

Remember that the quality of the defenses, hence the quality of the school on the labor market depends on you. The remote defenses during the Covid crisis allows more flexibility so you can progress into your curriculum, but also brings more risks of cheat, injustice, laziness, that will harm everyone's skills development. We do count on your maturity and wisdom during these remote defenses for the benefits of the entire community.

SCALE FOR PROJECT PISCINE OCAML / DAY 06

You should evaluate 1 student in this team

Git repository

Introduction

For the good of this evaluation, we ask you to:

- Stay mannerly, polite, respectful and constructive during this evaluation. The trust between you and the 42 community depends on it.
- Bring out to the graded student (or team) any mistake she or he might did.
- Accept that there might be differences of interpretation of the subject or the rules between you and the graded student (or team). Stay open minded and grade as honestly as possible.

Guidelines

- You must grade only what is present and the graded student's (or team) repository.
- You must stop grading at the first failed exercise, but you are encouraged to continue testing and discussing the following exercises.

Attachments

 [subject.pdf](#)

Preliminaries

This section is dedicated to setup the evaluation and to test the prerequisites. It doesn't rewards points, but if something is wrong at this

step or at any point of the evaluation, the grade is 0, and an appropriate flag might be checked if needed.

Respect of the rules

- The graded student (or team) work is present on her or his repository.
- The graded student (or team) is able to explain her or his work at any time of the evaluation.
- The general rules and the possible day-specific rules are respected at any time of the evaluation.



Yes



No

OCaml piscine D06

- For each exercise, you must compile the exercise using `ocamlopt` and run the generated executable. If the compilation fails or warns, or an unexpected exception is thrown at runtime, the exercise is failed. - Whether the graded student provided tests or not, you must test her or his work extensively and assess if the work is done or not. - Remember to check function names, types, behaviours and outputs.

Ex00, the Set module and the Set.Make functor

This exercise is so straight forward that I won't give any solution here. Check that the graded student actually used the `Set.Make` functor to create a `StringSet` module from the `String` module and that the output is correct.

```
$> ocamlopt ex00.ml && ./a.out bar baz foo qux quxfoobazbar $>
```



Yes



No

Ex01, the Hashtbl module and the Hashtbl.Make functor

This exercise is pretty much the same as the previous one, so no answer here neither. The only trick is to write a hash function. Check the following.

- The graded student created an input module compatible with the signature `Hashtbl.Hashtype`. Note that implementing that signature would require constraint sharing and is out of the scope of this exercise, but count the points anyway.
- The hash function is actually a hash function. Be tolerant, but refuse really dummy hash functions. It was written in red in the subject.

- The output is respected, modulo the order of the lines.

```
$> ocamlpt ex01.ml && ./a.out k = "Ocaml", v = 5 k = "Hello", v  
= 5 k = "42", v = 2 k = "H", v = 1 k = "world", v = 5 $>
```



Yes



No

Ex02, projections

This exercise is the exact opposite of the example in the videos.
The FIXME must be replaced by the following code.

```
module type MAKEPROJECTION = functor (Pair : PAIR) -> VAL
```

```
module MakeFst : MAKEPROJECTION = functor (Pair : PAIR) ->  
struct let x = fst Pair.pair end
```

```
module MakeSnd : MAKEPROJECTION = functor (Pair : PAIR) ->  
struct let x = snd Pair.pair end
```

Check the output.

```
$> ocamlpt ex02.ml && ./a.out Fst.x = 21, Snd.x = 42 $>
```



Yes



No

Ex03, fixed point

First real world example, the fixed number functor is a functor
I use in my personal OCaml codes. Given the FIXED signature
from the subject and the test code below, one can deduce the
input signature FRACTIONNAL_BITS.

```
module type FRACTIONAL_BITS = sig val bits : int end
```

And the MAKE functor signature.

```
module type MAKE = functor (Fbits : FRACTIONAL_BITS) -> FIXED
```

The implementation of the functor Make is pretty straight
forward, the only tricky functions being of_float and to_float
so I won't give answers here. Check that the output from the
test code is good.

```
$> ocamlpt ex03.ml && ./a.out 42.421875 0. 0.0625 0.125 0.1875  
0.25 0.3125 0.375 0.4375 0.5 0.5625 0.625 0.6875 0.75 0.8125  
0.875 0.9375 1. $>
```

According to the subject, the graded student must also provide some additional test to prove that every function in the signature `FIXED` works as intended. Check that this work is done.



Yes



No

Ex04, `evalexpr` is so easy it hurts

This exercise is very representative of OCaml. Writing an `evalexpr` in C or C++ is at best painful. With OCaml, it's easy and elegant.

Given the signature `VAL` and the description of the `EVAEXPR` signature, this signature must be similar to the following.

```
module type EVAEXPR = sig
```

```
  type t
```

```
  type expr = | Add of (expr * expr) | Mul of (expr * expr) |  
  Value of t
```

```
  val eval : expr -> t
```

```
end
```

The types `VAL.t` and `EVAEXPR.t` being abstract, the `MAKEEVAEXPR` functor signature must include a constraint sharing on those types.

```
module type MAKEEVAEXPR = functor (Val:VAL) -> EVAEXPR with  
type t = Val.t * OR * module type MAKEEVAEXPR = functor  
(Val:VAL) -> EVAEXPR with type t := Val.t
```

I won't give out the implementation of the functor `MakeEvalExpr` as it is a very good programming exercise. Don't forget to check that this functor actually implements the `MAKEEVAEXPR` signature, obviously.

The six additional constraint sharing from the last part of the exercise are the following.

```
module IntVal : (VAL with type t = int) = <...> module FloatVal  
: (VAL with type t = float) = <...> module StringVal : (VAL with  
type t = string) = <...> module IntEvalExpr : (EVAEXPR with  
type t = IntVal.t) = <...> module FloatEvalExpr : (EVAEXPR  
with type t = FloatVal.t) = <...> module StringEvalExpr :  
(EVAEXPR with type t = StringVal.t) = <...>
```

The four destructive substitutions are the following.

```
module type MAKEEVAL_EXPR = functor (Val:VAL) -> EVAL_EXPR with
type t := Val.t module IntEvalExpr : (EVAL_EXPR with type t :=
IntVal.t) = <...> module FloatEvalExpr : (EVAL_EXPR with
type t := FloatVal.t) = <...> module StringEvalExpr :
(EVAL_EXPR with type t := StringVal.t) = <...>
```

Also, check that the output is good.

```
$> ocamlpt ex04.ml && ./a.out Res = 42 Res = 42.420000 Res =
very very long $>
```



Yes



No

Ratings

Don't forget to check the flag corresponding to the defense



Ok



Empty work



No author file



Invalid compilation



Norme



Cheat



Crash



Forbidden function

Conclusion

Leave a comment on this evaluation

Finish evaluation

[Privacy
policy](#)

[Terms of use for video
surveillance](#)

[Rules of
procedure](#)

[Declaration on the use of
cookies](#)

[General term of use of the
site](#)

[Legal
notices](#)