

Bonkers [Rev. 20190404]

By J — Latest revision: <http://allis.foundation/Bonkers.pdf>



Thomas Victor @ThomasVictor · 7h
EXACTLY.



This president has no ulterior motives.

Therefore the people who WANT improvement can trust him.

Tech Companies Apple, Twitter, Google and Instagram Collude to Defeat Trump

There is no such thing as Pro-Trump free speech as Clinton corporate allies serve up a carefully curated view of the campaign

My dad always told me that conservative candidates have to work twice as hard as their liberal opponents to win elections because they're fighting two opponents: the Democratic Party and the media.

The usual suspects from left-leaning major media outlets like *The New York Times*, MSNBC, CNN and even entertainment networks are doing everything in their power to ensure a Clinton victory. Look no further than to Wolf Blitzer mincing around and drinking wine at the Democratic convention, celebrating Hillary's nomination. But the propaganda skewing this election runs much deeper than just the media: our iPhones, iPads, social media networks, Google and even video games are all in the tank for Hillary Clinton—and it's chilling.

I began looking into how strong the bias and censorship runs in these forums after I did an interview on the pro-Trump podcast, MAGAPod. The show's host, Mark Hammond, was disappointed Apple wouldn't run his show without an "explicit" warning. Hammond's podcast didn't contain content that would be deemed explicit under Apple's policy, and most other shows in the News & Politics category aren't labeled as such.

On June 18, Hammond talked to Sandra, a representative from Apple. She explained that, since the description of his show is pro-Trump, his show is explicit in nature—because the subject matter is Donald Trump. So, an Apple employee concluded the Republican presidential candidate is explicit.

iTunes has dozens of podcasts discussing Osama Bin Laden and Adolf Hitler—none of which is marked explicit. I encouraged Hammond to contact Apple again, via email to their podcast support team. Within 48 hours he received a response from "Tim," who informed Hammond that his podcast would be updated to "clean" within 24 hours.

Further digging on Apple revealed more evidence that the computer giant is feeding users pro-Hillary and anti-Trump propaganda.

Over the past year, Apple twice refused to publish a satirical Clinton Emailgate game, "Capitol HillAwry," claiming it was "offensive" and "mean spirited" even though the game's developer, John Matze, cited in communications with Apple that the game fits the standards of Apple's own satire policy. Apple has, however, approved dozens of games poking fun at Donald Trump—including a game called "Dump Trump," which depicts the GOP nominee as a giant turd.

On July 25, Breitbart exposed this blatant double standard and favoritism toward Clinton. A few days after the article was released, Apple caved and published Capitol HillAwry, 15 months after Matze's first attempt to go live.

While it's commendable that Apple resolved both situations, Trump supporters and conservative users should never have faced such biased treatment in the first place.

Around the same time I was a guest on MAGAPod, a friend complained to me about how biased his Apple News feed is against Trump. I set up an Apple News account on my iPhone.

First step: select an outlet. Fox News. Conservative. But my news feed? Liberal.

And if there are articles above the fold from more right-leaning sites? They paint Trump in a negative light and Hillary in a positive light. Of all the channels listed in the Apple News politics section, only two of the 16 arguably lean right—the rest are reliably left-wing.

This has, of course, been pointed out before, and anyone with an iPhone or iPad can go to Apple News to determine on his or her own if Apple is pushing leftist propaganda. Apple claims not to endorse candidates, but their actions suggest otherwise, and some of their executives—including CEO Tim Cook—actively support Clinton's campaign. BuzzFeed recently obtained an invitation to a private \$50,000-per-plate fundraiser Cook is hosting for Clinton with his Apple colleague, Lisa Jackson, at the end of this month.

Apple isn't the only corporation doing Clinton's bidding. Wikileaks founder Julian Assange said Clinton made a deal with Google and that the tech giant is "directly engaged" in her campaign. It's been widely reported Clinton hired Eric Schmidt—chairman of Alphabet, the parent company of Google—to set up a tech company called The Groundwork. Assange claims this was to ensure Clinton had the "engineering talent to win the election." He also pointed out that many members of Clinton's staff have worked for Google, and some of her former employees now work at Google.

