Extension Implementation Plan

03 March 2025 15:12

The basic version of the algorithm is only for a single round, this can easily be extended to be multiple rounds by making it 3D. You can also then add measurement errors this way.

My current confusion is how to generate the initial erasure model (which is then updated using the syndromes).

I do currently have the probabilities of error for each of the syndromes (these can be found in the detector error model)

There are 3 parts of the extension that need to be implemented:

1. Preparing the input

From stim I can easily get:

The probabilities of errors for each of the syndrome (as well as the conditional probabilities of the syndrome outputs)

This potentially may be needed to make the initial erasure model, especially if using integer weights proportional to probability

The detection events for each shot (eg each different experiment of the code) - large arrays containing TRUE and FALSE for each of the detectors

2. Implementing the erasure

a. Syndrome Validation

```
Algorithm 2: Union-Find decoder – Almost-
  linear time version
   input: The set of erased positions \varepsilon \subset E and
             the syndrome \sigma \subset V of an error E_Z.
   output: An estimation C of E_Z up to a
             stabilizer.
 1 Initialize cluster-trees, Support and boundary
    lists for all clusters
 2 Create the lists \( \mathcal{L} \) of roots of odd clusters.
 3 while L is not empty do
       (o) Initialize the fusion list F as an empty
       (i) For all u \in \mathcal{L}, grow the cluster C_u of a
half-edge in the Table Support. If a new
        grown edge \epsilon is added in Support then
         add e to the fusion list F
       (ii) For all e = \{u, v\} \in \mathcal{F}, if
         Find(u) \neq Find(v) then applies
        Union(u, v) to merge the cluster C_u and
         C_v. If Find(u) = Find(v) then remove e
        from the list \mathcal{F}
       (iii) For all e = \{u, v\} \in \mathcal{F}, read the sizes of
        the clusters C_u and C_v stored at the roots
        (uses Find()) and append the boundary
        list of the smallest cluster at the end of
        the boundary list of the largest one.
       (iv) Replace each root u \in \mathcal{L} by Find(u_i)
        (in a way that does not create duplicated
         elements)
       (v) For all u \in \mathcal{L}, remove the vertices of the
        boundary list of u that are not boundary
       (vi) For all u \in \mathcal{L}, if C_u is an even cluster
        then remove it from \mathcal{L}.
11 Erase all the edges that are fully grown in
                                                               on pustability
12 Apply the peeling decoder to the erasure
   Algorithm 1: Union Find Decoder
    input : A decoding graph G(V, E) with X (or Z) syndrome
     output: A correction pattern
  1 % Initialization
2 for each v \in \mathbf{V} do
3 | if v is defect measurement then
              Create a cluster \{v\}
```

Algorithm 1: Union Find Decoder input: A decoding graph G(V, E) with X (or Z) syndrome % Initialization 2 for each $v \in \mathbf{V}$ do if v is defect measurement then Create a cluster $\{v\}$ while there is an odd cluster do % Growing for each odd cluster C do for each $e = < u, v >, u \in C, v \not\in C$ do if $e.growth \leftarrow e.w$ then $e.growth \leftarrow e.growth + 1$ if e.growth = e.w then 12 13 14 $\mathcal{F} \leftarrow \mathcal{F} \cup \{e\}$ 15 end 17 end 18 end 19 end % Merging for each e $= \langle u, v \rangle \in \mathcal{F}$ do UNION(u, v)22 23 end 24 end 25 Build correction within each cluster by constructing a spanning tree

b. Erasure decoder - the peeling algorithm

```
Algorithm 1 Maximum Likelihood decoding
Require: A surface G = (V, E, F), an erasure ε ⊂ E and the syndrome σ ⊂ V of a Z-error.
Ensure: A Z-error P such that P ⊂ ε and σ(P) = σ.
1: Construct a spanning forest Fε of ε.
2: Initialize A by A = ∅.
3: While Fε ≠ ∅, pick a leaf edge e = {u, v} with pendant vertex u, remove e from Fε and apply the 2 rules:
4: (R1) If u ∈ σ, add e to A, remove u from σ and flip v in σ.
5: (R2) If u ∉ σ do nothing.
6: Return P = ∏<sub>e∈A</sub> Ze.
```

I don't think that constructing the spanning tree is a necessary step as I think that the output of syndrome validation is spanning trees

3. Evaluating the decoder

From STIM can get 'observable_flips' - which I think returns the result of the logical observable (where the logical observables defined by the user).

Basically the output of the erasure decoder will be corrections that need to be done

So if there is a corresponding error and correction event, or no error and no correction event, then it is deemed a success, otherwise it is a failure

Could initially just implement for 1 round (meaning that the graph is only in 2D - even though I think it works exactly the same in 3D)

I've had a quick look into Graph libraries:

The key graph contains all the vertices that exist as well as the edges connecting them (the detector error model)

As far as I can tell, the implementation of the 'Syndrome Validation' algorithm doesn't need any graph functions directly, it stores vertices in union-find data structures, and edges in an array called 'Support'. Would need to be able to identify which edges from which vertices (to be able to grow the odd clusters). The initial clusters are all the vertices which were marked 'True' - would be useful to store this information with the node.

list(G.adj[1])# or list(G.neighbors(1))

Output of syndrome validation stage seems to be clusters of vertices, and the edges have been annotated with which cluster they are part of

A graph library could be useful for identifying which edges are the 'leaf edges'?

A common graph library is NetworkX

I could implement my own union-find?