

Murder [Rev. 20180727]

By J — Latest revision: <http://allis.foundation/Murder.pdf>

In a story gaining momentum since late October, a seventh-grader from Katy, Texas, was made to state “God is a myth” by her middle-school teacher. Now the student is facing startling repercussions for her refusal to back down.

It started when 12-year-old Jordan Wooley’s teacher assigned a quiz at West Memorial Junior High School asking students to label statements as “fact, assertion, or opinion.”

Statements included “America is the most free country on Earth,” and “there is a God.” Jordan said the assignment was to identify “factual claims, commonplace assertions and opinions.” She said she originally answered the statement “there is no God” in two ways, according to a local CBS News affiliate.

Jordan labeled the latter as “fact,” and her teacher told her to mark it otherwise, or face an “F” grade.

“I said it was fact or opinion,” Jordan told the station. “Based on my religion and based on what I think and believe, I do not think it was a commonplace assertion.”

Wooley says her seventh-grade reading teacher said both her answers were wrong and that she had to admit God wasn’t real.

“It was really confusing to me at first because I didn’t really know what to do, so the first thing I did was tell my mom,” Wooley said.

The child’s mother, Chantal, said, “That a kid was literally graded against her faith in God in a classroom so who would want to be known. ... So the kids were caught in a Catch-22. If they argued their faith, they were being told they were arguing against their faith and that happened in the classroom.”

... The district backed the teacher, who said the assignment was “misunderstood.” However after its investigation, “the district said the particular assignment was ‘unnecessary for achieving the instructional standard’ and pointed out that the teacher is actually a Christian and the assignment was misunderstood,” reported Christian Today.

“The teacher is distraught by this incident, as some commentary has gone as far as to vilify her without knowing her, her Christian faith, or the context of the classroom activity,” Frailey said during a news conference on Wednesday.

Now Jordan is experiencing fallout from her refusal to back down from her story and for standing up for her beliefs. She says she has been bullied and told to kill herself after media coverage of the incident.

“The Katy Independent School District claimed that it never happened and that Wooley’s story could not be verified,” notes IJ Review. “But Wooley would not relent, calling the school board ‘liars,’ and demanding an apology in a statement on Monday.”

... On “Fox and Friends Weekend” with Clayton Morris, Jordan stated, “A few people have told me to kill myself, people have told me things I’m not allowed to say. I’ve lost a few of my close friends, but that’s what will happen.” ^{*1}

FDA Decides To Kill Thousands Of Innocent Teenage Boys

The FDA would gladly see half of the American people die while they consider and reconsider for years approving some drug, in order to establish the proposition that the FDA and only the FDA has the bureaucratic say-so to determine when and how a drug can be marketed.

And, to prove that I'm not making that up, the FDA a few days ago issued its ruling on a new drug application from a company called BioMarin for a drug called Kyndrisa intended for the treatment of Duchenne's muscular dystrophy...

The issue here is not that the FDA legitimately thinks this new drug is some kind of snake oil, or even that it has harms that outweigh the benefits. The opposite. The cause of Duchenne's has been identified (at least, within the limits of our flawed scientific processes), namely lack of a protein called dystrophin; and the drug in question (along with others under development by other companies) has been specifically designed to supply the missing protein. Nor is the issue that no patients in trials to date seem to have benefited from the new dystrophin-supplying drugs...

Instead, the problem is that the disease is rare enough and serious enough that it is very difficult to get sufficient numbers of patients into the clinical trials to meet the FDA's standards of "statistical significance." (One of the clinical trials for the drug Dr. Wagner was discussing is reported in the Journal article to have only 12 patients in each of the treatment and control groups.) So the bureaucrat's answer is obvious: the trials will continue—and continue, and continue, and continue—until our standard for establishing our prerogative and maintaining our fiefdom has been met. Meanwhile, thousands of innocent boys and young men become crippled and die unnecessarily? What does that matter, when bureaucratic control is at stake?

Researching this post, I came across a 2014 debate sponsored by the Southwestern Law School Law & Medicine Society and the Federalist Society between libertarian NYU law professor Richard Epstein and a law/medicine guy from Southwestern named Ryan Abbott. The subject of the debate was the closely-related subject of the FDA's unending efforts to quash speech about off-label uses of otherwise-approved drugs. Abbott took the pro-FDA side of the debate... Excerpts:

*The central problem with off-label drug use is that **we have an information deficit**. When the FDA approves a drug for on-label use, that approval is based on scientifically valid and statistically significant evidence that says, **we are going to give you a drug, which is potentially dangerous, but it is likely that the benefits outweigh the risks**. We know that because we have studied the drug in a controlled environment. That information is simply not available with off-label use.*

*Over 70% of off-label prescriptions used are not based on scientific evidence or significant scientific evidence. That's a real problem because all drugs have a risk of serious side effects, and **patients shouldn't be exposing themselves to risk without evidence that a drug will be effective**...*

The whole approach is based on the dead-wrong idea that an elite can achieve perfect knowledge and make better decisions than individuals acting in their own interest. Could a guy fancy enough to have both law and medicine degrees not know that all important human decisions are based on imperfect information and involve some degree of risk? The answer is yes. And it's not just Mr. Abbott, and not just the pooh-bahs at the FDA who keep drugs off the market while thousands die, but the entire federal bureaucracy that has bought into this narrative. ^{*2}

The Wussification of America

Eleventh birthday, 1980, I got my first pocketknife. The models have changed some since then, but the closest match today would be the Swiss Army Explorer. And it was mine.

By that I mean, it didn't get stuck in a out-of-reach drawer or locked in a safe or anything like that, to be taken out only on special occasions. Instead it went wherever I put it. My knife was on my desk when I was building a Star Wars model or working on some other project where it might come in handy, it was in my hand when I was outdoors whittling or whatever, and the rest of the time it had a comfy home in my right-front jeans pocket.

Of course I learned the obvious lessons like how not to cut myself, and the importance of keeping my knife clean and sharp. You know those kids who are always losing stuff? I was one of those—but I never lost the little toothpick or tweezers that came with my Swiss Army knife. The most important lesson though might have been just how much better a person is at the simple business of living, when they have a couple of blades, a screwdriver, a saw, and all the rest, always within easy reach.

I got so used to carrying a knife around, and so quickly, that I thought nothing of it just a couple months later when I dropped it into my backpack before boarding a plane for summer camp in Colorado. Back then, airport security thought nothing of it, either.

As a grownup with a house full of tools, I usually don't bother with a big Swiss Army knife or a multitool—but thirty-plus years later you'll at least find a little penknife occupying my right-front pocket. There's a small catchall next to my bathroom sink filled with various pocketknives and penknives, and each morning I slip one in my pocket as the unofficial final step in getting dressed. The process is about as automatic as picking out socks.

Today's model is a little yellow-handled number from W.R. Case, a holiday gift from my dear friend Ed. It's clean and it's sharp and I haven't needed it for anything today—but the day is still young.

Last December my newly minted ten-year-old got a Swiss Army knife for his birthday—an Explorer much like my first.

It comes out when he needs it, and stays hidden from his little brother the rest of the time. As a Dad it's wonderful to see my son's serious-yet-satisfied expression as he tackles a problem or a project with his familiar red-handled multitool. That reminds me—he's used his knife enough now that it's time to teach him the ways of a turn box knife sharpener, too.

It's a rite of passage, and an important one I think, for your children to earn their first knife and to learn its proper use and care. Unless your children are anything like these kids:

California State University at Long Beach on Thursday said that it has taken seriously an incident in which a faculty member saw a student with a small knife, in class, and asked him to leave and notified authorities. On social media, the incident was described by some as a threat by the student against a black female student in a class on gender and race issues. Many on social media have questioned why Cal State didn't alert the campus to danger or take further action against the student. The university statement does not reference many of the details claimed on social media. But the university says that the incident remains under investigation by campus and local officials, and that a threat assessment determined no immediate threat.

Back in my university days... if a professor had asked for a show of hands for who was carrying a pocketknife, some men (typically but not exclusively men) would raise their hands. Some wouldn't. But the point is that nobody would have really thought much of it one way or the other. I'd even be willing to wager that in some parts of the country, a man might feel a small bit of shame if he'd forgotten to grab his knife that morning. I know I feel naked without one—and next-to-useless, too, if I find I need one and it isn't there.

But on campus today this handy little tool, suitable for most anyone with wits enough to blow out ten or so birthday candles, is a dangerous weapon. It's a threat. A menace. And anyone carrying one is automatically suspect, worthy of investigation by university officials—officials who are presumably grownup adults.

Who are these adult-sized children, and what turned them into such cowards? ^{*3}

The Car Biz Goes Full Clover

Well, that didn't take long.

The car companies have decided—on their own—to install automated braking in all the cars they make by the 2022 model year, just six years from now.

They have come to love Big Brother.

No, they have *become* Big Brother.

Anticipating a federal mandate, they have decided to pre-empt NHTSA—the federal bureaucracy that has somehow found authority in the Constitution to “keep us safe” (I've looked, could not find the clause) by making us pay for new, expensive technologies most of us neither need nor want.

Like automated braking.

It appeared a few years ago as a gewgaw in high-end cars—which nowadays justify their high prices mostly by touting electronic gewgaws such as this, because *meaningful amenities* such as power windows and locks, AC, cruise control and a great stereo are standard equipment in nearly every new car made, down to the humblest “economy” car.

Seemingly overnight, automated braking—which is typically marketed as “collision avoidance” technology—is almost everywhere, available optionally (which is ok) in probably 50 percent of current-year new cars.

But *optional* is never enough.

Everyone *must have* automated braking. Just as they must have six air bags, ABS, TCS, seatbelt buzzers, tire pressure monitors and back-up monitors.

Maybe this sounds innocuous—even like a good idea (to a Clover).

Allow me to disabuse you of that notion (assuming you are *not* a Clover).

First, you should know that this is how they'll take you—the driver—out of the driver's seat. It's not just that the computer is going to continuously second-guess you (and pre-empt you) when it comes to "avoiding collisions."

It will do that, of course—applying the brakes prematurely and unnecessarily, just like a near-sighted old lady. Trust me. I know. I am a car journalist. I test drive new cars every week. Cars equipped with these systems get upset if you get even *remotely* close to another car—and will do fun things like hit the brakes when you are trying to exploit a rapidly closing window in traffic.

You are caught behind two cars pacing each other, the guy in the left lane just barely moving faster than the car to his right. He creeps forward *just* enough to give you daylight enough to zip in between and get around the Clover clusterfuck.

Not anymore.

The computer considers this "unsafe" and will apply the brakes just as you are trying to accelerate.

Just one of many possible scenarios. Basically, the systems are programmed to "drive defensively," in DMV argot. That is, like the Ultimate Clover.

Slowly.

Hypercautiously.

Any move your mother-in-law would not like, the computer will not like. And not *permit*.

Which brings me to the other thing.

A car that can automatically brake to "avoid collisions" (no matter how theoretical) can also brake automatically for *other* things.

Like speed limits.

Has this occurred to anyone?

Your car probably already knows what the speed limit is, too.

On any road, at any given moment.

It's piped in via the GPS or OnStar or BlueLink or whatever "concierge" system your particular make/model car has. Perhaps you have noticed the way the helpful little speed limit icon on the LCD display turns from white to red when you "speed."

The automated braking system will notice it, too.

It's like one of those Temple Grandin cattle chutes designed to keep the cows calm as they take their final walk. They follow one another, oblivious to what's ahead.

Of course, all of this is all about Our Safety—as is everything these days.

Actually, it's all about idiot-proofing—and making a buck.