So it should come as no surprise that there have been multiple reports accusing Google of manipulating searches to bury negative stories about Clinton. SourceFed details how Google alters its auto-complete functions to paint Clinton in a positive light.

For example, when you type "Hillary Clinton cri" into other engines like Yahoo! or Bing, the most popular autofills are "Hillary Clinton criminal charges" but in Google it's "Hillary Clinton crime reform." Google denies they changed their algorithm to help Clinton, and insists the company does not favor any candidate. They also claim their algorithms don't show predicted queries that are offensive or disparaging.

But Google has gotten into hot water on multiple occasions for connecting Trump to Adolf Hitler. In June, when users searched "when Hitler was born" it generated the expected information on Hitler but also an image of Trump. In July, searches for Trump's book, *Crippled America*, returned images of Adolf Hitler's manifesto *Mein Kampf*. Google has since fixed both—but again, why do these issues always conveniently disparage Trump and help Clinton?

Twitter is another culprit. The company has gotten a lot of slack for banning conservatives and Trump supporters such as Breitbart's Milo Yiannopoulos and, most recently, rapper Azealia Banks after she came out in support of Trump. Twitter has provided vague answers as to why conservative voices have been banned while they've allowed other users to call for the killing of cops.

Just yesterday, BuzzFeed revealed that the social media giant's top executive personally protected the President from seeing critical messages last year. "In 2015, then-Twitter CEO Dick Costolo secretly ordered employees to filter out abusive and hateful replies to President Barack Obama."

This year, Twitter isn't just banning conservatives—the platform also changed its algorithms to promote Clinton while giving negative exposure to Trump.

The founders of some of the most popular pro-Trump Twitter handles—including @USAforTrump2016 and @WeNeedTrump—insist Twitter is censoring their content. They’ve pointed out that Twitter changes trending hashtags associated with negative tweets about Clinton (which has been reported before). On August 4, shortly after the hashtag “HillaryAccomplishment” began trending, it was taken over by anti-Clinton users, who used it to mention Benghazi or Emailgate. Eric Spracklen, @USAforTrump2016 founder, noticed the hashtag was quickly changed—pluralized to #HillarysAccomplishments.

“They take away the hashtag that has negative tweets for Clinton and replace it with something that doesn’t so the average person doesn’t see what was really trending,” Spracklen said. “This happens every day.”

Jack Murphy, founder of @WeNeedTrump, says followers complain they often aren’t able to retweet his pro-Trump tweets.

Instagram has also banned accounts that depict Clinton in a negative light. In June, a conservative comedy group called Toughen Up America was banned with no warning or explanation. Last week, the popular Australian-based graffiti artist, Lushsux, was banned from Instagram after he posted photos of a bikini-clad Clinton mural he painted.

“I don’t want to sound like a conspiracy theorist with a tin foil hat, but the timing of the Hillary Clinton mural posting and the deletion that ensued can’t just be a coincidence,” he told the Daily Mail Australia. Lushsux has posted photos of way more graphic murals, including a topless Melania Trump and a naked Donald with his package in full sight. These images did not trigger any censorship from Instagram.

Facebook has a long history of shutting down pages and blocking conservative users while promoting progressive voices like Black Lives Matter activists. The problem became so transparent that Sen. John Thune sent a letter to Facebook CEO Mark Zuckerberg asking him to explain their practices.

Facebook denies it discriminates against “any sources of ideological origin” and Zuckerberg did meet with conservatives in an attempt to resolve this issue. While some walked away from the meeting encouraged that Zuckerberg wants to repair their relationship, other prominent conservatives rejected the invitation as a publicity stunt. It should be noted that Facebook employees have donated more to Clinton than to any other candidate.

