## Target E-com

1-

- Import the dataset and do usual exploratory analysis steps like checking the structure & characteristics of the dataset:
  - Data type of all columns in the "customers" table.
  - Get the time range between which the orders were placed.
  - Count the Cities & States of customers who ordered during the given period.

1.1

select column name, data type

from 'target-449309.26112611.INFORMATION SCHEMA.COLUMNS'

WHERE TABLE NAME = 'customers'

Row	column_name ▼	data_type ▼
1	customer_id	STRING
2	customer_unique_id	STRING
3	customer_zip_code_prefix	INT64
4	customer_city	STRING
5	customer_state	STRING

1.2

• select min(order\_purchase\_timestamp) as starting\_of\_range,

max(order purchase timestamp) as ending of range

from `target-449309.26112611.orders`



conclusion-Time range starts in the year 2016 and ends in 2018.

1.3

select count(distinct customer\_city) as no\_of\_cities, customer\_state as no\_of\_states from `target-449309.261126.customers`

group by 2

order by 1

desc

Row	no_of_cities ▼	//	no_of_states ▼
1	74		MG
2	629	9	SP
3	379	9	RS
4	364	4	PR
5	353	3	ВА
6	240	0	SC
7	178	8	G0
8	161	1	CE
9	152	2	PE
10	149	9	RJ

Conclusion-The following data shows the number of cities(in each state) in descending order.

- In-depth Exploration:
  - Is there a growing trend in the no. of orders placed over the past years?
  - Can we see some kind of monthly seasonality in terms of the no. of orders being placed?

 During what time of the day, do the Brazilian customers mostly place their orders? (Dawn, Morning, Afternoon or Night)

• 0-6 hrs : Dawn

• 7-12 hrs : Mornings

• 13-18 hrs : Afternoon

• 19-23 hrs : Night

```
with yearly_order as(

SELECT count(order_id) as number_of_orders,
extract(year from order_purchase_timestamp) as years,

FROM `target-449309.26112611.orders`
group by 2
order by 2)

select years,number_of_orders,
lag(number_of_orders,1)over(order by years) as previous_year_orders,
round(100*(number_of_orders - ( lag(number_of_orders,1)over(order by years) ))/(
lag(number_of_orders,1)over(order by years) ),2) as percentage_increase

from
yearly_order
order by years
```

Row	years ▼	number_of_orders	previous_year_orders	percentage_increase
1	2016	329	null	null
2	2017	45101	329	13608.51
3	2018	54011	45101	19.76

conclusion-"Yes, there is a clear upward trend. Notably, the growth from 2016 to 2017 was significantly higher than the growth observed from 2017 to 2018."

```
2.2
```

```
    with monthly_order as(
        SELECT count(order_id) as number_of_orders,
        extract(month from order_purchase_timestamp) as
        months,

FROM `target-449309.26112611.orders`
        group by 2
        order by 2 )

select months,number_of_orders,
        lag(number_of_orders,1)over(order by months) as previous_months_orders,
        round(100*(number_of_orders - ( lag(number_of_orders,1)over(order by months) ))/( lag(number_of_orders,1)over(order by months) ),2)
        as percentage_increase

from
        monthly_order
        order by 1
```

Row	months ▼	number_of_orders	previous_months_ord	percentage_increase
1	1	8069	null	null
2	2	8508	8069	5.44
3	3	9893	8508	16.28
4	4	9343	9893	-5.56
5	5	10573	9343	13.16
6	6	9412	10573	-10.98
7	7	10318	9412	9.63
8	8	10843	10318	5.09
9	9	4305	10843	-60.3
10	10	4959	4305	15.19 Ctiv

Conclusion-There is a mixed result when number of orders are compared with their previous orders.

Months 1-5: Steady growth, peaking at month 5.

Month 6: Sudden drop(-10.98%).

Month 7-8: Growth resumes.

Month 9: Major fall(-60.3%).

with asf as(

Month 10: Partial recovery(+15.19%).

```
select extract(hour from order_purchase_timestamp) as hours from `target-449309.26112611.orders`)

select time_of_the_day,
count(time_of_the_day) as hourss
from(
select case when hours between 0 and 6 then 'dawn'
when hours between 7 and 12 then 'morning'
when hours between 13 and 18 then 'afternoon'
when hours between 19 and 23 then 'night' end as
time_of_the_day from asf)
group by time_of_the_day
order by 2 desc
limit 1
```

