

Ruling Structures [Rev. 20180724]

By J — Latest revision: http://allis.foundation/Ruling_Structures.pdf

Thus, a good man, though a slave, is free; but a wicked man, though a king, is a slave. For he serves, not one man alone, but, what is worse, as many masters as he has vices. *¹



ABOVE: "SHOCKWAVE, THE WORLD'S FASTEST JET-POWERED TRUCK CAN REACH... 400 MPH, THANKS TO ITS... MASSIVE JET ENGINES." *²

The Problem

... Totalitarian rule by world leaders and puppet scientists for the past seventy years (1945-2015):

1. Created a false conflict between physical sciences and spirituality.
2. Obscured the Sun's control over every atom, life and world in the solar system.
3. Destroyed the integrity of science and constitutional limits on government.
4. Left society unprepared to survive @ 1 AU (astronomical unit) from a stormy pulsar.^{*3}

The Solution

A Solution to Restore Contact with Reality and Integrity to Science

The love of money divided "scientists" from Reality after 1946, as surely as the love of money separated "religionists" from God throughout recorded history.

Reality: Facts. What actually is. Truth. "*things as they actually exist, rather than as they may appear or might be imagined*". Earth's heat source **is** the source of its chemical elements, a pulsar—now encased in the Sun's iron-rich mantle—and energized by repulsive interactions between neutrons that cause

1. Neutron-emission, releasing ~12 MeV, generating ~60% of solar energy
2. Neutron-decay, releasing ~1 MeV, generating ~05% of solar energy
3. Hydrogen-fusion releasing ~7 MeV of energy, generating ~35% of solar energy
4. Neutrinos, the solar wind, and material in the photosphere are by-products

These conclusions are consistent with a.) Copernicus' 1543 drawing of a Sun that controls the planets; b.) The best nuclear rest mass data available today; and now c.) Thousands of space-age measurements and observations...

Plan of Action: To understand the self-destructive behavior of humans, it is beneficial to detach from the problem and consider the self-destructive behavior of "*naked apes*," one of the more (if not most) intelligent, powerful, manipulative, creative, talkative and dangerous creatures living on an unusual ball of dirt at one (1) astronomical unit (AU) from the core of the Sun.

Evolution recently endowed naked apes with morals, spiritual values and lofty new motives that occupy much of their waking time without removing old, instinctive impulses that still control most of their behavior—but are studiously ignored—by naked apes. Naked apes have the surprising ability to "*see*" what they are looking for and to "*overlook*" what they do not want to see, including flaws in others that they overlook in themselves...

My Reality: In those parts of the universe that we know about, the conditions that exist on Earth are remarkable benevolent for naked apes living at the "*top of the food chain*" on a ball of dirt that is "*covered with water*", "*bathed in a continuous flow of energy*" (heat, light, low energy radiation, particles and fields), and "*protected from the deadly high energy radiation*" that originates in cores of heavy atoms, stars, and galaxies. The random chance that any point in the cosmos would be so beneficial to our lives is <<0.000000000000000000000000000000001

Thus ***the great benevolent reality surrounds and sustains us*** under conditions that are extremely rare, if not unique, in the entire universe! The great benevolent reality that surrounds and sustains us is attributed to a deity in most religious scriptures. God and Reality are indistinguishable for me.

The Solution: Leaders in the society of naked apes are distinguished by excess talents, good-looks, personality defects, and self-centeredness, including an inability to admit powerlessness over Reality (God). Leaders that guided society to its current demise are no more—or less—to blame than any other naked ape for “*doing what comes naturally*.”

From personal experience, teachings of various religions, and the conclusions of researchers and practitioners in the selfish, but also self-destructive behavior of humans, especially the 12-step program for addicts, it now appears that ***the solution*** will require leaders and members of society to **take** these steps:

1. Admit powerlessness over Reality (God, Nature)
2. Accept empirical Reality over models of Reality
3. Allow God/Reality to guide actions and policies
4. Inventory defects in self only, not in others
5. Admit defects in self to God and privately to another human
6. Become willing to give up self-gratifying defects
7. Humbly ask for removal of defects by God/Reality
8. Make a list of persons harmed and be willing to make amends to them all
9. Make amends where possible, except when to do so would injure others
10. Continue to inventory own actions daily, promptly admitting mistakes
11. Seek contact and guidance from God/Reality through prayer/meditation
12. Help others recover from selfish, self-destructive, responses to Reality ^{*4}

Earth Day

REVEALING QUOTES FROM THE FOLKS WHO BROUGHT YOU "EARTH DAY"

The agenda now followed by the environmentalist movement is so radical, and so removed from what the average American perceives as environmental concern, “Insiders Report” frankly didn’t think the reader would believe it. So, instead it was decided to let these radicals tell you of their plans in their own words.

Yes, those who brought you Earth Day really did say these things and worse, they really do believe them. Readers be warned!

"Isn't the only hope for the planet that the industrialized civilizations collapse? Isn't it our responsibility to bring that about?" Maurice Strong, Head of the 1992 Earth Summit in Rio

"We reject the idea of private property." Peter Berle, President of the National Audubon Society

"Free enterprise really means rich people get richer. They have the freedom to exploit and psychologically rape their fellow human beings in the process... Capitalism is destroying the earth." *Helen Caldicott of the Union of Concerned Scientists*

"Christianity is our foe. If animal rights is to succeed we must destroy the Judeo Christian Religious tradition." *Australian philosopher Peter Singer, the "Father of animal rights."*

"Pet ownership is slavery," "Animals are not ours to eat, wear, experiment on, or be entertained by." *Ingrid Newkirk, Founder, People for the Ethical Treatment of Animals (PETA)*

"The only really good technology is no technology at all. Technology is taxation without representation levied by an elitist species upon the rest of the natural world." *Friends of the Earth*

"If radical environmentalists were to invent a disease to bring human population back to sanity, it would probably be something like AIDS. It has the potential to end industrialization, which is the main force behind the environmental crisis." *EARTH FIRST!*

"The extinction of the human species may not only be inevitable but a good thing...This is not to say that the rise of human civilization is insignificant, but there is no way of showing that is will be much help to the world in the long run." *Editorial in the "Economist"*

"If I were reincarnated I would wish to be returned to Earth as a killer virus to lower human population levels." *Prince Phillip of Great Britain, leader of the World Wildlife Fund*

"In order to stabilize world population, we must eliminate 350,000 people per day." *Dr. Jacques Cousteau*

"Is it just me, or does everyone feel guilty for being alive, too?" *Jeremy Burgess*

"If you'll give the idea a chance, you might agree that the extinction of homo-sapiens would mean survival for millions, if not billions, of other earth-dwelling species." *Wild Earth Magazine*

"Among environmentalists sharing two or three beers, the notion is quite common that if only some calamity could wipe out the entire human race, other species might once again have a chance." *Richard Conniff, Audubon Magazine* *⁵

"Global warming, climate change—all these things—are just a dream come true for politicians. The opportunities for taxation, for policies, for control, for crony capitalism are just immense..."

