

Laws [Rev. 20190403]

By J — Latest revision: <http://allis.foundation/Laws.pdf>



ABOVE: PHOTO BY MERCURY PRESS — 'WHEN TAXIDERMY GOES WRONG' AS IN LAW, BECOMES DISTORTED WHEN POORLY PRACTICED' *1

How Islamisation Has Set Amsterdam 50 Years Back in Time

A former inhabitant describes how Islamisation changed his Amsterdam neighbourhood. According to him socialist politicians are responsible for the disappearance of Dutch culture and the rise of Islam in the area.

In 1990, I moved in to the “Bos en Lommer” area of West Amsterdam (in Dutch: “Amsterdam West”) to be with my lover at the time. Bos en Lommer is part of the second major expansion of Amsterdam from the city’s original canal centre outwards. It was built during the 1920s and 30s. Originally home to a mostly middle-class population, it has undergone a dramatic transformation in the last three decades, with the most rapid change happening since 2000.

In the summer of 1999, while biking towards the Bos en Lommerplein with my lover, we were met with the old familiar slur: “Hey! Faggots!” We were often threatened with this old and familiar slur during the fifties and sixties, but it had vanished when gay liberation took a firm hold in Dutch society. For us gays, Amsterdam was the safest city in the world to live in. At least so we thought.

History has dramatically changed since then. I looked at my boyfriend and sighed. “Is this really starting all over again!?” I asked. The slurs were uttered by a group of young men of Moroccan descent. Their fathers came to Holland as migrant workers in the 1970s, together with other migrant workers from Turkey.

There must be a special and intricate psychological reason why the offspring of Moroccan and Turkish migrant workers in Holland so deeply detest the land that took their parents in, the land where they, their children, were born. Of course not all young Moroccans and Turks are criminals, but most criminals nowadays are (as you may have guessed) of Moroccan and Turkish descent. I could not care less about their double passports or their religion. But their disregard for the Netherlands’ tradition of tolerance sickens me to the core.



In 1996 I moved into my own place in the same Bos en Lommer area, about five minutes away from my former lover's dwelling. Within the 20 years I lived there, I witnessed a dramatic change. It seemed that I had moved from a Dutch neighbourhood to a Middle Eastern or North African town, without even lifting a finger. I lived on the second floor a four-story building, sharing the staircase with my neighbours. When I moved in in 1996, all my neighbours were Dutch. When I moved out, all of them were Turks and Moroccans.

Since the beginning of 2000, the Bos en Lommer area took a nose-dive. Crime rose dramatically, and gangs of hostile youth (mainly Moroccans) roamed the streets, oozing hostility and bashing gays.

The house I was living in overlooked a school playground. Around 2005, I first noticed young girls of around 6 years of age being garbed in totally black, full-body covering dresses, including black head scarves. Nearly all the mothers, with a few exceptions, wore headscarves and dark full-body covering dresses. It truly looked like a scene from Iran or Pakistan. But it certainly was no longer the same Dutch neighbourhood as when I had moved in.

It started to become common for there to be police raids on the building in which I lived. During one of these raids, the police rang my doorbell to gain entrance to the stairwell. In despair I asked one of the police officers, "What is going on? A third raid within a mere couple of months?" In a clear Amsterdam accent he replied: "Well, perhaps it's high time for you to move to another area of town!" With that brief sentence he clarified for me the chasm between my daily living reality and the official picture painted by politically-correct officials and politicians.

Because no matter how sharp the decline in living standard, how crime ridden, hostile and dangerous this and other migrant areas of Amsterdam were becoming, the liberal politicians kept pumping money into projects meant to "elevate" the immigrant communities they had imported. Despite the lack of beneficial results, they praised themselves for their "fabulous" socialist efforts to lift these hordes of "noble savages" to a higher, more enlightened, and more socialist standard of living and worldview. Because they believed their socialist worldview and agenda was the only real, viable worldview. All other opposing voices were racist, xenophobic, populist, right-wing, deplorable, and disgusting.

Almost no one in that area speaks Dutch anymore. In the streets you hear all kinds of guttural Babylonian confusion. Any Dutch that you do hear is mangled and no longer sounds like Dutch. It all seems intentional. The migrants seem to possess a silent rage, a smouldering hostility towards their host culture. Dutch culture, which welcomed them with world famous Dutch tolerance and gave them every social benefit, is despised and spat on. And there is no improvement in this attitude in their children. The second and third generations appear progressively more hostile to the original Dutch culture, and lock themselves more and more into their own culture. Islamic ideology has deepened this chasm with alarming rapidity.

If you pay a visit to the employment office in Bos en Lommer you can see for yourself. All you see are headscarves everywhere, both in front of and behind the counters. Clerks and customers alike are rarely Dutch. The entire socialist machinery appears to be run by immigrants.

The socialist Felix Rottenberg made a documentary about the area in 2001. Suddenly he was confronted by the harsh reality of this alien cultural enclave. "NOBODY speaks Dutch over here," he exclaimed on camera. No, indeed.

Have the socialists who rule Amsterdam woken up since then? Far from it! The "new" policy to lift up these degraded areas is to renovate the buildings and sell them at high prices to well-to-do folks who are eager to buy themselves a

place anywhere in the overpopulated city. And indeed, sometimes these areas transform and become liveable again. But on the outskirts of town the situation becomes direr each day.

From the central park in Amsterdam, towards the entire west of the city, the population has by now been transformed into “penguins”. Black Islamist penguins. The expression comes from an Iranian refugee who fled the Iranian dictatorship some 15 years ago. When he arrived in Holland, he was warmly welcomed by the Dutch. His neighbours were Dutch. The area of town where he lived was mainly Dutch. Now he feels as if he has moved back to Teheran. He hates it.

