down into 4 main sections:

### 1. States

#### (a) Starting state

Each episode begins with the rocket in the same position; at the top, center of the viewport. The variable factor is that there is a random force applied to the rockets center of mass at the outset.

#### (b) Observation space

During an episode there are 8 possible states for the rocket to be in and consist of an 'x' and 'y' coordinate to denote its position, the linear velocity in both 'x' and 'y', the current angle of the rocket, the angular velocity, and two boolean values that represent whether each leg of the rocket is in contact with the ground or not. The landing pad is designated with (0,0) for its 'x' and 'y' coordinates.

### 2. Actions

There are 4 discrete actions available at any point: no action, fire right engine (left orientation), fire left engine (right orientation), fire main engine (upward orientation). Fuel is considered infinite and there is no range of acceleration, engines are binary and either on or off.

### 3. Rewards

Agents are incentivised/decentivised with the following reward structure:

#### (a) Positive Rewards

The reward for successfully moving from the starting state and coming to rest at the

landing pad range between 100-140 points depending on the accuracy of the landing. Each leg that is in contact with the ground provides an extra 10 points to incentivise a level approach to the landing pad. Once an episode is successfully solved, a reward of 200 points is awarded.

(b) Negative Rewards

To incentivise the agent to land on the platform as quickly as possible, negative rewards are given for each use of any of the engines in each frame of an episode of -0.3. If the rocket crashes (touches the moon), it receives -100 points.

4. Episodes

Each episode starts in the given starting state and runs until the terminal state is activated. A terminal state is activated in any of the following instances:

- (a) The rocket moves outside of the viewport (moves too far left, right, or up)
- (b) The rocket crashes into the moon (misses the landing platform and hits the lower boundary)
- (c) A sleep state is reached, i.e. not in motion and not involved in a collision. This includes once the rocket has successfully landed on the landing platform.

With these particulars in mind we can see that our agent is incentivised to direct the rocket from its starting position, to the landing pad with the minimal amount of engine use (fuel) as possible, while keeping the rocket stabilised as much as possible so that its legs may both make contact with the landing pad simultaneously. Incentivisation is produced by a large positive reward for reaching its platform, with bonus points for landing there in an upright position, and negative rewards for moving too far off course or colliding with the moons surface.

## 2 Background

**TODO: Explain MDPs TODO: Explain basic RL ; Bellman Equation and Dynamic Programming/Optimization problems, Value Iteration Methods, Policy Gradient Methods, online vs offline, off-policy vs on-policy, q value, state value, advantage function**

## 3 Method

A description of the method(s) used to solve your chosen problem, an explanation of how these methods work (in your own words), and an explanation of why you chose these specific methods.

To understand our chosen method PPO, we must first introduce TRPO.

### 3.1 TRPO

Trust Region Policy Optimization (TRPO) (Schulman et al., 2015) is a policy-gradient method for on-policy, online learning. It uses the concept of a 'Trust Region' in policy space, which permits the policy to move only within a specific 'trusted' bound per gradient ascent step. This mitigates the risk of an agent moving into a region of policy space from which it cannot recover from a sample collected under a poor policy.

An advantage estimate is calculated using a sampled discounted return under a policy  $\pi$ , parameterised by  $\theta$ , from an episode rollout, and a noisy value function estimate from a function approximator. Importance sampling is used to calculate the policy gradient from the old policy with a different sample distribution, and as such achieves greater sample efficiency than vanilla policy gradient methods (which use current policy to compute gradient). The objective function and target for optimisation is:

$$\max_{\theta} \mathbb{E}_t \left[ \frac{\pi_{\theta}(a_t|s_t)}{\pi_{\theta_{old}}(a_t|s_t)} \hat{A}_t \right] \quad (1)$$

$$\text{subject to } \mathbb{E}_t [KL [\pi_{\theta_{old}}(\cdot|s_t), \pi_{\theta}(\cdot|s_t)]] \leq \delta \quad (2)$$

Where  $KL$  is Kullback-Leibler Divergence (Joyce, 2011), and  $\delta$  our trust region bound. Whilst the specifics of KL-Divergence are outside the scope of this project, it is essentially a statistical measure of the distance between two probability distributions; the policy update is subject to the constraint that KL-Divergence between the new and old policy must not exceed some hyperparameter  $\delta$  for a gradient step. TRPO naturally falls into an Actor-Critic type model, where the value estimation is performed by the critic and the actor encodes the policy distribution.

