


Suicide [Rev. 20180807]

By J — Latest revision: <http://allis.foundation/Suicide.pdf>



ABOVE: THE CORRELATION BETWEEN WOMEN WITH NUMEROUS PARTNERS, DECLINING HAPPINESS, AND RISING STD TRANSMISSIONS. *1

You'll Be Better

Applied Kinesiology may turn out to be the most therapeutic advance of this century! It has already revolutionized many practices including my own. I would venture to say that in the next few years it is going to cause tremendous changes in all branches of the healing professions open to change and improvement.

Applied Kinesiology's major advance is that it allows the body to "tell" us what needs to be done. The body becomes our chief diagnostic and therapeutic tool in this system where we ask the body the questions and the body gives us the answers. How obvious and natural this approach seems; yet, how far we have strayed. Let us recall that the basic premise of all healing is that the body's innate wisdom knows what is wrong and that we as nature's helpers need only ask the appropriate questions to find out the nature of the imbalance, and then by giving the appropriate treatment witness the body's response as if to say, "Yes, that's what is needed. Thank you."

Applied Kinesiology is also a revolutionary approach to healing because it is showing us that we don't have to rely solely on the use of pharmaceutical chemicals to treat patients, nor on massive invasive techniques. The methodology opens up a more natural, simple, non-destructive, painless, prohomeostatic treatment procedure. Here we have a system where the doctor works with the patient and with the patient's energies, and where the patient shares fully in the treatment and adopts a far greater attitude of responsibility towards his illness and recovery than is commonly witnessed in medical practice today.

With an Applied Kinesiology approach the day is perhaps gone where the patient submits to a doctor saying: "I, in my wisdom, know what is wrong with you and how to treat you." We are coming to appreciate that for those of us willing to ask, the patient can show us the therapeutic path to follow. Out of Applied Kinesiology flows a renewed reverence and respect for the person, for the human body and its mind and soul of the sort which is poorly sustained in the present-day orthodox medicine so geared to volume treatment using standardized drugs with but little concern for the patient's individuality. Applied Kinesiology promises to restore the "soul" and "heart" to what has become the cold and inhuman practice of much of today's orthodox medicine.

Dentistry

Applied Kinesiology offers dentistry the strongest holistic arguments for the practice of this medical specialty; for, it alone is able to show the wide-ranging effect on the total mind and body of minute changes within the oral cavity. Many dentists today use Applied Kinesiology in their practices accompanied by a complete reevaluation and reunderstanding of their role in the patient's overall health and well-being.

Psychiatry

Applied Kinesiology can do much to revolutionize the practice of psychiatry. No longer need the psychiatrist become burdened by the daily bombardment of the sorrows of his patients; for, he may now take a more active role employing the methodology of Applied Kinesiology. Having been a psychiatrist for some 15 years I speak from personal experience. No longer am I depressed or weighed down by the sufferings of my patients; for, I now treat them with hope as I work with them physically as well as psychologically in a way that has been proven much more effective, quicker and more gratifying than ever before.

Nutritional Therapeutics

Applied Kinesiology has at last made more sense of nutrition. It enables the body to communicate what nutritional supplements are required. We are no longer left guessing in the dark so much or referring to this authority or that authority in an age where so little is really known about nutritional science. When the body itself becomes the determiner of treatment the results are most gratifying!

Preventive Medicine

If one is not practicing Applied Kinesiology, then I feel one is not fully practicing preventive medicine, because only Applied Kinesiology enables us to diagnose and treat accurately and thoroughly before major biochemical and pathological changes have developed in the organism.

Other Fields

The range of divergent areas in which Applied Kinesiology has already been able to shed new light ranges from schizophrenia to acupuncture; from new light on psychosomatic medicine to a new appreciation of the effects of music, and so forth. It seems also to be an incredible research tool in addition to qualifying as a powerful therapeutic modality. This burgeoning new healing art is also opening up numerous other areas of knowledge, e.g., to do with language and the effects of speech and gesture and biological sounds on the body in ways that are begging for exploration. The field of research seems infinite to me at this time. It would seem that anything that has a mental or physical effect on the human body can now be explored through the responses of the human employing Applied Kinesiology. It has led to the evolution in my own research and practice of the new discipline known as "Behavioral Kinesiology," in which I have attempted to integrate Applied Kinesiology, psychiatry, and preventive medicine in order to achieve a higher synthesis.

Conclusion

In conclusion, there are a million discoveries still to be made on the human body, and I find that every day of Applied Kinesiology practice is full of excitement and challenge for me as new discoveries are made and as patients are helped in ways that we never thought possible even a month ago. I urge my readers to give this exciting and promising new healing art serious consideration.^{*2}

Cowboy Wisdom

- Don't squat with your spurs on.
- Don't interfere with something that ain't bothering you none.
- Timing has a lot to do with the outcome of a rain dance.
- The easiest way to eat crow is while it's warm. The colder it gets, the harder it is to swallow.
- The biggest troublemaker you'll probably ever have to deal with watches you shave his face in the mirror every morning.
- A woman marries a man thinking she can change him, but she can't. A man marries a woman thinking she will never change, but she does.
- Never ask a barber if you need a haircut.
- Never miss a good chance to shut up.^{*3}

Bakers Unite... Poor Greeks Can't Even Afford a Loaf of Bread

Almost a year after Greece surrendered into the arms of the international lenders and the International Monetary Fund and the austerity cuts started to affect people's lives. One Greek explains the dismal reality of everyday life for many...

Did you know that there are people in Greece who cannot afford to buy even a loaf of bread at a cost of €0.60-€0.70?

A bakery in our neighborhood was offering a bread at a special price for pensioners and unemployed. The special price was just half a euro.

At one point, I remember that more and more people were going to this bakery and asking for bread from the previous day for a couple of cents or even free of charge.

Two days ago, the grim Greek reality hit me again. I was at the bakery sometime at noon. All different kinds of bread loafs were waiting for customers, nicely set in order, one by one, next to each other.

Yet, somewhere, in a corner at one of the lower shelves there was a group of breads: several loaves, long and round, white and wholewheat, a couple of baguettes.

"What are these?" I asked the baker and he answered "This is bread from yesterday, for the poor. We give it free of charge."

He told me further, that he had 6-7 returning customers who come every second day for the bread from yesterday. Mostly elderly, pensioners. And "maybe 2-3 people per day," people he does not know who just step in and ask for "old bread for free."

The problem of poverty is not widespread only in Athens, where the cost of living is much higher than in the countryside.