He is again surrounded by “all these penguins”, as he calls them (due to their full black Islamic garb). Now he wants to get away and move towards the east or south of Amsterdam, where there are still some areas with relatively few migrants. The centre of town is still mainly Dutch but houses are unaffordable. Holland looks still quite Dutch here, and since all of the tourist hotspots are in the centre of town, Amsterdam can still show a Dutch face, while pretending that its socialist agenda of “diversity” and “multiculturalism” have been a success.

Gay freedom has suffered greatly. As a young queer, I could freely roam the streets of Amsterdam while holding hands with my lover. These days that is an open invitation for harassment. But in the centre of town, where there are much fewer Islamic immigrants, lo and behold, you can sometimes spot two men holding hands. But it is a rare sight these days. Amsterdam, which once proclaimed itself the proud gay capital of the world, has fallen into multicultural oppression. Leave the centre of town and you are on your own. At best you are met with condescension. More commonly there are insults and outright danger, especially if you are white. I myself now avoid these areas like the plague.


A year ago I moved from the Bos en Lommer to the Rivierenbuurt in the centre of Amsterdam, an expensive, mostly white neighbourhood. I love that area. It is where I rented one of my first rooms as a dance academy student moving from Rotterdam to Amsterdam, which was at that time still the cultural hotspot of Holland. I love the area deeply because it is still so wonderfully Dutch. It still breathes the original Dutch culture of neighbourliness, tolerance, friendliness, ease, gentility, and courteous manners. Does it sound overblown? Well, it is my daily living environment now. After moving back here from Amsterdam-Teheran after having been away for fifty years, I welcome this neighbourhood with a kiss and a smile each and every day.

Now I live by myself, but if I had a boyfriend, I would freely walk hand and hand with him in this neighbourhood. One can regularly see gays do so here. One also can see dashing young women jogging along in tight shorts, displaying their lovely bare legs, with their hair flowing loose and carefree about them. They appear free from all worries, oblivious of the great multicultural threat their very own socialist parents are importing into their white paradise. And this multiculturalism is all imported with good, albeit misguided, intentions.

As we all have learned from history, the road to hell is paved with good intentions. The hell we face today is multicultural diversity. The mosques that it brings are popping up everywhere, with their minarets pointing an ever increasing number of Islamic middle fingers at their welcoming host, the free and tolerant Dutch culture.”²

“But when a long train of abuses and usurpations, pursuing invariably the same Object evinces a design to reduce them under absolute Despotism, it is their right, it is their duty, to throw off such Government, and to provide new Guards for their future security.” —*From the Declaration of Independence (July 4, 1776)*

“May the forces of evil become confused on the way to your house.” —*George Carlin*



ABOVE: CARTOON BY EVANS — 'PERFECTLY CAPTURES THE DIFFERENT PERSPECTIVE BETWEEN OPPOSING CULTURAL PREFERENCES,' *3

Exchanging One Country For Another

Back when I was in school, I performed a scientific experiment in which I poured a liquid of one color into a beaker that contained liquid of a different color. At first the liquid in the beaker was diluted, but as I kept pouring, the poured liquid eventually overtook the liquid in the beaker, creating an entirely new substance.

That's what is happening in Europe as thousands of migrants flee their home countries, seeking refuge in the European Union. Germany, alone, is expected to have received 800,000 migrants by the end of the year, four times last year's number.

German Chancellor Angela Merkel has suspended the EU's Dublin Regulation for Syrian migrants. Under the rule, writes *The Telegraph*, "...migrants can only apply for asylum in the first EU member state they enter, and face deportation if they try to apply in another. But Germany ... has now ordered its officers to process applications from Syrians even if they have made their way through other EU countries."

Critics of the Dublin Regulation have called it expensive and ineffective. Many Syrian migrants reach Greece first, but decline to seek asylum there, preferring to push on toward Hungary, which is considered the gateway to Europe. Merkel has said she might reinstate border checks, which was a regular practice before the EU was created. She should.

That most of these migrants come from Muslim countries raises several important questions. The first is how many actual or potential terrorists are among them? Second, why would Muslims, many of whom believe the West is decadent and anti-God, want to come to Europe? Third, why haven't these migrants sought refuge in other Muslim countries, which one might think would be their first priority?

No nation, no continent, can survive uncontrolled immigration, especially when it involves people whose language, religion, culture and worldview differ—in some cases radically—from the countries to which they are migrating. Uncontrolled migration in Europe and illegal immigration in the United States spell an eventual death knell for both countries, which is, no doubt, the intent of ISIS, which is reportedly backing this flood of humanity. Will those flooding Europe eventually embrace European values, or when their numbers grow to the point where they form a significant percentage of the population, will the migrants demand that their values and religion dominate?

In the U.S., cries of "racism" in the immigration debate have replaced sound reason. But this isn't about discriminating against people of a different language or color; it is about preserving what we have, not only for those of us who have contributed to making America what it is, but also for immigrants who would not only like to partake of our success, but contribute to it. If nations want to preserve the lifestyles and culture which they and their forebears have worked and fought to create and sustain for themselves, their posterity and for immigrants, borders must be controlled and assimilation must be a top priority for those who are allowed to enter. Otherwise, nations become disunited with competing subsets jostling for power, influence and benefits.

