


Republicanism [Rev. 20190330]

By J — Latest revision: <http://allis.foundation/Republicanism.pdf>

Republicanism is a representative form of government organization. It is a political ideology centered on citizenship in a state organized as a republic. Historically, it ranges from the rule of a representative minority or oligarchy to popular sovereignty. It has had different definitions and interpretations which vary significantly based on historical context and methodological approach.


Republicanism may also refer to the non-ideological scientific approach to politics and governance. As the republican thinker John Adams stated in the introduction to his famous *Defense of the Constitution*, the "science of politics is the science of social happiness" and a republic is the form of government arrived at when the science of politics is appropriately applied to the creation of a rationally designed government. Rather than being ideological, this approach focuses on applying a scientific methodology to the problems of governance through the rigorous study and application of past experience and experimentation in governance...^{*1}



ABOVE: "WHEN GROWING INTO ADULTHOOD, ONE GIVES EVER MORE POWER TO NEGATIVE EXPERIENCE, DISTORTING TRUTH OF LIGHT" *2

"I am a most unhappy man. I have unwittingly ruined my country. A great industrial nation is controlled by its system of credit. Our system of credit is concentrated. The growth of the nation, therefore, and all our activities are in the hands of a few men... We have come to be one of the worst ruled, one of the most completely controlled and dominated Governments in the civilized world no longer a Government by free opinion, no longer a Government by conviction and the vote of the majority, but a Government by the opinion and duress of a small group of dominant men." —*Woodrow Wilson (after signing the Federal Reserve into existence)*

Scientists Confirm a Structural Similarity Found In Both Human Cells and Neutron Stars



ABOVE: "SIMILAR SHAPES—STRUCTURES CONSISTING OF STACKED SHEETS CONNECTED BY HELICAL RAMPS—HAVE BEEN FOUND IN CELL CYTOPLASM (LEFT) AND NEUTRON STARS (RIGHT)." CREDIT: UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA, SANTA BARBARA

We humans may be more aligned with the universe than we realize. According to research published in the journal *Physical Review C*, neutron stars and cell cytoplasm have something in common: structures that resemble multistory parking garages.

In 2014, UC Santa Barbara soft condensed-matter physicist Greg Huber and colleagues explored the biophysics of such shapes—helices that connect stacks of evenly spaced sheets—in a cellular organelle called the endoplasmic reticulum (ER). Huber and his colleagues dubbed them Terasaki ramps after their discoverer, Mark Terasaki, a cell biologist at the University of Connecticut.

Huber thought these "parking garages" were unique to soft matter (like the interior of cells) until he happened upon the work of nuclear physicist Charles Horowitz at Indiana University. Using computer simulations, Horowitz and his team had found the same shapes deep in the crust of neutron stars.

"I called Chuck and asked if he was aware that we had seen these structures in cells and had come up with a model for them," said Huber, the deputy director of UCSB's Kavli Institute for Theoretical Physics (KITP). "It was news to him, so I realized then that there could be some fruitful interaction."

The resulting collaboration, highlighted in *Physical Review C*, explored the relationship between two very different models of matter.

Nuclear physicists have an apt terminology for the entire class of shapes they see in their high-performance computer simulations of neutron stars: nuclear pasta. These include tubes (spaghetti) and parallel sheets (lasagna) connected by helical shapes that resemble Terasaki ramps.

"They see a variety of shapes that we see in the cell," Huber explained. "We see a tubular network; we see parallel sheets. We see sheets connected to each other through topological defects we call Terasaki ramps. So the parallels are pretty deep."

However, differences can be found in the underlying physics. Typically matter is characterized by its phase, which depends on thermodynamic variables: density (or volume), temperature and pressure—factors that differ greatly at the nuclear level and in an intracellular context.

"For neutron stars, the strong nuclear force and the electromagnetic force create what is fundamentally a quantum-mechanical problem," Huber explained. "In the interior of cells, the forces that hold together membranes are fundamentally entropic and have to do with the minimization of the overall free energy of the system. At first glance, these couldn't be more different."

Another difference is scale. In the nuclear case, the structures are based on nucleons such as protons and neutrons and those building blocks are measured using femtometers (10^{-15}). For intracellular membranes like the ER, the length scale is nanometers (10^{-9}). The ratio between the two is a factor of a million (10^{-6}), yet these two vastly different regimes make the same shapes.

"This means that there is some deep thing we don't understand about how to model the nuclear system," Huber said. "When you have a dense collection of protons and neutrons like you do on the surface of a neutron star, the strong nuclear force and the electromagnetic forces conspire to give you phases of matter you wouldn't be able to predict if you had just looked at those forces operating on small collections of neutrons and protons."

The similarity of the structures is riveting for theoretical and nuclear physicists alike. Nuclear physicist Martin Savage was at the KITP when he came across graphics from the new paper on arXiv, a preprint library that posts thousands of physics, mathematics and computer science articles. Immediately his interest was piqued.