Today, I read about the action of the Bakers' Association in Kozani, in Northern Greece. Customers can buy extra bread for those in need, while the bakers will keep records of the "Bread on the waiting"—as they call their action—and give it to those who cannot afford it.

Action Slogan: "Buy a loaf of bread or a bagel and let it wait for someone in real need"

"All 26 bakeries have joined the action," the chairman of the Association, Dimitris Leoudis told the press.

Bravo to all bakers in Kozani *4

"You are a den of vipers! I intend to rout you out, and by the Eternal God I will rout you out. If the people only understood the rank injustice of our money and banking system, there would be a revolution before morning." ... "If congress has the right under the Constitution to issue paper money, it was given them to use themselves, not to be delegated to individuals or corporations."—President Andrew Jackson, 1829-1837 *5

Sex Plague

Sigmund Freud: "Sexual morality is contemptible. I advocate an incomparably freer sexual life....If only Americans knew, we are bringing them the plague!"

A recent pamphlet published by the German government contains these chilling words:

Fathers do not devote enough attention to the clitoris and vagina of their daughters. The child touches all parts of their father's body, sometimes arousing him. The father should do the same.

Toddlers are to be encouraged to indulge in "unlimited masturbation." Their parents are expected to offer practical demonstrations if need be—the better to produce sexual precocity in their offspring. "Children should learn there is no such thing as shameful parts of the body," the booklet advises. "The body is a home you should be proud of."

Children, it is suggested, should be taught the movements of copulation as soon as they reach the age of four, giving them what virtually amounts to a crash course in the Kama Sutra as soon as they have learnt to walk.

Depravity, it seems, cannot be taught too early.

In Holland, things have gone further. Here a political party, set up by convicted pedophiles, clamors for the legalization of child pornography and intergenerational sex between children of twelve and adults old enough to be their grandparents. I forgot to mention bestiality. They want to legalize that too...

Who is to blame for the sex addictions we see suppurating all round us? This licentiousness, growing by the day, thanks to the internet and the mass media, is far deadlier and more destructive than it was half a century ago, before the sexual revolution.

Those who are responsible for this sickening depravity are clearly the people who started the sexual revolution. They are the people, moreover, who control the mass media.

Who controls the media? Who determines the imagery and attitudes drip-feeding steadily into the minds of the public? Who runs Hollywood? Who contaminates mass consciousness? Who defiles the collective mind? Who pulls the puppet strings of marionette man? Who are the Bad Shepherds leading the sheeple astray?

Who are to blame, in short, for letting the world go to hell in a handcart?

I won't bother to answer that question. More to the point, I *dare* not. If you don't know who owns the media—lock, stock and barrel—you're wasting your time reading this article.

Art and Sexual Subversion: The Vaginocentric Female Artist

Let me resume here my discussion of sexual depravity which formed the basis of my recent article *Sex and the Jews*, and let me begin by saying a few words on art, a subject I know something about... And then let me proceed to the subject of pornography and consider its deployment in the systematic demoralization of the masses.



ABOVE: FEMINIST ICON TRACEY EMIN: "I'VE GOT IT ALL"

LEFT: BOTTICELLI'S VENUS

First, ask yourself this question: is there anything intrinsically admirable or aesthetically pleasing about British painter Tracey Emin's attention-seeking leg-and-vagina paintings?

If you were a man of taste, which of these two depictions of the Eternal Feminine would you prefer: this beautiful woman painted by Botticelli [left] or the ugly feminist icon who appears [above]?

Consider... these *titles* by the outrageously untalented Emin and draw your own conclusions: *Everyone I Have Ever Slept With, Fucking Down An Ally* (sic), *Asleep Alone With Legs Open* (several large-scale canvases of her splayed legs and vagina), *I've Got It All* (legs splayed again, clutching banknotes to her crotch), *Weird Sex, CV Cunt Vernacular, Is Anal Sex Legal, Masturbating, Get Ready For the Fuck Of Your Life.*

With titles like these, Tracey Emin could hardly fail. Her rich Jewish patron, advertising mogul Charles Saatchi, knew he was on to a good thing.

As the Gadarene swine hurtle over the cliff top, Tracey Emin and her kind clearly lead the pack on their way down into the bottomless abyss. These are the dupes of organized Jewry. By doing exactly what appeals to art patrons... these infinitely corrupt talentless opportunists know they will become rich and famous.

The sad truth is that so many female “artists”—almost all of them rabid feminists and sexual exhibitionists—have nothing to sell but vaginas.

Here are ten other vagina-obsessed females, apart from Tracey Emin and the notorious Annie Sprinkle... who use sex to sell their “art”: Karen Finley, Hannah Wilke, Carolee Schneeman, Andrea Fraser, Sarah Lucas, Marlene McCarty, Vanessa Beecroft, Malerie Marder, Katy Grannan, and Kembra Pfahler.

Being unable to paint properly or produce objects of lasting value, these exhibitionists like to display their vaginas to the world and call it “art”. Here is one such exhibitionist, Jewish performance artist Carolee Schneemann, pulling a paper scroll out of her vagina:



ABOVE: CAROLEE SCHNEEMANN: “I SAW THE VAGINA AS ENLIVENED BY ITS PASSAGE FROM THE VISIBLE TO THE INVISIBLE, A SPIRALLED COIL WITH THE SHAPE OF DESIRE AND GENERATIVE MYSTERIES....”

If Sprinkle acquired fame and fortune by allowing dirty old men to peep between her legs, Hannah Wilke and Karen Finley sought variations in which the vulva was again put to good use. The Jewish Wilke, being sadly deficient in originality, molded bits of chewing gum into vulvas and stuck them all over her body, much to the delight of the dirty old men who could now examine an assortment of vulvas simultaneously instead of just one. Not to be outdone, Finley smeared her naked torso with chocolate syrup and performed public acts—using a yam—which I won’t describe in detail in case nuns are reading this article. Rape, flatulence and menstruation formed the least offensive items in her repertoire.

Who helps to promote this pretentious claptrap? You don’t need three guesses to answer that question.

In 2001, ARTnews listed the world’s Top Ten Art Collectors. Eight of them were Jews. Ponder these staggering statistics: A people who constitute 0.2% of the world’s population make up 80% of the world’s richest art collectors. Out of every thousand people in the world, roughly two are Jews. To be precise, one in every 457 people are Jews. Yet go to a conference at which 1000 of the world’s wealthiest art collectors have gathered and you will find, to your amazement, that 800 of them are Jewish! Phenomenal, isn’t it?