Many conservatives have come to expect this kind of thing from the mainstream media. CNN, which paints itself as the centrist antidote to right-leaning Fox News and left-leaning MSNBC, has actually been among the most disingenuous offenders during this cycle, fully earning its derisive nickname “Clinton News Network.” For example, as NewsBusters pointed out for just one day, “CNN set aside nearly half of its air time on Wednesday’s *New Day* to various recent controversies involving the Trump campaign—1 hour, 24 minutes, and 18 seconds over three hours. By contrast, the program clearly didn’t think much of the *Wall Street Journal*’s revelation that the Obama administration secretly airlifted \$400 million in cash to Iran. John Berman gave a 27-second news brief to the report, but didn’t mention that the payment was sent on “an unmarked cargo plane.” *New Day*, therefore, devoted over 187 times more coverage to Trump than to the millions to Iran.”

Another favored CNN trick is to present a “balanced” panel comprised of two Republicans, two Democrats and a host, as they did on the afternoon of July 29, just to name one instance of a hundred. However, the Republican side always features one Trump supporter and one “Never Trump” Republican, with the host grilling the Trump Supporter—often a beleaguered Jeffrey Lord—in what amounts to a 4-on-1. So much for balance.

Right now, CNN has a story on its site called “Which Republicans oppose Trump and why?” There’s no corresponding story about Democrats who oppose Clinton, even though her underdog challenger in the primary lasted far longer and received far more votes than any of Trump’s Republican challengers.

No Republican willing to criticize Trump is too insignificant to merit coverage on CNN. When a minor Christie staffer announced on her personal Facebook that she’d be backing Hillary, she somehow merited a 1200 word story on CNN’s website and euphoric coverage on the air by Brooke Baldwin for “splitting with her party.”

So that’s the traditional media. But this new strand, where one cannot even search for alternative viewpoints amid technology companies who stand to benefit from the free-trade policies and eased immigration regulations of a Clinton presidency, represents a dangerous sea change. There’s absolutely no question the digital forums we use every day are censoring conservatives and favoring Clinton. You can’t simply scroll through photos on Instagram, look for a video game in the App Store or do a quick Google search without being fed anti-Trump and pro-Clinton propaganda.

These companies are engaging in activity that can quickly lead down a very dangerous slippery slope and this should concern all freedom-loving Americans—not just conservatives. If you don’t know when the election is, no problem! Just Google it and see for yourself what comes up... *2



November 8, 2016

“The United States presidential election of 2016, constitutionally prescribed to occur on Tuesday, **November 8, 2016**, will be the 58th quadrennial U.S. presidential election. Voters will select presidential electors, who in turn will vote for a new president and vice president through the Electoral College.”



ABOVE: PHOTO BY UNKNOWN — 'A DOG SITS STILL, PATIENT, WATCHING THE SUN RISING/SETTING IN WONDER OF THE MAGIC OF LIGHT'



ABOVE: ALEXANDRIA OCASIO-CORTEZ (TV) — 'WAS SHE *UNLEASHED* BY JUSTICE DEMOCRATS AS REVENGE FOR PRESIDENT TRUMP?' *4

Climate Scientist Who Wants To Bring Skeptics Up On Rico Charges Makes \$750K/Yr Thanks To Taxpayers

Remember the twenty climate scientists we told you about last week who wrote an open letter to President Obama and AG Lynch asking for the Department of Justice to use the RICO act to investigate “corporations and other organizations” that they claim “have knowingly deceived the American people about the risks of climate change”?

Well here’s a little more information on Jagadish Shukla, the top-billed scientist on the letter. According to this report unearthed by Roger Pielke Jr., Shukla and his wife are getting really rich at taxpayer expense...



Roger Pielke Jr. 
@RogerPielkeJr



That totals to \$750k/yr to the leader of the RICO20 from public money for climate work & going after skeptics. Good work if you can get it.

♡ 20 5:26 PM - Sep 20, 2015



Great. Taxpayers are funding Shukla’s call to tear up the Constitution and criminally prosecute people he doesn’t agree with. Time for that to come to an end. *5

Obama’s ‘Hope and Change’ Has Given Us ‘Fear and Loathing’

So this is how Hope & Change ends. With the FBI in turmoil, with surging anti-police violence, with fears of voter fraud and foreign hacking, with a sluggish economy, with a terror warning and with two unpopular presidential candidates tearing at each other like wolves.