Those who support "open borders" have an obligation to tell us when enough is enough. Must we wait until the American "liquid," which contains the values, faith and prosperity from capitalism that built and sustained us through wars and depressions, is replaced by a different "liquid"? What happens when the "takers" outnumber the "makers"? If we wait for that day to arrive before we act, it will be too late.

Yes, give us your tired, your poor, your huddled masses yearning to breathe free—but legally, in an orderly fashion and not en masse. And let's also learn what these migrants and immigrants likely mean by "freedom." If they mean Sharia law, that is not freedom for Europe, or for America.

If the EU and the U.S. fail to address this very real crisis, we and they are assisting in national suicide. ^{*4}

Thousands of Muslim Migrants ‘Disappear’ From Camps

Where oh where have the Muslim migrants gone?

That is the question German authorities are asking themselves after some troubling reports of disappearances.

According to German press reports, keeping track of all the Muhammads and Alis pouring across borders is proving ever so tricky for European countries being flooded with people on the move from the Middle East and Africa.

Now, the United Nations is partnering with a private company to offer a solution—a new “universal” identification system that will comply with the United Nations’ sustainability goal of getting “legal” biometric IDs that include iris scans, photographs and fingerprints, “into the hands of everyone in the world by the year 2030.”

It offers hope for the UN's Sustainable Development Goal of getting legal ID into the hands of everyone in the world by the year 2030 with its Identification for Development (ID4D) initiative.

As author-blogger Pamela Geller noted this week, citing German press reports, more than one in two refugees from one camp went missing and are now unaccounted for and considered "on the run."

At least 580 refugees initially were reported to have disappeared from Camp Shelterschlefe.

Now, in a "terrible new twist," the disappearances are spreading.

"It's become an epidemic," Geller said. "7,000 migrants have left the Brandenburg shelters. Where are they going? Who is sheltering these illegals, many with ties to ISIS?"

Such a high number of people hiding is "completely unacceptable," according to the German authorities.

"Where are they hiding? Could they be connecting with sleeper cells?" Geller writes.

"Is it any wonder that Europeans are scrambling for guns?" Geller added, referencing a report by WND earlier this week that long guns are flying off the shelves in Austria and other countries where it's still legal for average citizens to buy firearms.

Die Welt is reporting that thousands of refugees have left their assigned accommodations.

"They are simply not there anymore," the news outlet reports. Refugees disappear daily from the initial welcoming centers in Brandenburg without giving notice.

Authorities said they believed the missing persons to be heading on their own to stay with family or friends already in Germany or in other countries throughout Europe, or at least that's the hope.

Several hundred migrants have disappeared each week since the beginning of September without signing in, Ingo Decker, the spokesman of the Potsdam Ministry of the Interior, told Die Welt.

"Eventually, these refugees are simply not there anymore," he said.

On Wednesday alone, more than 600 people left the welcoming center, Susan Fischer, the deputy ministry spokeswoman, reported to the newspaper. According to official figures of the state government, more than 17,000 newcomers came into the country since early September. About 7,800 have been housed in cities and villages, about 2,700 people are still in the initial reception centers. That leaves at least 7,000 who have left and are AWOL.

A report from German news outlet Faz.net headlined "Refugees disappearing from lodging," says around 700 refugees disappeared from emergency accommodations in Lower Saxony. Voices calling for a direct registration by the governmental agencies are getting louder.

National authorities required cities and towns in the region to accommodate 4,000 on short notice and now can't account for all of them.

Germany's welcome mat 'setting the stage'

Paul McGuire, an analyst who has appeared on Fox News and the History Channel and co-author of the new book, "The Babylon Code: Solving the Bible's Greatest End Times Mystery," says the heavy influx of Muslim migrants almost assures there will be another terrorist attack at least as big as what happened in Paris earlier this year at the Charlie Hebdo newspaper office.

He said sources in Germany tell him the majority of the nearly 1 million migrants who have streamed into the country are between 18 and 25.

"There's no way they could be this stupid and let this many in. It has the look of something intentional," he said. "I'm getting emails from people in Germany. They know this is being done on purpose, to destroy their villages and towns."

McGuire said he was in France just a few days after the Charlie Hebdo attack.

"They were sitting ducks, and the people of France were completely shocked," he said. "So they're setting the stage for another attack."

McGuire said the leaders of the global "hardcore left," such as billionaire philanthropist George Soros, are using the Muslim migrants as a battering ram against the walls of the Christian West.

Hungary Prime Minister Viktor Orban was quoted by a public radio outlet this week calling out Soros for his backing of the migrant invasion, saying:

"His name is perhaps the strongest example of those who support anything that weakens nation states, they support everything that changes the traditional European lifestyle. These activists who support immigrants inadvertently become part of this international human-smuggling network."

McGuire said the Muslim migrants are particularly useful to socialist globalists like Soros.

"They are like a bludgeoning group, they can bludgeon everything Christian out of our society," he said. "The hard left uses them because they demand all these laws and special accommodations, and inevitably they enact Shariah and force the culture to go into retreat, and then you have this weak Christianity that can't withstand anything."

'Driving the new world order'

McGuire sees a perfect storm brewing in which global authorities will need to keep better track of people, especially refugees, but the new rules will end up applying to everyone.

"So these migrants are coming to drive the new world order, to bring order out of chaos," he said. "You destroy nationalism, destroy social cohesion, and then these Muslim groups coming in will create a crisis and force a response that will feature a state crackdown and the need for heightened security, and one of the ways you do that is through universal IDs. It's just evil."

A large portion of Germany's missing refugees had not been registered, nor had they applied for asylum, which is a recipe for chaos. The local authorities point out that they have no authority to hold people.