Row	time_of_the_day ▼	//	hourss	<b>▼</b>
1	afternoon			38135

# In the afternoon there are maximum number of orders being placed.

#### 3-

# **Evolution of E-commerce orders in the Brazil region:**

- Get the month on month no. of orders placed in each state.
- How are the customers distributed across all the states?

```
select count(o.order_id) as number_of_orders, extract(month from o.order_purchase_timestamp) as month, c.customer_state as state
FROM `target-449309.26112611.orders` o
```

join `target-449309.26112611.customers`

c on o.customer\_id = c.customer\_id

group by 2,3 order by 2,3

Row	number_of_orders	month ▼	/	state ▼
1	8	1		AC
2	39	1		AL
3	12	1		AM
4	11	1		AP
5	264	1		ВА
6	99	1		CE
7	151	1		DF
8	159	1		ES
9	164	1		G0
10	66	1		MA

select count(distinct customer\_id) as number\_of\_customers, customer\_state as state from
`target-449309.26112611.customers`

group by 2

order by 1

desc

Row     number_of_custome     state       1     41746     SP       2     12852     RJ       3     11635     MG       4     5466     RS       5     5045     PR       6     3637     SC       7     3380     BA       8     2140     DF       9     2033     ES       10     2020     GO				
2 12852 RJ 3 11635 MG 4 5466 RS 5 5045 PR 6 3637 SC 7 3380 BA 8 2140 DF 9 2033 ES		number_of_custome	state ▼	
3 11635 MG 4 5466 RS 5 5045 PR 6 3637 SC 7 3380 BA 8 2140 DF 9 2033 ES	1	41746	SP	
4 5466 RS 5 5045 PR 6 3637 SC 7 3380 BA 8 2140 DF 9 2033 ES	2	12852	RJ	
5 5045 PR 6 3637 SC 7 3380 BA 8 2140 DF 9 2033 ES	3	11635	MG	
6 3637 SC 7 3380 BA 8 2140 DF 9 2033 ES	4	5466	RS	
7 3380 BA 8 2140 DF 9 2033 ES	5	5045	PR	
8 2140 DF 9 2033 ES	6	3637	SC	
9 2033 ES	7	3380	BA	
	8	2140	DF	
10 2020 GO	9	2033	ES	
	10	2020	GO	

Following data shows the number of customers in each state with highest to lowest.

### 4

- Impact on Economy: Analyze the money movement by ecommerce by looking at order prices, freight and others.
  - Get the % increase in the cost of orders from year 2017 to 2018 (include months between Jan to Aug only).
     You can use the "payment\_value" column in the payments table to get the cost of orders.
  - Calculate the Total & Average value of order price for each state.

Calculate the Total & Average value of order freight for each state

```
4.1
with cte as(
  select *
  from `target-
  449309.26112611.payments`p join
  `target-449309.26112611.orders` o on
  p.order id = o.order id),
  seventeen as(
    select sum(case when date(order purchase timestamp) between '2017-01-01'
and '2017-08-31' then payment value else null end) as sum1,
    sum(case when date(order purchase timestamp) between '2018-01-01' and '2018-08-
31' then
    payment_value else null end) as
    sum2 from cte
  select round(100*(sum2-sum1)/sum1,2) as
  precentage inc from seventeen
   Row
              precentage_inc
        1
                        136.98
```

conclusion-"The cost of orders has more than doubled, showing an increase of approximately 137%."

4.2

with final as

```
(select p.price, c.customer_state, o.order_id
from `target-449309.26112611.order_items`
p join `target-449309.26112611.orders` o
on p.order_id = o.order_id
join `target-449309.26112611.customers`
c on o.customer_id = c.customer_id
)

select customer_state, round(sum( price)/ count(distinct order_id),3) as average_price,
round(sum(price),3) as total_price
from final
group by 1
order by 2
desc
```

Row	customer_state ▼	average_price ▼	total_price ▼
1	PB	216.669	115268.08
2	AP	198.151	13474.3
3	AC	197.32	15982.95
4	AL	195.413	80314.81
5	RO	186.804	46140.64
6	PA	184.482	178947.81
7	TO	177.856	49621.74
8	PI	176.296	86914.08
9	MT	173.26	156453.53
10	RN	172.272	83034.98

Conclusion-"The data indicates that states such as (PB, (AP), and (AC) exhibit a higher purchasing capacity for more expensive articles. This trend is also reflected in the overall increase in total spending from these states.

```
select round(sum(i.freight_value),2) total_freight, round(sum(i.freight_value)/count(distinct o.order_id),2) as avg_freight,
c.customer_state
from `target-449309.26112611.orders` o
join `target-449309.26112611.customers`
c on o.customer_id = c.customer_id
join `target-449309.26112611.order_items` i
on o.order_id = i.order_id
group by 3
order by 2
```

desc

Row	total_freight ▼	avg_freight ▼	customer_state ▼
1	2235.19	48.59	RR
2	25719.73	48.35	PB
3	11417.38	46.22	RO
4	3686.75	45.52	AC
5	21218.2	43.04	PI
6	31523.77	42.6	MA
7	11732.68	42.05	ТО
8	2788.5	41.01	AP
9	14111.47	40.9	SE
10	38699.3	39.9	PA

Conclusion-The above data gives us the information about the states who have more average freight charges.