"The changes that have occurred due to global warning are too small to account for..."

"It has nothing to do with global warming, it has to do with where we live..."

"Even many of the people who are supportive of sounding the global warning alarm, back off from catastrophism..."

"It's the politicians and the green movement that like to portray catastrophe." — MIT Professor Richard Lindzen

Population


According to the U.N. Population Database, the world's population in 2010 will be **6,908,688,000**.

The landmass of Texas is **268,820 sq mi (7,494,271,488,000 sq ft)**.

So, divide **7,494,271,488,000 sq ft** by **6,908,688,000 people**, and you get **1084.76 sq ft/person**.

That's approximately a 33' x 33' plot of land for every person on the planet, enough space for a town house.

Given an average four person family, every family would have a 66' x 66' plot of land, which would comfortably provide a single family home and yard—and all of them fit on a landmass the size of Texas. Admittedly, it'd basically be one massive subdivision, but Texas is a tiny portion of the inhabitable Earth.



Such an arrangement would leave the **entire rest of the world vacant**. There's plenty of space for humanity.^{*6}

... If we all lived like New Yorkers, for example, 7 billion people could fit into Texas. If we lived like Houstonians, though, we'd occupy much of the conterminous United States...

What's missing from it is the land that it takes to support such a city. In articles and comments about my infographic, some people overlooked that aspect—either mistakenly or intentionally. They shouldn't have. Cities' land requirements far outstrip their immediate physical footprints. They include everything from farmland to transportation networks to forests and open space that recharge fresh water sources like rivers and aquifers. And more. Just looking at a city's geographic extents ignores its more important ecological footprint. How much land would we really need if everyone lived like New Yorkers versus Houstonians?^{*7}

War

... a "war" on carbon dioxide has the double benefit of reducing carbon dioxide, which plants use to grow, would hinder growth of plants, and thus add to the scare of lack of crops. the more the crops fail, the more they will say that it was the global warming that caused it, not the lack of CO₂. *8

"Once the enemy of all mankind rejects the moral and cosmic order of the universe, he will inevitable wage war upon those who uphold order" —*Jonas E Alexis*

There is no doubt that eating processed meat increases your risk for colon cancer. But the thing is, it's a very low risk to start with. Smoking increases your risk of lung cancer by 2,500 percent. Eating bacon, lots and LOTS of bacon, increases your risk of colon cancer by 18%. The thing is, the chance of getting colon cancer in the next year is .000424 —42.4 per 100,000 people get colon cancer in a given year. Increasing that risk by 18% is seriously nothing to worry about... Statistically significant, but not "real world" significant. *9



MEN THEN

"MEN" NOW

ABOVE: COMPARING APPLES VS ORANGES, OR AN APPROPRIATE REFLECTION AFTER IMPOSING POLITICAL SOCIALIZATION ON MEN? *10

Unwitting Shills for Communism and Satanism

Liberals are dupes, what Communists call "useful idiots." I was one for most of my life. "Championing the oppressed" was a pathetic way to justify my life while being blinded to the real enemy. As I will demonstrate, liberals, funded by the Rockefellers and Rothschilds, are mostly unwitting pawns of a Satanic Communist agenda. They are like the lower Blue Degrees of Freemasonry, dupes. This sounds extreme but unfortunately, it is literally true.

Richard Rodriguez is a liberal propagandist. Flipping channels Thursday, I stumbled on this deceitful diatribe against men and the traditional family on the Rockefeller-funded PBS. Called "*Women on the Move*," it suggests that the school girls of Afghanistan are ready to fill the void left by men as the "male order falters and fails." Absurd as this notion is, coming from the Rockefellers, it conveys their desire for radical change and totalitarian control.

Rodriguez, who is gay, actually celebrates native women traitors who betrayed their people —La Malinche, Pocahontas and Sacagawea. (This is what feminists do when they become pawns of the oppressor.) He glorifies single mothers and flails "tribal leaders at war with modernity" because they want to protect their culture.

Newsflash: "Modernity" is Satanism, i.e. the deification of Man, i.e. Rothschild Cabalist Man. Remember what the Rockefeller Insider said in 1969: "there are always two reasons for anything the Rockefellers do: the pretext which makes it palatable to the gullible public and the real reason."

I get a kick out of sanctimonious liberals who contribute money to the "Public" Broadcasting System when obviously it is a Rockefeller tool. But then I contributed to PBS when I was a liberal.

The same day, a Toronto Globe and Mail article trumpeted "*A Remarkable Week for Gay Rights*." This is why I never buy newspapers. They are propaganda rags that have abandoned any pretense of objectivity or honesty. Why does author John Ibbitson rejoice when marriage, the central heterosexual rite of 96% of the population, is redefined to satisfy marriage-minded gays, who are less than one per cent of the population? Marriage is a heterosexual rite. What if Muslims started redefining Passover or Jews took over Ramadan? Homosexuals should have their own equal but distinct rites.

Even children of sperm donors crave a father and go to great lengths to find him, yet Ibbitson is happy to see traditional marriage trashed. When was the last time you saw traditional marriage and family celebrated in the mass media? Marriage is designed to provide a stable foundation for child rearing. Apparently this society cares little for its own survival and health.

What do Rodriguez and Ibbitson have in common? The not-so-hidden liberal agenda is to treat hetero and homosexuals as if they were the same, and to get women to choose careers over family and abandon child-rearing to the State. Not coincidentally, the Communist Manifesto advocates destruction of the nuclear family.

Subjected to this propaganda, it's no wonder that only 53% of Americans can definitely say they prefer capitalism to socialism...

Bella Dodd

Bella Dodd (1904-1969) a lawyer and Political Science professor, was a Communist Party organizer from 1932-1948 and a member of the National Council of the CPUSA from 1944-48.

In her book, "*School of Darkness*," she said Communists like her infiltrated and took control of liberal and socialist groups and unions. (She took control of the NY State Teachers Union.) She said Communism is a Satanic cult that is conspiring to enslave the world.