The same rules apply to refugees sent from the Third World to American cities.

Once they are resettled in a city, whether it's Denver or Minneapolis, Des Moines or Detroit, they are free to migrate anywhere in the United States. The refugees are placed on a fast track to full citizenship and signed up for various welfare benefits by resettlement agencies affiliated with Catholic, Lutheran, Episcopal, Jewish and evangelical-Christian organizations. A congressional research study found that 91 percent of refugees from the Middle East receive food stamps.

Local politician Angelika Jahns criticized the current situation in Germany. "We need to know who is staying in Lower Saxony," she told Die Welt. She said the refugees should be registered as soon as they arrive in Lower Saxony, but they are not forced to do so by the German national government.

The problem of refugees gone missing is clearly on the radar of global elites, and in fact they are already using the refugee crisis to promote a sweeping new global ID system, WND has learned.

The United Nations High Commissioner for Refugees in May 2015 awarded a three-year contract to a firm called Accenture to "identify and track" refugees in a pilot program targeting camps in Africa and Asia with a new biometric ID, reports FindBiometrics.com, a trade journal covering the biometric and information management industries. Accenture is an international technology services provider based in Chicago.

Here is how FindBiometrics describes the project:

"The UNHCR will use Accenture's Biometric Identity Management System (BIMS) for the endeavor. BIMS can be used to collect facial, iris, and fingerprint biometric data, and will also be used to provide many refugees with their only form of official documentation. The system will work in conjunction with Accenture's Unique Identity Service Platform (UISP) to send this information back to a central database in Geneva, allowing UNHCR offices all over the world to effectively coordinate with the central UNHCR authority in tracking refugees.

"Starting with a pilot project in the Dzaleka Refugee Camp in Malawi, the program has blossomed over the last couple of years to provide services in refugee camps in Thailand and Chad, with over 220,000 people identified in the two countries so far. It's an ambitious project, but Accenture has experience with large-scale biometric system initiatives, having helped the Department of Homeland Security's Office of Biometric Identity Management with a major border control project, for example. This latest endeavor will see the company's technology used in important humanitarian efforts—and in fact it seems to have already helped hundreds of thousands."

U.N. Agenda 2030 calls for 'universal ID' for all people

This "universal ID," which grabs the biometric data of refugees, is just a starting point for the United Nations. The goal is to eventually bring all people into the massive data bank. The proof is in the U.N.'s own documents.

The U.N. Agenda 2030 document adopted by 193 of the world's heads of state, including President Obama, at the Sept. 25 U.N. conference on sustainability in New York, includes 17 goals and dozens of "targets."

Target 16.9 under the goal of “Peace, Justice and Strong Institutions” reads as follows: “By 2030, provide legal identity for all, including birth registration.”

The World Bank is also throwing its weight behind the United Nations biometric project being conducted by Accenture.

In a new report issued in collaboration with Accenture, the World Bank is calling on governments to “work together to implement standardized, cost-effective identity management solutions,” according to FindBiometrics.

A summary of the report states that about 1.8 billion adults around the world lack any kind of official identification. “That can exclude those individuals from access to essential services, and can also cause serious difficulties when it comes to trans-border identification,” according to FindBiometrics.

“That problem is one that Accenture has been tackling in collaboration with the United Nations High Commissioner for Refugees, which has been issuing Accenture-developed biometric identity cards to populations of displaced persons in refugee camps in Thailand, South Sudan, and elsewhere. The ID cards are important for helping to ensure that refugees can have access to services, and for keeping track of refugee populations.”

Then comes the final admission by the World Bank that the new biometric IDs are not just for refugees.

“Moreover, the nature of the deployments has required an economically feasible solution, and has demonstrated that reliable, biometric ID cards can affordably be used on a large scale. It offers hope for the UN’s Sustainable Development Goal of getting legal ID into the hands of everyone in the world by the year 2030 with its Identification for Development (ID4D) initiative.”

It is a serious problem for the authorities that many thousands of people are on their way on their own in the federal territory, Decker told Die Welt.

He said refugees might be registered multiple times as the registration is based on information given by the registrants, which almost always come without any papers.


“The same guy that is Muhammad Ali here in Eisenhüttenstadt can be Ali Mohammed a little bit later in Hamburg,” Decker said. “The states must live with that for the time being, because a proper registration at the border is currently not in sight.”

Once the U.N.’s biometric labeling of all humanity is in place, this will no longer be a problem. ^{*5}

"And these three young men, for whom love had become a question of violence and possession, of doing and being done to, had gone to the frontier between love and death, and their fury had worn it away, the fury they could not articulate, born of what they, who had so much, had never been able to acquire: lessness, ordinariness. Real life."
—*Salman Rushdie, Fury*

“Well, if crime fighters fight crime, and fire fighters fight fire, what to freedom fighters fight? They never mention that part to us, do they?” —*George Carlin*

“The real voyage of discovery consists not in seeking new landscapes but in having new eyes.” —*Marcel Proust*



"I think it's very healthy to spend time alone. You need to know how to be alone and not defined by another person."

OSCAR WILDE

It was ISIS

Tower fire

Ever since Grenfell Tower blew up at a cost of over 100, possibly 200, lives, people have been demanding answers. Brain-dead left-wingers, oblivious to the fact the estate was run by a tenants-controlled organisation and that the Royal Borough of Kensington and Chelsea is controlled from the Cabinet Office, not the town hall, have been blaming 'Tory cuts'.