Analysis based on sales, freight and delivery time-

 Find the no. of days taken to deliver each order from the order's purchase date as delivery time.
 Also, calculate the difference (in days) between the estimated & actual delivery date of an order.

Do this in a single query.

You can calculate the delivery time and the difference between the estimated & actual delivery date using the given formula:

- time\_to\_deliver = order\_delivered\_customer\_date order\_purchase\_timestamp
- diff\_estimated\_delivery =
   order\_delivered\_customer\_date order estimated delivery date
- Find out the top 5 states with the highest & lowest average freight value.
- Find out the top 5 states with the highest & lowest average delivery time.
- Find out the top 5 states where the order delivery is really fast as compared to the estimated date of delivery.

You can use the difference between the averages of actual & estimated delivery date to figure out how fast the delivery was for each state.

```
select order_id, date_diff(order_delivered_customer_date,order_purchase_timestamp,day)
as time_to_deliver,
date_diff(order_delivered_customer_date,order_estimated_delivery_date,day)
as diff_estimated_delivery
from `target-449309.26112611.orders`

select order_id, date_diff(order_delivered_customer_date,order_purchase_timestamp,day)
as time_to_deliver,
date_diff(order_delivered_customer_date,order_estimated_delivery_date,day)
as diff_between_estimated_and_actual_delivery
from `target-449309.26112611.orders`
```

5.1)

Row	order_id ▼	time_to_deliver ▼	diff_estimated_delive
1	1950d777989f6a877539f5379	30	12
2	2c45c33d2f9cb8ff8b1c86cc28	30	-28
3	65d1e226dfaeb8cdc42f66542	35	-16
4	635c894d068ac37e6e03dc54e	30	-1
5	3b97562c3aee8bdedcb5c2e45	32	0
6	68f47f50f04c4cb6774570cfde	29	-1
7	276e9ec344d3bf029ff83a161c	43	4
8	54e1a3c2b97fb0809da548a59	40	4
9	fd04fa4105ee8045f6a0139ca5	37	1
10	302bb8109d097a9fc6e9cefc5	33	5

conclusion-"The data helps identify which order items take longer than expected for delivery and which ones are delivered in less time."

with cte as(select c.customer\_state, round(sum(i.freight\_value)/count(distinct o.order\_id),2) as avg\_freight\_cost

```
from `target-449309.26112611.customers`
c join `target-449309.26112611.orders` o
on c.customer_id = o.customer_id
join `target-449309.26112611.order_items` i
on o.order_id = i.order_id
group by 1
order by 2
desc
),
high as(
select customer_state,avg_freight_cost
from cte
order by avg_freight_cost desc
limit 5),
low as(
  select customer_state, avg_freight_cost
  from cte
 order by 2
  limit 5
select customer_state,avg_freight_cost,
'high_value' as value_type,
from high
union all
select customer state, avg freight cost, 'low value' as value type, from
low
```

Row	customer_state ▼	avg_freight_cost 🤟	value_type ▼
1	RR	48.59	high_value
2	PB	48.35	high_value
3	RO	46.22	high_value
4	AC	45.52	high_value
5	PI	43.04	high_value
6	SP	17.37	low_value
7	MG	23.46	low_value
8	PR	23.58	low_value
9	DF	23.82	low_value
10	RJ	23.95	low_value

conclusion-The data provides insights into which states manage their freight charges efficiently and which do not."

accoridng to the above table, RR has highest average freight cost.

```
5.3) with cte as(select c.customer_state,round(sum(
    date_diff(o.order_delivered_customer_date,o.order_purchase_timestamp,day)))/count(o.ord
    er_id),2) as avg_number_of_days
    from `target-449309.26112611.customers`
    c join `target-449309.26112611.orders` o
    on c.customer_id = o.customer_id
    group by 1
    ),
    high as(
    select
    customer_state,avg_number_of_days
    from cte
    order by 2 desc
limit 5),
```

```
low as(
    select customer_state,
    avg_number_of_days from cte
    order by 2
    limit 5
)

select
customer_state,avg_number_of_days,
'high_value' as value_type,
from high

union all
```

select customer\_state,avg\_number\_of\_days, 'low\_value' as value\_type,
from low