"That similar phases of matter emerge in biological systems was very surprising to me," said Savage, a professor at the University of Washington. "There is clearly something interesting here."

Co-author Horowitz agreed. "Seeing very similar shapes in such strikingly different systems suggests that the energy of a system may depend on its shape in a simple and universal way," he said.

Huber noted that these similarities are still rather mysterious. "Our paper is not the end of something," he said. "It's really the beginning of looking at these two models." ^{*3}

Why Things Always Go Wrong

Things always go wrong because society has been inducted into a satanic cult. Things are *meant* to go wrong. And they *aren't meant* to get better.

I hate to be the bearer of bad tidings, and sincerely hope I am incorrect. Things are *not* going to get better.

When you have the proper paradigm, you'll understand why.

We are all to varying degrees trapped inside a satanic cult. Things are not getting better because that's *not* the way these cults work.

In a satanic cult, *things get worse*. Satanic cults are dedicated to increasingly controlling and exploiting their members by sickening or perverting them...

Most bad things happen because we are living in a satanic cult, not because of random error. The "leadership" is chosen by their willingness to do evil: sell their souls to the devil and betray their fellow countrymen. They are all high ranking Freemasons (Cabalists.) Cabalism or Satanism is the inversion of all spiritual ideals. Evil is good, sick is healthy, lies are truth, ugly is beauty, unnatural is natural.

George Orwell said we can't see what is in front of our nose. The materialism. The endless, heinous wars, currently in Syria and Iraq. False flag terror including 9-11. The promotion of gender dysfunction. Anonymous sex. Family law. The occult takeover of pop music. The sexualization of children via "sex ed." Television and many movies are an indoctrination into personal dysfunction and criminality. If you take your cues from society, you are likely going to be messed up.

Let me grab a few examples from the headlines:

Dress codes in Britain will no longer correspond to gender. Boys can wear skirts to school. "Schools want to be more open to students that question their gender identity."

Four-year-old children are being given sex-change operations. As a child, a friend hated dolls and liked action figures. It was a phase. She is a healthy happy woman today. But can you imagine if she were a child today?

The Vice President, Joe Biden, **makes pedophile comments** to an eleven-year-old child.

A reader writes, "I slowed down that Biden clip a bit you posted on your twitter feed. I am almost 100% certain that he initially says to the girl in whispers "By the way, do you know how horny I am..." At the end, it almost sounds like her father says, "Let me know when you want her." I'm trying to remove the background noise, but there is so much unfortunately...It obviously looks like an Illuminati sex slave offering of some sort, really horrible stuff... And they don't even care if the camera is rolling, they are so secure in their power, they must be..."

Politics




This meme shows how most people have been inducted into this cult. Hillary's supporters have signed on to a "leader" who is a Communist, an obvious criminal, a mass murderer, and likely a satanist. Brother Nathaniel says her occult agenda would qualify her for antichrist. Yes millions of liberals, including most of the social elite, support her. Do I need say more? They are all active, even fervent, participants in a satanic cult. They don't know it but they have actively and willingly embraced evil. They are complicit.

Trump is no better. Can we trust a Freemason who has hobnobbed with the Illuminati Jewish satanists all his life? Yet, many of us have signed on to this branch of the satanic cult. Cast a vote for Trump because the Illuminati Jews appear to hate him. Treat it like a lottery ticket. But don't let them have your heart and soul too. **That's joining the cult.**

And don't hold your breath. Nothing is likely to change. Would Ford move their small car production to Mexico if they were worried about Trump?

The Template

In *Judaism Original Template for NWO*, I suggest that Judaism is the original Illuminati satanic cult model. In other words, Jews were the original victims of Jewish satanism, i.e. Kabbalah. They were controlled and exploited by rich Jews and rabbis. But many were converted and, thanks to Freemasonry, the sickness which masks itself as "progressivism" (really Communism) took over society as a whole.



(Cartoon from *Der Stürmer* depicted how *Organized Jewry* used greed to corrupt Gentile society. Monarchy, artists, professors, jurists, women were all swallowed up.)

That's how we became a satanic cult. So in a sense, the Nazis were right. As the son of Jewish holocaust survivors, this is hard to admit. The demented megalomaniac mission of Illuminati Jewish bankers puts all Jews in jeopardy. Especially so, if they created the Nazis as a ploy to discredit and destroy resistance to their pernicious agenda.

