System Verification and Validation Plan for 2D Localizer

Aliyah Jimoh

February 25, 2025

Revision History

Date	Version	Notes
24/02/2025	1.0	Notes
Date 2	1.1	Notes

[The intention of the VnV plan is to increase confidence in the software. However, this does not mean listing every verification and validation technique that has ever been devised. The VnV plan should also be a **feasible** plan. Execution of the plan should be possible with the time and team available. If the full plan cannot be completed during the time available, it can either be modified to "fake it", or a better solution is to add a section describing what work has been completed and what work is still planned for the future. —SS]

[The VnV plan is typically started after the requirements stage, but before the design stage. This means that the sections related to unit testing cannot initially be completed. The sections will be filled in after the design stage is complete. the final version of the VnV plan should have all sections filled in.—SS]

Contents

1	Syn	nbols, Abbreviations, and Acronyms	iv
2	Ger	neral Information	1
	2.1	Summary	1
	2.2	Objectives	1
	2.3	Challenge Level and Extras	1
	2.4	Relevant Documentation	1
3	Pla	\mathbf{n}	2
	3.1	Verification and Validation Team	2
	3.2	SRS Verification Plan	2
	3.3	Design Verification Plan	3
	3.4	Verification and Validation Plan Verification Plan	3
	3.5	Implementation Verification Plan	3
	3.6	Automated Testing and Verification Tools	4
	3.7	Software Validation Plan	4
4	Svs	tem Tests	4
	4.1	Tests for Functional Requirements	$\overline{4}$
		4.1.1 Area of Testing1	$\overline{4}$
		4.1.2 Area of Testing2	5
	4.2	Tests for Nonfunctional Requirements	5
		4.2.1 Area of Testing1	6
		4.2.2 Area of Testing2	6
	4.3	Traceability Between Test Cases and Requirements	7
5	Uni	t Test Description	7
	5.1	Unit Testing Scope	7
	5.2	Tests for Functional Requirements	7
	J	5.2.1 Module 1	7
		5.2.2 Module 2	8
	5.3	Tests for Nonfunctional Requirements	9
	0.0	5.3.1 Module ?	9
		5.3.2 Module ?	9
	5.4	Traceability Between Test Cases and Modules	9

6	3 Appendix 1			
	6.1	Symbolic Parameters	11	
	6.2	Usability Survey Questions?	11	
\mathbf{L}	ist	of Tables		
	1	Verification and Validation Team	2	
\mathbf{L}_{i}	ist	of Figures		
	[Rei	move this section if it isn't needed —SS]		

1 Symbols, Abbreviations, and Acronyms

Symbol	Description	
2D	Two-Dimensional	
2D Localizer	2D Localization Solution	
FM	Fiducial Marker	
GTSAM	Georgia Tech Smoothing and Mapping	
MG	Module Guide	
MIS	Module Interface Specification	
PEP8	Python Enhancement Proposal 8	
SRS	Software Requirements Specification	
T	Test	
VnV	Verification and Validation	

For a full list of symbols, abbreviations, and acronyms used, refer to section 1 in the \overline{SRS} document.

This document shows the verification and validation plan of the 2D Localizer program. This plan starts with general information that talks about 2D Localizer in section 2. The plan and system tests involved with this software is explained in sections 3 and 4.

2 General Information

2.1 Summary

This document examines the verification and validation plan of 2D Localizer. This software is used to help accurately locate mobile robots in a provided 2D map given the measurements and coordinates of the sensors and fiducial markers (FMs).

2.2 Objectives

The objective of this plan is to validate the accuracy of this program to build confidence in the software correctness. This plan also aims to satisfy all the requirements the System Requirements Specification (SRS) document outlined.

2.3 Challenge Level and Extras

This system has an advanced research level which can be seen from the implementation and the topic. Setting up the robot's movement, accurately displaying the trajectory, coordinating the sensors' measurements, and finding a way to animate the output would definitely add difficulty to this system, however, this is not a niche topic meaning that there are papers or libraries available to draw inspiration from.

2.4 Relevant Documentation

The documentation relevant to the 2D Localizer includes the Problem Statement since it explains the proposed software, the SRS which talks about the requirements needed to properly use the system, the Verification and Validation (VnV) report that goes through the tests and plans for the system, and the Module Guide (MG) and Module Interface Specification (MIS) for the design.