Heckuva job, Barack Obama!

The 44th president made history by being elected, but leaves behind a nation on the verge of a crack-up. He flatters himself by insisting his tenure has been a roaring success, but the public mood tells a different story.

Obama promised to unite America, but exits amid far greater divisions. It is telling that he has stopped portraying himself as a uniter and, like Jimmy Carter, blames the public.

Carter saw malaise, Obama sees bitter clingers, racists and xenophobes. While Obama’s lectures convey disappointment in his fellow Americans, it never occurs to him that he is a disappointment to them.

His failure to come to grips with the polarization, combined with an aggressively liberal agenda spearheaded by executive orders and a politicized bureaucracy, means his successor will inherit a country broken along every fault

line imaginable. Voices of discontent and even estrangement are rising among Americans of all stripes and persuasions.

So much so that the one universal point of agreement is that the next occupant of the Oval Office must forge a fundamental consensus before the country can begin to address its critical problems.

But forging that consensus could prove to be the most difficult problem of all, especially with Donald Trump and Hillary Clinton both better at exploiting polarization than ending it.

Indeed, polls showing a close outcome suggest the winner will take office on a wave of bitterness. Legal challenges remain a possibility, both to the legitimacy of the vote and to the candidates' past actions.

Still, the near-universal clamor for change offers a potential opening. Grievances from across the political spectrum demonstrate that most of the country agrees our public servants are only serving themselves, and that Washington is disconnected from most Americans' daily lives.

The necessary consensus, then, won't be found in a new program conceived in a winner-take-all environment. While there are some areas of basic agreement—infrastructure development, tax reform, and the need to more fully confront Islamic terror—they are not the sort of things that get to the root problem.

That root, I believe, is a fundamental distrust of government. It can't be fixed by bigger government, or even by just a smaller one.

Instead, the only solution is a more honest government, a goal that must be addressed as a distinct issue from Day One. Building trust can begin with small steps of transparency conveyed in plain English—no parsing or government mumbo-jumbo allowed.

Tragically, neither candidate is equipped for the challenge. Clinton, because of her long trail of dishonesty in public life, will never be able to summon broad national support for anything.

In fact, the campaign has undermined her claims to be ready for the presidency, and she still offers no rationale other than ambition. Her contempt for everyday Americans, expressed through the use of a private server and in words like “deplorables” and “irredeemable” directed at Trump supporters, has created a new ceiling of her own making.

Thanks to the FBI and WikiLeaks revelations, we know her judgment is not trusted even by her closest confidants.

If Republicans hold either house of Congress, Clinton will face hobbling probes from the start. Her arrogant resolve to keep the family foundation open guarantees an endless stream of pay-to-play suspicions. Making gender history would come at too high a price.

That leaves Trump. His defects of temperament and instinct are enormous, and it is certain he is guilty of despicable abuse of some women. Also, there are reasons why the New York business and philanthropic communities hold him in low regard.

But we are where we are, and Trump has one advantage over Clinton—a clean slate in exercising governmental power. He is a genuine outsider whose promise of change is more credible, and better matches the nation's mood.

Unlike Clinton, he would be free to break with Obama's failed policies on immigration, health care and Iran. Moreover, Trump's improvement as a candidate suggests he has more potential for upside surprises. A few good Cabinet picks would reassure millions of Americans and create a valuable honeymoon for his administration.

All that said, Trump remains a long shot to be a good president. But after eight years of Hope & Change, a long shot is the only shot we have.

U. blew it, Rolling Stone

Rolling Stone magazine's article on an alleged gang rape at the University of Virginia was tailor-made for our times. Sexual assault on campus, out-of-control fraternities and indifferent administrators—it had all the hot-button angles.

It also had something else symbolic of our times: a reckless media organization that didn't care about, or wasn't capable of, separating fact from fiction. It was all about the agenda, truth be damned.