Satanism is the agenda of Organized Jewry and Freemasonry. It has spread like a cancer throughout society, which has succumbed to Satanism in the guise of secularism. But most individuals—Jews, Freemasons, Hillary and Trump supporters—are not conscious Satanists. They don't know they are ensnared. They suffer from cognitive dissonance. They need to declare independence from society and pledge allegiance to a Higher Truth. Humanity is not our proper study. **Perfection is.** ^{*4}

"The thirst for glory is an epidemic which robs people of their judgment, seduces their vanity, cheats them of their interests, and corrupts their consciences." —*William Graham Sumner*

"Adventure is not outside man; it is within." —*George Eliot*

"An Illuminati Grande Dame will assist the programmers to insure that the proper script is given to the child and that a psychotic break doesn't occur causing the victim to lose their mind."

—*Fritz Springmeier, The Illuminati Formula to Create a Mind Control Slave*

The Two Faces of Islam

One face is for Islam's useful idiots the other is for Islam's faithful.

The Koran is not arranged in chronological order. When it is arranged in chronological order it is clear that *the* Koran undergoes a serious transition after Muhammad's first real triumph on the battlefield at Badr in 624. The period following that battle is called the Medina period of the prophet's life. Conceptually this transition can be seen as a transition from the Old Koran to the New Koran. Unlike the transition from the Old to the New Testament, the transition from the "Old" Koran (pre-Medina) to the "New" Koran (Medina) is a transition to a more vengeful, demanding, supremacist God.

He who at Mecca is the admonisher and persuader, at Medina is the legislator and the warrior, who dictates obedience, and uses other weapons than the pen of the Poet and the Scribe.

In practice, Quranic abrogation results in a known doctrinal footprint that subordinates the milder, more moderate verses of the Quran from the Meccan period of revelation, to the later and violent verses of the Medina period. Islamic law is substantially derived from the Medinan period. Where a conflict exists, anything said during the Medinan period overrules anything on the same subject in the Meccan. And anything said in the later part of the Medinan period either overrules or controls anything said in the earlier part.

In an attempt to polish Islam's image, Muslim activists usually quote verses from the Quran that were written in the early days of the Islamic movement while Mohammed lived in Mecca. Those passages make Islam appear loving and harmless because they call for love, peace and patience. Such is a deception. The activists fail to tell gullible people that such verses, though still in the Quran, were nullified, abrogated, rendered void by later passages that incite killing, decapitations, maiming, terrorism and religious intolerance. The latter verses were penned while Mohammed's headquarters was based in Medina. [The Quran's Doctrine of Abrogation—Abdullah Al Araby]

A clear-cut example of this principle of abrogation is the oft quoted passage from the Old Koran, "Let there be no compulsion in religion." (Q 5:99) which is abrogated by chronologically later passages such as these:

Quran (3:56) - "As to those who reject faith, I will punish them with terrible agony in this world and in the Hereafter, nor will they have anyone to help."

Quran (8:12) - "I will cast terror into the hearts of those who disbelieve. Therefore strike off their heads and strike off every fingertip of them"

Quran (9:29) - "Fight those who believe not in Allah nor the Last Day, nor hold that forbidden which hath been forbidden by Allah and His Messenger, nor acknowledge the religion of Truth, (even if they are) of the People of the Book, until they pay the Jizya with willing submission, and feel themselves subdued."

Quran (17:16) - "And when We wish to destroy a town, We send Our commandment to the people of it who lead easy lives, but they transgress therein; thus the word proves true against it, so We destroy it with utter destruction."

And on it goes. Apologists for Islam insist these passages refer to retaliatory measures to be taken when attacked.

Regarding these passages, it is to be noted that the Koran is the word of God and not a testament as are the Old and New Testaments and is thereby much less subject to “interpretation.” Moreover, it is axiomatic in Islam that Muhammad is the perfect male to be emulated as much as possible by all male Muslims. The post-Meccan Muhammad is a warrior, raider, conqueror, and subjugator of the non-Islamic world. The mission of all good Muslims is, correspondingly, the spread of Islam any means possible.

The great divide between the Old Koran, which is relatively tolerant and accepting of Jews and Christians, and the New Koran which views Jews and Christians as vermin worthy only extermination or servile groveling before their Muslim masters, enables the supremacist mandate in Islam to present one face to the West’s useful idiots and another to the faithful.

It has worked.

The Old Koran is used to piously claim that terrorism, suicide bombing, and persecution of religious minorities and disempowerment of women are “un-Islamic.”

What is the useful-idiot version of Islam? Here it is a culled version based on quotes from President Bush’s comments on Islam:

Islam is a faith that brings comfort to people. It inspires them to lead lives based on honesty, and justice, and compassion. It's a faith that has made brothers and sisters of every race. It's a faith based upon love, not hate. Mohammad's word has guided billions of believers across the centuries, and those believers built a culture of learning and literature and science. All the world continues to benefit from this faith and its achievements.

And here is President Obama solemnly declaring that Islam is based on the principles “of justice and progress, tolerance and the dignity of all human beings.”