3 Plan

This section describes the test made for the 2D Localizer system. This begins by mentioning the VnV team in section 3.1, then followed by the SRS verification plan in 3.2, the design verification plan in section 3.3,the VnV plan verification plan in section 3.4, the implementation verification plan in section 3.5, the automated testing and verification tools in section 3.6, and the software validation plan in section 3.7.

3.1 Verification and Validation Team

This section shows the members of the VnV team. They are shown in Table 1 along with what document they contributed in and their roles.

Name	Document	Role
Aliyah Jimoh	All	Author
Dr. Spencer Smith	All	Instructor
		Reviewer
Kiran Singh	SRS	Domain Expert
	VnV	Reviewer
Dr. Matthew Giamou	Problem Statement	Supervisor
		Reviewer

Table 1: Verification and Validation Team

3.2 SRS Verification Plan

The SRS document for 2D Localizer will be verified through the following steps:

- 1. The initial review will be preformed by the assigned reviewers (Dr. Spencer Smith and Kiran Singh) and will use the SRS Checklist as a guide.
- 2. The reviewers will give feedback through creating issues in the GitHub repository.

- 3. The author (Aliyah Jimoh) will apply the feedback to the document and address issues that may not be applied.
- 4. The author will discuss with the supervisor (Dr. Matthew Giamou) and ask for their input.

3.3 Design Verification Plan

The design for 2D Localizer will be verified through the following steps:

- 1. The design documents, the MG and MIS, will be reviewed by the assigned reviewers (Kiran Singh and Dr. Spencer Smith) and will use both checklists (MG Checklist and MIS Checklist) as a guide.
- 2. The reviewers will give feedback through creating issues in the GitHub repository.
- 3. The author (Aliyah Jimoh) will apply the feedback to the document and address issues that may not be applied.

3.4 Verification and Validation Plan Verification Plan

The VnV plan for 2D Localizer will be verified through the following steps:

- 1. The VnV plan will be reviewed by the assigned reviewers (Kiran Singh and Dr. Spencer Smith) and will use the VnV Checklist as a guide.
- 2. The reviewers will give feedback through creating issues in the GitHub repository.
- 3. The author (Aliyah Jimoh) will apply the feedback to the document and address issues that may not be applied.

3.5 Implementation Verification Plan

The implementation of 2D Localizer will be verified be the following techniques:

• Static Testing

The author will perform a code walkthrough the domain expert (Kiran Singh) and go through different tests to check the accuracy. The author

will then do a walkthrough in a presentation while explaining the tests made and performed.

• Dynamic Testing

The unit tests for 2D Localizer are discussed in section 5

3.6 Automated Testing and Verification Tools

For Python implementation, the 2D Localization software will follow the coding style guidelines outlined in Python Enhancement Proposal 8 (PEP8). To enforce this, the Flake8 linter will be used to ensure that code meets PEP8's guidelines.

3.7 Software Validation Plan

Use data sets. Implement/use GTSAM as an API/modelling language with localizer Dellaert and Contributors (2022) [Please edit this —TPLT]

[This section might reference back to the SRS verification section. —SS]

4 System Tests

[There should be text between all headings, even if it is just a roadmap of the contents of the subsections. —SS]

4.1 Tests for Functional Requirements

[Subsets of the tests may be in related, so this section is divided into different areas. If there are no identifiable subsets for the tests, this level of document structure can be removed. —SS]

[Include a blurb here to explain why the subsections below cover the requirements. References to the SRS would be good here. —SS]

4.1.1 Area of Testing1

[It would be nice to have a blurb here to explain why the subsections below cover the requirements. References to the SRS would be good here. If a section covers tests for input constraints, you should reference the data constraints table in the SRS.—SS]

Title for Test

1. test-id1

Control: Manual versus Automatic

Initial State:

Input:

Output: [The expected result for the given inputs. Output is not how you are going to return the results of the test. The output is the expected result. —SS]

Test Case Derivation: [Justify the expected value given in the Output field —SS]

How test will be performed:

2. test-id2

Control: Manual versus Automatic

Initial State:

Input:

Output: [The expected result for the given inputs—SS]

Test Case Derivation: [Justify the expected value given in the Output field —SS]

How test will be performed:

4.1.2 Area of Testing2

. . .