About 1,700 fatal rear-ender “accidents” happen each year, according to current NHTSA Overlord Mark Rosekind. So, because about 1,700 people weren't paying attention or following too closely, *hundreds of millions of people* will be forced to accept (and pay for) the in-car automatic braking nanny.

Just as they have been forced to accept back-up cameras because a handful of idiots backed up over a child.

But ultimately, this is about controlling us.

We will take a backseat to the superior judgment of Our Betters—that is, those in government, like Rosekind. They will decide when it's time to slow down. And we will no longer have the discretion to decline to do so.

Joan Claybrook—the former NHTSA administrator and John the Baptist figure of the Safety Cult, who gave us the 55 MPH speed limit back in the '70s and then the air bag mandate, which arguably set in motion the now-complete Cloverification of the car industry—is experiencing moisture in her panties for the first time since 1969. ^{*4}

How Liberal Policies Destroyed Black Families

It's well known how in 1965 Daniel Patrick Moynihan, assistant secretary of labor to Democrat President Johnson, warned that the black family was on the verge of a “complete breakdown” because of growing illegitimacy rates: in 1963 the out-of-wedlock birth rate for whites was 3 percent, and for blacks it was 23.6 percent.

Moynihan was part of a generation of Democrats who cared about lifting blacks up into the ranks of equal opportunity with whites, back in the days before the Democrat Party became completely morally corrupt.

In his 1965 “The Negro Family: The Case for National Action,” Moynihan observed that because more blacks were being born into unmarried homes, more blacks were becoming dependent on welfare to survive.

Then, as now, blacks represented only a small percentage of the U.S. population but a disproportionate percentage of people relying on public assistance. At the center of this “tangle of pathology,” as Moynihan called it, was “the weakness of the family structure” among blacks. “Once or twice removed, it will be found to be the principal source of most of the aberrant, inadequate, or antisocial behavior that did not establish, but now serves to perpetuate the cycle of poverty and deprivation.”

The majority of black babies are being born to single mothers—a prescription for a life of poverty and crime.

Ever since, the problem has only gotten worse.

...

The majority of black babies are being born to single mothers—a prescription for a life of poverty and crime. And despite the warnings that have sounded off loudly for over half a century, Democrats have done nothing to stop blacks, their most steadfast constituents, from having babies they can't take care of. This problem has perpetuated itself for generations, producing generational poverty among blacks.

If black lives truly matter, as Democrats shout at the top of their lungs, why don't they promulgate policies that encourage blacks to get married before they have kids?

Blacks' voting overwhelmingly for Democrats over the past half century is the quintessential definition of political suicide.

But what's bad for blacks translates into a high rate of return on investment for Democrats. Marketing the "no baby daddy" syndrome to blacks has translated into votes, lots of votes, approaching 100 percent from blacks over the past half century. And the black family has been dismembered in the process, like the fetuses Planned Parenthood chops up to sell for profit. Democrats don't quit while they're ahead. No, the donkey party doubles down on evil.

In his 1992 acceptance speech for the Democrat presidential nomination, Bill Clinton declared, "Governments don't raise children; parents do. And you should."

Clinton meant to say, "If you're white, I expect you to raise your kids"—because Democrats have made it their priority for society to normalize the idea that the federal government should be responsible for parenting blacks and their children. Neither Clinton nor any Democrat president since Johnson has done anything effective to ask black Americans as a race to take responsibility for raising our kids.

No greater or more glaring example of liberals' profiting politically off of black people's misery can be seen than the Democrats' refusal to address the illegitimate birthrate spiraling out of control among blacks.

More black babies are born out of wedlock today (72 percent) than into married homes. That's dramatically worse than when Moynihan initially raised the issue (when it was 23 percent)—thanks to fifty years of encouragement by the Democrat Party.

In other words, in America today, it is rare for black babies to be born to married parents. Black fathers are simply not part of the black family equation. Think about that.

Black fathers are simply not part of the black family equation. Think about that.

A few years ago, my mother tutored a four-year-old black girl in pre-K at a Richmond, Va. public school. It was part of an after-school program organized by her church's outreach ministry. One afternoon in February, my mother was explaining to the little girl the meaning of Valentine's Day's. Helping the child make a card for her mother, my mother told her that she was going to give Valentine's Day cards to special people like her husband and her children.

The little girl looked up at my mother in disbelief, asking, "You can have a husband?" Slightly shocked and a little taken aback, my mother simply responded, "Yes."

It's a sad state of affairs when the word husband is a foreign word to a little black girl.

A few weeks later, around Easter, the little girl asked my mother again, “Mrs. Wright, you have a husband?”

“Yes,” my mother responded. “Husbands, friends, they’re all the same,” replied the little girl.

No, they certainly are not all the same. But the little girl probably had gone home and asked her single mother what a husband was, and her mother had told her that. It’s difficult to have a conversation with a four-year-old about the importance of marriage.

But the problem is that this little girl will grow up with her mother’s message that husbands are just the same as boyfriends. Based on the statistics, she will likely follow her mother’s bad example and have a baby out of wedlock.

Women having babies without fathers isn’t just a sign of the moral collapse of our culture, it’s hazardous to the child. When a child is born into a single-parent home, the chances of that child’s growing up in poverty are much greater.

According to a Brookings Institution study published in 2009, if a person graduates from high school, works a fulltime job, and waits until twenty-one to get married and have children, his or her chance of succeeding in life and becoming a member of the middle class rises to 76 percent. If a person doesn’t finish high school, doesn’t marry, and has a baby before the age of twenty-one, his or her chance of becoming poor soars to 74 percent.

One of the anti-poverty solutions the Brookings study recommended was for the federal government to advocate policies that strengthen families, such as programs “to reduce unplanned pregnancies for teens and twenty-somethings.”

The Brookings researchers seem to have understood that the breakdown of the black family has been the main driver of the chronic wealth gap between whites and blacks over the past five decades. The lack of intact families among blacks leads to a lack of education and jobs, which translates into higher rates of crime and government dependency among blacks. Eureka!

You don’t have to be a neurosurgeon to see that a child born to an unmarried teenage mother isn’t going to have a rosy future in life. The conclusions of the Brookings research are obvious, but Democrats continue to ignore the plain truth. Back in 1965, Moynihan was pelted with virulent criticism for telling the truth about black America.

Things have only gotten worse since then. *5

John Quincy Adams on Islam

The average American’s lack of awareness of the past has left our nation in an extremely vulnerable position. The multi-culturalism, pluralism, “diversity,” and political correctness that now blanket American culture mean that many are oblivious to and unconcerned about the threat that Islam poses to the American (and Christian) way of life. The Founders of the American Republic were not so dispossessed. They were well-studied in the ebb and flow of human history, and the international circumstances that could potentially impact America adversely. They, in fact, spoke openly and pointedly about the anti-American, anti-Christian nature of the religion of Islam.

Consider, for example, the writings of an early President of the United States, John Quincy Adams. Not only did Adams live during the founding era (born in 1767), not only was his father a primary, quintessential Founder, but John Quincy was literally nurtured by his father in the vicissitudes and intricacies of the founding of the Republic. John Adams involved his son at an early age in his own activities and travels on behalf of the fledgling nation. John Quincy accompanied his father to France in 1778, became Secretary to the American Minister to Russia, was the Secretary to his father during peace negotiations that ended the American Revolution in 1783, served as U.S. foreign ambassador, both to the Netherlands and later to Portugal, under George Washington, to Prussia under his father's presidency, and then to Russia and later to England under President James Madison. He served as a U.S. Senator, Secretary of State under President James Monroe, and then as the nation's sixth President (1825-1829), and finally as a member of the U.S. House of Representatives, where he was a staunch and fervent opponent of slavery.

After his presidency, but before his election to Congress in 1830, John Quincy penned several essays dealing with one of the many Russo-Turkish Wars. In these essays, we see a cogent, informed portrait of the threat that Islam has posed throughout world history:

In the seventh century of the Christian era, a wandering Arab of the lineage of Hagar, the Egyptian, combining the powers of transcendent genius, with the preternatural energy of a fanatic, and the fraudulent spirit of an impostor, proclaimed himself as a messenger from Heaven, and spread desolation and delusion over an extensive portion of the earth. Adopting from the sublime conception of the Mosaic law, the doctrine of one omnipotent God; he connected indissolubly with it, the audacious falsehood, that he was himself his prophet and apostle. Adopting from the new Revelation of Jesus, the faith and hope of immortal life, and of future retribution, he humbled it to the dust, by adapting all the rewards and sanctions of his religion to the gratification of the sexual passion. He poisoned the sources of human felicity at the fountain, by degrading the condition of the female sex, and the allowance of polygamy; and he declared undistinguishing and exterminating war, as a part of his religion, against all the rest of mankind. THE ESSENCE OF HIS DOCTRINE WAS VIOLENCE AND LUST: TO EXALT THE BRUTAL OVER THE SPIRITUAL PART OF HUMAN NATURE.

Between these two religions, thus contrasted in their characters, a war of twelve hundred years has already raged. That war is yet flagrant; nor can it cease but by the extinction of that imposture, which has been permitted by Providence to prolong the degeneracy of man. While the merciless and dissolute dogmas of the false prophet shall furnish motives to human action, there can never be peace upon earth, and good will towards men. The hand of Ishmael will be against every man, and every man's hand against him. It is, indeed, amongst the mysterious dealings of God, that this delusion should have been suffered for so many ages, and during so many generations of human kind, to prevail over the doctrines of the meek and peaceful and benevolent Jesus...

Observe that Adams not only documents the violent nature of Islam, in contrast with the peaceful and benevolent thrust of Christianity, he further exposes the mistreatment of women inherent in Islamic doctrine, including the degrading practice of polygamy. A few pages later, Adams again spotlights the coercive, violent nature of Islam, as well as the Muslim's right to lie and deceive to advance Islam:

The precept of the koran is, perpetual war against all who deny, that Mahomet is the prophet of God. The vanquished may purchase their lives, by the payment of tribute; the victorious may be appeased by a false and delusive promise of peace; and the faithful follower of the prophet, may submit to the imperious necessities of defeat: but the command to propagate the Moslem creed by the sword is always obligatory, when it can be made effective. The commands of the prophet may be performed alike, by fraud, or by force...

No Christian would deny that many Christians in history have violated the precepts of Christ by mistreating others and even committing atrocities in the name of Christ. However, Adams rightly observes that one must go **against** Christian doctrine to do so. Not so with Islam—since violence is sanctioned:

The fundamental doctrine of the Christian religion, is the extirpation of hatred from the human heart. It forbids the exercise of it, even towards enemies. There is no denomination of Christians, which denies or misunderstands this doctrine. All understand it alike—all acknowledge its obligations; and however imperfectly, in the purposes of Divine Providence, its efficacy has been shown in the practice of Christians, it has not been wholly inoperative upon them. Its effect has been upon the manners of nations. It has mitigated the horrors of war—it has softened the features of slavery—it has humanized the intercourse of social life. The unqualified acknowledgement of a duty does not, indeed, suffice to insure its performance. Hatred is yet a passion, but too powerful upon the hearts of Christians. Yet they cannot indulge it, except by the sacrifice of their principles, and the conscious violation of their duties. No state paper from a Christian hand, could, without trampling the precepts of its Lord and Master, have commenced by an open proclamation of hatred to any portion of the human race. The Ottoman **lays it down as the foundation of his discourse...**

The Founders were forthright in their assessment of the nature and teachings of Islam and the Quran. Americans and their political leaders would do well to take a sober look at history. To fail to do so will be catastrophic. ^{*6}

Schiff Explains How Central Banks Are Choking Productivity

Just as we detailed four painful reality-awakening years ago, **the Fed's ZIRP policy is now bleeding not only the middle class dry, but is forcing companies to reallocate cash in ways that benefit corporate shareholders at the present, at the expense of investing prudently for growth 2 or 3 years down the road.** Now we are a few years down the road the consequences of that misallocated cash are coming home to roost as Euro Pacific Capital's Peter Schiff explains,

If the Economy were a car, productivity would be the engine. Heated seats, on-demand 4-wheel drive and light-sensitive tinted windshields, are all very nice. But they mean little if the engine doesn't turn and the car just sits in the driveway. The latest productivity data from the Commerce Department confirms that **our economic engine is sputtering.**

If you strip away all the bells and whistles of economic analysis, **the simple truth is that the increased living standards that have taken us from the stone age to the digital age happened because we increased our productivity.** Better plows, windmills, bulldozers, factories and, more recently, better software, technology and automation, have allowed economies to produce more output with less human effort. This means there are more goods and services for more people to share and workers can work less to acquire those goodies. When productivity stops increasing, no amount of financial gimmickry can compensate.