As I foretold last week, a fridge is being blamed. The police, of course, are gleefully blaming it on a British-made fridge, a Hotpoint FF175BP, which allegedly caught fire in a flat on the 5th floor (US numbering). Our police, who are also controlled from the Cabinet Office, sadly lack patriotism and would never pass up on an opportunity to damage the good name of a British company.

The media are lapping up the police's silly fridge story, without stopping to ask themselves how an electrical fire in a fridge-freezer could possibly burn down an entire 24-storey block of flats. The police are also sitting on the casualty figure, to the point where their figure of 79 is being treated with open contempt.

Some of the smarter journalists, smart at any rate by comparison to the rest of their profession, are querying why Hotpoint are not recalling all the 60,000 or so FF175BP fridge-freezers out there. The answer is obvious—there never was a problem with the fridge, thus there is no need for a recall.

More to the point, recalls cost money. The police are frantically trying to keep Hotpoint engineers away from the fridge in question. No British manufacturer would commit shareholder funds to an expensive recall on the say-so of the sleaziest police force in the UK, no offense to the Metropolitan Police intended. The rozzers are obviously engaged in a cover-up. They are running away from the truth.

In the meantime there are panic evacuations of tower-blocks in London, which cannot be justified solely by the fire-hazard, not least with 24/7 fire marshals. There's something more here than an ordinary fire hazard.



The Bomb Factory

The awful truth, awful at any rate for the Cabinet Office and the rozzers, is that there was an ISIS cell in Grenfell Tower, running a bomb factory. My analysis is that TATP was being stored in the freezer compartment, which failed in response to the heat generated. TATP generates a lot of heat and needs to be kept cool.

Unlike 7/7 there were no convenient commercial premises with industrial cooling equipment. A domestic fridge-freezer simply would not be up to the job. There's no point in ISIS sending in a complaint to Hotpoint. I'm sure that Hotpoint would say that their domestic fridges are not designed to store high explosives!

TATP is more unstable than nitro-glycerine. It will cook off with even a slight rise in temperature. Being difficult to store, it also needs to be mixed close to the point of use. One consequence of that is that terrorist cells will have little experience in storing it.

Terrorists also tend not to be the sharpest knives in the box. ISIS is controlled from Dachau. The actual terrorists are seen as cannon-fodder. As on 7/7, 21/7 and at Manchester Arena GO2, the DVD's London operation, are not above rigging the timers so that the terrorists manage to blow themselves up.

The rozzers are well aware that the 7/7 and Manchester terrorists were not suicide bombers. They have engaged in a sustained campaign of deception over 12 years, but with Grenfell Tower it's threatening to blow up in their faces.

Whilst the judge-led inquiry will be a Piper Alpha style musical comedy proceeding, the explosive (no pun intended) growth in social media since 2005 means that it's becoming more difficult for the rozzers and the Cabinet Office to suppress the truth.

What was the target?

You don't want to transport TATP very far, not unless you're a very silly terrorist, and ISIS's targets in the UK are assigned by GO2 in any event. We are therefore probably looking at a target in or near London. You also want to leave mixing your TATP as late as possible.

This suggests targeting an event no later than last weekend. Best guess is Trooping The Colour. They wouldn't have got close to HM the Queen, thank God, but they might have blown up a number of Her loyal subjects. Terrorists are cowards, so they would have been looking to murder unarmed civilians, preferably women and children, as at Manchester.

The Finsbury Park Mosque incident

Very conveniently for the authorities, a Welshman, Darren Osborne, allegedly drove a rented van into a bunch of Moslems emerging from late night prayers at the notorious Finsbury Park Mosque in North London. Osborne is allegedly far-right and is supposed to hate Moslems. One of these Moslems, a Bangladeshi named Makram Ali, had apparently decided to have a heart attack and collapsed on the pavement outside the building, just as Osborne turned the corner.

It is still unclear whether the poor man snuffed it before being run over. The rozzers are saying that he was still alive when he was run over, but the rozzers are lying about Westminster Bridge, Manchester Arena and Grenfell Tower. Nothing they say about a terrorist attack or a hate-crime should be accepted unless it has been independently verified.

There is no actual evidence of Osborne having far-right links, nor has he ever been accused, let alone convicted, of a hate-crime. The suggestion that Osborne is far-right is coming mainly from the rozzers, but then they also claimed that Thomas Mair, a member of the GO2 death squad which assassinated Jo Cox MP last year, was far-right. They lied about Mair and are probably lying about Osborne.

The Norwegian police also lied about Breivik, as I explained to a nice Norwegian lady on Saturday at the excellent Awake and Aware Conference in Watford, where I was a speaker. Like their counterparts in London and Manchester the Norwegian rozzers lied through their teeth about the Oslo terrorist attack. They knew perfectly well that there was more than one shooter, as they had access to the ballistics reports.

The Met lost no time in labelling the Finsbury Park incident a 'terrorist attack'. Not being state-sponsored, it was nothing of the sort. At most it was a hate-crime carried out by a disturbed individual who has not grasped that whilst most terrorists are Moslem, not all Moslems are terrorists. In fact only about one-third of Moslems seem to have terrorist sympathies, and less than 1% actually engage in acts of terrorism. We have some three million Moslems in the UK, but only about 30,000 or so jihadis, and many of those restrict their activities to online support for ISIS, or only engage in actual violence abroad.

At worst, the incident was staged by GO2 to relieve the political pressure on ISIS. The public reaction has been mooted, reflected in the fact that there wasn't a single question about the attack on Thursday night's BBC Question Time, which featured a panel of idiots, no offense intended, and the sensible Daily Mail journalist, if that is not a tautology, Peter Osborne.