But here are the real five pillars of Islam for the faithful:

1. *Islam is to dominate over all other religions Q9:33, 61:9, 8:39*
2. *Muslims are to purify all of Arabia of its Pagans who can convert or be killed Q9:5 3.*
3. *Muslims are to fight and subjugate other non-Muslims and subdue and make them inferior second-class citizens (Dhimmis) to pay jizya (humiliation tax) to save their lives. Q9:29*
4. *Muslims are to have hatred and enmity forever for non-Muslims until they worship Allah alone Q60:4, they should fight those unbelievers close to them and let them find harshness in the Muslims Q9:123*
5. *Muslims must engage in this jihad (struggle) as this fighting is ordained for them even if they dislike it 2:216 and they are told they can overcome much greater enemies to a multiple of 10 times or more Q8:65*


From George W. Bush to Hillary Clinton the “hijacked Islam” or “un-Islamic” meme has infected U.S. foreign policy and enabled creeping Sharia at home. It seems to have gotten to the point that exonerating Islam is the primary concern of U.S. foreign and defense policy with regard to terrorist activity from ISIS to Fort Hood to Boko Haram. The first order of business is to insure that “us folks” understand that terrorism has nothing to do with Islam. Just after the terrible treatment of Yazidis and the beheading of Foley, practically the first words out of President Obama’s mouth were that “ISIL speaks for no religion.”

The Obama crew has been suckered, bamboozled, and taqiyyaed into believing the Islam of the Old Koran is the real Islam. But as the menacing face of the Islam of the New Koran turns fully into view it is becoming increasingly clear that it is the Obama team of useful idiots who have been hijacked. Even CNN has published a report showing that al Qaeda affiliated groups are gaining strength:

Last year's most lethal incidents were carried out by the Taliban in both Afghanistan and Pakistan, Nigeria's Boko Haram, al Qaeda in Iraq, al Qaeda in the Arabian Peninsula, and ISIL

That was in April, before ISIL showed its real power. And wait till we pull out of Afghanistan. It will be brutally clear that it is not ISIL but Obama and his crew of Islam's useful idiots that "speak for no religion." *5

Islamic Invasion Of India: The Greatest Genocide In History



ABOVE: THE MUGHAL EMPIRE'S SPREAD AND OCCUPATION OF INDIA.

Muslim historian Firishta [full name Muhammad Qasim Hindu Shah, born in 1560 and died in 1620], the author of the Tarikh-i Firishta and the Gulshan-i Ibrahim, was the first to give an idea to the medieval bloodbath that was India during Muslim rule, when he declared that over 400 million Hindus got slaughtered during Muslim invasion and occupation of India. Survivors got enslaved and castrated. India's population is said to have been around 600 million at the time of Muslim invasion. By the mid 1500's the Hindu population was 200 million.

By the time the British arrived to the shores of India and after centuries of Islamic law ruling India, the Hindu population was not behaving like their normal self; they were behaving like Muslims. There are many witness reports from the British archives of horrendous Hindu incidents that were shocking in cruelty to the British—and they therefore sometimes referred to the people as “savages”. Yes, anyone who gets contaminated by the association with Islamic ‘culture’ truly gets tainted and savaged. That is exactly why it is so detrimental and dangerous.

Today, like other cultures with a soul massacred by Islam, India is not truly a Hindu nation. India is a shadow of Islam, a Hindufied version of Islam, where every human atrocity has been emulated and adopted into a culture previously alien to such brutality. And in association with it's foreign mohamedan pest, these Islamic habits have become adopted and accepted as a “normal” part of Indian culture. But if we look at pre-Islamic Indian culture it was a in general a benevolent culture of knowledge and learning, much more so than it is today.

From the time of the Umayyad Dynasty (711AD) to the last Mughal, Bahadur Shah Zafar (1858), so widely praised as great leaders by Indian historians themselves, entire cities were burnt down and the populations massacred, with hundreds of thousands killed in every campaign, and similar numbers deported as slaves. Every new invader made (often literally) his hills of Hindus skulls. Thus, the conquest of Afghanistan in the year 1000 was followed by the annihilation of the Hindu population; the region is still called the Hindu Kush, i.e. “Hindu slaughter.”




The genocide suffered by the Hindus and Sikhs of India at the hands of Arab, Turkish, Mughal and Afghan occupying forces for a period of 800 years is as yet formally unrecognised by the World.

The only similar genocide in the recent past was that of the Jewish people at the hands of the Nazis.

The holocaust of the Hindus in India was of even greater proportions, the only difference was that it continued for 800 years, till the brutal regimes were effectively overpowered in a life and death struggle by the Sikhs in the Punjab and the Hindu Maratha armies in other parts of India in the late 1700's.

We have elaborate literary evidence of the World's biggest holocaust from existing historical contemporary eyewitness accounts. The historians and biographers of the invading armies and subsequent rulers of India have left quite detailed records of the atrocities they committed in their day-to-day encounters with India's Hindus.