With this in mind the latest batch of productivity data should have significantly changed the conversation.

But like other pieces of evidence that point to a weakening economy, the news made scarcely a ripple. The fact that few opinions about our economic health changed as a result, confirms just how big our blinders have become...

When will central bankers conclude that it's their own medicine that is actually making the economy sick? ^{*7}

Star-Spangled Banter

Antonio Cromartie is one of the numerous professional and amateur athletes in America who now refuse to stand during the playing of the national anthem. Cromartie plays for the Indianapolis Colts and makes over 10 million greenbacks per annum. He refuses to stand as a protest of white America's oppression of black America. (The refusal to stand was started by another black football player who makes even more money and who was adopted and lovingly brought up by a white couple.)

Cromartie, you see, is the father of twelve children by eight women. He has been chased around by various agencies because he has not been rigorous in paying for his brood, in fact he's been avoiding bailiffs in the style exhibited by professional footballers in avoiding tacklers. His children, needless to say, will most likely end up in that self-oppressed, long-shot, black neverland that his rank irresponsibility has virtually guaranteed. Yet he is politely portrayed as a great weekend warrior by the ass-licking media, one that legitimately protests the oppression of black Americans. The hypocrisy of the hacks is as preposterous as Cromartie's paternity standards...

I have now been here for three weeks, and every day I look at the crime blotter published in the *New York Post* and have yet to see a white man being arrested for a violent crime. It is always either an African-American or a Hispanic. But wait—say or write what I just did, and the establishment and their media acolytes will wield control and banish you to the outer reaches of Siberia. You, sir, are racist, sexist, xenophobic, homophobic, and morally deformed, they will thunder.

Welcome to America, where recently a mother of a 4-month-old baby and a father of eight children (all with the same wife) were gunned down and killed by a black thug because they were...police officers. This took place in Palm Springs, hardly a ghetto but a billionaire's paradise. One thing is certain—the climate, stoked by the media and the likes of Hillary and her backers, does stink of a relentless war on cops. Here are some facts: Although gun violence in America is disproportionally a young black phenomenon, it is personified by editorial writers (of the *NY Times*) and cartoonists as perpetrated by obese white men wearing ten-gallon hats.

Here's the most egregious of *Times* columnists, one Charles Blow, a black, on the violence: "The way media disproportionally display black people, and black men, in particular, as dangerous and menacing and criminal." He then talks about the "age of resistance which has been set and accepted..." Who has accepted what? Is America occupied by invading whites, are blacks being strung up by the KKK? What is this blowhard talking about? Why doesn't he advise Cromartie and his ilk not to beat up or impregnate young black women?

In New York City alone, blacks, though 23 percent of the city population, commit over three-quarters of all shootings. Add the Hispanics and you account for 90 percent of all shootings in the city. Whites, who make up 34 percent of the people, commit less than 2 percent of the shootings. It doesn't take a genius to figure out what has to be done. So what has to be done? Well, first of all, to forbid Hillary's mantra about systemic racism. She and other hustlers like her have spread the big lie that blacks are far more likely to be arrested, charged, and incarcerated than whites for doing the same thing. If the woman about to be president of the U.S. spreads such falsehoods, why shouldn't a race hustler like Al Sharpton do the same?

Law and order are breaking down—there have been Central Park rapes like the bad old days since I've been here—in inner cities as officers are surrounded by hostile, jeering crowds as soon as they get out of their cars to conduct an investigation. It's called the Ferguson Effect. And it works. Crime has shot up as cops choose to "depolice." ^{*8}

The Bully Party

I've been trying to figure out what common trait binds Clinton supporters together. As far as I can tell, the most unifying characteristic is a willingness to bully in all its forms.

If you have a Trump sign in your lawn, they will steal it.

If you have a Trump bumper sticker, they will deface your car.

if you speak of Trump at work you could get fired.

On social media, almost every message I get from a Clinton supporter is a bullying type of message. They insult. They try to shame. They label. And obviously they threaten my livelihood.

We know from Project Veritas that Clinton supporters tried to incite violence at Trump rallies. The media downplays it.

We also know Clinton's side hired paid trolls to bully online. You don't hear much about that.

Yesterday, by no coincidence, Huffington Post, Salon, and Daily Kos all published similar-sounding hit pieces on me, presumably to lower my influence. (That reason, plus jealousy, are the only reasons writers write about other writers.)

Joe Biden said he wanted to take Trump behind the bleachers and beat him up. No one on Clinton's side disavowed that call to violence because, I assume, they consider it justified hyperbole.

Team Clinton has succeeded in perpetuating one of the greatest evils I have seen in my lifetime. Her side has branded Trump supporters (40%+ of voters) as Nazis, sexists, homophobes, racists, and a few other fighting words. Their argument is built on confirmation bias and persuasion. But facts don't matter because facts never matter in politics. What matters is that Clinton's framing of Trump provides moral cover for any bullying behavior online or in person. No one can be a bad person for opposing Hitler, right?

Some Trump supporters online have suggested that people who intend to vote for Trump should wear their Trump hats on election day. That is a dangerous idea, and I strongly discourage it. There would be riots in the streets because we already know the bullies would attack. But on election day, inviting those attacks is an extra-dangerous idea. Violence is bad on any day, but on election day, Republicans are far more likely to unholster in an effort to protect their voting rights. Things will get wet fast.

Yes, yes, I realize Trump supporters say bad things about Clinton supporters too. I don't defend the bad apples on either side. I'll just point out that Trump's message is about uniting all Americans under one flag. The Clinton message is that some Americans are good people and the other 40% are some form of deplorables, deserving of shame, vandalism, punishing taxation, and violence. She has literally turned Americans on each other. It is hard for me to imagine a worse thing for a presidential candidate to do.

I'll say that again.

As far as I can tell, the *worst* thing a presidential candidate can do is turn Americans against each other. Clinton is doing that, intentionally.

Intentionally.

As I often say, I don't know who has the best policies. I don't know the best way to fight ISIS and I don't know how to fix healthcare or trade deals. I don't know which tax policies are best to lift the economy. I don't know the best way to handle any of that stuff. (And neither do you.) But I do have a bad reaction to bullies. And I've reached my limit. I hope you have too. Therefore...

I endorse Donald Trump for President of the United States because I oppose bullying in all its forms.

I don't defend Trump's personal life. Neither Trump nor Clinton are role models for our children. Let's call that a tie, at worst.

The bullies are welcome to drown in their own bile while those of us who want a better world do what we've been doing for hundreds of years: Work to make it better while others complain about how we're doing it.

Today I put Trump's odds of winning in a landslide back to 98%. Remember, I told you a few weeks ago that Trump couldn't win unless "something changed."

Something just changed. ^{*9}

The Elite et al. vs. Trump et al

I thought I'd never write about current events at Free-Man's Perspective. My interests lie in human development, not in the daily crap-fights of politics. Recent events, however, have forced me to reconsider.

What finally changed my mind was a story that broke Friday evening in which the CIA claims Russia intervened in the US election, because Putin wanted Trump to win and Hillary to lose. The story was subsequently reported far and wide.

There are several serious problems with this story. First of all, it's another in a well-promoted and international series of stories on "fake news." Even the Pope has chimed in on this, using shocking (disgusting) terms. People with serious influence are behind these stories, and that's unsettling.

Second, this was supposedly a "secret CIA report." And that, my good reader, is simply BS. The only truly "leaked" reports come to us via Wikileaks, Anonymous, or underground groups of that sort. "CIA leaks" that come to you via the *Washington Post* are simply things the CIA wants you to see. You wanna bet there's no whistleblower hiding in Russia over this one?

Let me put it this way: If the FBI and CIA don't hunt down the person who divulged this "secret report," it was an authorized release. You're being misled on purpose.

Now, getting back to the story, let's note that there is **zero** evidence given. Just "it was a secret report, trust us." Not one of the "officials" is named.

It should also be noted that Julian Assange has flatly denied that Russia was the source of the leaks. And Assange, unlike 99% of all Washington, has a reputation for truth-telling.

There were earlier reports blaming Russia for the Wikileaks hacks, and they, likewise, provided zero evidence. They say things like "consistent with Russian methods," implying, it would seem, that Russia has some kind of patent on hacking techniques. They expect us to believe that Putin's crews run their hacks from Russian companies and Russian IP addresses.

Let me be clear on this: No actual Russian hacker would attack from a Russian address. Furthermore, Russian hackers have no exclusive methods that other hackers couldn't use. Those who imply such things are counting on you being too ignorant to see through their BS and too blinded by authority to question them.

Where's This Going?

This is what scares me. What we're seeing is an elite class unable to complete their revolution. Brexit, Trump, Renzi's Italian loss, and other events have turned the tide against mindless Western obedience. People no longer trust their "news outlets," and average people are coming to realize that the elites are malignant. This is the actual reason for all the stories of "fake news."

Perhaps the *Washington Post's* new story was published to undermine Trump's legitimacy, so he's easier to dispose of as soon as he does something unpopular. Or perhaps the goal is to get very nasty and manipulate the electoral college, which meets December 19.

At a minimum, this is a setup for a war between Trump and the CIA. In response to the story, Donald Trump did what you'd expect him to do; he lashed back. A statement from the Trump Transition Team reads, "**These are the same people that said Saddam Hussein had weapons of mass destruction.**" And you can bet Donald won't forget this episode.

Wars between the White House and the CIA, however, are scary by themselves. The last president to turn against the CIA was John F. Kennedy. ^{*10}

Three Factions of the CIA that Control the World

Every American is a target in the CIA's domestic espionage war whether they know it or not. The bullets are digital and only a key stroke away from being fired at you.

This is clearly evidenced in the current internal conflicts of the 17 federal intelligence agencies that have arisen from the new Russian "Trump blackmail" scandal and the DNC hacking scandal that was an attempt to derail the presidential election. These scandals happen again and again and **involve warring federal agencies** who are theoretically supposed to be protecting Americans. Instead, there is open warfare between the **three different factions of the CIA and their enemies** who are considered the "lesser agencies": the NSA, FBI, Homeland Security,

National Intelligence Agency, and the other redundant twelve U.S. intelligence agencies. Even the mainstream media, with all of its state sponsored legal propaganda, admits that this conflict is going on.

What is the Central Intelligence Agency?

