## Teorija programskih jezikov: 3. izpit

8. julij 2020

# 1. naloga (20 točk)

V  $\lambda$ -računu, razširjenem s seznami, definirajmo:

$$sum = \text{rec fun } s \ \ell$$
. match  $\ell$  with  $[] \mapsto 0 \ | \ h :: t \mapsto h + s \ t$ 

- a) Zapišite vsa pravila, ki določajo operacijsko semantiko malih korakov za izraz rec fun f x.e.
- b) Zapišite vse korake v evalvaciji izraza sum (19::(23::[])) v semantiki malih korakov.
- c) Izračunajte najbolj splošen tip izraza sum.

#### 2. naloga (20 točk)

Naj bo  $(D, \leq)$  domena in  $x, y \in D$ . Pravimo, da je x daleč pod y, kar označimo z  $x \ll y$ , kadar vsaka veriga, katere supremum preseže y, preseže x že po končno mnogo členih. Torej, če za vsako verigo  $w_0 \leq w_1 \leq w_2 \leq \cdots$ , za katero velja  $y \leq \bigvee_i w_i$ , obstaja nek j, da velja  $x \leq w_j$ .

- **a**) Dokažite, da za poljubna  $x, y \in D$  iz  $x \ll y$  sledi  $x \le y$ .
- **b)** Dokažite, da za poljubne  $x, y, z \in D$  iz  $x \ll y$  in  $y \le z$  sledi  $x \ll z$ .
- **c**) Dokažite, da za poljubne  $x, y, z \in D$  iz  $x \le y$  in  $y \ll z$  sledi  $x \ll z$ .
- **d**) Poiščite primer domene  $(D, \leq)$  ter elementa  $x \leq y$ , za katere *ne velja*  $x \ll y$ .

# 3. naloga (20 točk)

V  $\lambda$ -račun dodamo nedeterministično izvajanje, v katerem se lahko izrazi evalvirajo v več kot eno možno vrednost:

$$e := x \mid \texttt{true} \mid \texttt{false} \mid \texttt{if} \ e \ \texttt{then} \ e_1 \ \texttt{else} \ e_2 \mid \lambda x.e \mid e_1 \ e_2 \mid e_1 \oplus e_2$$

- **a)** Zapišite pravilo za določitev tipa za izraz  $e_1 \oplus e_2$ , ki se nedeterministično odloči, ali bo nadaljeval kot  $e_1$  ali kot  $e_2$ .
- **b**) Operacijsko semantiko za razširjeni  $\lambda$ -račun lahko podamo na dva načina. Prvi je, da v semantiko malih korakov dodamo pravili:

$$\frac{}{e_1 \oplus e_2 \leadsto e_1} \qquad \frac{}{e_1 \oplus e_2 \leadsto e_2}$$

Drugi pa je semantika velikih korakov oblike  $e \Downarrow \{v_1, ..., v_n\}$ , kjer so  $v_1, ..., v_n$  vse možne vrednosti, v katere se lahko evalvira izraz e. Zapišite pravila, ki določajo takšno semantiko.

# 4. naloga (20 točk)

 $Polimorfni \ \lambda$ -račun oziroma  $sistem \ F$  je  $\lambda$ -račun, razširjen z eksplicitnimi univerzalno kvantificiranimi tipi ter izrazoma za abstrakcijo in aplikacijo tipov. Sintaksa njegovih tipov, izrazov in vrednosti je:

$$\begin{split} A &::= \texttt{bool} \mid \texttt{int} \mid A \to B \mid \alpha \mid \forall \alpha.A \\ e &::= \cdots \mid \Lambda \alpha.e \mid eA \\ v &::= \cdots \mid \Lambda \alpha.e \end{split}$$

pravila za operacijsko semantiko in tipe novih izrazov pa so

$$\frac{e \leadsto e'}{e \: A \leadsto e' \: A} \qquad \frac{\Gamma, \alpha \vdash e : A}{\Gamma \vdash \Lambda \alpha . e : \forall \alpha . A} \qquad \frac{\Gamma \vdash e : \forall \alpha . A}{\Gamma \vdash \alpha . e : \forall \alpha . A}$$

pri čemer lahko konteksti  $\Gamma$  vsebujejo tako proste spremenljivke x:A kot proste spremenljivke za tipe  $\alpha$ . Poleg tega za vsak  $\Gamma \vdash e:A$  zahtevamo, da se vse proste spremenljivke  $\alpha$  v izrazu e in tipu A pojavijo v  $\Gamma$ .

