Levels of Performance	Missing key features and Performance		Underperformance - Does not meet full performance Expectations		Meeting Performance Expectations		Exceeding Performance Expectations		
Score	1		2		3		4		
Process Artifact Validation & Integrity Check	Could not, did not validate artifacts		Able to validate most artifacts but failed to validate all possible artifacts		Validated all required artifacts to accepted industry standards		Validated all artifacts to or exceeding industry standards with provided explanations		
Remediation and Recommendations	Did not address the minimum of 10 recommendations and/or did not explain significantly or well enough the recommendation for 'pay' or 'don't pay'		Covered the bare minimum of 10 recommendations. Lacked significant explanation in some cases and/or provided weak rationale for 'pay' or 'don't pay'.		Covered 10 minimum recommendations with significant explanation and good rationale for 'pay' or 'don't pay'.		Excellent coverage of 10 or better recommendations, significant explanations, 'pay' or 'don't pay' rationale tied to industry best practices.		
Artifact Analysis	Major artifact not analyzed or included in analysis		All artifacts analyzed but missing key details or details incorrectly interpreted		All artifacts analyzed. All key details included. Good interpretation of evidences identified.		Excellent analysis of all artifacts, discovery and interpretation of evidences clearly detailed. Analysis tied to Industry Standard frameworks.		
Understanding Attacker Tactics/Techniques Presentation	Specific techniques were not highlighted or major portions of the attacker's tactics and techniques not included.		Most but not all tactics and techniques discovered with some omissions or poor explanations / descriptions.		All tactics and techniques discovered and presented with good explanations and descriptions.		Excellent presentation of all tactics and techniques with excellent explanations and descriptions, all tied to Industry Standard frameworks.		
Quality - Overall Presentation	Not professional, appeared to have spent little effort/time		Need assistance and further practice. Foundations present		Good quality. Represented the selected content well and in a professional manner.		Excellent quality, Professional coverage and delivery.		
Time Management	Too short or to long by over 1 minute		On time but with empty air or fillers		On time with good content throughout (No filler)		On time. Excellent Time management with adoption / adjustment to speed as needed and flexibility of content (extra if needed, awareness of content prioritization.		
Clarity of Concepts	Content not well explained, poor use of communications skills, not adapted to audience.		Content explained but not all to audience level. Foundations present but needs further work in public speaking. Lack of examples.		Content clearly explained. Levels adjusted to audience. Good examples when used.		Content very clearly explained. Levels adjusted to audience. Great examples used. Trade show ready.		
Personal Presentation	Rushed, not confident, not organized, non professional attire.		Somewhat rushed, not confident not well organized, non professional attire.		Not rushed, confident and well organized, professional attire.		Not rushed, very confident and well organized, professional attire., overall gave a good professional presentation on themselves.		
Report Quality - Overall Report	Not professional, appeared to have spent little effort/time		Need assistance and further practice. Foundations present		Good quality. Represented the incident and events well and in a professional manner.		Excellent quality, Professional coverage and delivery.		
Business-level Communication	Report not written in a language and manner easily understood by a non-technical and/or Executive audience		Some but not all report written using Business appropriate language and in a manner easily understood and followed by a non-technical and/or Executive audience		All of the report written using Business appropriate language and in a manner easily understood and followed by a non-technical and/or Executive audience		Report tells a story of the events that took place in a way that explains each tactic, technique, and recommendation using Business language and so that non-technical and/or Executive audience members can get the most out of it		
Format Quality	Report not presented in a consistent, understandable, or easily predictable format		Report format is mostly consistent and predictable and mostly makes it easy for the reader to follow		Report format is consistent and predictable, making it easy for readers to follow and find what they are looking for		Report format is professional looking, neat and tidy, and makes it very easy for readers to read and follow		
Ease of Interpretation	Evidences are not presented in a way that is easy to read, interpret or understood		Evidences are usually readable and zoomed in/out appropriately with some explanations and descriptions		Evidences all presented in readable size and fashion and zoomed in/out appropriately with full explanations and descriptions		Evidences all presented in professional fashion, easily readable, zoomed in/out appropriately and marked up to identify the important elements with full explanations and descriptions		
Citations and Plagiarism									
Citations used	No citations used		Some citations used but incomplete or incorrect		Good use of citations		Excellent use of citations - added to the "authority" of the report.		
Plagiarism P/F	Clear evidence of plagiarism. (F)				No evidence of Plagiarism (P)				
Total	0		0		0		0		Total 0
Overrides - see Override Notes					_				C
Override Notes: Notes:									