### 3.2 PPO

Proximal Policy Optimization (Schulman et al., 2017) is a simplification and improvement over TRPO. Problems with TRPO were perceived to be complexity of implementation, and tractability of computation with regards to the KL-Divergence bound, which is an expensive operation with second-order optimisation required. A simpler bound was found to be more effective:

$$L^{CLIP}(\theta) = \mathbb{E}_t \left[ \min(r_t(\theta)\hat{A}^t, \text{clip}(r_t(\theta), 1 - \epsilon, 1 + \epsilon)\hat{A}^t) \right] \quad (3)$$

Where  $r_t(\theta)$  is identical to the probability ratio from TRPO ( $\frac{\pi_\theta(a_t|s_t)}{\pi_{\theta_{old}}(a_t|s_t)}$ ). The clip function replaces the KL-Divergence constraint, which clips the policy probability ratio such that the change does not exceed some hyperparameter  $\epsilon$ , typically 0.2 (Schulman et al., 2017). In addition to this, PPO provides some non-trivial code-level optimisations which significantly improve performance over TRPO (Engstrom et al., 2020).

PPO was chosen for the proposed domain as it is relatively simple to implement, provides relatively good sample efficiency over other policy gradient methods, and is not particularly sensitive to hyperparameters (Schulman et al., 2017).

### 3.3 REINFORCE

The final method we chose for this environment was REINFORCE (Williams, 1992). REINFORCE is a traditional policy gradient method, but there are conflicting opinions about how exactly it should be implemented, possibly because as Williams (1992) defines it is fairly vague. The update function for network parameters  $\theta$  is defined as (Sutton and Barto, 2018):

$$\theta_{t+1} = \theta_t + \alpha G_t \frac{\Delta_\theta \pi(A_t|S_t, \theta_t)}{\pi(A_t|S_t, \theta_t)} \quad (4)$$

Where  $\alpha$  is a hyperparameter controlling learning rate and  $G_t$  is our Monte-Carlo sampled discounted returns. By exploiting the likelihood ratio trick (Silver, 2015), we can rewrite this as:

$$\theta_{t+1} = \theta_t + \alpha G_t \Delta_\theta \log \pi(A_t|S_t, \theta_t) \quad (5)$$

Notice that unlike PPO, this update method only takes into account current policy, and as such results in much lower sample efficiency. In many instances, due to the high variance of Monte-Carlo sampling methods, an arbitrary baseline  $b(s)$  is subtracted from  $G_t$  in the update.  $b(s)$  does not vary with  $a$  and as such reduces per-update variance.

We choose to include REINFORCE as a baseline policy gradient method, to compare results of our more modern PPO and DQN algorithms.

## 4 Results

A presentation of your results, showing how quickly and how well your agent(s) learn (i.e. improve their policies). Include informative baselines for comparison (e.g. the best possible performance, the performance of an average human, or the performance of an agent that selects actions randomly).

## 5 Discussion

An evaluation of how well you solved your chosen problem.

## 6 Future Work

A discussion of potential future work you would complete if you had more time.

## 7 Personal Experience

A discussion of your personal experience with the project, such as difficulties or pleasant surprises you encountered while completing it.

## References

- Engstrom, L., Ilyas, A., Santurkar, S., Tsipras, D., Janoos, F., Rudolph, L. and Madry, A., 2020. Implementation matters in deep rl: A case study on ppo and trpo [Online]. *International conference on learning representations*. Available from: <https://openreview.net/forum?id=r1etN1rtPB>.
- Joyce, J.M., 2011. *Kullback-leibler divergence* [Online]. Berlin, Heidelberg: Springer Berlin Heidelberg, pp.720–722. Available from: [https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-642-04898-2\\_327](https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-642-04898-2_327).
- Schulman, J., Levine, S., Abbeel, P., Jordan, M. and Moritz, P., 2015. Trust region policy optimization [Online]. In: F. Bach and D. Blei, eds. *Proceedings of the 32nd international conference on machine learning*. Lille, France: PMLR, *Proceedings of Machine Learning Research*, vol. 37, pp.1889–1897. Available from: <https://proceedings.mlr.press/v37/schulman15.html>.
- Schulman, J., Wolski, F., Dhariwal, P., Radford, A. and Klimov, O., 2017. Proximal policy optimization algorithms. *arxiv preprint arxiv:1707.06347*.
- Silver, D., 2015. Lectures on reinforcement learning. URL: <https://www.davidsilver.uk/teaching/>.
- Sutton, R.S. and Barto, A.G., 2018. *Reinforcement learning: An introduction*. Cambridge, MA, USA: A Bradford Book.
- Williams, R.J., 1992. Simple statistical gradient-following algorithms for connectionist reinforcement learning. *Machine learning*, 8(3), pp.229–256.

## **Appendices**

If you have additional content that you would like to include in the appendices, please do so here. There is no limit to the length of your appendices, but we are not obliged to read them in their entirety while marking. The main body of your report should contain all essential information, and content in the appendices should be clearly referenced where it's needed elsewhere.

Appendices should include (1) a detailed description of the problem domain, including the states, actions, reward function, and transition dynamics; (2) all experimental details so that the reader can fully replicate your experiments; and (3) how you selected your hyperparameters (if applicable).

**Appendix A: Example Appendix 1**

**Appendix B: Example Appendix 2**