Some of the vaginocentric exhibitionists mentioned above, like lesbian “performance artist” Annie Sprinkle, maintain websites blocked by porn filters. The aptly named Sprinkle—a *nom de porn* in honor of urolagnia—is the lady who douched her vagina onstage in 1991, before lying down and opening her legs so that members of the audience, mostly male, could inspect her cervix with the help of a flashlight and speculum.



ABOVE: ANNIE SPRINKLE (ELLEN STEINBERG): PERFORMANCE ARTIST, PROSTITUTE, PORN ACTRESS, FEMINIST ICON, AND LESBIAN DIVA OF DEPRAVITY. HER IDEA OF "ART" IS TO MASTURBATE ONSTAGE WITH SEX TOYS, HER LEGS WIDE OPEN, AND INVITE MEMBERS OF A PREDOMINANTLY MALE AUDIENCE TO PEER UP HER VAGINA WITH TORCHLIGHT AND SPECULUM. SPRINKLE'S SHOW WAS FUNDED BY THE NATIONAL ENDOWMENT FOR THE ARTS, A MINI-EMPIRE CONTROLLED BY THE HIDDEN HAND OF ORGANIZED JEWRY.

Andrea Fraser, however, deserves first prize for sheer chutzpah. This raunchy performance artist arranged to meet a man at the Royalton Hotel in Manhattan, owned by Jewish hotelier Ian Shrager. Above the bed, an overhead camera played Peeping Tom. The man was persuaded to part with \$20,000 for the privilege of helping to create a "work of art" with the frisky Fraser, the said work of art being a pornographic video filming the two participants copulating on a Queen-size bed. This sex video, now available for posterity, is pretentiously called "Untitled".

It's not "art" we're dealing with here, of course. It's pornography pure and simple.

The Jewish Affinity for Porn



LEFT: NINA HARTLEY, JEWISH PORN STAR, WHO IS REPORTED TO HAVE SAID, "I'VE YET TO MEET A JEWISH GUY WHO WASN'T A HORNY RABBIT."

Jews dominate the world's \$10 billion a year porn industry, roughly 90 per cent of which is generated within the United States.


As many as 260 new porn sites go online daily, more than ten sites an hour.

Since Jews are known to dominate the porn industry and comprise only 2% of America's population, it is reasonable to suppose that most of the new sites being started up every hour are being started up by Jews.

It is even more alarming to note how sex is now deployed by many American Jews as a weapon against Christianity with its socially cohesive and family-friendly values.

Jewish pornographer Al Goldstein's infamous words—"The only reason that Jews are in pornography is that we think that Christ sucks"—surely tell us all we need to know about the bitter hatred felt by so many Jews for the Western countries that have harboured them and given them hospitality for so long.

Jewish hatred for Christianity is legendary, spanning the Jewish political spectrum, from the far left to the neoconservative right. It can hardly be doubted, as the picture below makes only too clear.



LEFT: "CHRIST SUCKS!"

The arrogance and sense of entitlement of so many Jews, whose values Al Goldstein seems to have imbibed with his mother's milk, never cease to astonish me. "The difference between a Jewish soul and the soul of non-Jews," Rabbi Kook assures us, "is greater and deeper than the difference between a human soul and the soul of cattle." Given that Rabbi Kook would be the first to agree that rabbis form the intellectual and spiritual backbone of Jewry, one is tempted to ask what makes a man become a rabbi in the first place. Is it the thirst for God? Is it the wish to save one's soul and help others along the path to salvation?

Here is Jewish actor Richard Pacheco who couldn't quite make up his mind whether to become a rabbi or a porn star:

Five years before I got my first part in an adult film. ... I went to an audition for an X-rated film with my hair down to my ass, a copy of Wilhelm Reich's *Sexual Revolution* under my arm and yelling about work, love and sex, which were Reich's three principles. These things have got to be in balance or your life is going to be fucked.

Note that Pacheco had signed on to the radical left-Freudian views of Wilhelm Reich—the wackiest and most extreme of the subversive sexual ideologies that emerged from psychoanalysis. Jewish devotees of psychoanalysis typically saw it, first and foremost, as a blow against Christian sexual mores; hence, as a sneak attack on Christianity itself. For Jews, psychoanalysis placed Western culture on the couch. It was an assertion of Jewish contempt for Christian culture—the culture of the outgroup now destined for the dustbin of history.

Pacheco didn't get the job, but he kept on auditioning, since all he really wanted was to screw gorgeous blonde shiksas—doubtless an atavistic expression of Jewish hatred for the goyim, every act of sex being an act of revenge.

Five years later I auditioned for another X-rated film. That very day, I also interviewed at Hebrew Union Seminary to do rabbinical study. I made the choice that the kind of rabbi I would be, if I became one, was one that could have been performing in sex films as part of his experience...

Mindboggling, isn't it? This dupe of the sexual revolution couldn't make up his mind whether to sing hymns to God or kiss the devil's ass! In the end, it's the devil who won out. Pacheco decided to build a career in pornography—with

the full blessings, incidentally, of ADL chairman Abe Foxman who said that porn offered American Jews a valid and worthy way “to pursue the American dream.”



LEFT: RICHARD PACHECO (B. 1948). SCION OF AN ORTHODOX JEWISH FAMILY FROM PITTSBURG, PACHECO WAS ATTRACTED FROM AN EARLY AGE TO THE RABBINATE AND TO PORN IN EQUAL MEASURE. STAR OF OVER 100 X-RATED FILMS AND WINNER OF COUNTLESS AWARDS FOR HIS SEXUAL PROWESS IN FRONT OF THE CAMERAS, PACHECO WAS LUCKY TO RECEIVE THE LOYAL SUPPORT OF HIS WIFE ASHLEY. MANAGING SOMEHOW TO JUGGLE A CAREER IN PORN WITH A COMMITMENT TO FAMILY LIFE, PACHECO LATER HAD SEX WITH ASHLEY “CONSIDERABLY LESS OFTEN AFTER THEY HAD CHILDREN AND AIDS BECAME A THREAT, BUT HE CREDITS HIS PORNOGRAPHY CAREER FOR GIVING HIM THE OPPORTUNITY TO CONTINUE SEXUAL ENCOUNTERS FOR A TIME WITHOUT ENDANGERING HIS HOME LIFE.”

Here is Pacheco being interviewed after his retirement from porn:

As a young husband, I had no idea how to ask my beloved wife to be my “fuck-your-ass whore”. Yeah, I wanted some of that kind of sex, some very, very selfish lust with a sex kitten. A “fuck-me-fuck-me” woman. There’d be corsets and leathers, high-heeled boots laced up to crotchless panties, breasts spilling out of nippleless bras in lush bordello bedrooms filled up with sex toys. Like blindfolds and vibrators, handcuffs and paddles. Yeah, and there’d be me with a genuine tattered up won’t-say-no-woman. All the best drugs and oils in the world and plenty of time. And there’d be no “I love you” in any of it! I would meet this X-rated woman at the hotel where they were holding the auditions...and I would have sex with her right there in the hotel elevator! And then I would go home to my wife.