Row	customer_state ▼	avg_number_of_days	value_type ▼
1	AP	26.34	high_value
2	RR	25.83	high_value
3	AM	25.46	high_value
4	AL	23.11	high_value
5	PA	22.62	high_value
6	SP	8.05	low_value
7	PR	11.25	low_value
8	MG	11.27	low_value
9	DF	12.16	low_value
10	SC	14.12	low_value

Conclusion-The data helps us identify which states are efficient in their delivery times and which are not, enabling us to explore ways to improve logistics in underperforming regions. Notably, the state of RR records the highest average delivery time.

```
5.4) select c.customer state,
round(sum(date diff(o.order delivered customer date,o.order purchase timestamp,
day))/count(distinct o.order id),2) as avg delivery time days,
round(sum(date diff(o.order estimated delivery date,o.order purchase timestamp,day))/c
ount(distinct o.order_id),2) as avg_estimated_time,
round(round(sum(date diff(o.order delivered customer date,o.order purchase timestamp,
day))/count(distinct o.order id),2) -
round(sum(date_diff(o.order_estimated_delivery_date,o.order_purchase_timestamp,day))/c
ount(o.order_id),2),2) as diff_estimated_and_delivery
from `target-449309.26112611.customers`
c join `target-449309.26112611.orders` o
on c.customer id = o.customer id
group by 1
order by 4
limit 5
```

Row	customer_state ▼	avg_delivery_time_da	avg_estimated_time	diff_estimated_and_c
1	AC	20.38	40.77	-20.39
2	RR	25.83	46.17	-20.34
3	RO	18.17	38.41	-20.24
4	AP	26.34	45.71	-19.37
5	AM	25.46	44.76	-19.3

Conclusion-States such as AC,RR,RO,AP,AM are the most efficient states with respect to delivery status.

#### 6-

# **Analysis based on the payments:**

 Find the month on month no. of orders placed using different payment types.

```
6-
select payment_type,
extract(year from order_purchase_timestamp) as yr,
extract(month from order_purchase_timestamp) as
month, count(*) as order_total
from `target-
449309.26112611.payments` p join
`target-449309.26112611.orders` o on
p.order_id = o.order_id
group by 1,2,3
order by 2,3
```

Row	payment_type ▼	yr ▼	month ▼	order_total ▼
1	credit_card	2016	9	3
2	credit_card	2016	10	254
3	voucher	2016	10	23
4	debit_card	2016	10	2
5	UPI	2016	10	63
6	credit_card	2016	12	1
7	voucher	2017	1	61
8	UPI	2017	1	197
9	credit_card	2017	1	583
10	debit_card	2017	1	Activate Wighdow

Conclusion- Credit Card is the most frequently used payment method with high order totals (e.g.,254 and 583).

- -UPI usage is rising in 2017 compared to 2016, indicating growing customer trust and adoption.
- -Voucher and Debit Card orders exist but show lower order totals and frequency.

#### 7-

# Find the no. of orders placed on the basis of the payment installments that have been paid.

```
7.
select payment_installments, count(distinct o.order_id) as num_of_orders from
`target-449309.26112611.payments` p
join `target-449309.26112611.orders` o
on p.order_id = o.order_id
where payment_installments >=1 and payment_value > 0
group by 1
order by 1
```

Row	payment_installment	num_of_orders ▼	
1	1	49057	
2	2	12389	
3	3	10443	
4	4	7088	
5	5	5234	
6	6	3916	
7	7	1623	
8	8	4253	
9	9	644	
10	10	5315	

# Overall Suggestions for Target:

- Optimize Delivery in Slow Regions: Focus on improving logistics in states like RR, where delivery times are significantly higher.
- Analyze Freight Inefficiencies: Review freight management in less cost-efficient states to reduce unnecessary shipping expenses.
- Leverage High-Spending States: States like PB, AP, and AC show strong purchasing power—consider launching premium product lines or targeted promotions there.
- Monitor High-Delay Items: Identify and address SKUs with consistently delayed delivery to enhance customer satisfaction.
- Balance Inventory Accordingly: Use insights on order value and delivery efficiency to align inventory placement and reduce transit time.
- Promote UPI and credit card paments through cashback or loyalty programs to boost revenue.

•	Review voucher strategy-possibly underused or offering low value; consider bundling with offers.