In testimony to HUAC in 1953, she revealed some Liberals "have allowed themselves to become supporters of the very members of this conspiracy. This is not liberalism, not liberalism in the finest sense of the word. This is just allowing the Communist to pull them into a propaganda environment which says that "anyone who is close to the Communist is a liberal. I do not believe that is the definition of "a liberal."

She offered the classical definition: "A liberal is a person who believes in the right of the individual to function. The Communist does not believe in the right of the individual. They believe only in the right of the collective. The individual is only part of a collective group, and whenever he doesn't move according to the collective, he is ousted from the group."

Mr. Kuzig: "So you would say that when so-called liberals today, self-denominated liberals, support and work with the Communist program, they are being deluded into thinking they are helping a liberal cause when it is not liberal."

Dodd: **"One of the great tragedies today is that these Americans do not realize that this would take civilization back to a barbarism which existed long before the Christian era..."** The Communists have a way of changing names and labels....How shall we recognize them, then ? ...by the fact that they believe that there is no God ; that a person is just born, grows, dies, decays, and that is the end. They believe that the individual doesn't matter; that the collective matters. They believe that certain people should have the power to run a country..."

Conclusion

As well as controlling society, the Rothschild banking syndicate uses the Left to extort and undermine the competition. During the French Revolution, the Rothschild mansion was spared by the rioting masses. The Bolsheviks were instructed to appropriate Russian factories and hand them over to the bankers.

We are all liberals in the classical sense of believing in individual freedom. But the Illuminati bankers have changed the definition of liberal to the spurious notion of championing the underdog. This has been used to divert, divide and undermine the majority, and subject the individual to the collective will which they define.

Until liberals wake up to what the Protocols refer to as "the true nature of things," they will continue to embrace their own destruction and that of Western civilization.

A cancer infects mankind. Central bankers who control the economy belong to a Cabalist Satanic cult called the Illuminati. It originated in the Sabbatean-Frankist Jewish heresy of 17th and 18th Century Europe. This occult movement is behind the "Enlightenment," "revolution," "secularism" and "modernity." It is behind socialism, feminism, zionism, communism and fascism.

The Illuminati control government, education and media by subtle networks like Freemasonry and intelligence agencies. They use these levers to degrade humanity to be cattle and serve them and their god, Lucifer, in a "New World Order." Mankind is on the receiving end of their hate, the victim of a cruel hoax of cosmic proportions.^{*11}


Paper Money

Edwin Vieira: Yes, the present central banking system is in the process of catastrophic failure. And this is a matter of self-destruction because the problem derives from the inherent unworkability of fiat currency and fractional reserves, not simply from the incompetence of the particular individuals appointed to manage the system from time to time. Which is why the Money Power intends to introduce a new currency in the near future, just as in America the Money Power supplanted State bank notes with National Bank Notes in the 1860s, folded the National Banking System into the Federal Reserve System in 1913, then reneged on redemption of Federal Reserve Notes in gold in 1933 (domestically) and 1971 (internationally). The formula has always been the same: As the paper-money and bank-credit scam implodes at a lower level, give the scheme a new lease on life by translating it to a higher level. But, in each case, the translation has required the promise—albeit one made to be broken—that the new currency will somehow be more stable than the one it replaces. So in a world increasingly disenchanted with and suspicious of irredeemable paper currencies, expect the new global currency to have some sort of gold veneer applied to it, so as to inspire unwarranted confidence amongst those uneducated in the long-term twists and turns of monetary and banking fraud. I doubt, however, that the new scheme will allow for actual redemption of the new paper currency in gold for individuals (as did the Federal Reserve System prior to 1933) or even for central banks (as did the Federal Reserve System between 1933 and 1971), for the very last thing the Money Power wants is for individuals to recognize that gold itself is money, that paper currency is not really money at all but only an oft-repudiated promise by the bankers to pay gold and that the only true monetary security for any individual demands that he should always enjoy the legal right and should always exercise the physical ability to hold his own gold in his own hands whenever he so desires. Nonetheless, the integration of gold into the new system will gull many proponents of sound money into supporting the scheme. "See," they will crow, "the bankers have been forced to return to a 'gold standard'. We have won!" And that approbation will enable the bankers to impose upon the entire world another century or so of monetary manipulations, redistributions of wealth, Ponzi pyramids and associated financial frauds and other chicanery. Every time I hear some purported champion of sound money call for returning the Federal Reserve System to a "gold standard," or for adopting a supra-national paper currency linked to a "gold standard," I wonder how it is that one hundred years of sorry experience with the Federal Reserve System has taught these people absolutely nothing.^{*12}

Between 805 and 820 there was a shortage of copper cash coins which proved to be a hindrance for daily business transactions in the Tang dynasty. The creation of the Flying cash happened after a tax reform that allowed for the partial acceptance of taxes in money, which had increased the demand for currency which scared the government that merchants would remove cash coins from the capital to circulate so they ordered the local governments to set up monetary systems based on silk, other fabrics, and daily items akin to barter which hampered long-distance trade in the Tang dynasty and harmed the national economy. The people that had the largest benefit from the introduction of Flying cash were tea merchants and these merchant helped improve the trade between the capital and the regions...

Flying cash was never originally meant to be used as legal tender and, therefore, their circulation was limited. However, since they could be exchanged for hard currency at the capital with an exchange fee of 100 *wén* per 1000 *wén*, they were traded amongst merchants as if they were currency. It was not until the Song dynasty and subsequent Jin occupation that paper money was officially established as a legal tender. Eventually, the Song Dynasty began to issue more notes to pay its bills—a practice that ultimately contributed to runaway inflation. The use of paper money spread westward through Mongol traders and, by 1661, European countries were printing paper currency.^{*13}

COMING MONEY TRUST



ABOVE: 1912 DRAWING WARNING OF BANKERS TOTAL CONTROL OF THE US ECONOMY IF FEDERAL RESERVE IS ESTABLISHED IN 1913. *14

Give It Revolution

Throughout all time and history
The world's been mauled tyranny
Now we're refusing to take it
The worst evil the world has saw
Were crimes defended by the "law"
Deny our rights and we'll break it

You got to break the chains that hold you down
Crush the tyrants to the ground
Freedom cannot be legislated
We'll bow down to no other one
The Father and His Son
They can't deny what he's created

Most wars never should have been thought
But this is a war that must be fought
We'll take a wrong and we'll right it
The greatest weapon of the fascist
Is the tolerance of the pacifist
We've got to stand up and fight it
We'll give it

You got to give it revolution, give it revolution
We're gonna give it revolution, give it revolution
You got to give it revolution, give it revolution
We're gonna give it revolution

Well you can put a bullet in my head
But you can't kill a word I've said
You got the disease, I got the solution

No matter how much or more you try,
you can never ever make this martyr die
I give it revolution
Revolution!