Not the least curious feature of the Finsbury Park incident is that Osborne was reported to South Wales Police for being in drunk in charge of the van. The fact that he apparently needed Dutch courage in itself calls his enthusiasm for what he was about to do into question.

More to the point, why was he not arrested? Whilst not as serious as drink-driving, it is still an offense in this country to be drunk in charge of a motor vehicle. You don't even have to be drunk, given our absurdly low drink driving limits, as evidenced by the fact that a young man recently caught by some idle rozzers with nothing better to do, whilst driving in excess of the official alcohol limit, was perfectly well able to maintain control of his BMW automobile at 146 mph on the M4.

As evidenced by that case, motorists in this country are being tormented by trivia. The rozzers have been engaged in a vicious war against motorists since car ownership became widespread, much to their disgust, in the 1960s. There is scarcely a traffic officer in this country who has not lied to obtain a motoring conviction. The rozzers see motorists as the enemy, to the point where they maliciously hold up traffic after even minor accidents, just to cause jams and delay people's journeys.

The rozzers have known for over 20 years that their laser speed guns throw out three beams, not one, and are unsuitable for use in heavy traffic, where there are multiple targets, not least if the speed gun is beside the road, with fast-moving targets within range of the weaker side-lobes. That hasn't stopped traffic officers from making fools of the courts by concealing the truth, in tens of thousands of cases, one of the biggest scandals in the history of our justice system. Almost every working day somewhere in this country a morally worthless, lying rozzar is taking the stand pretending that his or her laser gun was aimed only at the primary target.

The credibility of the Official Version of Events falls down at the start. The suggestion that our motoring laws would be enforced sensibly by South Wales Police only has to be stated for its absurdity to be apparent, no offense intended to the boys in Bridgend. The last time to my knowledge that a policeman in South Wales enforced the drink drive laws fairly and sensibly was when the old man, if I may so describe him, and my late uncle George were stopped in the early hours near Pencoed whilst driving home from a very good party at a pub near an RAF station...^{*6}

Obama's America: That's Who We Are

During his presidency, Barack Obama was fond of hectoring the American people with the condescending refrain “that’s not who we are.” He would trot it out regularly to disparage as un-American anyone who disagreed with his predictably progressive policy views on immigration, refugees, health care, or Islamic terrorism.

Who we are, it turns out, is a statist country defined by whatever platitudes are found in the latest iteration of the Democratic Party platform. Who we are, *pace* Reagan, is an (almost) open borders country of hyphenated Americans with an unwavering faith that “a little intellectual elite in a far-distant capitol can plan our lives for us better than we can plan them ourselves.”

Obama’s America, lo and behold, is the real America.

Citizen Obama was at it again this week in a Facebook post slamming President Trump’s decision to wind down the Deferred Action for Childhood Arrivals (DACA) program (never mind that while in office, President Obama admitted on more than 20 occasions that he had no constitutional authority to create a DACA-like program).

“This action is contrary to our spirit,” Obama wrote. “Ultimately, this is about basic decency. This is about whether we are a people who kick hopeful young strivers out of America, or whether we treat them the way we’d want our own kids to be treated. It’s about who we are as a people—and who we want to be.”

Obama concluded his sermon by trying to define who it is that we are. The mistaken assumptions that inform his definition are common among elites on both ends of the political spectrum. Speaker of the House Paul Ryan (R-Wis.) probably could have offered the same definition (and has in the past come close). As such, his words are worth examining more closely as they are indicative of a particular mindset.

“What makes us American,” Obama first correctly observed, “is not a question of what we look like, or where our names come from, or the way we pray.” Indeed, America’s founding documents affirm the natural equality of all human beings, allow for the full naturalization of immigrants, forbid the establishment of a national religion and guarantee the free exercise of religion for all. In principle—though not in practice of course—anyone can become an American.

“What makes us American,” Obama then added, “is our fidelity to a set of ideals—that all of us are created equal; that all of us deserve the chance to make of our lives what we will; that all of us share an obligation to stand up, speak out, and secure our most cherished values for the next generation.”

As a statement of American national identity, this is partially true. It is true insofar as we are indeed a nation dedicated to certain ideals—the truths we hold to be self-evident. America, alone among the nations of the world, defines itself by a commitment to a set of universal ideas.

We are not, however, a mere “propositional nation,” defined only by abstract ideals and shorn of any ties to its past, its culture, its ancestors, its language, and its land. We are also a particular people bound by “the mystic chords of memory” and shaped by our way of life. Our Declaration of Independence, after all, does not begin with the self-evident truth of human equality, but with “one people”—that is, we Americans—assuming our separate and equal station in the world.

What makes us American is not just “our fidelity to a set of ideals”—but also that we speak English; that we honor our war dead; that we inhabit a land that stretches “from California to the New York island; from the redwood forest to the Gulf Stream waters”; that we naturally love *our* country and *our* countrymen more than we do the foreign nations and foreign peoples of the world.

Our dedication to the idea that all men are created equal does not detract from our rootedness in our own history and land. Nor ought it to weaken our attachment to our fellow citizens or erode our national sovereignty.

America, in other words, is both particular and universal. We are particular in our own way, just as Lesotho, Lithuania, and Laos are particular in their own ways. But we are exceptional in making certain universal ideals a constitutive component of our national identity (many countries today affirm these ideals too, but only we make them an inextricable part of who we are).

For some reason, intellectuals on the Right and the Left are uncomfortable with recognizing these two dimensions of Americanness. The neocons and the progressives would reduce us to a propositional nation; the paleocons and the traditionalists to a particular nation. The Founders, by contrast, did not see the need to choose between the two.

