

Feedback on Literature Review Outline

Title: Evaluating the Impact of Modern Educational Technologies on Student Learning Experiences in Higher Education in Qatar

Module: Research Methods and Professional Practice

Thank you for submitting your literature review outline. The topic you have selected is highly relevant and reflects an important area of investigation, particularly in the context of digital transformation within Qatar's education system and its alignment with Qatar Vision 2030. Your work demonstrates a strong awareness of both international and localised shifts toward the use of educational technology and illustrates a thoughtful approach to exploring their influence on student learning outcomes.

Your research objectives are clearly defined, and the incorporation of well-established theoretical models such as the Technology Acceptance Model (TAM) and the Technological Pedagogical Content Knowledge (TPACK) framework helps to ground your analysis within a robust academic framework. The structure of your outline is logically organised and anticipates the key elements expected in a literature review of this nature. Furthermore, your attention to both benefits and barriers shows a balanced analytical perspective, which is appropriate at postgraduate level and aligns with the module's critical thinking expectations.

However, there are some important areas that require attention and refinement prior

to the summative submission.

Scope and Focus

While the outline shows a clear effort to cover a range of relevant issues—such as student engagement, digital access, faculty preparedness, and ethical implications—the scope may be too broad for a 2,000-word assignment. Attempting to explore multiple pedagogical benefits, systemic challenges, and stakeholder perspectives across several institutions risks limiting the depth of your analysis. To enhance academic rigor, consider narrowing the focus to a single theme or set of closely related issues. For example, you might focus on the impact of blended learning models on student engagement or explore the integration challenges faced by a specific institution type. A more focused review will support deeper critical engagement and allow you to better address the complexities of the topic within the word limit.

Citation and Referencing

Your reference list is thorough and includes a mix of global and regional sources, many of which are appropriate for your topic. However, for the final submission, ensure that all in-text citations are used consistently throughout the content and that every citation corresponds to an entry in the reference list. The list itself should be presented in strict alphabetical order and follow a consistent academic referencing style (e.g., APA or Harvard). Proper citation is essential for maintaining academic integrity and must be carefully reviewed before submission.

Critical Evaluation

The section on contrasting perspectives and research gaps outlines the broad directions you intend to explore, including contradictory findings and underexplored areas in Qatari contexts. However, this section needs to go further in the summative assignment. You should aim to highlight specific examples of conflicting research outcomes, draw attention to differing theoretical assumptions, and critique the methodological strengths and weaknesses of the studies you include. A strong literature review at this level will demonstrate an ability to weigh evidence, question assumptions, and highlight inconsistencies or limitations across the reviewed literature.

Methodological Clarity

Your methodology is generally appropriate and aligns with the principles of secondary research. You have identified relevant databases, set inclusion criteria, and proposed a thematic analytical approach. However, further clarity is needed regarding how you plan to assess the quality of the studies selected. Additionally, ensure that all references to data collection or stakeholder insights are clearly framed as analysis of secondary literature. The module strictly prohibits primary research involving human participants. Therefore, any mention of survey data or local perceptions must refer to previously published work or publicly available reports, not new empirical data collection.

Academic Style and Presentation

For your summative assignment, ensure that your writing maintains a formal and academic tone throughout. Avoid conversational or informal language and present arguments clearly and objectively. Page numbers must be included, and headings

and subheadings should follow a consistent and logical structure. Make use of transition statements between sections to maintain cohesion and support the reader's understanding. Attention to academic conventions will significantly enhance the clarity and professionalism of your final submission.

Alignment with Module Aims

Your outline aligns well with the module's learning outcomes, particularly in its engagement with research methodology, ethical considerations, and application to professional contexts. The use of theoretical frameworks, focus on secondary literature, and integration of regionally relevant case studies demonstrate your understanding of the research process in a computing-related educational setting. If refined as advised, your summative assignment has strong potential to meet the academic and applied expectations of this module.

Summary of Recommendations

To prepare your summative literature review, focus on narrowing the topic to ensure analytical depth. Complete all in-text citations and compile a properly formatted reference list in alphabetical order. Expand your critical evaluation to compare differing perspectives, methodologies, and findings. Maintain a formal academic tone throughout and avoid implying any form of primary research. Clearly outline your secondary research approach, and ensure that your document includes page numbers and appropriate section headings. Lastly, structure your review into a coherent introduction, main discussion, and conclusion, and keep within the 2,000-word limit.