LEFT: RICHARD PACHECO (A RECENT PHOTO). ASKED IF HE STILL WATCHED ADULT MOVIES NOW THAT HE WAS A SENIOR CITIZEN, THE FORMER RABBINICAL STUDENT REPLIED: “NOT MUCH. OCCASIONALLY I’LL TOSS ONE ON FOR MASTURBATION IF MY WIFE AIN’T AROUND.”

In 1984, Pacheco won the Best Couples Sex Scene (video) with porn star Nina Hartley. In 1999, he was inducted into the AVN Hall of Fame with feminist porn diva Annie Sprinkle. In 2000, along with Sprinkle, he was given a Lifetime Achievement Award by the Free Speech Coalition (FSC), an organization that had given Nina Hartley an award only a few months earlier...

Note that these three luminaries of lust—Pacheco, Sprinkle and Hartley—are all *Jewish* pornographers and that the impressively named “Free Speech Coalition” is in fact a trade association set up in 1991 to safeguard the interests of “adult entertainers”—pornographers who for the most part are Jewish.

The FSC rejects all claims that pornography is addictive; it refuses to consider the possibility that serial killers and rapists could in any way be influenced by inflammatory erotica.

In an important court case in 2002, *Ashcroft v. Free Speech Coalition*, the US Supreme Court decided in the Coalition’s favour, thereby making it easier for pornographers to demoralize Americans, corrupt their children, and promote a general debasement of values—all this in accordance, incidentally, with the Frankfurt School agenda of producing a “culture of pessimism” designed to foster anarchy and promote impotent anger and despair...

Our new elite is clearly engaged in the business of mind manipulation and mass demoralization, nor will it rest until it has rebuilt the world in the image of a new Sodom and Gomorrah—a dystopic nightmare.

Apocalypse America

I have said it before, and I will say it again:

A great storm is brewing and only a military coup or revolution can now save America. Save it from what? From the spiritual cancer that is consuming it from within, and from the foreign wars into which it is being lured—Afghanistan, Iraq, and soon perhaps Iran—on behalf of another nation and its indefatigable agents in America.

Unless a miracle soon occurs and some charismatic leader comes to our rescue, an unimaginably bleak future surely awaits us: a future in which the only consolations left to us will be mindless entertainment, drugs, alcohol, sexual intoxication—and suicide.




Depravity appears to have no limits, as those who have surfed the internet have often discovered to their cost. The most appalling sexual addictions now render even children helpless. Many a marriage is blighted and ends in ruins amid these terrifying toxins.

And yet, we are only at the beginning. We have many a slime-green step to go before we reach rock bottom. Abyss yawns below bottomless abyss, and even to peer into these black moral chasms is to make us giddy with vertigo.

There is indeed no end to man's depravity.


And now...

Things fall apart. The centre cannot hold. The blood-dimmed tide is loosed, and everywhere the ceremony of innocence is drowned.^{*6}



ABOVE: THE MEGAPHONE IN ONE GRAPH — THE NEW YORK TIMES HAS A TOOL CALLED CHRONICLE FOR TELLING YOU WHAT PERCENTAGE OF TIMES ARTICLE HAVE INCLUDED A PARTICULAR WORD OVER THE CENTURIES. HERE WE SEE "RACISM" IN GREEN, "SEXISM" IN BLACK, AND "TRANSGENDER" IN BLUE, ALL SHOOTING UP POST 2010: THE ESTABLISHMENT HAVING A NERVOUS BREAKDOWN. = 'PROGRESS' *7


Gross Public Debt US from FY 1900 to FY 2023



jograph

usgovernmentspending.com

ABOVE: CHART REVEALS: TRILLIONS OF DOLLARS IN GROSS PUBLIC DEBT ACCUMULATION SINCE 1900, RISE DRAMATICALLY BY 1970. *8



ABOVE: ... HARVARD UNIVERSITY POINTS OUT THAT, DESPITE CLAIMS OF AN "OBAMA RECOVERY," IN FACT, THE U.S. ECONOMY HAS CONTINUED TO DETERIORATE IN THE AFTERMATH OF THE "GREAT RECESSION." AMONG OTHER THINGS, HARVARD ATTRIBUTES THE ECONOMIC DETERIORATION TO A "LACK OF ECONOMIC STRATEGY, ESPECIALLY AT THE FEDERAL LEVEL" AND A "POLITICAL SYSTEM WAS ONCE THE ENVY OF MANY NATIONS" BUT HAS NOW "BECOME OUR GREATEST LIABILITY." *9

Source: Harvard University, Zero Hedge



ABOVE: PORTRAIT OF SHIV JI TIWARI, A SADHU IN VARANASI, INDIA, FROM THE "HOLY MEN" SERIES OF PHOTOGRAPHS BY JOEY L., NYC — 'HIS GAZE PERFECTLY EXPRESSES A TIRING SENTIMENT BY SPIRITUAL OBSERVERS TOWARD DESTRUCTIVE, DEMORALIZING POLITICS' *10

Real Banking

Germany was hopelessly broke when Adolf Hitler came to power in 1933. The Treaty of Versailles (le Traité de Versailles) had imposed crushing reparations on the German people, demanding that Germans repay every nation's costs of the war. These costs totaled three times the value of all the property in Germany.

Private currency speculators caused the German currency to plummet, precipitating one of the worst runaway inflations in modern times. A wheelbarrow full of 100 billion-mark banknotes could not buy a loaf of bread. The national treasury was empty. Countless homes and farms were lost to speculators and to private Zionist controlled banks. Germans lived in hovels. They were starving.

Nothing like this had ever happened before—the total destruction of the national currency—German mark, plus the wiping out of German's savings and businesses. On top of this came a global depression. Germany had no choice but to succumb to debt slavery under international Zionist bankers until 1933, when the National Socialists came to power. At that point the German government thwarted the Zionist international banking cartels by issuing its own money. Zionist bankers responded by declaring a global boycott against Germany.

Adolf Hitler began a national credit program by devising a plan of public works that included flood control, repair of public buildings and private residences, and construction of new roads, bridges, canals, and port facilities. All these were paid for with money that no longer came from the private international Zionist bankers.