By excluding America as a distinct and sovereign country from his definition of America, Obama can suggest we should admit as citizens all those who subscribe to our creed. By his definition, they already are Americans in all but legal status. The distinction between Americans and non-Americans who share our ideals is but accidental.

America the idea has no borders. But America the country does—and must. And it has the sovereign right to control its borders. Regardless of what one thinks about immigration, DACA, and the so-called “dreamers,” there is nothing un-American about upholding the rule of law or affirming the fundamental political distinction between one’s fellow citizens and foreigners—no matter how long they have lived in our country.

In this regard, it is telling how Obama’s definition of who we are does not include the idea that we are a law-abiding people. However difficult it may be to define America, it should be readily apparent that the rule of law is embedded in our DNA. It undergirds our Constitution, it forms our character and it allows for the extraordinary levels of prosperity and freedom we enjoy. As President Trump noted in his statement explaining his decision: “We must also recognize that we are a nation of opportunity because we are a nation of laws.”⁷

... Why Did Obama Form ‘Alliance’ With Muslim Brotherhood?

As a Customs and Border Protection officer, Philip Haney knew the Obama administration was growing close to leaders of several Muslim Brotherhood front groups in the United States.

He knew that in January 2010, Secretary of Homeland Security Janet Napolitano met with several American Muslim leaders, including leaders of two Muslim Brotherhood front groups and another known Muslim Brotherhood affiliate.

The meeting was even hosted by the DHS Office of Civil Rights and Civil Liberties, which allowed attendees to help develop the nation’s counter-terrorism policy.

But why would the administration willingly partner with the Muslim Brotherhood or any of its affiliates?

At a panel hosted by retired Lt. Gen. Jerry Boykin at the Values Voter Summit in Washington, D.C., Saturday, Haney explained the Muslim Brotherhood's purpose and its objective in North America.

"The motto of the Muslim Brotherhood is wa-a'iddu, which means 'prepare,'" Haney said. "Prepare for what? Well, the word is derived from Quran 8:60—I-anfal, 'the spoils of war'—in my opinion, the most violent chapter in the entire Quran. Prepare to do what? Prepare to terrify your enemies and to defeat them in war."

If that is the aim of the Muslim Brotherhood, as emblazoned on the organization's logo, then Haney has just one question.

"My simple question to the Obama administration is, why would you form an overt political alliance with a group that has stated plainly that they intend to overthrow our form of government and alter or abolish it?" he asked. "Why would you do that?"

Haney, who worked for the Department of Homeland Security from its founding in 2003 until his retirement in 2015, chronicled his experience as a whistleblower in "See Something, Say Nothing: A Homeland Security Officer Exposes the Government's Submission to Jihad."

He was joined on the Values Voter Summit panel by Sebastian Gorka, professor of Strategy and Irregular Warfare of the Institute of World Politics in Washington and the chairman of Threat Knowledge Group, and Dr. Zuhdi Jasser, the founder and president of the American Islamic Forum for Democracy.

Republican presidential nominee Donald Trump spoke at the summit on Friday, and his running mate, Indiana Gov. Mike Pence, spoke after Haney's panel.

Haney spent years gathering valuable intelligence on the Muslim Brotherhood and other Islamic organizations. However, in 2009 his superiors ordered him to "modify" critical "linking information" from about 820 records he had entered into CBP's internal database. They told him they were no longer allowed to have any information in their database that connected Muslim Brotherhood members or affiliates to terrorism.

Haney also spent years gathering intelligence and developing a case on members of an Islamic movement known as Tablighi Jamaat. He told the Values Voter Summit crowd there were 1,200 law enforcement actions taken on this case against 1,600 individuals or organizations affiliated with Tablighi Jamaat. Haney himself was credited with finding 300 individuals affiliated with terrorism related to the movement. Furthermore, he discovered 25 percent of Gitmo detainees were affiliated it.

However, the Obama State Department refused to designate Tablighi Jamaat a terrorist group. In fact, the administration erased all 67 of Haney's records on this particular case, claiming they violated the civil rights and civil liberties of Muslims.

"The case included individuals that were affiliated with the San Bernardino mosque, Dar Al Uloom Al Islamiya San Bernardino, and therefore my plausible premise is that the [December 2015 San Bernardino] attack could have been stopped or averted," he said.

"The same with the Fort Pierce Islamic Center [Orlando shooter] Omar Mateen was part of, and his father is the vice president of. That mosque was also part of the same Tablighi Jamaat-Deobandi network."

Haney felt stinging regret and anger that the Obama administration shut down investigations that could have prevented two massacres.

"Why did they shut them down? That's what I'm here to ask today," he told the Values Voter Summit crowd.

Then, addressing the Obama administration, he launched into a series of questions: "Why did you eliminate the records from the system that led directly to the deaths of American citizens? Why are you protecting the civil rights and civil liberties of people like Tashfeen Malik? You said you did not query her social media because you were concerned about her civil rights and civil liberties. Why are you putting the rights of these people above the liberties and safety of American citizens?"

"I'd like you to ask your congressman that," he implored the crowd.

Haney admitted the issue is personal to him. He was investigated nine times by his own agency and stripped of his weapon. At one point, he lost his security clearance and access to all the systems he had used over the course of his career. All for daring to point out the terrorist threat posed by certain Muslim individuals and organizations.

DHS Secretary Jeh Johnson, in a congressional hearing on June 28 at which Haney testified, told Sen. Ted Cruz he did not know Haney and had not taken time to look into his claims. Johnson dismissed Haney's concerns as a question of labels and an interesting political conversation.