You could put a bullet in my head
But you can't kill a word I've said
Give it revolution

No matter how or what you try
You can never ever make a martyr die
Give it revolution
Revolution *¹⁵

In God We Trust

"The guiding principle and prayer of this nation has been, is now, and ever shall be 'In God We Trust.'"

—President John F. Kennedy

"My analysis is that most faith based systems depend upon an absolute moral order. The declaration of things as absolutely evil or absolutely good, as sin or virtue, puts liberalism into a horrible position because it's founded on no judgment on anything. As a result, any faith that is seriously practiced or understood is a challenge to the politics that depend on constituencies that would rather not be told that their choices are bad and their lives are not virtuous."

—Hugh Hewitt

"Most liberals in this country tend to treat Christians one of two ways: either with open, sniggering contempt or if they think they need their votes, they tend to switch over to hamhanded and grotesque pandering. That's not to say that there aren't liberal Christians, there are plenty of them, but they've just become accustomed to being treated by their fellow liberals like the sort of refuse you scrape off your shoes after a long walk through a cow pasture."

—John Hawkins

"We have no government armed with power capable of contending with human passions unbridled by morality and religion. Avarice, ambition, revenge, or gallantry, would break the strongest cords of our Constitution as a whale goes through a net. Our Constitution was made only for a moral and religious people. It is wholly inadequate to the government of any other." *—John Adams*


"Some even believe we are part of a secret cabal working against the best interests of the United States, characterizing my family and me as 'internationalists' and of conspiring with others around the world to build a more integrated global political and economic structure—one world, if you will. If that is the charge, I stand guilty, and I am proud of it." *—David Rockefeller*

"It is always observable, that those who are combined to destroy the People's Liberties, practice every Art to poison their Morals." *—Samuel Adams*

"We are rapidly coming to a point where a complete change of elected officials, including Congress and the White House, can mean little change in policy. You are governed more and more by people for whom you have never voted, for whom you never will vote, whom you have never seen, and whom you cannot recall by your vote. They are entrenched in the boards, bureaus and commissions, even at the policy level. For example, you may think that the Secretary of Labor sets the policy of his Department, but I know that much of the policy of that Department is set by Civil Service employees who have been with the Department for twenty years, and they have no intention, now or ever, of recommending to the Secretary of Labor any policy which does not fit their personal philosophy of government, and you cannot remove them or replace them by your ballot. That same situation exists in the State Department, and in fact in every bureau, board and commission. This is a form of invisible government and can lead to the most oppressive type of tyranny." *—Congressman James B. Utt*

"If the American people ever allow private banks to control the issue of their currency, first by inflation, then by deflation, the banks and corporations that will grow up around them will deprive the people of all property until their children wake up homeless on the continent their Fathers conquered." *—Thomas Jefferson*

"I believe in my God, in my country, and in my self" *—Paul Harvey*



“School failed me, and I failed the school. It bored me. The teachers behaved like Feldwebel (sergeants). I wanted to learn what I wanted to know, but they wanted me to learn for the exam. What I hated most was the competitive system there, and especially sports. Because of this, I wasn't worth anything, and several times they suggested I leave. This was a Catholic School in Munich. I felt that my thirst for knowledge was being strangled by my teachers; grades were their only measurement. How can a teacher understand youth with such a system? From the age of twelve I began to suspect authority and distrust teachers.”

— Albert Einstein

Hypocrites

I do not begrudge him his money or what he spends it on. I detest the man because he is a typical, hypocritical condescending smug Leftist who, after achieving great wealth through capitalism and the opportunity presented in America, supports and endorses a political ideology that would inflict great harm on the general public. While he ensconces himself inside his Bond villain lair, he promotes societal chaos, safe from the teeming masses of illiterate and violent illegal aliens he is helping to bring into the country. Then from on high, he pontificates about issues that the "little people" are concerned with as if imaginary; as if it won't be their communities destroyed, their loved ones killed or molested, their homes broken into. As for his phony concerns about internet access, his own company blocks and restricts content that HE doesn't like. Zuckerberg is just another two faced, lying Lefty weasel. ^{*16}

So let me get this straight... Phil Robertson gets basically thrown off the air for expressing (albeit not tactfully) HIS religious beliefs on the issue of homosexuality, but Mahmoud Ahmadinejad, who was the President of a country that beats, jails and stones to death homosexuals, gets invited to speak at Columbia University?? Hello??? Is anyone else throwing up from the hypocrisy here??? ^{*17}

Everything people learned to refute the proofs of scientists who declare that global warming is real they learned in elementary science class. These global warming advocates with their Ph.Ds. rightly believe that the general public is so ignorant of science that they will believe what they are told, no questions asked. They can get away with making claims they know the causes of global warming and can predict the future and the herd will follow them.

Most people do not understand that their science is based on computer models they designed "proving" that man is polluting the planet to death. The "facts" with which they programmed these models are all based on assumptions that children, at least those who paid attention to their science teachers in eighth grade, know to be phony. That most adults don't know these elementary facts is a testament to the failure of our government run education system.

These scientists, who claim they understand the science of global warming because they have doctorates and you are just an ignorant boob, are living a lie. If they are so smart, why do they say CO₂, a heavier than air gas, is rising and accumulating in the upper atmosphere? If they are so smart, why do they say the melting of the north polar ice, which is a giant ice cube floating on the ocean, will flood the world? If they are so smart, why do they throw this sh*t at us like a bunch of dumb monkeys?

Everyone who has been promoting the global warming scam has been doing so for one reason—greed. In order to make "clean, green energy" like wind, wave, and solar affordable they must make fossil fuels ultra-expensive. The politico-economics of eco-terrorism is the driving force for Democrats to fund their friend's green energy through crony government subsidies and defund their enemy's friend's (i.e. Republicans) subsidies through demonization.

The answer to all this government corruption is for the public to scream—'STOP THE CRONYISM! No more subsidies for anyone! Taxpayer money is not for you to give to your friends to make their business successful.' Why should government be permitted to take money from successful people and give it to their unsuccessful friend's businesses because they are campaign donors?