The projected cost of these various programs was fixed at one billion units of the national currency. To pay for this, the German government (not the international Zionist bankers) issued bills of exchange, called Labor Treasury Certificates. In this way the National Socialists put millions of people to work, and paid them with Treasury Certificates.

Under the National Socialists, Germany's money wasn't backed by gold which was owned by the international Zionist bankers. It was essentially a receipt for labor and materials delivered to the government. Adolf Hitler said, "For every mark issued, we required the equivalent of a mark's worth of work done, or goods produced." The government paid workers in Certificates. Workers spent those Certificates on other goods and services, thus creating more jobs for more people. In this way the German people climbed out of the crushing debt imposed on them by the international Zionist bankers.

Within two years, the unemployment problem in Germany had been solved, and Germany was back on its feet. It had a solid, stable currency, with no debt, and no inflation, at a time when millions of people in the United States and other Western countries controlled by international Zionist bankers were still out of work. Within five years, Germany went from the poorest nation in Europe to the richest.

Germany even managed to restore foreign trade, despite the international Zionist bankers' denial of foreign credit to Germany, and despite the global boycott by Zionist-owned industries. Germany succeeded in this by exchanging equipment and commodities directly with other countries, using a barter system that cut the private Zionist bankers out of the picture. Germany flourished, since barter eliminates national debt and trade deficits. Today Venezuela does the same thing today when it trades oil for commodities, plus medical help, and so on. Hence the Zionist bankers are trying to squeeze Venezuela.

Hjalmar Schacht, a Rothschild agent who was temporarily head of the German central bank, summed it up thus... An American banker had commented, "Dr. Schacht, you should come to America. We've lots of money and that's real banking." Schacht replied, "You should come to Berlin. We don't have money. That's real banking."

Schacht, the Rothschild agent, actually supported the private international Zionist bankers against Germany, and was rewarded by having all charges against him dropped at the Nuremberg trials.

This economic freedom made Adolf Hitler extremely popular with the German people. Germany was rescued from English economic theory, which says that all currency must be borrowed against the gold owned by a private and secretive Zionist banking cartel — such as the Federal Reserve, or the Central Bank of Europe — rather than issued by the government for the benefit of the people.

Canadian researcher Dr. Henry Makow who is Jewish himself says the main reason why the Zionist bankers arranged for a world war against Germany was that Hitler sidestepped the Zionist bankers by creating his own money, thereby freeing the German people. Worse, this freedom and prosperity threatened to spread to other nations. Adolf Hitler had to be stopped!

Makow quotes from the 1938 interrogation of Christian Rakovsky, one of the founders of Soviet Bolshevism and a Lev Davidovich Bronshtein (Trotsky) intimate. Christian Rakovsky was tried in show trials in the USSR under Joseph Vissarionovich Stalin. According to Christian Rakovsky, Adolf Hitler was at first funded by the international Zionist bankers, through the bankers' agent Hjalmar Schacht. The bankers financed Adolf Hitler in order to control Joseph Stalin, who had usurped power from their agent Lev Davidovich Bronshtein (Trotsky). Then Adolf Hitler became an even bigger threat than Joseph Stalin when Hitler started printing his own money.

Joseph Stalin came to power in 1922, which was eleven years before Adolf Hitler came to power.

Christian Rakovsky said:

"Adolf Hitler took over the privilege of manufacturing money, and not only physical moneys, but also financial ones. He took over the machinery of falsification and put it to work for the benefit of the people. Can you possibly imagine what would have come if this had infected a number of other states?" (Henry Makow, "Hitler Did Not Want War"). Economist Henry C K Liu writes of Germany's remarkable transformation:

"The Nazis came to power in 1933 when the German economy was in total collapse, with ruinous war-reparation obligations and zero prospects for foreign investment or credit. Through an independent monetary policy of sovereign credit and a full-employment public-works program, the Third Reich was able to turn a bankrupt Germany, stripped of overseas colonies, into the strongest economy in Europe within four years, even before armament spending began." (Henry C. K. Liu, "Nazism and the German Economic Miracle").

In *Billions for the Bankers, Debts for the People* (1984), Sheldon Emry commented:

"Germany issued debt-free and interest-free money from 1935 on, which accounts for Germany's startling rise from the depression to a world power in five years. The German government financed its entire operations from 1935 to 1945 without gold, and without debt. It took the entire Capitalist and Communist world to destroy the German revolution, and bring Europe back under the heel of the Bankers."

These facts do not appear in any textbooks today, since Zionist own most publishing companies. What does appear is the disastrous runaway inflation suffered in 1923 by the Weimar Republic, which governed Germany from 1919 to 1933. Today's textbooks use this inflation to twist truth into its opposite. They cite the radical devaluation of the German mark as an example of what goes wrong when governments print their own money, rather than borrow it from private Zionist cartels.

In reality, the Weimar financial crisis began with the impossible reparations payments imposed at the Treaty of Versailles. Hjalmar Schacht—the Rothschild agent who was currency commissioner for the Republic—opposed letting the German government print its own money... “The Treaty of Versailles is a model of ingenious measures for the economic destruction of Germany. Germany could not find any way of holding its head above the water, other than by the inflationary expedient of printing bank notes.”

Schacht echoes the textbook lie that Weimar inflation was caused when the German government printed its own money. However, in his 1967 book *The Magic of Money*, Schacht let the cat out of the bag by revealing that it was the PRIVATELY-OWNED Reichsbank, not the German government, that was pumping new currency into the economy. Thus, the PRIVATE BANK caused the Weimar hyper-inflation.

Like the U.S. Federal Reserve, the Reichsbank was overseen by appointed government officials, but was operated for private gain. What drove the wartime inflation into hyperinflation was speculation by foreign investors, who sold the mark short, betting on its decreasing value. In the manipulative device known as the short sale, speculators borrow something they don't own, sell it, and then “cover” by buying it back at the lower price.

Speculation in the German mark was made possible because the PRIVATELY OWNED Reichsbank (not yet under Nazi control) made massive amounts of currency available for borrowing. This currency, like U.S. currency today, was created with accounting entries on the bank's books. Then the funny-money was lent at compound interest. When the Reichsbank could not keep up with the voracious demand for marks, other private banks were allowed to create marks out of nothing, and to lend them at interest. The result was runaway debt and inflation.

Thus, according to Schacht himself, the German government did not cause the Weimar hyperinflation. On the contrary, the government (under the National Socialists) got hyperinflation under control. The National Socialists put the Reichsbank under strict government regulation, and took prompt corrective measures to eliminate foreign speculation. One of those measures was to eliminate easy access to funny-money loans from private banks. Then Adolf Hitler got Germany back on its feet by having the public government issue Treasury Certificates.

