Practical Cryptographic Systems

Mathematical Background for Asymmetric Cryptography

Some Housekeeping

- Office hours:
 - Monday (Alishah) 2-3:30 in Malone 216
 Wednesday (Matt) 2-3:30 in Malone 307
 Thursday (Rohit) 2-3:30 in Malone 216
- Late day policy
- Assignment 1 due at midnight
- Weekly hw 1 due Wednesday at midnight
- Start looking for group members for course project

Fundamental Theorem of Arithmetic

• Theorem: Every $n \in \mathbb{Z}$, $n \neq 0$ has a unique factorization $n = \pm p_1^{e_1} p_2^{e_2} \dots p_r^{e_r}$ with p_i distinct primes and e_i positive integers

Division and Remainder

Theorem:

$$a,b \in \mathbb{Z}, b > 0, \exists unique q, r \in \mathbb{Z} \text{ s.t. } a = bq + r, 0 \le r \le b.$$

$$r \equiv a \mod b$$

$$a \bmod b = a - b \lfloor \frac{a}{b} \rfloor$$

$$a \mid b \Leftrightarrow a \mod b = 0$$

$$a = b \mod N \Leftrightarrow N \mid (a - b)$$

GCDs and Extended Euclidean Algorithm

 $\gcd(a,b)$: greatest common divisor d s.t. $d\mid a$ and $d\mid b$

Theorem (Extended Euclidean Algorithm)

 $a,b \in \mathbb{Z}$ (and positive) $\exists x,y \in \mathbb{Z}$ s.t. $ax + by = \gcd(a,b)$

Extended Euclidean Algorithm

```
Input: a, b \in \mathbb{Z}
Output: d, x, y with d = \gcd(a, b), ax + by = d
If b | a:
  return b,0,1
Else:
  compute a = qb + r
  d, x, y = \gcd(b, r) \qquad \forall (xb + yr = d)
  return (d, y, x - yq)
```

Extended Euclidean Algorithm

• Runs in time $O(\log(a)\log(b))$

Theorem:

If
$$c \mid ab$$
, $gcd(a, c) = 1 \Rightarrow c \mid b$

Modular Inverse

- Inverse of a mod N: $a \cdot a^{-1} \mod N$
 - Only defined if a is invertible
 - 0 has no inverse
- Can use Extended Euclidean Algorithm to find inverse

a invertible mod
$$N \Leftrightarrow \gcd(a, N) = 1$$

$$\exists x, y \ s.t. ax + Ny = 1 \Rightarrow x = a^{-1} \ mod \ N$$

Groups

- A group (G, \cdot) is a set G and an operation \cdot
- ullet A group G must satisfy the following properties
 - Closed under operation: $\forall a, b \in G, a \cdot b \in G$
 - Identity: $\exists e \in G \text{ s.t. } \forall a \in G, e \cdot a = a$
 - Inverses: $\forall a \in G, \exists b \in G \text{ s.t. } a \cdot b = e$
 - Associativity: $a \cdot (b \cdot c) = (a \cdot b) \cdot c$

Groups

- A group is Abelian if it has the additional property:
 - Commutative: $\forall a, b \in G, a \cdot b = b \cdot a$
- Cyclic group:
 - G is generated by one element:

```
\exists a, \{e, a, a \cdot a, a \cdot a, a \cdot a \cdot a \cdot a, \dots\} = G
\exists a, \{a^0, a^1, a^2, a^3, \dots, a^{n-1}\} = G, for some n (we call n the order of G)
```

• Notationally we say, $G = (\langle a \rangle, \cdot)$

• \mathbb{Z} is an abelian group under + identity = 0, inverse = -g, cyclic with $\mathbb{Z} = (\langle 1 \rangle, +)$

- \mathbb{Z} is an abelian group under + identity = 0, inverse = -g, cyclic with $\mathbb{Z} = (\langle 1 \rangle, +)$
- \mathbb{Z} is not a group under \times (not all elements have inverses in \mathbb{Z})

- \mathbb{Z} is an abelian group under + identity = 0, inverse = -g, cyclic with $\mathbb{Z} = (\langle 1 \rangle, +)$
- \mathbb{Z} is not a group under \times (not all elements have inverses in \mathbb{Z})
- \mathbb{Z}_N ($\mathbb{Z} \mod N$) is an abelian group under + identity = 0, inverse = -g, cyclic with $\mathbb{Z}_N = (\langle 1 \rangle, +)$