With many Americans believing the presidential election will be a referendum on the conduct of liberal news organizations, the jury finding that Rolling Stone defamed a former dean is a warning shot to the fact-free, agenda-driven culture common in American journalism.

Up and down the media food chain, pushing a narrative is taking precedence over an honest reporting of facts.

Social consciousness is being sold as the new journalism, but it's actually discredited sensationalism in liberal wrapping. Advancing a political agenda without facts is no more honorable than spinning melodramatic tales with concocted quotes and scenes. Both distort the truth to serve an ulterior purpose.

That's the beauty of the truth—it doesn't need to be embellished.

The two faces of Blas

Bill de Blasio records a dubious hat trick.

New York's mayor has proven himself a double threat, showing big talents for being incompetent and corrupt. Now we can add dishonest to his résumé.

The WikiLeaks deluge catches de Blasio saying one thing publicly about Hillary Clinton and the opposite privately. The public statement is what he wanted voters to believe, the private one is what he believes.

Asked in a March TV appearance whether he thought Clinton should release the transcripts of paid speeches she gave to banks, de Blasio claimed, "I don't care about those speeches."

Yet that same day he sent an email to John Podesta, chair of Clinton's campaign, saying of the speeches, "I'm trying, brother, but this one is hard to defend..."⁶

Rapper Defiantly Stares at Eclipse, Cancels Toronto Show

For a rapper whose debut single was called "Unorthodox," Joey Bada\$\$ isn't one to accept conventional wisdom. Defying widespread warnings, he proudly stared at the solar eclipse without protection.

It didn't turn out to be a great idea. The rapper cancelled three shows starting Wednesday as he complained about his vision.



BADMON
@joeyBADASS

Follow

Am I crazy for watching the eclipse today w no glasses? I've sungazed before and afterwards saw colors for a whole day. I didn't die tho

12:02 PM - Aug 21, 2017

This ain't the first solar eclipse and I'm pretty sure our ancestors ain't have no fancy eyewear. Also pretty sure they ain't all go blind.

12:48 PM - Aug 21, 2017

Due to unforeseen circumstances, my Cleveland, Chicago & Toronto shows on the [#EverybodyTour](#) are cancelled.

2:48 PM - Aug 22, 2017

The 22-year-old Brooklyn rapper on Monday shared on social media that he was gazing into the sky without special glasses as the United States witnessed its first coast-to-coast total eclipse in nearly a century.

"This ain't the first solar eclipse and I'm pretty sure our ancestors ain't have no fancy eyewear. Also pretty sure they ain't all go blind," he tweeted.

But he also said that he was seeing in different colors. He said his three shows—in Cleveland, Chicago and Toronto—were canceled due to "unforeseen circumstances" and hinted that his vision was to blame.

"**Seeing double, stacking triple**," he tweeted Wednesday, using hip-hop slang for cashing in.

The rapper—whose latest album, "All-Amerikkkan Bada\$\$," tackles racism, mass incarceration and other issues facing the United States—had unlikely company in his defiance at staring at the eclipse.

U.S. President Donald Trump also looked into the sky without protection as cameras were on him, but only briefly. He has not reported any vision issues since. ^{*7}

Obamaism

America is the source of world problems
America must be brought down
America must make amends to the world
America has stolen from the world
High taxes help the poor
Gave taxpayer money to Wall Street
People should not have dangerous guns
Government must rule the masses
White people are racists
White men are privileged
Rightwing Christians are Nazis
Republicans are the KKK
Good ol' boys became Republicans
Trump stole the election
Trump colluded with Russia to steal the election
Trump's wall is racist
Trump sexually abuses women
Democrats are champions of women's rights
Democrats are care about brown people
Democrats are for the working man
Gay men have rights to women's restrooms

Trumpism

America is the solution to problems
America must be made great
America must lead the world
America has made the world prosper
High taxes take away jobs
Lower taxes for all
People need guns for protection
Government must serve the people
Anyone can be racist
Anyone can be privileged
All Nazis are leftist socialists
The KKK is still Democrats
Republicans moved south
Democrats awoke to Obama's scam declining America
There was no Russian collusion or possible foreign tampering
Stopping foreign invaders has nothing to do with racism
Trump treats women right and all who know him love him
Democrats are exposed as serial molesters of women
Democrats keep brown people poor and dependent
Democrats are importing cheap labor to replace citizens
Men and women are opposite sexes

ABOVE: MEME BY UNKNOWN — 'HOW CAN PRESIDENT OBAMA FERMENT SUCH ANGER FOR A COUNTRY THAT ELECTED HIM PRESIDENT?'