Schacht , the Rothschild agent, disapproved of this government fiat money, and wound up getting fired as head of the Reichsbank when he refused to issue it. Nonetheless, he acknowledged in his later memoirs that allowing the government to issue the money it needed did not produce the price inflation predicted by classical economic theory, which says that currency must be borrowed from private cartels.

What causes hyper-inflation is uncontrolled speculation. When speculation is coupled with debt (owed to private Zionist banking cartels) the result is disaster. On the other hand, when a government issues currency in carefully measured ways, it causes supply and demand to increase together, leaving prices unaffected. Hence there is no inflation, no debt, no unemployment, and no need for income taxes.

Naturally this terrifies the Zionist bankers, since it eliminates their powers. It also terrifies Zionists, since their control of banking allows them to buy the media, the government, and everything else. ^{*11}

'These Left Thinkers have Destroyed the Intellectual Life'

Ours is an age of intellectual conformism, in which expressing offensive opinions often seems to be deemed the worst offence of all; academia is decreed a 'safe space' where 'uncomfortable' ideas are banished, and using the wrong word can see you accused of committing a 'microaggression'. And you are supposed to apologise at the first sign of a wagging finger...

'Yet this is core curriculum throughout the humanities in American and English universities. Why? The one sole reason is it's on the left. There is nothing that anybody can translate into lucid prose, but for that very reason, it seems like a suit of armour around the age-old prejudices against power and authority, the old unshaped and unshapeable agenda.'

Defending academic freedom against the forces of conformity matters to Scruton because 'My life began, insofar as it had a beginning, in the university. That's where I grew up, and I love my subject, philosophy, love the whole idea of the academic and scholarly life, that one has a place apart where people are pursuing the truth and communicating that to people who are eager to learn it. And this thing has completely destroyed the intellectual life.' He considers these leftists prime culprits in what might be called the closing of the university mind, though 'whether they caused the closing of the mind or are the effect of it is another matter.'

Scruton's powerful aversion to 'the French gurus of '68 and their jargon-ridden prose' dates from that student revolt in Paris in 1968. It gave birth to a generation of radical thinkers, and, in the process, helped turn at least one young Englishman into a conservative. 'I was there in Paris and I was indignant at the stupidity of what I observed. I was a normal young person in England, I was brought up in a Labour Party family and as far as I had any views they'd be vaguely on the left.' His father was a working-class lad from Manchester who became a schoolteacher and moved his family south, where Scruton attended High Wycombe Royal Grammar School, played bass guitar and listened to The Beatles before being expelled shortly after winning a scholarship to Cambridge University. 'But I'd been very influenced as a teenager by TS Eliot and FR Leavis, who put culture at the centre of their vision. They understood that culture in a way that now would be described as elitist, as an initiation into something higher than where you were. I thought the culture of our civilisation was something intrinsically valuable—I still think that. And something that is worth making distinctions in order to preserve. So that was moving me in a conservative direction.'

'But when I was in Paris in '68 I became indignant at the total ignorance of the people who tried to tell me that this revolution was something important. I couldn't argue with them about the thing that really mattered to me, culture. To them that was just "bourgeois". This word bourgeois really got up my nose. I decided, yes, of course there is such a thing as the bourgeoisie and you are it, these well-fed, pampered middle-class students whose one concern was to throw stones at working-class people who happened to be in a policeman's uniform.'

Some might also suspect the new book of settling old scores. The first version of *Thinkers of the New Left* was, Scruton now reflects, 'a disaster', a key moment in his ousting from respectable academic life. 'I never envisaged that I could be attacked in quite such a violent way. My previous book *The Meaning of Conservatism* had prepared the way—that was an outspoken and provocative book—so I was already *persona non grata* in academic circles. But for me to attack the people on whom the whole new curriculum was founded was regarded not just as an insult; it was also necessary to show that this was the product of a small, benighted mind. So that was made into the theme, and it was quite difficult to deal with. But it was fun in the end, of course. One can't worry too much about what others say about you.'^{*12}

David R. Hawkins

Editor's Note: When attempting to find information on David R. Hawkins, a professor and researcher who had previously created such interesting works as "Power versus Force" and "The Highest Level of Enlightenment" I was astonished to find the data removed. Upon further research I found reference to David R. Hawkins on the site below, with the following explanation:

IF YOU VISIT WIKIPEDIA and look up Dr. David Hawkins, the American psychiatrist who has over the last decade been putting out a lot of scientific-sounding New Age / New **Consciousness** philosophy in a series of books, magazines and lectures, you will be surprised to see that his entry in that online encyclopedia is almost blank. [It was once a substantial entry, a mirror of which you can see at search.com. It then disappeared altogether when a Wiki editor caved in to revisionist pressure and deleted the whole thing, only to return a year or two later with just a few lines when Wiki admin no doubt realized that blatant censorship was probably not good PR for them—link.] This is in stark contrast to the same entry just a couple of months earlier, an entry which was substantial due to Hawkins' controversial nature. As someone who naively believes in free speech, I could not understand how a Wikipedia entry could just disappear. [Alarmingly, the whitewashing continues—Hawkins' entire entry on Answers.com has since also been deleted.] *¹³

Madness... as Comey Attempts to Justify Hillary Innocence

James Comey appeared today before the House Judiciary Committee to answer questions about the FBI's decision not to recommend prosecution of Hillary Clinton regarding misuse of classified emails—and in the process told members that once the politics stopped circulating, the FBI would again be seen as the institution "you know and love."

This hyperbolic statement certainly set the tone for the larger hearing which saw Comey testifying with unusual emotionalism, adding at one point that people ought not to see those involved in the decision as "weasels."

"You can call us wrong, but don't call us weasels. We are not weasels," he said. "We are honest people and...whether or not you agree with the result, this was done the way you want it to be done."

Despite Hillary's destruction of various phones with hammers (to mention only one issue), he spent several hours insisting, often querulously, that there was no evidence that she intended to obstruct justice or to hide evidence. His statements were mostly a repetition of already presented justifications. As they made little sense previously, they added neither clarity nor insight.

Republican congressmen—many of whom were increasingly incredulous—pressed him in startling ways. Several virtually demanded he reopen the case and others told him bluntly that "you blew it."

"I would be in big trouble and I should be in big trouble if I did something like that," said Rep. James Sensenbrenner (R-Wis.). "There seems to be different strokes for different folks. I think there's a heavy hand coming from someplace else."