4.2 Tests for Nonfunctional Requirements

[The nonfunctional requirements for accuracy will likely just reference the appropriate functional tests from above. The test cases should mention reporting the relative error for these tests. Not all projects will necessarily have nonfunctional requirements related to accuracy. —SS]

[For some nonfunctional tests, you won't be setting a target threshold for passing the test, but rather describing the experiment you will do to measure the quality for different inputs. For instance, you could measure speed versus the problem size. The output of the test isn't pass/fail, but rather a summary table or graph. —SS]

[Tests related to usability could include conducting a usability test and survey. The survey will be in the Appendix. —SS]

[Static tests, review, inspections, and walkthroughs, will not follow the format for the tests given below. —SS]

[If you introduce static tests in your plan, you need to provide details. How will they be done? In cases like code (or document) walkthroughs, who will be involved? Be specific. —SS]

4.2.1 Area of Testing1

Title for Test

1. test-id1

Type: Functional, Dynamic, Manual, Static etc.

Initial State:

Input/Condition:

Output/Result:

How test will be performed:

2. test-id2

Type: Functional, Dynamic, Manual, Static etc.

Initial State:

Input:

Output:

How test will be performed:

4.2.2 Area of Testing2

...

4.3 Traceability Between Test Cases and Requirements

[Provide a table that shows which test cases are supporting which requirements. —SS]

5 Unit Test Description

[This section should not be filled in until after the MIS (detailed design document) has been completed. —SS]

[Reference your MIS (detailed design document) and explain your overall philosophy for test case selection. —SS]

[To save space and time, it may be an option to provide less detail in this section. For the unit tests you can potentially layout your testing strategy here. That is, you can explain how tests will be selected for each module. For instance, your test building approach could be test cases for each access program, including one test for normal behaviour and as many tests as needed for edge cases. Rather than create the details of the input and output here, you could point to the unit testing code. For this to work, you code needs to be well-documented, with meaningful names for all of the tests. —SS]

5.1 Unit Testing Scope

[What modules are outside of the scope. If there are modules that are developed by someone else, then you would say here if you aren't planning on verifying them. There may also be modules that are part of your software, but have a lower priority for verification than others. If this is the case, explain your rationale for the ranking of module importance. —SS]

5.2 Tests for Functional Requirements

[Most of the verification will be through automated unit testing. If appropriate specific modules can be verified by a non-testing based technique. That can also be documented in this section. —SS]

5.2.1 Module 1

[Include a blurb here to explain why the subsections below cover the module. References to the MIS would be good. You will want tests from a black box

perspective and from a white box perspective. Explain to the reader how the tests were selected. —SS

1. test-id1

Type: [Functional, Dynamic, Manual, Automatic, Static etc. Most will be automatic —SS]

Initial State:

Input:

Output: [The expected result for the given inputs —SS]

Test Case Derivation: [Justify the expected value given in the Output field —SS]

How test will be performed:

2. test-id2

Type: [Functional, Dynamic, Manual, Automatic, Static etc. Most will be automatic —SS]

Initial State:

Input:

Output: [The expected result for the given inputs —SS]

Test Case Derivation: [Justify the expected value given in the Output field —SS]

How test will be performed:

3. ...

5.2.2 Module 2

• • •

5.3 Tests for Nonfunctional Requirements

[If there is a module that needs to be independently assessed for performance, those test cases can go here. In some projects, planning for nonfunctional tests of units will not be that relevant. —SS]

[These tests may involve collecting performance data from previously mentioned functional tests. —SS]

5.3.1 Module ?

1. test-id1

```
Type: [Functional, Dynamic, Manual, Automatic, Static etc. Most will be automatic —SS]
Initial State:
Input/Condition:
Output/Result:
How test will be performed:
```

2. test-id2

```
Type: Functional, Dynamic, Manual, Static etc.
Initial State:
Input:
Output:
How test will be performed:
```

5.3.2 Module?

...

5.4 Traceability Between Test Cases and Modules

[Provide evidence that all of the modules have been considered. —SS]

References

Frank Dellaert and GTSAM Contributors. borglab/gtsam, May 2022. URL https://github.com/borglab/gtsam).

6 Appendix

This is where you can place additional information.

6.1 Symbolic Parameters

The definition of the test cases will call for SYMBOLIC_CONSTANTS. Their values are defined in this section for easy maintenance.

6.2 Usability Survey Questions?

[This is a section that would be appropriate for some projects. —SS]