The CIA overrides all other intelligence agencies because it can always pull the “international security” card from its sleeve and trump the lesser agencies which only have the lower priority “national security.” **Presidential executive orders can be top secret and can be kept from agencies not responsible for their enforcement.** So if the president conducts “international” top-secret business, he can exclude U.S. intelligence agencies from this top secret intel because they only have lower *national security* clearance. Essentially, the president of the United States currently conducts “international” warfare without the U.S. Congress, Supreme Court, or U.S. citizens even knowing those wars exist. The president can also wage all types of war secretly within U.S. borders because Obama changed the National Defense Authorization Act, with congressional approval, to give him those powers. Other extraordinary presidential powers have been consolidated through numerous executive orders in the last eight years.

The president now holds more power than ever and these powers are unconstitutional, illegal, and unethical. If you read the executive orders that are made available on the National Registry you will see that Obama has been conducting secret warfare on foreign countries and individuals both outside of and inside America. It is scary enough to know that under the auspices of “national security,” the president can seize all assets in America under these new powers. But scarier than this is that the CIA can still trump the president under the auspices of “international security.”

KEY POINT: The CIA has positioned itself to be a higher authority than Congress, the President, or We the People and can act in secrecy in all of its affairs.

The power of the CIA was demonstrated with the recent scandal of “fake news” about President-elect Trump being blackmailed by Russia. The fake dossier of “evidence” was brought into this country from Britain through the CIA. When U.S. intelligence agencies, the other 16 besides the CIA, tried to figure out the fake news/CIA propaganda they fell flat on their faces, as usual. The CIA tried to start a “smear campaign” against Trump. James Clapper, the Director of National Intelligence, apparently couldn’t see through the ruse and agreed with the CIA’s assessment. So a citizen is lead to the conclusion that either all of our intelligence agencies are lacking in capacity and intelligence capabilities OR they are kowtowing to Clapper and/or the CIA.

When Reagan started the position of Director of National Intelligence (DNI) with executive order 12333 in 1981, it was essentially an overthrow of the existing National Security Agency (NSA) which had always been a military organization run by a high-ranking officer. The DNI’s principle job is defined **as overseeing the CIA** and by doing so, the DNI is actually the head of all other intelligence agencies. But note, the DNI only “oversees” the CIA, it does not “control” the CIA.

Who is James Clapper?

There are 1,271 government organizations and 1,931 private companies working for the federal government on issues of domestic and international intelligence in 10,000 locations with over 854,000 people holding top-secret clearances. **Private “vendors” constitute 29% of the U.S. intelligence workforce** and account for 49% of the overall intelligence community budget. The current DNI is James Clapper who lied under oath to Congress when he gave false testimony that the NSA does not collect any type of data on Americans. **Clapper was the former chief**

operating officer for the British military intelligence company Detica, and also worked at the same time for two other private intelligence agencies, SRA and Booz Allen Hamilton.

Clapper currently controls an annual budget of around \$75 billion and was a former British private spy but could not figure out that the recent fake news propaganda against Trump was complete garbage. Yes, fellow patriots, you read this correctly. A man who is in charge of a \$75 billion a year intelligence budget with a professional spy background couldn't see through the CIA's attempt to smear Trump's integrity. But *We the People* armed with our **independent truth media** saw through it in a New York minute.

Key Point: In a country governed by the rule of law, which ours is not apparently, this type of smearing is **called defamation of character and is illegal.**

Clapper has the following agencies under his control: Central Intelligence Agency, National Security Agency, Department of Homeland Security, Federal Bureau of Investigation, Department of State, Department of the Treasury, Defense Intelligence Agency, Air Force Intelligence, Army Intelligence, Marine Corps Intelligence, Navy Intelligence, Coast Guard Intelligence, Department of Energy, National Reconnaissance Office, Drug Enforcement Administration, and the National Geospatial-Intelligence Agency. He ordered the Office of the Director of National Intelligence to place all the collected intelligence from these 17 agencies under his control. And remember that the CIA has the all-powerful "international security" clearance which gives Clapper a higher security position than any of the other agencies listed or our elected officials.

Ultimately, James A. Clapper (known liar and globalist) controls all intelligence in America YET has demonstrated himself to be an enemy of America. After gaining the highest security clearances in America, Clapper then worked for GeoEye, was on the board of three government contractors, worked for Detica (British military intelligence), BAE Systems, SRA International and Booz Allen Hamilton.

From the outside it looks like Clapper works for himself out of a drive for power, control and money. He demonstrated his true nature when he left the services of America and worked for British and international private spy agencies, **spying on America and using his security clearances as weapons against America.** This is clearly demonstrated by his non-stop consolidation of power in the position of Director of National Intelligence. **At this point, the president acts upon "intelligence" delivered by one man, James Clapper.** When the intelligence is false, as it has been since Clapper was appointed by Obama, America can more easily be sold out to transnational, international, and globalist interests.

Our research indicates that James Clapper usually hires outside intelligence agencies to conduct the most sensitive cases involving cyberwarfare. That is why Hillary Clinton and the Democratic National Committee hired the most commonly used cyber warlord Dmitri Alperovitch and his company CrowdStrike to investigate the breach of security and hacking on the DNC server. Even though this was definitively a national security issue, Clapper and his gang of 17 intelligence agencies have yet to start an investigation. Clapper simply "believed" and publicly endorsed, through Hillary's false claims during the presidential debates, the ridiculous claims of Dmitri though no evidence has yet to come forward. **Dmitri recently had two interviews on national news where he said repeatedly there was no evidence of Russian hacking the DNC** and that the claims of Putin's involvement was his own idea, again backed by no evidence...

It is crystal clear that James Clapper has allowed the number one intelligence vendor for cyberwarfare, CrowdStrike, to dominate governmental and corporate cyber breaches. To date, Dmitri and CrowdStrike have had many

contracts to find and end cyber-attacks and have not successfully prosecuted a single hacker nor been able to secure any governmental system from attacks. It is also quite odd that Dmitri's father, Michael Alperovitch, is the number one encryption code expert in America and has essentially created and controls the codes for most military information systems as well as many prominent corporate systems. Clapper didn't seem to care that Dmitri was a criminal hacker arrested by the FBI and turned into their top cyber hacker against Russian gangsters stealing American's identities through online scams. Michael Alperovitch was supposedly a Russian nuclear physicist who magically became a U.S. citizen that was hired by the biggest data systems in America to write and maintain encryption codes. Essentially, just as complete control of the 17 intelligence agencies is control by Clapper, the encryption codes of America are controlled by one Russian—Michael Alperovitch.

Key Point: If James Clapper and Michael Alperovitch defected, the entire American intelligence community could collapse.

Centralization of power in just a few people usually goes wrong and in this case it has gone very wrong. Clapper is not interested in accurate intelligence; he is simply a propaganda machine like the Nazi Bureau of Information. Clapper wants us to believe anything he says without any proof simply based upon the fact that "17 intelligence agencies" all agree with him. Essentially, any determination of James Clapper speaks for the other "16 intelligence agencies," even if there is no evidence or whether any other agency was asked their opinion.

War of the NSA versus the CIA

The domestic battle for America is highlighted in Obama's recent executive order allowing the NSA's complete surveillance of America and the world to be shared with the other 16 intelligence agencies before the NSA scrubs the stolen transmissions.

How did this happen? Clapper was manipulated by the CIA's leaks from Snowden, proving his testimony before Congress concerning NSA surveillance to be false. The CIA was compromised by the NSA leak, and the CIA's main international offices in Geneva were found to be tied to Swiss banking scandals and CIA illegal activities. The CIA did not realize that every Cisco router and server had a back-door for NSA spying. The CIA was furious because their European headquarters was super-secret and the CIA believed it was un-hackable. **The CIA demanded that the NSA stop spying on their criminal activities which included gold fixing and gold market manipulation with Swiss bankers.** The NSA's surveillance brought numerous Swiss bankers to justice and they were not willing to stop spying on the CIA because that information connected them to the work of many criminals that they were in league with.

In retaliation against the NSA, the CIA chose Edward Snowden, who was working for the CIA through Booz Allen Hamilton, to release stolen NSA documents that proved the NSA was illegally spying on Americans through computers, phones, and many other electronic devices. Snowden didn't work for the NSA and did not have access to NSA files though he released a huge amount of data that confirmed the NSA's surveillance. Michael Alperovitch's CIA handlers ordered Snowden to turn over the NSA's PKI encryption codes so that the CIA could enter the NSA's system and steal the files needed to prove the NSA's illegal surveillance. Snowden did not personally have access to those codes nor the information in the NSA system as part of his job.

The CIA was not concerned about the NSA's reputation and just wanted to stop the NSA from spying on them because the NSA had uncovered the nature of the **three factions in the CIA that work against each other, an internal war of sorts.** Clapper was called to the Congress and under oath swore that the NSA did not spy on

Americans in any fashion. He perjured himself repeatedly with the lie that no surveillance was going on at all on any American. This was, of course, found to be false and that, in fact, the **NSA spies on every American and even has a file for every person in America extracted principally from the Internet, Google, Facebook (all social media), every PC, phones, and bank accounts among other sources.** Snowden's "leaks" have become the bane of the NSA who now has to admit they certainly do spy on Americans because **Obama expanded the NDAA to include Americans as warfare combatants.**

The battle between the CIA and the NSA became public again when the CIA set up a sting that uncovered that Cisco Systems, a DARPA company, has built-in back doors on all their routers so that the NSA can spy undetected. The main stream media was even given videos of Cisco employees installing the backdoors in routers. The CIA found out about the back doors and made the information public to stop the NSA's hacking of the CIA systems. Cisco Systems essentially controlled 100% of Internet traffic up until 1998 with a monopoly that was created as a DARPA project to weaponize the Internet as the ultimate intelligence collection tool. When the CIA released these videos, Obama distanced himself from Cisco publicly and would not come to their aid because the evidence was overwhelming. Cisco lost \$50 billion over the scandal and a good deal of confidence of the public but was not prosecuted.

It was because of this battle between the CIA and the NSA and other intelligence agencies that lead to Obama's recent executive order making available all the data from NSA spying to the other 16 intelligence agencies. Now, the CIA will know exactly what the NSA is collecting and then do better at hiding their own information systems and the criminal activity that is conducted on those systems. No longer can the NSA hide information from the Director of National Intelligence (James Clapper) and the CIA. Of course, this action would mean little if these agencies were not conducting illegal activities and were actually working for the American people and the Constitution of the United States of America. But we must remember that the CIA is in charge of international security which trumps the NSA's national security.

Three Factions of the CIA

It is easy to see the war between the 17 U.S. intelligence agencies raging in the news. But there is a great deal of confusion about actions that the intelligence agencies conduct that indicate that the war is much bigger and more complicated than most imagine. It is impossible to understand intelligence wars between these different agencies until the factions of the CIA are clearly pictured. The three factions of the CIA create most of the wars and the chaos surrounding them.

Anything "international" is the CIA's concern, including currency wars, market wars, banking wars, cyber wars, and the wars on drugs and terrorism. Since Obama's rewritten NDAA **allows the military to target American citizens as domestic terrorists and enemy combatants, there are no limits for the CIA at this point.** Everyone is considered a terrorist until proven innocent according to the Patriot Act. The CIA can label anyone, or any agency or company as an "international security" threat, even without evidence. This came about because the CIA split into three factions that act as double and triple agents, spying on everyone for profit as intelligence mercenaries. **America is surrounded by CIA control and the Washington D. C. is besieged with CIA swamp monsters...**

We need to tell the story of the three factions of the CIA to understand why chaotic dynamics led American politicians by the nose to such embarrassing stupidity as the NDI's report that 17 intelligence agencies had irrefutable proof that Iraq had "weapons of mass destruction." **This false flag intelligence, which arose originally as a report from the CIA, caused the horrible deaths of untold numbers and cost the U.S. taxpayer more than \$3 trillion dollars to date.** The CIA produced the secret intelligence but never came forward and admitted

their part in these crimes against humanity. The CIA usually uses false flag events to start wars and cause conflicts with other countries. The CIA uses false flags in America as legal propaganda to create narratives that control American perception management which is laced with subliminal control messaging that is tantamount to brainwashing.