... This is part of the further breakdown of American society in the 21st century and will not be resolved easily nor quickly. In fact, we would argue that it probably will not be resolved at all. *¹⁴

When an Accusation Becomes Proof

In the spring of 2006, three white lacrosse players from Duke University were charged with raping a black stripper who briefly had performed at a team party. It was the proverbial “Perfect Storm” of race, class, and sex, and united many of the usual political pressure groups that infect our body politic today.

The so-called Duke Lacrosse Rape Case (or better put, *Non-Rape* case) received media and political mileage because of who was involved, and the story had an ending that was somewhat more unusual than what is seen when accusations of rape and sexual assault occur. That was because the prosecutor that pursued the lacrosse players, the infamous Michael B. Nifong, went from hero to villain when defense attorneys successfully exposed that Nifong and his “star” witnesses had fabricated inculpatory evidence and Nifong had hidden evidence that was exculpatory.

His once-adoring media sycophants turned into inquisitors after Nifong’s lies became public and his name became synonymous with prosecutorial misconduct, and he ultimately lost his job as Durham County District Attorney and the State of North Carolina revoked his law license. Unfortunately, people believe that Nifong’s lies and willful misconduct *were the real story* of injustice, but they are not. In fact, they only are a sideshow of the legal terror that literally thousands of people have faced in the past 30 years, and the actual story is much worse than anything Nifong did because misconduct and wrongful convictions (or open invitations to misconduct) are built into the law itself.

Those of us that are intimately familiar with this case know how close these young men came to being put on trial in Durham and being convicted of “crimes” that never happened. The sad irony is that thanks to federal law and federal policies, Nifong did not have to present any real evidence in order to gain a conviction. All he needed was an accusation from Crystal Mangum, the accuser, and jurors would have had all of the “proof” they needed to vote “guilty.” Given the political and racial climate in Durham, it almost is certain that jurors would have convicted the three simply because the powers that be in that city would have demanded nothing less.

That Mangum’s story was not credible from the beginning was irrelevant to how the “justice” system worked in the Duke case—and in many cases involving accusations of sexual assault or molestation, not to mention the carrying out of drug laws. As a result, I will make an astonishing claim: I believe that *thousands*—yes, thousands—of people are or have been incarcerated in prison for “crimes” that never happened because of America’s legal revolution during the past century.

This state of injustice has not come about accidentally. Instead, it is the result of a politicized age in which intellectuals, politicians, and policymakers who deem themselves to be “Progressives” have fashioned the law into a set of rules and policies that even tyrants like Nero and Caligula would have considered to be unjust.

It Always Starts With Progressivism

American criminal law today does not reflect what the USA inherited from common law England, complete with the “Rights of Englishmen” that Americans also assumed for themselves. From the founding of the republic until the Progressive Era of the early 1900s, criminal law in this country mostly reflected the principle of *malum in se*, a legal doctrine that holds that certain acts are bad in themselves.

For example, almost every society that has existed in history has had prohibitions against murder, theft, and robbery. From Hammurabi’s Code to the Ten Commandments to modern law, certain actions have been criminalized

because they involved things everyone recognized as being wrong. This does not mean that such laws cannot be abused, but they do tend to reflect universal principles.

Unfortunately, legal systems also have reflected the legal doctrine of *malum prohibitum* which says certain acts are bad and worthy of punishment because they violate certain rules that government agents have created. For example, at the turn of the 20th Century, it was legal for Americans to ingest drugs like marijuana and cocaine, and alcohol was readily available. In a couple of decades, however, the law prohibited consumption of drugs and possession of alcoholic beverages because the powers that be had decreed as such. Furthermore, violation of those laws could mean a spell in prison, something that previously had been reserved for those who ran afoul of *malum in se* laws.

American Progressives, who had Grand Visions for how to organize life for Americans—including those Americans who had no desire to take part in the Grand Visions, tended to favor a legal system based upon *malum prohibitum* because they believed that individuals in society needed to conform to a set of social and political ideals created by the Great Superior Minds of Progressives. The idea that governments should not interfere with the routines of daily life—and especially those routines that involved mutually-consensual acts and the actions of the marketplace—was scorned by Progressives, who believed that individuals should not be permitted to act outside of boundaries set by political authorities who supposedly knew what was best for everyone else.

Progressives relentlessly attacked social institutions that did not easily fit under the umbrella of state-based control, and over time those institutions—entities like religious groups, voluntary societies, and local education—succumbed to statist pressure. All of these actions took place under the guise of “reforms” in which society was to be centralized under federal power with Progressive intellectuals and “reformers” being the ones to determine the boundaries of conduct of individuals.

The so-called Progressive reforms transformed American law in two ways. First, its insistence upon the expansion of state power and state-enforced regulation over the lives of individuals meant the inevitable growth of criminal law under *malum prohibitum*. The “duty” of Americans was to “obey” state directives, and those who did not obey were to be punished via imprisonment. Thus, in 1919, it was legal to possess alcoholic beverages and it was legal for business firms to brew and distill them. A year later, such things were crimes, accomplished by the mere stroke of a pen.

Second, by demanding the centralization of political, legal, and economic power via Washington, Progressives helped to create a federal legal system which permits prosecutors to take almost any individual action and find ways to criminalize it. No longer was the legal emphasis upon the protection of life, liberty, and property, but rather it was on subjected people following sets of rules created by intellectuals and politicians.

The legal system that came from the Progressive Era and has metastasized unabated for more than a century is hostile to the venerable “Rights of Englishmen” that undergirded American criminal law for many years and nowhere is this better reflected than in the evisceration of the important but now little-known legal doctrine of *mens rea*. To understand the contempt that modern Progressives have for individuals and to understand the utter tyranny of modern American criminal law, one first must understand why *mens rea* is vital to protecting individual rights.

The term *mens rea* essentially means “a guilty mind.” That is, in order for one’s action to be determined as criminal or not, the mindset of the person engaging in a particular action was paramount. For example, say I am driving cautiously in a neighborhood when suddenly a child chasing a ball comes out of nowhere and appears in front of my

car, and I hit him. I did not intend to hit the child, nor was I acting in a reckless manner; the result was an unavoidable accident, not a criminal act on my part.

However, say that I see a child in the street and speed up my car in order to hit him. The action was deliberate and, thus, criminal under a doctrine of *mens rea*. In other words, *intent matters* under criminal law, or at least it is *supposed* to matter.

The growth of the regulatory and bureaucratic state with its emphasis upon *malum prohibitum*, however, is incompatible with *mens rea* and over the years, American courts have slowly but surely eliminated it from this nation's *de facto* body of law. Every year, local, state, and federal agencies churn out thousands of new regulations, most of which have the power of law, with many carrying criminal penalties for people who violate them. Furthermore, the American courts have ruled time and again that "ignorance of the law is no excuse" for disobeying them.