That cold shoulder disturbed Haney.

"The people in San Bernardino and Orlando and Fort Hood are not interesting political conversations or labels," Haney said. "They are people that died because of the ideology of the global Islamic movement, which is to implement Shariah and to replace or oppose any form of human legislation, and that includes the U.S. Constitution."

Haney does not regret that he saw something and said something, even if he got into trouble for it. When he joined DHS, he took a vow to defend the Constitution and laws of the United States against all threats both foreign and domestic. Although he is now retired, he still intends to keep his oath.

"I will go where my vow leads me," he assured the crowd. "I am not deterred. I will stand up and fight for our constitutional right for liberty and life and the pursuit of happiness in opposition to the strategy of the global Islamic movement, which is implementation of Shariah law, and the progressive leftists who seek to alter or abolish our form of government." ⁸

"Now, we are back in the period of 1908, and these minutes reported the following: The Trustees . . . bring up a single question; namely, if it is desirable to alter the life of an entire people, is there any means more efficient than war to gain that end? And they discuss this question. . . and they come up with an answer-- there are no known means more efficient than war, assuming the objective is altering the life of an entire people." —*Norman Dodd*

"That is why I read a lot about our founding fathers. They weren't stupid. Remember... They will help in the coming storm. Rebellion against tyrants is obedience to God." —*Benjamin Franklin*

"Guns don't kill people, the Government does!" —*Dale Gribble, King Of The Hill*



ABOVE: IMAGE SOURCE UNKNOWN — 'VISUALIZES SCALES OF THIS SOLAR SYSTEM'S PLANETS IN RELATION TO ITS SUN STAR SOURCE'

A Dance of Death in the West

There is a dance of death in the West and actual death in the Middle East, courtesy of the Islamofascists. Meanwhile, the Caesar in the White House entertains himself with a thousand sycophants, partying on behind closed doors as if the Islamofascist hand will not touch him. He thinks he's protected from this new plague, the Black Death of radical Islam.

We're facing something the West hasn't had to deal with since the wars of religion in the 16th and 17th centuries. When those religious wars ended in one place, they began in another. They lasted for over one hundred years.

The same thing is happening right now. The radical Muslims are on the warpath and they are against everyone else. They are against Muslims who are not as fanatical. They are against the members of all other religions. They think they are going to take us back to some pristine religious period in human history that never actually occurred.


It's all complete rubbish. These "faith warriors" live lower than the pigs they despise. They kidnap and rape 8-year-old girls and say the Quran authorizes it. They're not purists. They're killers. They're Nazis in head scarfs. They aren't leading a religious revival. They're trying to take us back to a state of barbarism that has been extinct for 1,200 years.

This is a barbaric revolution, and we have a man in the White House who denies its existence. But whether he chooses to acknowledge it or not, it's going to continue until someone puts a stop to it.

Jonathan Sacks called the fight against radical Islam the "defining conflict of the next generation." He likened radical Islam to a starfish. When you cut off a spider's head, it dies. But when you cut off the leg of a starfish, the starfish can regenerate it. Radical political Islam is a starfish. If you defeat ISIS or al-Qaeda, they will merely come back under another name.

Why would any government bring in unvetted Muslim immigrants at a time like this? It would seem that only an insane prince would do this to his country. But Obama is not insane. He's stoned. He's stoned on the orthodoxy of the progressive left. Obama and his supporters are drunk on their ideology. They think they're going to create a progressive utopia by continuing their attack on all Western values.

This is precisely how great civilizations of the past declined and eventually fell. They rejected the values that made them great and degenerated into narcissism and selfishness. They kept on partying until they were too weak to defend themselves. Then, the unthinkable happened. They fell. *9



Sources

- *1 = https://i.dailymail.co.uk/i/pix/2014/10/21/1413900207439_wps_44_PIC_BY_MERCURY_PRESS_Thes.jpg
- *2 = <https://voiceofeuropa.com/2017/12/how-islamisation-has-set-amsterdam-50-years-back-in-time/>
- *3 = https://cdn-images-1.medium.com/max/1600/1*3II5Ng4geZi9xpJ19KyqdA.jpeg
- *4 = <https://townhall.com/columnists/calthomas/2015/09/03/exchanging-one-country-for-another-n2047125>
- *5 = <https://www.wnd.com/2015/10/thousands-of-muslim-migrants-disappear-from-camps/>
- *6 = <https://www.veteranstoday.com/2017/06/25/it-was-isis/>
- *7 = <https://amgreatness.com/2017/09/08/obamas-america-thats/>
- *8 = <https://www.wnd.com/2016/09/dhs-whistleblower-why-did-obama-form-alliance-with-muslim-brotherhood/>
- *9 = <https://www.wnd.com/2015/11/a-dance-of-death-in-the-west/>
- *10 = <https://i.pinimg.com/736x/1d/2e/54/1d2e54c610d129fb333b99d8e9ebc066--quote-of-the-day-food-networktrisha.jpg>

This research paper was created without commercial intent—all materials were discovered online.

Acknowledgments

To all uncredited authors (*Sources*), whose work was sampled for compilation into this document:

Thank *you*.

God

The *All* that *IS*.

Donate

Yearly

AISF welcomes non-tax deductible donations to continue spiritual research and fund development of a Light in Life™ Online app, to enable guides and users to measure their Self-healing progress.

Donate via PayPal [paypal.me/allisfoundation] or Yearly (contributing to the foundation's future).

Contact

** PDF Documents may be freely shared/copied only if preserved entirely/unedited. © [All IS Foundation](#), 2019.*