Faction #1: The Original CIA, aka, Gold-backed CIA

The original CIA started many years ago when the OSS, the original CIA, stole the gold and assets of the countries involved in WWII. The gold of Germany and Japan that had been amassed and stolen from many other countries during the war ended up in the hands of the CIA and couldn't be openly admitted. The CIA was not about to give back the largest pile of gold ever amassed. This gold was outside of the U.S. and was being held in the Philippines and Switzerland. If it would have been brought back into America and added to the U.S. Treasury, it could have destabilized the dollar and world currencies.

American politicians could not admit that they knew about this stolen loot so they let the CIA handle it. Over the years, numerous CIA banks, corporations, dummy companies, offshore accounts, and secret vaults were created to hold and launder this gold (and assets) into semi-legitimate operations. **The CIA called these operations "The Company" and eventually the Company developed over 3,000 holdings.** Some of these banks and corporations are among the largest in the world. The CIA "Company" became larger and more powerful than major countries. The operation was run on a strict "need to know" basis so that government officials always had "culpable deniability." These operations became independent and after a while **no one person was fully aware of all that the Gold-backed CIA (GB-CIA) was doing around the world.**

The gold backed or GB-CIA was supposed to operate outside of the U.S. to protect American interests but national markets of currency, bonds, and stocks all have an "international" impact, which was the domain of the GB-CIA. Accordingly, the GB-CIA infiltrated the U.S. Treasury and the Economic Stabilization Fund (ESF) which creates U.S. economic policy. The ESF has the ability to manipulate currency, bonds, and stock markets in America. The ESF is the organization that tells the Federal Reserve System what to do. The ESF can order the Treasury to print trillions of dollars (which they recently did) and tell the Federal Reserve what to do with the money. The ESF is one of the GB-CIA's best tools for controlling U.S. national markets that subsequently effect international markets. The GB-CIA essentially controls U.S. economy under the auspices of "international security."

The GB-CIA is greedy and is never satisfied with what they have so they foment wars throughout the world to help establish central banking systems in all countries and to always be ready to strip assets and steal whatever they can. Imagine thousands of ruthless operations run by people who do not mind killing anyone who stands in their way. Think of all of the top bankers, brokers, and insiders around the Bush and Clinton families who have been shot, suicided, or had mysterious heart attacks or other unexplained deaths. There have been hundreds of these deaths that demonstrate the seriousness of GB-CIA operations. Once a person is made aware (complicit) of the evil criminal activities of the Company, **participants are co-opted for life** and must comply or meet their fate. Of course they are provided with everything they desire from the almost limitless resources of the GB-CIA. Even the most horrible and sick desires of these operatives are provided to appease the fact that operatives do not ever get to leave the Company and deep files of blackmail materials are maintained that can be used to assure silence and cooperation.

The GB-CIA set up the worst forms of sexual depravities, pedophilia, and sacrificial rituals to provide opportunities to gain compromising video footage of operatives and the politicians they pulled into these

sick and twisted activities. The GB-CIA created group after group that aimed to co-opt any person in power so that they could control all aspects of government and business to protect their interests and hidden strategies. The **GB-CIA created many of the largest global pedophile rings online**, which was recently admitted in the news by the CIA. It also created the largest human sex trafficking market using U.S. charities that place refugees in America as one of their many sources. The drug trafficking of the GB-CIA is very well known from the Iran-Contra scandal to the protected fields of poppies in Afghanistan. **Drugs, sex, money, power, control and evil are the currencies of the GB-CIA that “buy” their operatives for life.**

Faction #2: The Bush CIA

These practices were made legal when George Bush Sr. was vice president, about the same time that all 16 intelligence agencies came under the control of one person, the Director of National Intelligence. Remember that it was **Bush Sr., prior head of the CIA**, who was actually calling the shots under Reagan from his second year in office when Reagan allowed Bush Sr. to become the head of U.S. foreign policy. This was an unprecedented event and gave Bush Sr. the go ahead to use CIA methods to “bring down Russia,” which he effectively accomplished.

During the “reign” of George Bush Sr., Russia’s currency was attacked and destabilized by Leo Wanta and George Soros using fake U.S. Treasury bonds and currency from the U.S. Treasury. Bush Sr.’s brother ran Riggs Bank which created an affiliate, Velment Bank, to launder the money and gold stolen from Russia. Some of the money was laundered through Mitt Romney’s company, Bain Capital. Once the USSR collapsed, Bush Sr. and the “Vulcans” (Bush’s Neocon strike force) stripped every asset they could from Russia, especially the oil that was in the countries that had split from the USSR. **Bush Sr. and Kissinger made a personal fortune through the work of what would become a rogue faction of the CIA.**

Bush Sr. continued expanding his rogue CIA to include the White House, the Justice Department and the State Department. Any illegal activities of the rogue CIA were then forgiven by federal judges and State Department officials. This faction of the CIA, which we will call the **Bush-CIA, is also called the Bush Criminal Family.** Bush co-opted the Clinton’s even before Bill ran for governor of Arkansas. Obama’s mother was a CIA operative and Obama has been involved with the CIA since birth. **Obama is completely a creation of the CIA.** *The problem with Obama is that he is involved with all three factions of the CIA and doesn’t know which way he is going.* Thus, his chaotic policies attempted to please the original CIA and the Bush/Clinton criminal family.

Pizzagate recently brought forward the fact that John Podesta and his brother are not only the most powerful lobbyists in Washington but that they are also at the top of pedophilia in the U.S. government, and have been since the Reagan administration. Bush Sr. actually ran the Reagan administration and pedophilia was commonplace in the White House and throughout the Washington elite. It was so commonplace that the ethics of congressmen went straight to hell because the White House itself was so openly involved in sex crimes at the highest levels. Numerous scandals rocked the White House and even reached the main stream media.

No one was able to stop Bush Sr. from doing what he wanted because he controlled the CIA since the time that he led the CIA team that assassinated John Kennedy. Bush Sr. tried to assassinate Reagan but failed in the attempt. Eventually, the White House, the Justice Department, and the State Department were accustomed to running these perverse operations of the Bush-CIA and made sure no politician was ever convicted.

Tensions Mount When the GB-CIA Finds Out About the Bush-CIA

George Bush Sr. was a secret CIA operative at the time of John Kennedy assassination. He learned then that the GB-CIA had come to dominate the geopolitical realm internationally and that a convenient assassination or “regime change” could produce whatever desired effect the GB-CIA wanted. Bush Sr. was in charge of foreign policy and took his running orders from the Council on Foreign Relations (CFR) which was filled with ex-CIA and intelligence operatives from government and industry. Bush Sr. acted as though he was following the policies of the CFR but may have been secretly envious of the GB-CIA's wealth and power that he quickly became one of the most crooked politicians in American history. Bush Sr. developed blackmail files on everyone and made sure that political appointees were thoroughly indoctrinated and warned that straying from the path was dangerous and even fatal.

Bush Sr. decided that Russia had gold, oil, and assets that could be stolen easily if he set up his own private CIA that would attack sovereign nations for profit. Bush Sr. fully understood the power of the GB-CIA to control U.S. economic interests, and his greed inspired him to create **the Bush-CIA which was linked especially to Saudi Arabian, German, and Israeli intelligence**. Bush Sr. wanted the wealth of the GB-CIA but he knew he could not personally own it, so he struck out on his own to conquer Russia and any other country or corporation that stood in his way.

As the vice president, and later the president, Bush Sr. had to act as if he was a devoted follower of the GB-CIA while creating his own network of private intelligence agencies to help him “privatize.” That is why Bush Sr. became “best friends” with the Saudi royal family and eventually came to live the majority of his time in Saudi Arabia in the palaces of the royal family. Bush Sr. knew how to align himself with the world's largest weapons dealers, drug lords, mafias, and corrupt bankers. Bush Sr. was free as the vice president to travel and conduct his business without any scrutiny from any governmental or law enforcement agency.

Eventually, the **GB-CIA became aware of the designs of the Bush-CIA and tension began to mount**. Bush realized he would not be supported by the GB-CIA for a second term so *he groomed his old CIA Iran-Contra buddy Bill Clinton to assume the role as the top political leader of the Bush-CIA*. **As Bush was exiting the White House he became a major leader of the Carlyle Group which owned Cheney's Halliburton and 400 other companies focused on warfare, defense, and security**. Bush Sr. became a billionaire from this one position. He also founded Barrick Gold Corporation which became the number one gold laundering corporation for GB-CIA gold. Bush Sr., Kissinger and the “Vulcans” capitalized on stealing the oil from the countries that exited the USSR.

Bush Sr.'s brother had stolen much of the gold from Russia as George Soros and Leo Wanta (directed by Bush Sr.) collapsed the currency and made trillions. Bush Sr., in a matter of two years, became incredibly wealthy and even more powerful than before. He had some loyal followers in the GB-CIA, but the division between the GB-CIA and the Bush-CIA grew larger over time. The GB-CIA didn't really need Bush Sr.'s wealth, they just needed his corporate and governmental connections. No one in the GB-CIA believed Bill Clinton was actually in charge because they knew he took his marching orders from Bush Sr.

Bill Clinton let his membership in the Bush Criminal family go to his head and he began making big mistakes. The GB-CIA provided Clinton with all of the illicit sex that he could handle until he became deluded and thought he could do anything and suffer no consequences. This led Bill and Hillary to create the most pathetic international money grab, under the auspices of a charity, in American history. Bill and Hillary began to think they were untouchable and this delusion led Hillary to believe she was entitled to be the first woman president—backed up by the Bush-CIA as she and Bill started accumulating their own wealth through the Clinton Foundation.

Bush Jr. followed in the footsteps of his father and did everything his dad told him to do—even though he was not smart enough to understand the orders. Bush Jr. kept the same Neoconservatives and Vulcans in his cabinet as Clinton and Bush Sr. had appointed. **Essentially, the Bush-CIA made the two political parties into one party controlled by the same people.** Voting was a formality because all candidates had to be screened and approved by the two political factions of the CIA.

Key Point: **Bush Jr. and Sr., Bill and Hillary Clinton, and Obama are all the same party**—CIA Globalists pushing for the New World Order which was Bush Sr. greatest dream. Had Bush Sr. had his way, the United Nations would own America under a New World Order and the CIA would run the United Nations.

Knowing about the Bush-CIA (the Bush-Clinton-Obama Crime Family), explains why Bush Sr., Bush Jr., Clinton and Obama literally got away with murder during their administrations. Scandal after scandal and yet the Justice Department did nothing.

Hillary brokered weapons deals from the State Department as Secretary of State and shook down 120 nations for donations to her personal foundation and yet the Justice Department and State Department closed their eyes. Hillary and Obama destroyed the Department of Defense through the Bush-CIA's control of executive orders that conducted war without congressional approval, every day of Obama's administration. And yet, only a few voices in the congress spoke up and pointed out these treasonous acts and crimes against humanity. It is this blatant disregard for the U.S. Constitution and rule of law that has caused a greater rift between the GB-CIA and the Bush-CIA.