- \mathbb{Z} is an abelian group under + identity = 0, inverse = -g, cyclic with $\mathbb{Z} = (\langle 1 \rangle, +)$
- \mathbb{Z} is not a group under \times (not all elements have inverses in \mathbb{Z})
- \mathbb{Z}_N ($\mathbb{Z} \mod N$) is an abelian group under + identity = 0, inverse = -g, cyclic with $\mathbb{Z}_N = (\langle 1 \rangle, +)$
- \mathbb{Z}_N is not a group under \times (need gcd(a, N) = 1)
- \mathbb{Z}_p is a group under \times if p is prime We call this the "multiplicative group mod p": $\mathbb{Z}_p^* = \mathbb{Z}_p \backslash 0$

- Suppose p = 7: $3^0 \mod 7 = 1$, $3^1 \mod 7 = 1$, $3^2 \mod 7 = 2$, $3^3 \mod 7 = 6$, $3^4 \mod 7 = 4$, $3^5 \mod 7 = 5$, $3^6 \mod 7 = 1$ $\mathbb{Z}_7^* = (\langle 3 \rangle, \times)$
- \mathbb{Z}_p^* is cyclic, there is always a generator.

- Suppose p = 7:
 - $3^0 \mod 7 = 1, 3^1 \mod 7 = 1, 3^2 \mod 7 = 2, 3^3 \mod 7 = 6, 3^4 \mod 7 = 4, 3^5 \mod 7 = 5, 3^6 \mod 7 = 1$ $\mathbb{Z}_7^* = (\langle 3 \rangle, \times)$
- \mathbb{Z}_p^* is cyclic, there is always a generator.
- Not all elements will be the generator:

 $2^0 \mod 7 = 1, \ 2^1 \mod 7 = 2, \ 2^2 \mod 7 = 4, \ 2^3 \mod 7 = 1, \ 2^4 \mod 7 = 2, \ 2^5 \mod 7 = 4, \ 2^6 \mod 7 = 1$

Group Orders and \mathbb{Z}_p^*

- |G| is called the *order* of the group. The order of an element g is $|\langle g \rangle|$
- Theorem (Langrange): order(g) | p 1
- For \mathbb{Z}_{7}^{*} , $|\langle 3 \rangle| = 6$, $|\langle 2 \rangle| = 3$

Group Orders and \mathbb{Z}_p^*

- |G| is called the *order* of the group. The order of an element g is $|\langle g \rangle|$
- Theorem (Langrange): order(g) p 1
- For \mathbb{Z}_{7}^{*} , $|\langle 3 \rangle| = 6$, $|\langle 2 \rangle| = 3$

 \exists efficient algorithm to find generator is factorization of p-1 is known

• Theorem: $\forall g \in \mathbb{Z}_p^*, g^{p-1} = 1$

- Theorem: $\forall g \in \mathbb{Z}_P^*, g^{p-1} = 1$
- Ex: $3^4 = 81 \equiv 1 \mod 5$

- Theorem: $\forall g \in \mathbb{Z}_P^*, g^{p-1} = 1$
- Ex: $3^4 = 81 \equiv 1 \mod 5$
- Ex: $3^6 = 729 \equiv 1 \mod 7$

- Theorem: $\forall g \in \mathbb{Z}_P^*, g^{p-1} = 1$
- Ex: $3^4 = 81 \equiv 1 \mod 5$
- Ex: $3^6 = 729 \equiv 1 \mod 7$
- $Ex 2^6 = 64 \equiv 1 \mod 7$

• What if we have \mathbb{Z}_N where N is composite?

- What if we have \mathbb{Z}_N where N is composite?
- Only have inverses if gcd(a, N) = 1
 - Can still be found using extended euclidean algorithm

- What if we have \mathbb{Z}_N where N is composite?
- Only have inverses if gcd(a, N) = 1
 - Can still be found using extended euclidean algorithm
- \mathbb{Z}_N^* is the set of invertible elements in \mathbb{Z}_N

- What if we have \mathbb{Z}_N where N is composite?
- Only have inverses if gcd(a, N) = 1
 - Can still be found using extended euclidean algorithm
- \mathbb{Z}_N^* is the set of invertible elements in \mathbb{Z}_N

• How do we know how many elements are in \mathbb{Z}_N^* ?