These contemporary records boasted about and glorified the crimes that were committed—and the genocide of tens of millions of Hindus, mass rapes of Hindu women and the destruction of thousands of ancient Hindu / Buddhist temples and libraries have been well documented and provide solid proof of the World's biggest holocaust.



Dr. Koenraad Elst in his article "Was There an Islamic Genocide of Hindus?" states:

"There is no official estimate of the total death toll of Hindus at the hands of Islam. A first glance at important testimonies by Muslim chroniclers suggests that, over 13 centuries and a territory as vast as the Subcontinent, Muslim Holy Warriors easily killed more Hindus than the 6 million of the Holocaust. Ferishtha lists several occasions when the Bahmani sultans in central India (1347-1528) killed a hundred thousand Hindus, which

they set as a minimum goal whenever they felt like punishing the Hindus; and they were only a third-rank provincial dynasty.

The biggest slaughters took place during the raids of Mahmud Ghaznavi (ca. 1000 CE); during the actual conquest of North India by Mohammed Ghori and his lieutenants (1192 ff.); and under the Delhi Sultanate (1206-1526)."

He also writes in his book "Negation in India":

"The Muslim conquests, down to the 16th century, were for the Hindus a pure struggle of life and death. Entire cities were burnt down and the populations massacred, with hundreds of thousands killed in every campaign, and similar numbers deported as slaves. Every new invader made (often literally) his hills of Hindus skulls. Thus, the conquest of Afghanistan in the year 1000 was followed by the annihilation of the Hindu population; the region is still called the Hindu Kush, i.e. Hindu slaughter."

Will Durant argued in his 1935 book "The Story of Civilisation: Our Oriental Heritage" (page 459):

"The Mohammedan conquest of India is probably the bloodiest story in history. The Islamic historians and scholars have recorded with great glee and pride the slaughters of Hindus, forced conversions, abduction of Hindu women and children to slave markets and the destruction of temples carried out by the warriors of Islam during 800 AD to 1700 AD. Millions of Hindus were converted to Islam by sword during this period."

Francois Gautier in his book 'Rewriting Indian History' (1996) wrote:

"The massacres perpetuated by Muslims in India are unparalleled in history, bigger than the Holocaust of the Jews by the Nazis; or the massacre of the Armenians by the Turks; more extensive even than the slaughter of the South American native populations by the invading Spanish and Portuguese."

Writer Fernand Braudel wrote in A History of Civilisations (1995), that Islamic rule in India as a

"colonial experiment" was "extremely violent," and "the Muslims could not rule the country except by systematic terror. Cruelty was the norm—burnings, summary executions, crucifixions or impalements, inventive tortures. Hindu temples were destroyed to make way for mosques. On occasion there were forced conversions. If ever there were an uprising, it was instantly and savagely repressed: houses were burned, the countryside was laid waste, men were slaughtered and women were taken as slaves."

Alain Danielou in his book, Histoire de l'Inde writes:

"From the time Muslims started arriving, around 632 AD, the history of India becomes a long, monotonous series of murders, massacres, spoliations, and destructions. It is, as usual, in the name of 'a holy war' of their faith, of their sole God, that the barbarians have destroyed civilizations, wiped out entire races."

Irfan Husain in his article "Demons from the Past" observes:

"While historical events should be judged in the context of their times, it cannot be denied that even in that bloody period of history, no mercy was shown to the Hindus unfortunate enough to be in the path of either the Arab conquerors of Sindh and south Punjab, or the Central Asians who swept in from Afghanistan...The

Muslim heroes who figure larger than life in our history books committed some dreadful crimes. Mahmud of Ghazni, Qutb-ud-Din Aibak, Balban, Mohammed bin Qasim, and Sultan Mohammad Tughlak, all have blood-stained hands that the passage of years has not cleansed..Seen through Hindu eyes, the Muslim invasion of their homeland was an unmitigated disaster.

“Their temples were razed, their idols smashed, their women raped, their men killed or taken slaves. When Mahmud of Ghazni entered Somnath on one of his annual raids, he slaughtered all 50,000 inhabitants. Aibak killed and enslaved hundreds of thousands. The list of horrors is long and painful. These conquerors justified their deeds by claiming it was their religious duty to smite non-believers. Cloaking themselves in the banner of Islam, they claimed they were fighting for their faith when, in reality, they were indulging in straightforward slaughter and pillage...”

A sample of contemporary eyewitness accounts of the invaders and rulers, during the Indian conquests.

The Afghan ruler Mahmud al-Ghazni invaded India no less than seventeen times between 1001-1026 AD. The book ‘Tarikh-i-Yamini’—written by his secretary documents several episodes of his bloody military campaigns :

“The blood of the infidels flowed so copiously [at the Indian city of Thanesar] that the stream was discoloured, notwithstanding its purity, and people were unable to drink it...the infidels deserted the fort and tried to cross the foaming river...but many of them were slain, taken or drowned... Nearly fifty thousand men were killed.”