Let the free market decide who prospers and who fails and get government out of the socialism business.... ^{*18}

"History fails to record a single precedent in which nations subject to moral decay have not passed into political and economic decline." —*General Douglas MacArthur*

Poly-tics

The word **Parliament** means “**Speaking Lies**” from the French words *Parler* which means to speak, and *mentir* which means to tell lies. Also the word **Politics**, *poly* meaning many; *tics* are blood-sucking parasites; thus politics means many blood-sucking parasites. ^{*19}

Note: According to a linguist, the above comment is not technically accurate, but “truthful” nonetheless... ^{*20}

A Strong Man

Maurice Strong, widely considered the creator of the global environmental movement and its attendant population control agenda, died aged 86 on Saturday as world leaders prepared to converge on Paris for the UN COP21 climate change summit.

That 10-day meeting to draft an international treaty on climate change began Monday, and arguably, is the direct result of Strong’s decades-long, relentless, behind-the-scenes lobbying.

Indeed, in the UN environmental agency’s announcement of Strong’s November 28 death, executive director Achim Steiner stated that: “Strong will forever be remembered for placing the environment on the international agenda and at the heart of development.”

Former Governor General Adrienne Clarkson quipped on his 85th birthday that Strong “invented the environment,” while her husband, philosopher John Raulston Saul, in a glowing memorial in Canada’s *Globe and Mail* filed November 30 from Paris, described Strong as “the St. Paul of the environmental movement.”

But Strong’s lifelong cause has a dark and sinister side, summed up succinctly by Campaign Life Coalition president Jim Hughes: “This whole environmental movement is about depopulating the earth.”

Indeed, in the 1960s as a deputy minister in the Canadian government, Strong caused an uproar by suggesting there may come a need to require permits for bearing children. “That was controversial and I’ve been used to controversy ever since,” he told the *Guardian* in 2012. “Over the years I’ve noticed that this is one way of getting attention.”

Marked by poverty

By his own admission, Strong’s impoverished Depression-era childhood in Manitoba, and the influence of a confirmed socialist teacher, left him “frankly very radical,” as he is quoted by Ronald Bailey in the September 1997 *National Review*.

“This became a theme in his life, the need to deal with poverty,” noted Raulston Saul. “Mr. Strong had been brought up in poverty, and over the years he had seen its effects in the world.”

But while in a 1976 *Macleans* interview Strong described himself as “a socialist in ideology,” he added that he was “a capitalist in methodology.”

He was a remarkably successful one by all accounts, becoming a self-made millionaire. By age 35, he was CEO of the Montreal-based Power Corp, and thereafter ran a handful of other oil and energy companies, including a three-year stint piloting Ontario Hydro in the early 90s.

Strong was adept at switching focus from his corporate affairs to his public sector endeavors, which began in earnest in 1966. By 1968, he oversaw the founding of the Canadian International Development Agency under Liberal Prime Minister Pierre Trudeau.

He leapfrogged from there to organizing the UN's first environmental conference in Stockholm in 1972, where in his opening address he hinted at the need for "national population policies."

Later that year, he was appointed head of the newly formed United Nations Environment Program (UNEP), headquartered in Nairobi.

In 1976 Trudeau summoned Strong back to Canada to run the country's newly founded Petro-Canada, which he did for two years.

He returned to the UN in 1984, and by the time he organized the 1992 UN Earth Summit in Rio de Janeiro, Strong was the undisputed "leading force in creating a complex web of international agreements and expectations that we identify as international environmentalism," according to Raulston Saul.

"He was in many ways the Canadian most listened to in the rest of the world."

Abortion, occult, and pagan nature worship

He was also in a unique position to push his agenda, which, as Jacqueline Kasun points out in *The War Against Population*, included abortion, openness to the occult, and pagan nature worship.

But that was hidden behind euphemisms and disguises, including the term "sustainable development." As pointed out by Raulston Saul, Strong was able "to conceptualize and explain sustainable development when no one knew what it was."

Perhaps that's because by design, it's meant to represent an infinitely elastic concept that could morph to include whatever measures allegedly were needed to curb population growth for the sake of the environment.

Indeed, the UN's Brundtland Commission, of which Strong was a member, issued the 1987 Our Common Future Report which "promoted sustainable development" according to Peter Foster in the National Post. He described it as the notion that "(relatively) free markets were unsustainable."

The term came up over and over at Strong's Rio Earth Summit, where, writes Kasun, "the environmental population controllers hit the big time."

The Earth Summit issued *Agenda 21*, a 40-chapter manifesto that called repeatedly for "sustainable development," but which, Kasun wrote, it did not define. It did, however, state clearly that "the growth of world population" caused "increasingly severe stress on the life-supporting capacities of our planet." And it called for "measures to bring about demographic transition"—code for reducing births, Kasun observed.

Strong recognized the “strategic significance of the radical feminist movement,” Kasun notes, and among his prominent appointments in Rio was feminist Bella Abzug and her Women’s Environment and Developmental Organization. Agenda 21 was replete with references to “empowering women,” and “reproductive health”—code for access to contraception and abortion and sex education.

According to Steven Mosher of the Population Research Institute, Strong pushed Agenda 21 aggressively as assistant to the UN secretary general. As a result, 1,500 cities and towns around the world adopted its measures.

Agenda 21 also called for global governance, as will be seen later.

Gaia and the New Age mecca

Meanwhile, Gaia-worshippers joined radical feminists and environmentalists to complete Rio’s unholy trio, and Strong again took a leadership role.

Strong and his wife Hanne bought a 200,000-acre Baca Ranch near Creston, Colorado in 1978, and created the New Age Manitou Centre, which Kasun calls a “huge cult mecca for New Age devotees.” The Creston/Baca community is described by the Creston Institute as the “largest intentional interfaith ecumenical community in North America,” while Hanne Strong, according to Creston’s website, calls it a “demonstration project” where “[w]e focus on the human spirit, consciousness and sustainability.”

Moreover, the *Earth Charter*, which Strong launched in 2000 with former president of the USSR and founder of “Green Cross International” Mikhail Gorbachev, further underscored the “religious basis to environmentalism,” according to Kasun.

The Charter called for “sustainable … reproduction” and “sexual and reproductive health”—euphemisms for access to abortion, contraception and sex education.

Pagan religions worshipped nature and engaged in human sacrifice, she pointed out, adding: “Some see a re-play of ancient pagan practices in the modern marriage of ecology and abortion.”

Push for global governance

Strong organized the Earth Council Institute the same year as Rio, bringing on board members of the Club of Rome, of which he himself was a member, according to the National Review’s Bailey.

Among its many polemics, the Club of Rome’s 1972 paper Limits to Growth warned that the earth’s population would be unsustainable by 2030 unless “drastic measures for environmental protection” were adopted.