Faction #3: Ex-CIA

At this point, there are open hostilities between the GB-CIA and the Bush-CIA and when you add the third faction of the CIA, the Ex-CIA, all hell breaks loose. When the GB-CIA and the Bush-CIA operatives saw what was going on between the GB-CIA (that likes to “change regimes” and blackmail politicians and operatives with the most grotesque personal perversions) and the greedy, out-of-control power mongers of the Bush-CIA, the third CIA faction thought they should steal a part of the “action” themselves. So many ex-CIA operatives left the government and went into the lucrative private intelligence agencies who do 65% of the intelligence work for America.

This faction of the CIA is composed of ex-CIA and intelligence directors, agents, and operatives who are shuffled through the highest positions in government, banking, and the corporate world. The Ex-CIA was born as a chaotic group of operatives who have worked for the GB-CIA or the Bush-CIA. They have seen the endless money available for insiders and the Bush-CIA's penchant for using private intelligence agencies to manipulate politicians, governments, and markets.

What is unique and telling about this third faction of the CIA is how their members rotate through the most powerful governmental and corporate jobs. Let's just take James Comey, Head of the FBI as an example. Comey is a lawyer who was formerly the U.S. Attorney for the Southern District of New York, Deputy Attorney General, Senior Vice President of Lockheed Martin (one of the largest military contractors that “loses” trillions of dollars), General Counsel for Bridgewater Associates (CIA corporation), Board Member of HSBC (CIA Bank), HSBC Holdings (depository for GB-CIA gold), and then the Director of the FBI. **This is the usual type of career path of high level CIA operatives.**

Soon, we can expect Comey to move to another position where he makes a fortune protecting the very criminals he was supposedly prosecuting weeks before. Comey protected the Bush-Clinton-Obama Crime family starting with his appointment as special counsel to the Senate Whitewater Committee. He was also prosecutor in the Khobar Towers bombing, lead investigator in the Clinton pardon of Marc Rich, the investigation of Credit Suisse First Boston and foreign exchange trading scams, the domestic surveillance by the NSA, the HSBC Holdings scandal involving money laundering, and terrorism financing, the U.S. Attorney dismissal scandal, Hillary Clinton email scandal, the Apple scandal to install "back doors" on their computers, and many other similar cases. **Comey is always there to do the bidding of all three factions of the CIA.**

John Brennan, the current Director of the CIA was formerly the Deputy National Security Advisor for Homeland Security, Station Chief in Saudi Arabia, Director of the National Counterterrorism Center, CEO of The Analysis Corporation (intelligence network), Chairman of the Intelligence and National Security Alliance (filled with ex-intelligence agents), lead intelligence officer for Global Strategies Group, Global Intelligence Solutions, and GTEC. Brennan's term as CIA Director is noted for pervasive levels of global surveillance, massive number of drone strikes, hacking U.S. Senators, and CIA torture. When Obama wanted Brennan to be CIA Director, his nomination was blocked so Obama created a new position for Brennan called the Deputy National Security Advisor for Homeland Security and Counterterrorism, which did not require Senate confirmation.

Almost every former head of the CIA or FBI has similar career histories. One appointment after the next places them in key positions that protect the three factions of the CIA. **Ex-CIA operatives play both sides of the fence working for the GB-CIA and the Bush-CIA.** Just look how James Comey was appointed to protect the Bush and Clinton families in his government appointment and then quickly moves into HSBC, one of the most corrupt CIA banks in the world, to protect them during its investigations. He protected Lockheed Martin when it was caught laundering money for the Bush-CIA. Then Comey jumps back into the highest national intelligence position at the FBI to protect the Clintons again.

Comey is a very good example of what has been the revolving door of CIA operatives in the government. Comey is an ex-CIA intelligence operative who works for the Bush-CIA and knows all about the GB-CIA. Comey is a shining example of the corruption that pervades American politics and international corporate warfare. Comey, Brennan, and Clapper provide the intelligence for the president, the military and all agencies in the U.S. government. They ostensibly control America because our military and politicians are only as good as the intelligence they are provided.

Three CIA Factions Fight One Another in Syria

Key Point: The three factions of the CIA can create tremendous confusion and hostilities.

If you were confused by who and what is the CIA, now you can see why it has all been confusing. It is hard to know who is on whose side. The operatives change sides frequently and pretend to support one side or another depending upon the situation. When one faction or another decides an operative has been compromised, they simply kill him. There has been a long list of ex-CIA operatives who have killed themselves even though they had all the riches and power a person could imagine. The hostilities between the three factions eventually boiled over into total chaos during the Obama years. You can now read in the headlines of mainstream media news that **Obama created and funds ISIS**. Obama sent ISIS into Syria under orders of the GB-CIA to conduct a "regime change" because Syria would not let a pipeline be built from Iraq to the western shores of Syria. Obama supplied and funded the mercenaries (ISIS) who claim to be Muslim terrorists who are fighting Syria's sovereign king. Obama also used the

Bush-CIA to fund, supply, and train Syrian rebels. Obama also uses ex-CIA operatives to fund, supply and train Kurdish rebels.

All three CIA factions are operating in Syria and the entire world has noticed. Recent reports in mainstream media point out that the CIA is fighting pentagon supported troops in Syria. **In one recent instance outside of Aleppo, three different American supported groups were fighting each other.** This embarrassment has caused Obama to go into high gear and force James Clapper to “invent” intelligence that would distract from the Syrian fiasco. Fake news about the DNC election hacking, nonsense about Trump being blackmailed by Russia, and Homeland Security’s pathetic attempts to hack into voter databases have all been a smoke screen to distract from Obama’s personal war in Syria.

Clapper, Brennan and Comey were happy to agree that 17 intelligence agencies all agreed to these false flag attempts at perception management. All these ridiculous attacks on Trump have backfired and instead have shown a glaring light on the corruption, collusion, globalism, and Anti-American disposition of the three factions of the CIA.

Trump—the Anti-CIA Warrior

Trump has become enemy number one for all three factions of the CIA because he stands against globalism and promotes the rule of law. The CIA factions thought they were above the law and had become so lax in their gross misuse of power that they are in “shock and awe” at the victory of Trump’s anti-globalism, anti-New World Order platform. They are now frantically trying to cover their evil footprints and muster all three factions to openly discredit and stop Trump from doing what Kennedy had promised—dismantle the CIA.

Trump is fully aware that his life is in extreme danger from the people who murder without hesitation to protect the CIA and its various interests around the world. Trump was the wild card they could have never imaged and they have no real “dossier” on Trump because there is no more dirt on Trump than already came out in the election.

Trump is clean of involvement with all three factions of the CIA and knows that **the only way to win America back for Americans is to confront the CIA and the corporate intelligence networks and close them down.** Trump knows that he cannot trust any Washington insider because they may be compromised already and under the thumb of CIA blackmail and control.

Side note: Trump is wise not to set up office at 1600 Pennsylvania Avenue where every room in the place is infested with CIA listening devices. Patriots, we need to support funding for a total interior gutting and renovation of the White House!

Even the international players in the global CIA game have come out from hiding and are attacking Trump with everything they have. **George Soros, who works for all three CIA factions** from time to time, has now come out proudly on the world stage to attack Trump openly and he is using every one of his political groups to foment dissent. Even the Bilderberg Group, the Trilateralists, the members of the Bohemian Grove, and the Council of Foreign Relations have had extraordinary meetings to plan strategies to stop Trump from becoming president. Every evil cabal in the world is nervous, if not downright “out of their minds” at this point. They have tried killing him, bribing him, offering him endless power, and all the usual methods that work on others all in vain. Trump is still moving forward and amassing a cabinet of the most powerful people in America. All three CIA’s are terrified by the fact that no one can control Trump and that he is not interested in the vices the CIA usually deals in.

How to Stop the Overthrow of America by the CIA

The Anonymous Patriots have in prior articles been so bold as to lay out a plan to stop the overthrow of America by transnational interests. These ideas will only work if the three factions of the CIA are first brought under control or defeated. This will be the most difficult task of all that our new president faces. None of these ideas can be accomplished without a fantastic team of honest lawyers who will have to prosecute many people. That is why investigating, auditing and assessing governmental agencies will be one of the first tasks. These lawyers will have to gather the evidence for convictions by “following the money” and seizing assets and prosecuting individuals and corporations.

Here is a list of the tasks needed if the CIA control of America is to be broken.

Investigate George Bush Sr.’s involvement in Kennedy’s death, the assassination attempt on Reagan, the economic collapse of the USSR, his involvement with Barrick Gold, Riggs Bank, Velment Bank, the Brady Durham Bond scandal, the attacks of 911, his involvement with the Azerbaijan Commerce Committee’s theft of oil, both Iraq wars, and his work with the Carlyle Group.

Dissolve the Office of the Director of National Intelligence and let the NSA again resume its role as the leader of the intelligence community.

Repeal the Patriot Act and the NDAA and dissolve the office of Homeland Security.

End the NSA surveillance of Americans through Cisco routers, Intel processors, I-phones, Microsoft software, Google spying, Facebook spying, and network broadcasting of subliminal programming.

Audit and dissolve DARPA, the Defense Research Advanced Research Projects Agency, where the technology for surveillance and weaponized information systems are developed.

Audit and dissolve In-Q-Tel, the CIA’s form of DARPA, that uses the SAIC (Science Applications International Corporation) as its industrial application arm.

End the Highlands Forum (Group) that tells DARPA and In-Q-Tel what to focus their research on.

End the Council on Foreign Relations because it is the policy maker for the military industrial complex of America and keeps America entangled in continuous war and conflict. It is another home for globalism like the United Nations.

Audit the Economic Stabilization Fund and then end the CIA’s control of the ESF returning control to the Treasury Department.

Seize the assets of Barrick Gold Corporation and prosecute the company for theft and gold laundering.

Return the assets stolen from USSR satellite countries that Bush Sr. and Henry Kissinger orchestrated. Prosecute the entire Azerbaijan Chamber of Commerce (Dick Cheney, Zbigniew Brzezinski, Brent Scowcroft, James Baker, Lloyd Bentsen, Richard Armitage, and Richard Perle).

Dissolve Obama’s ISIS mercenaries and end the fake war on terrorism.

Rescind Obama's additions to the National Defense Authorization Act and his executive orders that consolidate presidential powers that establish control over Americans that bypass existing U.S. laws.

Try Obama and Clinton for war crimes for bombing seven sovereign nations without congressional approval.

Investigate George Bush Jr.'s involvement with the second Iraq war and the false flag events of 911.

Investigate, audit, and close the CIA. Audit all companies that are alleged to have been started with CIA funds and seize their assets.

Establish new laws that prevent U.S. intelligence operatives from working for international intelligence agencies.

Stop using privately owned intelligence agencies for U.S. governmental contracts.

Establish new laws that prevent U.S. intelligence personnel and government regulators from working for companies that they previously monitored and regulated.

Seize the stolen gold that the CIA holds and launders through Barrick Gold, CIA banks, the Zurich gold repository, and the U.S. Federal Reserve System and central banks throughout the world.

Seize all CIA offshore accounts.

Release the stolen CIA funds George Soros and Leo Wanta acquired through currency manipulation in Russia.

Return the CIA stolen gold to its country of origin: Spain, China, Germany, France, Russia, Japan and the other countries it was taken from.

Audit gold throughout the world and establish a new base-line for gold commodities worldwide.

Streamline U.S. intelligence and stop contracting with transnational, global, corporations.