In the contemporary record—‘Taj-ul-Ma’asir’ by Hassn Nizam-i-Naishapuri, it is stated that when Qutb-ul- Din Aibak (of Turko—Afghan origin and the First Sultan of Delhi 1194-1210 AD) conquered Meerat, he demolished all the Hindu temples of the city and erected mosques on their sites. In the city of Aligarh, he converted Hindu inhabitants to Islam by the sword and beheaded all those who adhered to their own religion.



The Persian historian Wassaf writes in his book ‘Tazjiyat-ul-Amsar wa Tajriyat ul Asar’ that when the Alaul-Din Khilji (An Afghan of Turkish origin and second ruler of the Khilji Dynasty in India 1295-1316 AD) captured the city of Kambayat at the head of the gulf of Cambay, he killed the adult male Hindu inhabitants for the glory of Islam, set flowing rivers of blood, sent the women of the country with all their gold, silver, and jewels, to his own home, and made about twenty thousand Hindu maidens his private slaves.

India has a deep, long cultural history. Hinduism began there around 1,500 BC and Buddhism around the 6th century BC. This culture had evolved impressive intellectual, religious and artistic pursuits. Pre and post the early days of Islam, Indian scholars took their works in science, maths (zero, algebra, geometry, the decimal system, so-called ‘Arabic’ numbers are actually Hindu ones!), medicine, philosophy etc to the courts of others (including Muslims eg Baghdad).

Others came to study in India’s established universities. Indian children (boys and girls) were educated in the relatively widespread education system in a wide variety of subjects eg science, medicine and philosophy. India’s art and architecture was magnificent. They were a prosperous people. **Then came Islam—slaughter, slavery, rape, violence, pillage; destruction of religious sites, art and architecture; poverty, exploitation, humiliation, famine, forced conversion, decline in intellectual pursuits, social destruction and a worsening of social ills.** To Islam, anything that is not Islamic is from a time of ignorance –Jahiliyya- and must be destroyed (or appropriated and called Islam’s!). The onslaught created the Roma (gypsies), destroyed ‘Hindu’ Afghanistan and formed Pakistan (Kashmir) and Bangladesh.

The cost of the Muslim invasions is massive in lives, wealth and culture. Estimates suggest that 60-80 MILLION died at the hands of Muslim invaders and rulers between 1000 and 1525 alone (ie over 500 years-the population FELL). (Lal cited in Khan p 216) Impossible you think? In the war of Independence of Bangladesh, 1971, the Muslim Pakistani army killed 1.5-3 million people (mainly Muslims ...) in just 9 MONTHS. (Khan p 216). The world looked the other way—but don’t we always when it’s Muslims committing the violence! [*The actual number of Hindus brutally slaughtered by Muslims were around 400 million, not 60-80 million, according to Firishta [1560-1620], the author of the Tarikh-i Firishta and the Gulshan-i Ibrahim].

Based on the figures that are available, the number of Indians enslaved is enormous!

The Muslim conquest of India was probably the bloodiest in history:

The Islamic historians and scholars have recorded with utmost glee and pride of the slaughters of Hindus, forced conversions, abduction of Hindu women and children to slave-markets, and the destruction of temples carried out by the warriors of Islam during 800AD to 1700 AD. Millions of Hindus were converted to Islam by the sword in this period” (historian Durant cited in Khan p 201)

And Rizwan Salim (1997) writes what the Arab invaders really did:

‘savages at a very low level of civilisation and no culture worth the name, from Arabia and West Asia, began entering India from the early century onwards. Islamic invaders demolished countless Hindu temples, shattered uncountable sculpture and idols, plundered innumerable forts and palaces of Hindu kings, killed vast numbers of Hindu men and carried off Hindu women.but many Indians do not seem to recognize that the alien Muslim marauders destroyed the historical evolution of the earth’s most mentally advanced civilisation, the most richly imaginative culture, and the most vigorously creative society.’ (... Khan p 179)

Of course Indians pre-Islam, fought, but it was NOT the practice to enslave or ravage, or massacre, or destroy religious sites, or damage crops and farmers. Battles were usually conducted on open soil between military personnel. (Khan p 205-207) There was no concept of 'booty' so Indians were unprepared for Islam's onslaught. Indigenous Indians were forced to flee to jungles and mountains, or face gruelling exploitation and taxes, slaughter or enslavement while their society was demeaned and destroyed. Muslims constantly attacked the indigenous, idolatrous population and also fought against each other in ceaseless revolts by generals, chiefs and princes during the entire time of Islamic rule (Khan p 205).

Slavery: Initially 'India' included part of today's Pakistan (Sindh), Bangladesh/Bengal and Kashmir. Hinduism and Buddhism flourished in Afghanistan pre the Islamic takeover (7th century). In the 16th century Afghanistan was divided between the Muslim Mogul (Mughal) Empire of India and the Safavids of Persia.