Strong himself echoed this dire prediction in his 2001 book *Where On Earth Are We Going?* Therein he said the idea that two-thirds of the world’s population could perish by 2031 was “a glimmer of hope for the future of our species and its potential for regeneration.”

The Club of Rome also floated the idea of “global governance” in its 1991 report *The First Global Revolution*, which called for “a greatly enhanced importance to the United Nations and other national systems” to deal with the coming environmental and population crisis.

Strong, at the time secretary-general of the United Nations Conference on Environment and Development, reiterated that sentiment the same year, pointing out that, “Current lifestyle and consumption patterns of the affluent middle class—involved high meat intake, use of fossil fuels, appliances, home and workplace air-conditioning and suburban housing—are not sustainable. **A shift is necessary which will require a vast strengthening of the multilateral system, including the United Nations**” (emphasis added).

A year later, the Commission on Global Governance was established with the support of the UN secretary general, and with Strong as a founding member. In its 1995 report *Our Global Neighborhood*, the commission called for a carbon tax to be used to fund UN activities, and urged an eventual phase-out of the veto power of the five permanent members of the UN Security Council.

Bailey wrote that this report formed the basis of Strong’s 1997 proposal to restructure the UN, promoted by then Secretary General Kofi Annan. The Strong/Annan proposal for UN restructuring did not occur, but the attempt added to Strong’s reputation as an advocate of global government.

Certainly PRI’s Mosher echoes this, noting in an email to LifeSiteNews that Strong was “one of the leaders of the global elite who believed that ordinary people cannot be trusted with either energy or access to the environment, and must be micromanaged.”

Indeed, Strong’s final admonition in Rio’s Agenda 21 warns that:

The concept of national sovereignty has been an immutable, indeed sacred, principle of international relations. It is a principle which will yield only slowly and reluctantly to the new imperatives of global environmental co-operation. **It is simply not feasible for sovereignty to be exercised unilaterally by individual nation states, however powerful.** The global community must be assured of global environmental security (emphasis added).

As a founding member of the Commission on Global Governance, and chairman of the Earth Council, Strong was “eager to see a global government set up with the power to tax, a standing army, and its own judiciary,” Mosher said. “All of which would mean the end of democracy and human rights as we know them.”

Or as Strong himself put it in his 2009 article “Facing Down Armageddon”: “Our concept of ballot box democracy may need to be modified to produce strong governments capable of making difficult decisions, particularly in terms of safeguarding the global environment.”

The National Post’s Foster observed that “as a lifelong socialist, he saw the potential of the environmental movement to fight capitalism and introduce a system of ‘global governance’ that would co-ordinate all human activity.”

“We must devise a new approach to co-operative management of the entire system of issues... We are all gods now,” he quoted Strong as saying.

Strong denied the global governance charge in the Guardian interview. “I am not in favor of world government,” he said. “It’s not even feasible.”

But he added: "The combination of population growth and growth in consumption is a danger that we are not prepared for and something we will need global cooperation on.... I really believe our future is in doubt."

Foster, who wrote that he had interviewed Strong "numerous times over a 20-year period," commented that Strong's "answer was always more power," noting that Strong wrote: "The single greatest weakness of the existing international legal regime is the almost total lack of capacity for enforcement."

China, Strong's natural home

Perhaps most telling is Strong's choice of refuge after his thirty-plus year career pulling the environmental levers at the UN came to an inglorious end. After he resigned when he was implicated in the 2005 UN oil-for-food scandal, Strong fled to Beijing for good.

Evidently he admired China, with its brutally enforced "one-child policy" that includes enforced abortion.

"China is one of the best managed countries in the world today," Strong told the left-leaning *Guardian*. And while its "one child policy is not a perfect policy by any means," the country has "done a remarkable job in increasing the well being of one of the largest populations of the world, but it's not easy."

And despite his erstwhile fall from grace, Strong, aged 82 at the time of the interview, insisted he was "still very active. I have close relationships at the UN" and "I'm still quite cooperative with a number of UN activities, in particular to China and that region."

Paris and beyond

Certainly, many acknowledged Strong's great influence following the announcement of his death (the details of which have not been released) on the eve of the Paris summit, the timing of which Raulston Saul noted was "a strange dramatic irony somehow characteristic of the man."

Among those lauding him was newly-minted Canadian Prime Minister Justin Trudeau, who said Strong "used his remarkable business acumen, organizational skills, and humanity to make the world a better place."

Many invoked the "ghost" of Strong to add urgency to the Paris Summit deliberations, where global leaders are expected to draft a treaty to replace the 1997 Kyoto Protocol, which came out of Rio's Framework Convention on Global Climate Change.

Foster pointed out that Strong will be represented at Paris through the lobbying of "radical environmental non-governmental organizations, ENGOs." Strong's sponsorship of these groups by facilitating their "government funding and entry into international meetings," was a key "strategic element" in his environmental agenda.

Raulston Saul wrote that the Paris Summit, "the direct outcome of the Stockholm Conference on the Human Environment in 1972," is the "latest, increasingly desperate attempt to force a serious deal on global warming" and "the latest reluctant attempt by world leaders to rise to the challenge of saving their citizens and the planet."

"It is up to Heads of State to take up his call in the next two weeks," wrote fellow environmentalists, Felix Dodd and Michael Strauss in the *Guardian*. "There couldn't be a better way of celebrating the life of Maurice Strong than securing a great deal in Paris on climate change."

Such rhetoric is typical of those pushing the environmentalist cause. According to CLC's Hughes, the inconvenient truth—that the global green agenda is intent on curbing the world's population, either through outright coercion or through societal pressure—seldom, if ever, comes up.

"The United Nations wants countries that have laws against abortion to remove them, so that abortion becomes an international human right," Hughes said.

"They never talk about fewer people on the face of the planet," but "use bafflegab so that the average person doesn't really see their agenda," he told *LifeSiteNews*, adding that even church leaders, including Pope Francis, may not see the whole picture.

"So many have drunk the Kool-Aid," Hughes concluded.

And, in this instance, that is Strong drink indeed.^{*21}




ABOVE: COMIC BY GLENN MCCOY *22 — AN "INCONVENIENT TRUTH" FILM IS BASED ON FALSE REPRESENTATION OF WEATHER DATA. *23

Michael ‘Hockey Stick’ Mann

Penn State climate scientist, Michael ‘hockey stick’ Mann commits contempt of court in the ‘climate science trial of the century.’ Prominent alarmist shockingly defies judge and refuses to surrender data for open court examination. Only possible outcome: Mann’s humiliation, defeat and likely criminal investigation in the U.S.