Euler's Totient Function

- The Euler totient function $\varphi(n)$ denotes the number of elements in \mathbb{Z}_N^* .
- $\varphi(p) = p 1$ for prime p
- For primes p, q and N = pq, $\varphi(N) = (p-1)(q-1)$

Euler's Totient Function

- The Euler totient function $\varphi(n)$ denotes the number of elements in \mathbb{Z}_N^* .
- $\varphi(p) = p 1$ for prime p
- For primes p,q and $N=pq, \ \varphi(N)=(p-1)(q-1)$
- Ex: $\varphi(15 = 3 \times 5) = |\{1,2,4,7,8,11,13,14\}| = 8 = 2 \times 4$

Euler's Totient Function

- The Euler totient function $\varphi(n)$ denotes the number of elements in \mathbb{Z}_N^* .
- $\varphi(p) = p 1$ for prime p
- For primes p, q and N = pq, $\varphi(N) = (p-1)(q-1)$
- Ex: $\varphi(15 = 3 \times 5) = [\{1,2,4,7,8,11,13,14\}] = 8 = 2 \times 4$
- Euler's Theorem: $\forall a \in \mathbb{Z}_N^*, \ a^{\varphi(N)} = 1$

Chinese Remainder Theorem

• Theorem: Let N=pq, where p,q are relatively prime (not necessarily prime). Given a_1, a_2 there is a unique $x \in \mathbb{Z}_N$ such that $x \equiv a_1 \mod p, x \equiv a_2 \mod q$

Chinese Remainder Theorem

• Theorem: Let N=pq, where p,q are relatively prime (not necessarily prime). Given a_1,a_2 there is a unique $x\in \mathbb{Z}_N$ such that $x\equiv a_1 \mod p, x\equiv a_2 \mod q$

• Ex: for 2 mod 3, 3 mod 5 $8 \equiv 2 \mod 3, 8 \equiv 3 \mod 5$

Chinese Remainder Theorem

• Theorem: Let N=pq, where p,q are relatively prime (not necessarily prime). Given a_1,a_2 there is a unique $x\in\mathbb{Z}_N$ such that $x\equiv a_1 \mod p, x\equiv a_2 \mod q$

• Ex: for 2 mod 3, 3 mod 5 $8 \equiv 2 \mod 3, 8 \equiv 3 \mod 5$

Can use gcd algorithm to find x in the case of only 2 moduli

Number Theory and Hardness

- We have many operations that are efficient to compute:
 - Addition
 - Subtraction
 - Multiplication
 - Inversion (Through GCD)
 - Modular Exponentiation?

Computing Modular Exponentiations

Inefficient approach:

$$g^a = g \cdot g \dots \cdot g \ a \text{ times}$$

Computing Modular Exponentiations

Inefficient approach:

$$g^a = g \cdot g \dots \cdot g \ a \text{ times}$$

Better approach: Square-and-Multiply

```
\begin{array}{c} \mathsf{SquareMult}(x,e,N) \colon \\ \mathsf{let}\ e_n,\dots,e_1\ \mathsf{be}\ \mathsf{the}\ \mathsf{bits}\ \mathsf{of}\ e \\ y \leftarrow 1 \\ \mathsf{for}\ i = n\ \mathsf{down}\ \mathsf{to}\ 1\ \{ \\ y \leftarrow \mathsf{Square}(y) \qquad \qquad (S) \\ y \leftarrow \mathsf{ModReduce}(y,N) \qquad (R) \\ \mathsf{if}\ e_i = 1\ \mathsf{then}\ \{ \\ y \leftarrow \mathsf{Mult}(y,x) \qquad (M) \\ y \leftarrow \mathsf{ModReduce}(y,N) \qquad (R) \\ \} \\ \} \\ \mathsf{return}\ y \end{array}
```

Discrete Logarithm

• Given y, g find x such that $g^x \equiv y \mod p$, (undoes modular exponentiation)

Discrete Logarithm

• Given y, g find x such that $g^x \equiv y \mod p$, (undoes modular exponentiation)

- We do not have a general purpose efficient algorithm
 - We do have some algorithms for specific instances (index calculus)
 - Best algorithm: Number field sieve
- Quantum Setting?

Factoring Assumption

- Assumption: Given N = pq, hard to compute p, q efficiently
- Assumed to be hard for properly chosen large factors p, q (>1024 bits)
- Best Algorithm: General Number Field Sieve or Quadratic Sieve Algorithm

Quantum Setting?

Next time

Public Key Encryption and RSA