Trump as Defender of the Spirit of America

These suggestions are a beginning and can only be accomplished through great effort and sacrifice. **America is in a Second American Revolution and Donald Trump is our George Washington, who was himself a reluctant president who took the job because of the deplorable conditions of his time.**

Washington was a seasoned soldier who had led the first charge of the French and Indian War and had two horses shot out from underneath him during the battle but continued on to victory. Afterwards, he found nine bullet holes in his hat and clothes. Trump is similarly on his third horse, having defeated the many Republican contenders and then defeated Queen Hillary, who already had put the crown upon her own head. Now, the many bullets that Clapper, Comey, and Brennan have aimed at him have come close and put holes in his clothes but have not injured him.

Trump is being protected and inspired by the same spirit that inspired our founders who “put their lives on the line” for the country they loved. And like those founders, Trump envisions an America where the tenets of the U.S. Constitution are worth fighting for to establish freedom and American liberties. A New American Revolution is upon us and the minutemen have come out in force to elect Donald Trump as our commander and chief in the war on globalism that wishes to crush America.

May President Trump be granted the strength, courage and wisdom that filled George Washington, even in his darkest days in Valley Forge. May that same spirit of Columbia that inspired our founders to create a free and just America, also guide and bless our new president, the leader of the battle to take back America. *¹¹

Economic Cost of Immigration

... Given President Trump's sensible immigration policies, with respect, I suspect that the cost of immigration is a topical topic State-side as well. In both countries a lot of economically-illiterate rubbish is talked about migration...

There are two fundamental flaws in the argument that migrants pay for themselves:

- (1) they also use tax-payer funded services, like the failing NHS in Britain or free schooling, which always seem to be left out of account, and
- (2) labor displacement—in over 90% of cases migrants in both Britain and America displace domestic labor, much of which is then forced onto welfare.

The MSM in Britain are claiming that EU migrants are needed in areas like health-care, fruit-picking, hospitality and IT. This is risible nonsense—Britain has no need of nurses, fruit-pickers, Burger King staff or IT engineers from Europe, or anywhere else, if it comes to that.

We were perfectly well able to man our hospitals, pick fruit and provide hospitality before we took the disastrous decision to join the EEC, as it then was, in 1973. As for IT workers, we invented the computer.

Depressed Wages

Besides driving up welfare costs and in turn taxes, another adverse macro-economic effect of mass immigration is wage-depression. Low wages may be good for individual employers. They are NOT good for the economy.

Low-paid workers often need subsidising, will pay proportionately less tax and spend less.

That's not counting the enormous social cost of unemployment and depressed wages. This includes extra crimes committed by those thrown out of work.

Enforcement Costs

Then there are the huge costs of dealing with crimes committed by migrants, who tend to be disproportionately represented in crime statistics. If they're in the UK or US illegally, they're criminals to start with, of course.

Both the UK and US are obliged to maintain large number of immigration courts, many of which need interpreters. Immigration enforcement is expensive, not least as both the UK and US use civilian airliners to remove deportees, which is absurd.

Language Costs

Interpreters aren't just required in court of course. Far too many migrants on both sides of the Atlantic fail to learn English, and even when they do, fail to speak English at home, causing schooling difficulties.

Uber drivers are currently mounting an absurd legal challenge in the High Court in London to new rules requiring minicab drivers to speak and read English. Granted there aren't that many people in London these days who speak English, but our road-signs aren't in Spanish or Swahili.

Security Costs

The Boston Marathon terrorist attack, currently the subject of a movie, was a perfect illustration of the cost in blood of importing Islamic extremists into the US. In fairness to Moslems however, the majority of whom are law-abiding, they are not the only ethnic or religious group to have imposed a huge security burden on their hosts. The Italians were just as bad before and after World War II—the Mafia were not as American as apple pie, after all.

As with Islamic extremists, the Mafia were of course intelligence-sponsored. The Mafia were originally sponsored by Mussolini's spooks. The DVD took over after Mussolini was shot (by us—the partisans only took care of the body).

THERE IS NO ECONOMIC CASE FOR MASS IMMIGRATION TO EITHER THE UK OR THE USA. THERE ARE OVERWHELMING ECONOMIC, SOCIAL AND SECURITY ARGUMENTS AGAINST IT.

As that nice man Ted Hayes, an African-American activist in LA, once explained to me whilst showing me over Skid Row, it's African-Americans who suffer most from Hispanic immigration. A surprising number of African-Americans voted for Trump, surprising at least for the MSM, who are fairly easily surprised. Yes, I really have visited Skid Row. As a visiting Englishman I was treated with great courtesy and friendliness. *¹²

Carvings Show Comet Hit Earth and Triggered Mini Ice Age

Ancient symbols carved into stone at an archaeological site in Turkey tell the story of a devastating comet impact that triggered a mini ice age more than 13,000 years ago.

Evidence from the carvings, made on a pillar known as the Vulture Stone, suggests that a swarm of comet fragments hit the Earth in around 11000 BC.

One image of a headless man is thought to symbolise human disaster and extensive loss of life.

The site is at Gobekli Tepe in southern Turkey, which experts now believe may have been an ancient observatory. Computer software was used to match carvings of animals—interpreted as astronomical symbols—to patterns of stars and pinpoint the event to 10950 BC.

Other evidence for the impact from a Greenland ice core suggests roughly the same time frame.

The cataclysm ushered in a cold climate lasting 1,000 years and is likely to have resulted from the break-up of a giant comet in the inner solar system.

‘Worst day in history’

“It appears Gobekli Tepe was, among other things, an observatory for monitoring the night sky,” says lead researcher Martin Sweatman, from the University of Edinburgh’s School of Engineering.

“One of its pillars seems to have served as a memorial to this devastating event—probably the worst day in history since the end of the Ice Age.”

The carvings appear to have remained important to the people of Gobekli Tepe for millennia, indicating an event that had a very serious and long-lasting impact, say the scientists.

A number of the pillar symbols suggest that long-term changes in the Earth’s rotational axis were recorded by the early astronomers using an early form of writing.

The discovery also supports the theory that Earth experiences times when comet strikes are more likely, due to the planet’s orbit intersecting with rings of cometary fragments. ^{*13}

Cops Now Steal More Property than All Burglars Combined

For decades now, federal government and their cohorts in law enforcement have been carrying out theft of the citizenry on a massive scale. We’re not talking about taxes, but an insidious power known as Civil Asset Forfeiture (CAF).

The 1980’s-era laws were designed to drain resources from powerful criminal organizations, but CAF has become a tool for law enforcement agencies across the U.S. to steal money and property from countless innocent people.

No criminal charge is required for this confiscation, resulting in easy inflows of cash for law enforcement departments and the proliferation of abuse. This phenomenon is known as “policing for profit.”

In the last 25 years, the amount of “profit” stolen through CAF has skyrocketed.

According to the US Department of Justice, the value of asset forfeiture recoveries by US authorities from 1989-2010 was \$12,667,612,066, increasing on average 19.5% per year.

In 2008, law enforcement took over \$1.5 billion from the American public. While this number seems incredibly large, just a few years later, in 2014, that number tripled to nearly \$4.5 billion.

When we examine these numbers, and their nearly exponential growth curve, it appears that police in America are getting really good at separating the citizen from their property—not just really good, **criminally good**.

To put this number into perspective, according to the FBI, victims of burglary offenses suffered an estimated \$3.9 billion in property losses in 2014.

That means that law enforcement in America has stolen \$600,000,000 more from Americans than actual criminal burglars.

When police surpass the criminal accomplishments of those they claim to protect you from, there is a serious problem.

The good news is that Americans are waking up to this Orwellian notion of police robbing the citizens, and they are taking a stand.

Even police officers are taking a stand against CAF. In an exclusive interview in September, the Free Thought Project talked to officer Stephen Mills, chief of police at the Apache, Oklahoma police department. Mills became an outspoken advocate against CAF, after he became a victim of it.

The idea of thieving cops has also united organizations on opposite ends of the political spectrum. In October, the ACLU and the Koch Brothers came together to stop the inherent police theft in America.

While the police keep grabbing your property, it is important to remain vigilant. Only through a lesser ignorance and the spreading of information will we overcome this blatant tyranny. Please share this article with your friends and family to wake them up to the criminal reality that is—civil asset forfeiture. ^{*14}

Venezuelan Police Resort to Robbing Civilians

CARACAS, Venezuela—Waiting to check in for my flight at Caracas airport on Wednesday, security services stopped me, demanding a full search of my belongings.

Scowling, underweight policemen speaking barely intelligible Spanish took me into a private room at the back of the airport. “¿Q’Hace Acá?” they asked, slurring together the words for “What are you doing here?”

They rummaged through my luggage as I claimed to be a curious Spanish student interested in the country’s situation.

“¿Q’sesto?” —“What is that?” they asked, pulling out my camera.

They demanded to see my photos. Many were images highlighting the extreme poverty across Caracas—piles of garbage lining the city, graffiti exclaiming “I’m hungry,” defaced images of dictator Nicolás Maduro.

The officials confiscated my camera, claiming they needed to examine it and the process could take up to two hours, despite my flight leaving within an hour. I would never see the camera again.

Initially, it was easy to dismiss the confiscation as a precaution against rogue journalists exposing the true devastation socialism has wrought in Venezuela. But look at recent reports in Venezuela suggests these “confiscations”—outright thefts of valuables by officers of the law—are not uncommon. And while I can afford

another camera, the Venezuelan civilians most often experiencing this crime are struggling to survive amidst the country's political and economic crisis.

The truth is that the soldiers are struggling to survive, too, resorting to stealing from anyone they apprehend who happens to carry a valuable. Lower-ranking soldiers, Venezuelans told me, earn the minimum wage, \$43 a month, while forced to use their authority to silence political dissidents. Thefts have become so common that, this week, the country's defense minister Vladimir Padrino López warned armed forces against excessive force, saying he did not want to see "one more national guardsman committing an atrocity on the street."

He had not issued such a warning after months of brutal violence documented by his soldiers against the protesters. What it did follow was a broad accusation by opposition leaders that security forces stole possessions from protesters and journalists, such as motorcycles, cameras, and even shoes, during a demonstration that took place last week.

Opposition Assembly Member José Manuel Olivares accused the police and the national guard of stealing at least ten items, including from a young boy selling bottles of waters on the street, while another man contended police hit him and took off his shoes.

"Soldiers stealing and assaulting civilians that they should be protecting" Olivares tweeted on Monday. "Don't be the shame of the armed forces by following orders from superiors!"

"You can't even describe what is happening outside La Carlota. There are reports of the military stealing motorcycles, cameras, mobile phones and even shoes," tweeted journalist Daniel Blanco.

"The national guard does not just repress, it now steals. These people aren't officials, they are criminals. Phase 3 of the 'Zamora Plan'?", a reference to Hugo Chávez's land redistribution reforms where landowners had their property seized by the government.

While the brazenness of these recent thefts is new, soldiers have been exploiting their power to make ends meet for months. A report from the Associated Press in January found that the Venezuelan military had seized full control of all food coming in and out of the country, and are reselling stolen products at astronomical markups.

The report also found authorities regularly demanded bribes from food importers and truck drivers, thus hiking the prices of food products for ordinary Venezuelans. According to recent statistics, a majority of Venezuelans now go to bed hungry while 15 percent of people eat garbage just to survive. ^{*15}

Americans Can't Have Universal Healthcare Like Europeans

Since it is the start of the income tax season, one reflected on the tax rate most Americans pay and wondered why they perpetually complain they are "*taxed enough already*." This is particularly true now that the nation is aware that European nations offer "*socialized*" universal healthcare and some liberals are asking, "*If Europeans can do it, why can't Americans?*" After all, this is the greatest nation on Earth and Americans can do everything Europeans do, only better.