Dokažite izreka o napredku in ohranitvi za polimorfni  $\lambda$ -račun.

## Theory of programming languages: third exam

8 July 2020

#### Question 1 (20 marks)

In  $\lambda$ -calculus extended with lists, we define:

$$sum = \operatorname{rec} \operatorname{fun} s \ \ell$$
. match  $\ell$  with  $[] \mapsto 0 \ | \ h :: t \mapsto h + s \ t$ 

- **a)** Write down all the rules that specify the small-step operational semantics of the expression rec fun f x.e.
- **b)** Write down all the steps in the evaluation of the expression sum (19 :: (23 :: [])) in the small-step semantics.
- **c**) Compute the most general type of the expression *sum*.

#### Question 2 (20 marks)

Let  $(D, \leq)$  be a domain and let  $x, y \in D$ . We say that x is well below y, written as  $x \ll y$ , when each chain, whose supremum exceeds y, exceeds x after finitely many elements. In other words, if for any chain  $w_0 \leq w_1 \leq w_2 \leq \cdots$  such that  $y \leq \bigvee_i w_i$ , there exists some j, such that  $x \leq w_j$ .

- **a)** Prove, that  $x \ll y$  implies  $x \le y$  for arbitrary  $x, y \in D$ .
- **b)** Prove, that  $x \ll y$  and  $y \le z$  implies  $x \ll z$  for arbitrary  $x, y, z \in D$ .
- c) Prove, that  $x \le y$  and  $y \ll z$  implies  $x \ll z$  for arbitrary  $x, y, z \in D$ .
- **d)** Find an example of a domain  $(D, \leq)$  and elements  $x \leq y$ , such that  $x \ll y$  does not hold.

### Question 3 (20 marks)

We extend  $\lambda$ -calculus with non-deterministic evaluation, where each expression can evaluate to more than one possible value:

$$e := x \mid \text{true} \mid \text{false} \mid \text{if } e \text{ then } e_1 \text{ else } e_2 \mid \lambda x.e \mid e_1 e_2 \mid e_1 \oplus e_2$$

- **a)** Write down the typing rule for the expression  $e_1 \oplus e_2$ , which non-deterministically chooses between proceeding as  $e_1$  or as  $e_2$ .
- **b)** Operational semantics for the extended  $\lambda$ -calculus can be given in two different ways. The first one is extending small-step semantics with rules:

$$\overline{e_1 \oplus e_2 \leadsto e_1}$$
  $\overline{e_1 \oplus e_2 \leadsto e_2}$ 

The second one is big step semantics of the form  $e \downarrow \{v_1, ..., v_n\}$ , where  $v_1, ..., v_n$  are all possible values into which e can evaluate. Write down all the rules that define such semantics.

# Question 4 (20 marks)

Polymorphic  $\lambda$ -calculus or system F is  $\lambda$ -calculus, extended with explicit universally quantified types and expressions for type abstraction and application. The syntax of its types, expressions and values is:

$$A ::= \texttt{bool} \mid \texttt{int} \mid A \to B \mid \alpha \mid \forall \alpha.A$$
 
$$e ::= \cdots \mid \Lambda \alpha.e \mid eA$$
 
$$v ::= \cdots \mid \Lambda \alpha.e$$

while the additional rules for operational semantics and typing judgements are:

$$\frac{e \leadsto e'}{eA \leadsto e'A} \qquad \frac{\Gamma, \alpha \vdash e : A}{\Gamma \vdash \Lambda \alpha . e : \forall \alpha . A} \qquad \frac{\Gamma \vdash e : \forall \alpha . A}{\Gamma \vdash \alpha . B : A[B/\alpha]}$$

where the context  $\Gamma$  may contain both free variables of the form x:A and free type variables  $\alpha$ . In addition, we require that in each  $\Gamma \vdash e:A$  all free type variables  $\alpha$  in the expression e or type A appear in  $\Gamma$ .

Prove progress and preservation theorems for polymorphic  $\lambda$ -calculus.