Initially the godless Umayyads, allowed Hindus dhimmi status—possibly because of their large numbers, resistance to Islam and their value as a source of tax income. This violates Islamic text and law which demands death or conversion for idolaters and polytheists. When Sultan Iltutmish (d 1236) was asked why Hindus weren't given the choice between death and Islam, he replied:

"but at the moment in India...the Muslims are so few that they are like salt (in a large dish) ...however after a few years when in the capital and the regions and all the small towns, when the Muslims are well established and the troops are larger....it would be possible to give Hindus the choice of death or Islam" (cited in Lal [c] p 538) (Can we learn anything from this)

Despite their supposed 'dhimmi' status, mass slaughter, mass forced conversion and mass enslavement with the resulting forced conversion to Islam were practised throughout Islamic rule and into the 20th century as many demanded the idolaters/polytheists convert or die. Hindu fighters and males were slaughtered with women and children enslaved. Eunuch slavery was practised on young boys.

Often actual numbers aren't given, just comments like 'countless captives/slaves,' or 'all the women and children were taken.' Where numbers are recorded, they are terrifying. Along with people, the Muslims took everything they could—coins, jewels, cloths, clothes, furniture, idols, animals, grain etc or destroyed it.

Muslim rulers were foreigners. Until the 13th century, most slaves were sent out of India but following the Sultanate of Delhi (1206) they were retained to work for the sultanate, sold in India or sent elsewhere. Slaves from elsewhere were imported and Muslim armies were composed of a wide array of foreign slave groups 'converted' to Islam and 'Hindus' and Indian 'converts.'

Slaves were the promised booty from Allah and obtaining them was a strong motivation for jihad.

"slaves were so plentiful that they became very cheap; men...were degraded....but this is the goodness of Allah, who bestows honours on his own religion and degrades infidelity". (Muslim chronicler Utbi on Sultan Subuktigin of Ghazni's slave raid [942-997] in Sookdheo p166)

In Sindh (first area attacked successfully) the early 'Muslim' community was composed mainly of slaves forced into Islam and a small number of Arab masters (Khan p 299). Initially slaves were forced out of India eg Qasim (Arab), the conquerer of Sindh sent by Hajjaj bin Yusuf Sakifi in the caliphate of Walid I, took 300,000 from a 3 year campaign in 712-715 (Khan p 299, Trifkovic p 109). Muslim fighters came from everywhere to partake in this 'jihad.'


Qasim was suddenly recalled and executed (possibly by being sewn in an animal's hide) for supposedly violating 2 Sindhi princesses destined for the caliph's harem!! (Lal [c] p 439)

The Ghaznavids-Turks from Ghazni, Afghanistan (997-1206) who subdued the Punjab.

From 17 raids (997-1030) Sultan Mahmud Ghazni (Turk from Afghanistan, 997-1030) sent hundreds of thousands of slaves to Ghazni (Afghanistan) resulting in a loss of about 2 million people via slaughter or enslavement and sale outside India (Khan p 315). Chroniclers (eg Utbi, the sultan's secretary) provide some numbers eg -from Thanesar, the Muslim army brought 200,000 captives back to Ghazni (Afghanistan). In 1019, 53,000 were taken. At one time the caliph's 1/5th share was 150,000 suggesting 750,000 captives. 500,000 were taken in one campaign (at Waihind) (Lal [c] p 551) Mahmud's secretary al-Utbi records:

"Swords flashed like lightning amid the blackness of clouds, and fountains of blood flowed like the fall of setting star. The friends of god defeated their opponents....the Muslims wreaked their vengeance on the infidel enemies of god killing 15,000 of them...making them food of the beasts and birds of prey....god also bestowed on his friends such an amount of booty as was beyond all bounds and calculations, including 500,000 slaves beautiful men and women" (Khan p 191)

The Ghaznavid's ruled in the 'Islamic sultanate of the Punjab' till 1186. Attacks in Kashmir, Hansi, and districts of the Punjab resulted in mass slaughter and enslavement eg 100,000 in a 1079 attack in the Punjab (Tarik -i-Alfi in Khan p 276-7, Lal [d] p 553)



Under the Ghaurivid rulers (Turks) eg Muhammad Ghauri (Afghani) and his military commander then ruler, Qutbuddin Aibak (r1206-1210), the Delhi sultanate was set up. Mass beheadings, enslavements, forced conversions, plunder and the destruction of temples continued. Slaves were incredibly plentiful. In 1195, Aibak took 20,000 slaves from Raja Bhim and 50,000 at Kalinjar (1202) (Lal [c] p 536).

“even the poor (Muslim) householder became owner of numerous slaves.” (Khan 103, Lal [c] p 537).