ABOVE: CARTOON BY RICK MCKEE — 'THE SIMPLE TRUTH OF HILLARY'S ELECTION DEFEAT, WHICH SHE WOULDN'T ACCEPT, IN 2016' *8

Nassim... Exposes The World's "Intellectual-Yet-Idiot" Class

What we have been seeing worldwide, from India to the UK to the US, is the **rebellion against the inner circle of no-skin-in-the-game policymaking "clerks" and journalists-insiders**, that class of paternalistic semi-intellectual experts with some Ivy league, Oxford-Cambridge, or similar label-driven education who are telling the rest of us 1) what to do, 2) what to eat, 3) how to speak, 4) how to think... and 5) who to vote for.

But the problem is the one-eyed following the blind: these self-described members of the "intelligenza" can't find a coconut in Coconut Island, meaning they aren't intelligent enough to define intelligence and fall into circularities—but their main skills is capacity to pass exams written by people like them. With psychology papers replicating less than 40%, dietary advice reversing after 30 years of fatphobia, macroeconomic analysis working worse than astrology, the appointment of Bernanke who was less than clueless of the risks, and pharmaceutical trials replicating at best only 1/3th of the time, people are perfectly entitled to rely on their own ancestral instinct and listen to their grandmothers (or Montaigne and such filtered classical knowledge) with a better track record than these policymaking goons.

Indeed one can see that these academico-bureaucrats wanting to run our lives aren't even rigorous, whether in medical statistics or policymaking. They can't tell science from scientism—in fact in their eyes scientism looks more scientific than real science. (For instance it is trivial to show the following: much of what the Cass-Sunstein-Richard Thaler types—those who want to "nudge" us into some behavior—much of what they call "rational" or "irrational" comes from their misunderstanding of probability theory and cosmetic use of first-order models.) They are prone to mistake the ensemble for the linear aggregation of its components as we saw in the chapter extending the minority rule.

The Intellectual Yet Idiot is a production of modernity hence has been accelerating since the mid twentieth century, to reach its local supremum today, along with the broad category of people without skin-in-the-game who have been invading many walks of life. Why? Simply, in many countries, the government's role is ten times what it was a century ago (expressed in percentage of GDP). The IYI seems ubiquitous in our lives but is still a small minority and rarely seen outside specialized outlets, social media, and universities—most people have proper jobs and there are not many openings for the IYI...

The IYI pathologizes others for doing things he doesn't understand without ever realizing it is *his* understanding that may be limited. He thinks people should act according to their best interests and he knows their interests, particularly if they are "red necks" or English non-crisp-vowel class who voted for Brexit. When Plebeians do something that makes sense to them, but not to him, the IYI uses the term "uneducated". **What we generally call participation in the political process, he calls by two distinct designations: "democracy" when it fits the IYI, and "populism" when the plebeians dare voting in a way that contradicts his preferences.** While rich people believe in *one tax dollar one vote*, more humanistic ones in *one man one vote*, Monsanto in *one lobbyist one vote*, the IYI believes in *one Ivy League degree one-vote*, with some equivalence for foreign elite schools, and PhDs as these are needed in the club.

More socially, the IYI subscribes to *The New Yorker*. He never curses on twitter. **He speaks of "equality of races" and "economic equality" but never went out drinking with a minority cab driver.** Those in the U.K. have been taken for a ride by Tony Blair. The modern IYI has attended more than one TEDx talks in person or watched more than two TED talks on Youtube. Not only will he **vote for Hillary Monsanto-Malmaison because**

she seems electable and some other such circular reasoning, but holds that anyone who doesn't do so is mentally ill.