That may be true, except Americans are unwilling to pay to do much of anything better, much less catch up with Europe and offer universal healthcare. After briefly perusing some tax statistics in America and England, it became immediately apparent that although a single payer, or universal healthcare system is a dream come true, the cost to the people all but ensures single payer is not in the near future; and no political revolution is going to change that fact.

Americans are not interested in paying significantly higher income taxes to have '*government-provided*' healthcare. National health insurance, or single payer, is a dream for many Americans, but if they actually comprehended what it will cost them, and the rest of the taxpayers, they may pause and reconsider...

For a comparison, and one reason why many Democrats are reticent to go all-in to support enactment of single-payer in America, in 2015, 45 percent of Americans with earned income paid zero income tax. One cannot comprehend how nearly half of the population living in poverty and barely making it and then saddled with a 20 percent tax bill will embrace being poorer to have basic healthcare when they will be unable to eat or pay rent.

Many of those "*45-percenters*" are in poor Republican states and already complain they are "taxed enough already;" it is just one reason they reliably vote for Republicans pledging to cut taxes, get rid of Medicare and Medicaid, and get government out of the healthcare business.

For middle class Americans, the federal income tax rate stands at about 5.3 percent for tax year 2015. It is as laughable that Americans paying nothing in income taxes will support a 20 percent increase as it is the middle class supporting a 34.7 percent tax hike for universal healthcare; not when they already have healthcare insurance or can buy a "*Cadillac*" policy and still have money to eat, pay rent, take a vacation, and still afford their 5.3 percent federal income tax bill.

For the richest one-percent of income earners, the average effective federal income tax rate is just 24.7 percent. That group is still screaming bloody murder over the slight 2.9 percent tax increase at the end of 2012. Imagine, without laughing, their outrage at paying 45 percent of their annual incomes, about a 20 percent increase, so all Americans can have universal healthcare.

What is even more laughable is imagining a Democrat, any Democrat, submitting a bill in any House or Senate committee to raise taxes on the poor by 20 percent, raise middle class taxes by 35 percent, and saddle the wealthy elite with a 20 percent tax hike. Now imagine the Republican majority House laughing like banshees at whichever Democrat proposes epic tax hikes on all Americans; especially when Republicans pledge to cut taxes as is their base's demand.

The grand Republican plan is a two-tiered "*flat tax*," rate schedule with about a 10 percent flat tax on all Americans up to what most consider a very-upper middle class income; effectively a tax hike on every American who is not in the "*wealthy*" class. The highest rate for the wealthy elite is proposed at around 20 percent that does not account for the special deductions and breaks only the wealthy get. Their effective rate will be approximately 5 to 8 percent; a decrease of about 15 percent and less than the poorest American paying 10 percent.

Americans are not Europeans; they are "*rugged individualists*" and not interested in paying higher taxes for the good of the nation, much less their fellow Americans...^{*16}

“Our Aim in Life Is to Destroy These Groups, Completely”

The Southern Poverty Law Center (SPLC) has gained traction in recent weeks, but contrary to media reports, the group does not exist to "monitor hate groups" but to destroy groups that it targets for "strictly ideological" reasons. In light of large donations from Apple, J.P. Morgan, and George Clooney, and CNN's favorable coverage of the SPLC, Americans should learn the real motivations behind this far-left organization...

"Sometimes the press will describe us as monitoring hate groups, I want to say plainly that our aim in life is to destroy these groups, completely destroy them," Potok declared at an event in Michigan in 2007.

Potok reiterated this point at a Vermont school group in 2008. "You are able to destroy these groups sometimes by the things you publish," he declared. "It's not so much that they will bring down the police or the federal agents on their head, it's that you can sometimes so *mortally embarrass these groups* that they will be destroyed" (emphasis added)...

"We see this political struggle," Potok added in his 2008 Vermont speech. "We're not trying to change anybody's mind. We're trying to wreck the groups. We're trying to destroy them. Not to send them to prison unfairly or to take their free speech rights away, but as a political matter to destroy them."

In yet another 2008 speech, Potok explained the SPLC criteria for a "hate group." The spokesman said, "Our criteria for a 'hate group,' first of all, have nothing to do with criminality, or violence, or any kind of guess we're making about 'this group could be dangerous.' It's *strictly ideological*" (emphasis added).

Here's the criteria: "So we look at a group and we say, 'Does this group, in its platform statements, or the speeches of its leader or leaders—Does this group say that a whole group of people, by virtue of their group characteristics, is somehow less?'"

... If an organization disagrees with LGBT activism, it is a "hate group," because in the eyes of the SPLC belief in traditional marriage equates to stigmatizing gay people. If an organization or an individual warns against radical Islamic terrorism, that person or group is "hateful" because in the eyes of the SPLC it has stigmatized all Muslims, even if the person is a Muslim himself.

In light of these revelations, it makes sense that D. James Kennedy Ministries, Liberty Counsel, and Maajid Nawaz have each filed defamation lawsuits against the SPLC.

The SPLC's broad definition of a "hate group" is exceedingly important, because this "hate" labeling has inspired at least one terror attack.

In the summer of 2012, the SPLC's "hate map" inspired Floyd Lee Corkins III to break into the Family Research Council (FRC), a Christian nonprofit in Washington, D.C. Corkins aimed to murder everyone in the building, and he later pled guilty to committing an act of terrorism. He was sentenced to 25 years in prison.

During an FBI interrogation, the terrorist said he targeted FRC because it was listed as an "anti-gay group" on the SPLC website.

While the SPLC took no responsibility for their hate map inspiring the FRC shooting and refused to remove the FRC from that hate map, the group did claim that former Alaska Gov. Sarah Palin's political action committee released maps putting Democrats in Congress in crosshairs, inspiring the shooting of Rep. Gabrielle Giffords (D-Az.). PolitiFact rated the SPLC's claim "false."

Nor was the 2012 attack the only terrorist event involving the SPLC. Earlier this summer, Bernie Sanders supporter James Hodgkinson shot people at a Republican Congressional Baseball Game practice, nearly killing Rep. Steve Scalise (R-La.) Hodgkinson "liked" the SPLC on Facebook.

The group had repeatedly tarred Scalise for a speech he gave to a white supremacist group, even after Scalise apologized (and was called a "sellout" by former KKK leader David Duke).

This connection to terror is particularly troubling, considering the SPLC's most recent "hate map"—a diagram showing every single Confederate monument across the United States, which also includes elementary schools, middle schools, and high schools. The SPLC warned of "turmoil and bloodshed" should these statues not be taken down...

CNN broadcast the SPLC's "hate map" on its website and Twitter account this month (with the FRC still marked on the map). In June, the charity navigation website GuideStar adopted the SPLC "hate group" list, marking each profile of the targeted organizations as a "hate group." ABC and NBC parroted the SPLC's "hate group" label against Alliance Defending Freedom (ADF) last month.

"The SPLC depicts itself as a big champion of rights, but it's become a champion of wrongs," Dan Gainor, vice president of Business and Culture at the Media Research Center, told PJ Media in an email statement. "It is no longer the civil rights era group that many of its supporters believe. It now exists largely to attack groups it doesn't agree with and to fundraise millions and millions of dollars off of uninformed donors."

Gainor warned that "the media make this far worse by legitimizing the group's so-called 'hate list.' In reality, that list is a bizarre mix of good conservative groups and disturbing fringe organizations. The SPLC sees no difference between a group defending the rights of Christians in court and the Klan."

"The media credential this awful hate group and rarely report that some of the SPLC's biggest fans are dangerous criminals," Gainor added. He mentioned Floyd Lee Corkins and James Hodgkinson.

Apple, J.P. Morgan, George Clooney, Lyft, MGM Resorts, and any organization that partners with the SPLC should know what it's getting into.

Corporate America should not support a group that exists to tar mainstream organizations as "hate groups," inciting violence against them. It should not bankroll an organization that targets innocent towns and elementary schools as purveyors of hate. It should not support a "poverty" organization that sends millions of dollars to accounts in the Cayman Islands. Enough is enough. ^{*17}

... Of all slaves own in America, 20% of them were owned by free blacks who by proportion, per capita, owned slaves at an incredibly higher rate than the whites/Christians population. ^{*18}

"Lack of transparency is a huge political advantage"



"Call it the stupidity of the American Voter"

OBAMACARE ARCHITECT

ObamaCare architect Jonathan Gruber thinks you're stupid.
Gruber quotes:

"It's a very clever, you know, basic exploitation of the lack of economic understanding of the American voter... Who are the people going to blame? The evil insurance companies."
~ Honors Colloquium 2012 at the University of Rhode Island.

"Lack of transparency is a huge political advantage. And basically, call it the stupidity of the American voter or whatever, but basically that was really, really critical to get the thing to pass. Look, I wish...we could make it all transparent, but I'd rather have this law than not." ~ The 24th Annual Health Economics Conference at the University of Pennsylvania in October 2013.

"[ObamaCare passed in part because] the American people are too stupid to understand the difference." ~ From a lecture that Gruber delivered at Washington University in St. Louis in October 2013, called the "Cost of health Care."

Sources

- *1 = <https://www.wnd.com/2015/11/7th-grader-wont-back-down-deny-god-in-class/>
- *2 = <https://www.manhattancontrarian.com/blog/2016/1/27/fda-decides-to-kill-thousands-of-innocent-teenage-boys>
- *3 = <https://pjmedia.com/vodkapundit/2016/03/07/the-wussification-of-america/>
- *4 = <https://www.ericpetersautos.com/2016/03/17/car-biz-goes-full-clover/>
- *5 = <http://dailysignal.com/2016/03/29/how-liberal-policies-destroyed-black-families/>
- *6 = <http://www.apologeticspress.org/apcontent.aspx?category=7&article=1142>
- *7 = <https://www.zerohedge.com/news/2016-08-15/peter-schiff-explains-how-central-banks-are-choking-productivity>
- *8 = http://takimag.com/article/star_spangled_banter_taki/
- *9 = <http://blog.dilbert.com/2016/10/25/the-bully-party/>
- *10 = <http://www.freemansperspective.com/elite-et-al-vs-trump-et-al/>
- *11 = <https://geopolitics.co/2017/01/16/three-factions-of-the-cia-that-control-the-world/>
- *12 = <https://www.veteranstopday.com/2017/02/26/economic-cost-of-immigration/>
- *13 = <https://www.newscientist.com/article/2128512-ancient-carvings-show-comet-hit-earth-and-triggered-mini-ice-age/>
- *14 = <https://thefreethoughtproject.com/american-cops-steal-property-burglars-combined/>
- *15 = <https://www.breitbart.com/national-security/2017/06/13/venezuelan-police-resort-to-robbing-civilians-including-breitbart-reporter/>
- *16 = <https://www.politicususa.com/2016/02/10/why-americans-cant-have-universal-healthcare-like-europeans.html>
- *17 = <https://pjmedia.com/trending/2017/09/01/southern-poverty-law-center-our-aim-in-life-is-to-destroy-these-groups-completely/>
- *18 = <http://www.veteranstopday.com/2013/10/14/the-milibands-the-bbc-and-the-proletariat/> (user comment)

This research paper was created without commercial intent—all materials were discovered online.

Acknowledgments

To all uncredited authors (*Sources*), whose work was sampled for compilation into this document:

Thank *you*.

God

The *All* that *IS*.

Donate

Yearly

AISF welcomes non-tax deductible donations to continue spiritual research and fund development of a Light in Life™ Online app, to enable guides and users to measure their Self-healing progress.

Donate via PayPal (if/when research helps you) or Yearly (contributing to the foundation's future).

Contact

** PDF Documents may be freely shared/copied only if preserved entirely/unedited. © All IS Foundation, 2018.*