Through the 13/14th century ruled by the Khilji (Khaljis) and Tughlaq's, slavery grew as Islam spread. Thousands of slaves were sold at a low price everyday (Khan p 280). Alauddin Khilji's (r 1296-1316) capture of slaves was stupendous and he shackled, chained and humiliated slaves (Lal [c] p 540). In the sack of Somnath alone he:

“took captive a great number of handsome and elegant maidens, amounting to 20,000 and children of both sexes ..more than the pen can enumerate. The Mohammadan army brought the country to utter ruin, destroyed the lives of inhabitants, and plundered the cities and captured their offspring.” (historian cited in Bostom p 641, Lal [c] p 540)

Many thousands were massacred. Alauddin Khilji (r 1296-1316) had 50,000 slave BOYS in his personal service and 70,000 slaves worked continuously on his buildings. (Lal [c] p 541)

Women practised Jauhar (burning or killing oneself to avoid enslavement and rape) and sati.

The Sufi Amir Khusrau notes **“the Turks, whenever they please, can seize, buy or sell any Hindu”** (Lal [c] p 541)



Eunuchs: All over the Islamic world, the conquered were castrated, including in India. This was done so men could guard harems, provide carnal indulgence for rulers, give devotion to the ruler as they had no hope of a family of their own and of course, this quickly reduced the breeding stock of the conquered. **Castration was a common practice throughout Muslim rule possibly contributing to the DECLINE in India's population from 200 million in 1000 CE to 170 million in 1500 CE (Khan p 314)**

Once Sultan Bakhtiyar Khilji conquered Bengal in 1205, it became a leading supplier of castrated slaves. This remained the case into the Mogul period (1526-1857).


Akbar the Great (1556-1605) owned eunuchs. Said Khan Chaghtai owned 1,200 eunuchs (an official of Akbar's son Jahangir)! In Aurangzeb's reign, in 1659 at Golkunda (Hyderabad), 22,000 boys were emasculated and given to Muslim rulers and governors or sold. (Khan 313).

Sultan Alauddin Khilji (r 1296-1316) had 50,000 boys in his personal service; Sultan Muhammad Tughlaq (r 1325-51) had 20,000 and Sultan Firoz Tughlaq (r 1351-1388) had 40,000 (Firoz Tughlaq liked to collect boys in any way and had 180,000 slaves in total (Lal [c] p 542). Several commanders under various sultans were eunuchs. Muslim historians record the 'infatuation' of sultans Mahmud Ghazni, Qutbuddin Aibak, and Sikandar Lodi –for handsome young boys! Sultan Mahmud was infatuated by his Hindu commander Tilak (Khan p 314)

Conclusion: The inhuman behaviour applied to the whole Indian population by Muslims was the same whether the Muslims were Sufis, Arabs, Afghanis, Turks, or Mogul as all followed Islam's laws, text and the fine example of Mohammad. It should also be noted that the violence and enslavement continued even after they had virtual control over India because the aim was not merely to conquer but to force all into Islam. Muslims did not come to join Indian society, they came to wipe it out and replace it with Islam—which tells them that they own everything because it's the booty promised by Allah. The pagans/idolaters, polytheists had to convert or die and only then could there be (Islamic) peace! Slaves were the just reward for Islam's fighters—part of the booty promised by Allah. *6

**“Violence ends up
defeating itself.
It creates
bitterness in the
survivors and
brutality in the
destroyers.”**

MARTIN LUTHER KING JR.



**“When they go low,
we kick them.
That's what this
new Democratic
party is about.”**

**ERIC HOLDER
FORMER ATTORNEY
GENERAL UNDER OBAMA**

Sources

- *1 = <https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Republicanism>
- *2 = https://66.media.tumblr.com/tumblr_m1prvg7Hi1r1w2npo1_500.jpg
- *3 = <https://phys.org/news/2016-11-scientists-similarity-human-cells-neutron.html>
- *4 = <https://www.henrymakow.com/2016/09/why-do-things-go-wrong.html>
- *5 = https://www.americanthinker.com/articles/2014/08/the_two_faces_of_islam.html
- *6 = <https://web.archive.org/web/20170204020126/https://themuslimissue.wordpress.com/2015/08/31/islamic-invasion-of-india-the-greatest-genocide-in-history/>

This research paper was created without commercial intent—all materials were discovered online.

Acknowledgments

To all uncredited authors (*Sources*), whose work was sampled for compilation into this document:

Thank *you*.

God

The *All* that *IS*.

Donate

Yearly

AISF welcomes non-tax deductible donations to continue spiritual research and fund development of a Light in Life™ Online app, to enable guides and users to measure their Self-healing progress.

Donate via PayPal [paypal.me/allisfoundation] or Yearly (contributing to the foundation's future).

Contact

** PDF Documents may be freely shared/copied only if preserved entirely/unedited. © [All IS Foundation](#), 2019.*