The defendant in the libel trial, the 79-year-old Canadian climatologist, Dr Tim Ball (above, right) is expected to instruct his British Columbia attorneys to trigger mandatory punitive court sanctions, including a ruling that Mann **did** act with criminal intent when using public funds to commit climate data fraud. Mann’s imminent defeat is set to send shock waves worldwide within the climate science community as the outcome will be both a legal and scientific vindication of U.S. President Donald Trump’s claims that climate scare stories are a “hoax.”

As can be seen from the graphs below; Mann’s cherry-picked version of science makes the Medieval Warm Period (MWP) disappear and shows a pronounced upward ‘tick’ in the late 20th century (the blade of his ‘hockey stick’). But below that, Ball’s graph, using more reliable and widely available public data, shows a much warmer MWP, with temperatures hotter than today, and showing current temperatures well within natural variation.



Michael Mann, who chose to file what many consider to be a cynical SLAPP (Strategic Lawsuit Against Public Participation) libel suit in the British Columbia Supreme Court, Vancouver six long years ago, has astonished legal experts by refusing to comply with the court direction to hand over all his disputed graph’s data. Mann’s iconic hockey stick has been relied upon by the UN’s IPCC and western governments as crucial evidence for the science of ‘man-made global warming’.

As first reported in Principia Scientific International (February 1, 2017), the defendant in the case, Canadian climatologist Dr. Tim Ball, had won “concessions” against Mann, but at the time the details were kept confidential, pending Mann’s response.

The negative and unresponsive actions of Dr Mann and his lawyer, Roger McConchie, are expected to infuriate the judge and be the signal for the collapse of Mann’s multi-million dollar libel suit against Dr Ball. It will be music to the ears of so-called ‘climate deniers’ like President Donald Trump and his EPA Chief, Scott Pruitt.

As Dr Ball explains:

“Michael Mann moved for an adjournment of the trial scheduled for February 20, 2017. We had little choice because Canadian courts always grant adjournments before a trial in their belief that an out of court settlement is preferable. We agreed to an adjournment with conditions. The major one was that he [Mann] produce all documents including computer codes by February 20th, 2017. He failed to meet the deadline.”

Punishment for Civil Contempt

Mann’s now proven contempt of court means Ball is entitled to have the court serve upon Mann the fullest punishment. Contempt sanctions could reasonably include the judge ruling that Dr. Ball’s statement that Mann “belongs in the state pen, not Penn. State” is a precise and true statement of fact. This is because under Canada’s unique ‘Truth Defense’ Mann is now proven to have wilfully hidden his data, so the court may rule he hid it because it is fake. As such, the court must then dismiss Mann’s entire libel suit with costs awarded to Ball and his team.

The spectacular rise and fall of climate alarmism’s former golden boy is a courtroom battle with even more ramifications than the infamous Scopes Monkey Trial of 1925. To much fanfare at the time, Mann had sued Ball for daring to publish the damning comment that Mann “belongs in the state pen, not Penn. State.” Dr Ball brilliantly backed up his exposure of the elaborate international money-making global warming scam in his astonishing book, ‘The Deliberate Corruption of Climate Science’.

In his books, articles, radio and television appearances, Dr. Ball has been resolute in his generation-long war against those who corrupted the field of science to which he had selflessly dedicated his life. Now aged 79, Ball is on the cusp of utter vindication. Despite the stresses and strains on himself and his family, Tim has stood at the forefront of those scientists demanding more openness and transparency from government-funded researchers.

As Ball explains:

“We believe he [Mann] withheld on the basis of a US court ruling that it was all his intellectual property. This ruling was made despite the fact the US taxpayer paid for the research and the research results were used as the basis of literally earth-shattering policies on energy and environment. The problem for him is that the Canadian court holds that you cannot withhold documents that are central to your charge of defamation regardless of the US ruling.”

Likely Repercussions for Science & Climate Policy

A bitter and embarrassing defeat for the self-styled ‘Nobel Prize winner’ who acted as if he was the epitome of virtue, this outcome shames not only Michael Mann, but puts the climate science community in crisis. Many hundreds of

peer-reviewed papers cite Mann's work, which is now effectively junked. Despite having deep-pocketed backers willing and able to feed his ego as a publicity-seeking mouthpiece against skeptics, Mann's credibility as a champion of environmentalism is in tatters.

But it gets worse for the litigious Penn State professor. Close behind Dr Ball is celebrated writer Mark Steyn. Steyn also defends himself against another one of Mann's SLAPP suits—this time in Washington DC. Steyn boldly claims Mann "has perverted the norms of science on an industrial scale." Esteemed American climate scientist, Dr Judith Curry, has submitted to the court an Amicus Curiae legal brief exposing Mann. The world can now see that his six-year legal gambit to silence his most effective critics and chill scientific debate has spectacularly backfired.

But at a time of much clamor about 'fake news,' it seems climate scare stories will have a new angle now that the United States has officially stepped back from the Paris Climate Treaty. President Trump was elected on a mandate to weed out climate fraud so his supporters will point to this legal outcome as vindication for a full purge. It makes a mockery of statements made by Mann last February when PSI's Hans Schreuder and John O'Sullivan publicly backed their colleague, Dr Ball and endorsed the revelations in his book. Mann reacted by moaning:

"It is difficult to keep up with this dizzying ongoing assault on science."

The perpetrator of the biggest criminal "assault on science" has now become clear: Dr Mann, utterly damned by his contempt of the court order to show his dodgy data.

There can be little doubt that upon the BC Supreme Court ruling that Mann did commit data fraud, over in Washington DC, the EPA's Scott Pruitt will feel intense pressure from skeptics to initiate a full investigation into Mann, his university and all those conspiring to perpetuate a trillion-dollar carbon tax-raising sting on taxpayers.

With the scent of courtroom victory invigorating pensioner Ball, he reveals he is determined to go for a second such court win this coming Fall. Then he defends a similar libel lawsuit in Vancouver, filed against him by fellow Canadian climate scientist, Andrew Weaver.

On that case Tim reports:

"The second defamation lawsuit involves Andrew Weaver and is scheduled for court in October 2017. We are not sure what will happen as Weaver, who was a lead author for the computer model chapter of four IPCC Reports (1995, 2001, 2007, and 2013), became a politician. He ran for and was elected leader of the British Columbia Green Party and is a sitting member of the provincial legislature. We must continue to prepare for the trial, but it is the prevailing view in the court system that if a scientist becomes a politician their scientific objectivity is compromised—it is considered the bias of a 'noble cause.'"