The IYI has a copy of the first hardback edition of *The Black Swan* on his shelves, but mistakes absence of evidence for evidence of absence. He believes that GMOs are "science", that the "technology" is not different from conventional breeding as a result of his readiness to confuse science with scientism.

Typically, the IYI get the first order logic right, but not second-order (or higher) effects making him totally incompetent in complex domains. In the comfort of his suburban home with 2-car garage, he advocated the "removal" of Gadhafi because he was "a dictator", not realizing that removals have consequences (recall that he has no skin in the game and doesn't pay for results).

The IYI is member of a club to get traveling privileges; if social scientist he uses statistics without knowing how they are derived (like Steven Pinker and psycholophastrs in general); when in the UK, he goes to literary festivals; he drinks red wine with steak (never white); he used to believe that fat was harmful and has now completely reversed; he takes statins because his doctor told him so; he fails to understand ergodicity and when explained to him, he forgets about it soon later; he doesn't use Yiddish words even when talking business; he studies grammar before speaking a language; he has a cousin who worked with someone who knows the Queen; he has never read Frederic Dard, Libanius Antiochus, Michael Oakeshot, John Gray, Amianus Marcellinus, Ibn Battuta, Saadiah Gaon, or Joseph De Maistre; **he has never gotten drunk with Russians;** he never drank to the point when one starts breaking glasses (or, preferably, chairs); he doesn't know the difference between Hecate and Hecuba; **he doesn't know that there is no difference between "pseudointellectual" and "intellectual"** in the absence of skin in the game; has mentioned quantum mechanics at least twice in the past 5 years in conversations that had nothing to do with physics; he knows at any point in time what his words or actions are doing to his reputation...^{*9}



ABOVE: PHOTO BY UNKNOWN — "WIND FARMS KILL AN ESTIMATED 573,000 BIRDS AND 888,000 BATS EACH YEAR... 1.4M... BY 2030" *10

Sources

- *1 = *Note: Thomas Wictor's tweet is inaccessible, as his account was suspended (censored) for political motives by Twitter.*
- *2 = <https://observer.com/2016/08/tech-companies-apple-twitter-google-and-instagram-collude-to-defeat-trump/>
- *3 = <https://pbs.twimg.com/media/CbxbULqUsAA-9lJ.jpg>
- *4 = <https://pbs.twimg.com/media/Dg8lOW7VMAAvSL0.jpg>
- *5 = <https://twitchy.com/2015/09/20/report-climate-scientist-who-wants-to-bring-skeptics-up-on-rico-charges-makes-750kyr-thanks-to-taxpayers/>
- *6 = <https://nypost.com/2016/11/06/obamas-hope-and-change-has-given-us-fear-and-loathing/>
- *7 = <https://www.ctvnews.ca/entertainment/rapper-defiantly-stares-at-eclipse-cancels-toronto-show-1.3559432>
- *8 = <https://www.caglecartoons.com/viewimage.asp?ID={CC52C40D-EAB8-4F9F-9BED-C13A29F4D1C7}>
- *9 = <https://www.zerohedge.com/news/2016-09-16/nassim-taleb-exposes-worlds-intellectual-yet-idiot-class>
- *10 = <https://climatechangedispatch.com/trump-gave-a-speech-that-has-the-wind-industry-terrified-video/>

This research paper was created without commercial intent—all materials were discovered online.

Acknowledgments

To all uncredited authors (*Sources*), whose work was sampled for compilation into this document:

Thank *you*.

God

The *All* that *IS*.

Donate

Yearly

AISF welcomes non-tax deductible donations to continue spiritual research and fund development of a Light in Life™ Online app, to enable guides and users to measure their Self-healing progress.

Donate via PayPal [paypal.me/allisfoundation] or Yearly (contributing to the foundation's future).

Contact

** PDF Documents may be freely shared/copied only if preserved entirely/unedited. © [All IS Foundation](#), 2019.*