As a career-long defender of the scientific method, embracing open and transparent verification of important government research, Ball makes this promise to his loyal supporters:

"Regardless of the outcomes I am planning a major campaign to expose to the world how they used the court system to silence me because I dared to speak out against their claims and actions. I am not particularly bright but I had two major threats, I was qualified, and I had an ability to explain in a way the public could understand. These latter abilities were honed in teaching a science credit for arts students for 25 years."

Saving a final word for his friends and colleagues at Principia Scientific International (PSI) Dr Ball concluded:


"It goes without saying that I could not have done any of this without the support of people [like Gregg Thompson] who gave money and John O'Sullivan who gave superb advice from a legal and life experience perspective."

Dr Ball and his PSI colleagues are among those now calling for governments to set aside proper funding for 'blue team' scientists and experts skilled in critically examining claims made by so-called government 'experts' where they impact public policy. In the final outcome, these 'devil's advocates' of science (or 'skeptics') are the best defense against waste and corruption... *²³



ABOVE: "NOT LOSING SIGHT OF THE FOREST FOR THE TREES" — NOAA DATA SHOWS CLIMATE CHANGE IN HISTORICAL PERSPECTIVE. *²⁴

Der Kreislauf von Kraft und Stoff



ABOVE: ARTWORK BY 1920S POLYMATH FRITZ KAHN, REVEALS MAN'S DEPENDENCY ON VEGETATION TO PROCESS CO_2 INTO OXYGEN. *25

Global Warming Scare Might Make You Want to Kill Yourself


Too many “scientists” have too much grant money and too much computer time on their hands, thanks to the tens of billions of dollars in grant money (\$32.5 billion from 1989 to 2015, according the Science and Public Policy Institute) that the federal government has been dispensing for “climate change research.” Put together a proposal to find a new scary correlation, and odds are you’ll be bringing in the federal cash, to the delight of your university (that gets to appropriate a third or so of the grant for “overhead.”) That’s why we have hundreds and hundreds of bad things that global warming (or climate change) supposedly will cause...

More people are likely to take their own lives as the planet warms, say researchers at Stanford University and UC Berkeley in a study published Monday that suggests yet another worrisome impact of climate change.

The multidisciplinary research team looked at nearly 1 million suicides in North America and found that hotter temperatures correlate with higher suicide rates. The warming projected through 2050, the group figures, could increase suicide rates by 1.4 percent in the U.S. and 2.3 percent in Mexico over that time, resulting in 21,000 additional deaths in the two nations.

The role of heat, the authors said, may be just as significant as other, more well-known drivers of suicide, like economic hardship, which also pushes rates up, and suicide prevention programs and gun control legislation, which tend to push rates down.

I certainly feel despair when it gets too hot. That’s why I moved to coastal California, where it rarely gets into the nineties. But, if this holds water, we ought to see that suicide rates pretty closely correlate with average temperatures. But a look at suicide rates by country reveals no such relationship... a map, with darker shades indicating a higher suicide rate, also offers no clustering around the equator... this sounds like a pretty far-fetched cause for worry. ^{*26}



Sources

- *1 = https://books.google.com/books?id=Fv1UZSg_zjEC&pg=PA194
- *2 = <https://www.flatout.com.br/shockwave-o-caminhao-a-jato-mais-rapido-do-mundo-e-tao-assustador-quanto-incrivel/>
- *3 = <https://stevengoddard.wordpress.com/2015/01/19/my-climate-forecast-3/> (user comment)
- *4 = <https://omanuel.wordpress.com/about/> (user comment)
- *5 = http://www.restoringamerica.org/revealing_quotes.htm
- *6 = <https://overpopulationisamyth.com/episode-1-overpopulation-the-making-of-a-myth/>
- *7 = <https://persquaremile.com/2012/08/08/if-the-worlds-population-lived-like/>
- *8 = <http://www.thedailybell.com/news-analysis/34720/IPCC-Global-Warming-Leak-Proverbial-Third-Shoe-Dropping/> (user comment)
- *9 = <https://disqus.com/by/geraldbelton/>
- *10 = https://static.fjcdn.com/large/pictures/af/29/af29c9_2487497.jpg
- *11 = https://web.archive.org/web/20120521035425/http://www.henrymakow.com/liberals_are_shills_for_commun.html
- *12 = <http://www.thedailybell.com/exclusive-interviews/28672/Anthony-Wile-Edwin-Vieira-on-His-New-Book-The-Sword-and-Sovereignty-and-Where-the-US-Went-Wrong/>
- *13 = https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Flying_cash
- *14 = http://www.tomatobubble.com/federal_reserve.html
- *15 = <https://www.azlyrics.com/lyrics/suicidaltendencies/giveitrevolution.html>
- *16 = Source not found (user comment)
- *17 = Source not found (user comment)
- *18 = <http://liberalsbackwardsthink.com/2014/07/09/refuting-global-warming-science-is-elementary/>
- *19 = <https://futuristrendcast.wordpress.com/2014/05/28/truth-lie-and-propaganda-an-appeal-to-the-american-people-from-a-ukrainian/> (user comment)
- *20 = <https://futuristrendcast.wordpress.com/tag/etymology/>
- *21 = <https://www.lifesitenews.com/news/maurice-strong-father-of-the-globalist-eco-control-movement>
- *22 = <http://glennmccoy.com>
- *23 = <https://principia-scientific.org/breaking-fatal-courtroom-act-ruins-michael-hockey-stick-mann/>
- *24 = <https://www.heritage.org/environment/commentary/inconvenient-truth-liberal-climate-inquisition-cant-explain-past-temperature>
- *25 = <http://consortianet.tumblr.com/post/92738858845/fritz-kahn-man-as-machine-and-the-birth-of>
- *26 = https://www.americanthinker.com/blog/2018/07/the_latest_global_warming_scare_might_make_you_want_to_kill_yourself.html

This research paper was created without commercial intent—all materials were discovered online.

Acknowledgments

To all uncredited authors (*Sources*), whose work was sampled for compilation into this document:

Thank you.

God

The *All* that *IS*.

[**Donate**](#)

[**Yearly**](#)

AISF welcomes non-tax deductible donations to continue spiritual research and fund development of a Light in Life™ Online app, to enable guides and users to measure their Self-healing progress.

[Donate](#) via PayPal (if/when research helps you) or [Yearly](#) (contributing to the foundation's future).

Contact

* PDF Documents may be freely shared/copied only if preserved entirely/unedited. © *All IS Foundation*, 2018.