In other words—and I am not exaggerating here—every American is responsible for knowing every regulation and every law that is passed and, more important, must obey each one or face a penalty and even prison for failure to do so. For ordinary people, there is no exception unless a judge or administrator decides not to punish someone for violating a rule of which the person had no knowledge.

There *are* classes of people that are exempt from having to know all of the laws and regulations, however: *those charged with enforcing the laws*, from administrators to police to prosecutors to judges. I am not kidding, nor do I exaggerate. The very people who are supposed to be the most intimate with laws and regulations because their very livelihoods rest upon their enforcement also are the very people who are *legally* permitted to be ignorant of such laws and regulations.

It gets worse. People in those enforcement lines of work who are caught violating the law or policies pertaining to enforcement are *exempt* from having people whom the law enforcers have victimized from seeking legal redress in the courts. Thus, when the three falsely-accused defendants in the Duke Lacrosse Case filed lawsuits against the City of Durham and prosecutor Michael Nifong, the federal courts dismissed most of the claims because of the legal doctrines of *immunity* that the courts and legislatures have imposed.

The effect is that wrongdoers, should they be employed by government, are pretty much exempted from having to face consequences of their wrongdoing. This is not an oversight; it is the result of the *political goals* that perpetrators of modern regimes have imposed. I call it a corollary of what economists call "Capture Theory." The government employees in the law enforcement lines of work have "captured" the system and have rewritten the rules in order to protect themselves at the expense of the people these government agents victimize every day.

And even in the very rare events when perpetrators are charged with wrongdoing, they often are acquitted by the system. For example, the infamous "not guilty" verdict in a recent trial of two former police officers from Fullerton, California, for beating a homeless man to death despite the overwhelming evidence of their guilt (evidence caught on videotape) reflect the modern political reality that government agents truly are shielded from consequences of their own actions, even when they break the law. William Norman Grigg also has documented numerous times when U.S. police officers essentially engaged in summary executions of unarmed and unthreatening people, yet have faced no legal or even personal consequences for their actions.

Accusations and Political Goals

All of this brings us back not only to the Duke case but also to the thousands of cases in which people are charged with sexual assault and/or child molestation. At this point, I will make a very provocative statement: U.S. prisons are full of *thousands* of wrongfully-convicted people and thousands more outside of prison live under the weight of being convicted felons. American law has become so tyrannical that many wrongfully-charged people cannot defend themselves from false charges because the law is stacked against them.

In 1974, Congress passed the Child Abuse Prevention and Treatment Act or CAPTA, better known as the Mondale Act for its vocal sponsor, Sen. Walter Mondale of Minnesota. The law had all of the hallmarks of the Progressive outlook for which Mondale was famous, including the offering of federal money to the states to encourage the prosecution of more people for child abuse and child molestation. (Congress passed new editions of CAPTA over the years and it remains on the books today.)

Congress later passed the Violence Against Women Act which encouraged states and localities to prosecute rape and sexual assault against women, promising federal money to those governmental entities for doing so. The laws also helped to create and fund governmental and government-connected agencies such as Child Protective Services to investigate claims of abuse and molestation and to aid law enforcement agencies in bringing charges against alleged perpetrators of abuse.

Understand that the offenses listed in these laws are not imaginary constructs. Children are abused and, yes, many are molested and the damage done to those children is incalculable. If the law had resulted in the actual prosecution and punishment of real perpetrators, that would have been one thing—and I would not have written this article. Rape and sexual assault are terrible things and no one denies that fact.

Instead, the laws led to what I believe are thousands of false charges and convictions because they changed the fundamental rules of evidence. Before CAPTA and the VAWA, most states required *corroborating* evidence besides the mere accusation of abuse and the like because authorities recognized that it is too easy for people to make false charges due to ulterior motives.

For example, it is not unusual for warring spouses in divorce litigation to make accusations of abuse or worse against one another to aid their own claim for child custody. Before CAPTA, such accusations would have required evidence other than the spouse's claim. After CAPTA and after VAWA, the accusation itself became absolute *proof of criminal wrongdoing*.

These new standards of evidence, while guaranteeing false accusations and wrongful convictions, were not written to satisfy justice but rather to satisfy pressure groups with political goals. The modern child protection industry, with parents having to worry about a government agency falsely accusing them of abuse or worse and having their children removed from their own care, could not exist without CAPTA. Likewise, it now is much too easy for someone to be accused falsely of rape, something that feminists and their political allies have demanded for years.

What makes things worse is that prosecutors, both state and federal, are permitted to pile up charges upon charges on people and then hold the very real prospect over their heads of draconian punishments should they be convicted on even *one* of those charges. The result is that 95 percent of all U.S. criminal cases result in guilty pleas, and lawyers of people who demonstrably are innocent often will encourage their clients to plead to "something" just to give prosecutors their little victories and to prevent even worse punishments.

Many other writers and I have written about how a false accusation industry sprang up, and how innocent people were railroaded into prison. Absurd prosecutions now have become the norm, as the lure of federal money and political benefits to crusading prosecutors have overcome any whit of interest in justice.

... I wrote nearly seven years ago, the attorney general of Rhode Island charged a man with rape for actions he allegedly committed 32 years before. The accuser had been undergoing the infamous (and discredited) "recovered memories" therapy and suddenly "remembered" this man having raped her.

Now, she could not remember when or where it happened. Instead, she just "remembered" that it was some time in a six month span and somewhere in the neighborhood where she lived. In other words, the whole thing reeked of absurdity, but it was perfectly logical under the terms of the VAWA. (Shortly after the LRC piece was published, the AG dropped the charges. However, that did not change the fact that he still could have won a conviction with just the woman's claims, should the jurors have chosen to believe her.)

Likewise, we saw a spate of child molestation investigations that would have made the weirdest episodes of "The Twilight Zone" seem to be logical by comparison. I have written about many of them and have seen how some of the worst offenders—like Janet Reno—have been rewarded for gaining wrongful convictions. For that matter, Nifong himself was the darling of the U.S. mainstream media for many months (and especially the *New York Times*) until it became abundantly clear that he was lying. And even then, a number of media and legal writers, including Lester Munson of ESPN, still tried to cover for him.

Many of these wrongful convictions came right from the playbook pages of Progressivism. First, federal laws changed the legal incentives to financially and politically-reward prosecutors who got wrongful convictions—and then ensured that even if those same prosecutors later were found to be lying, that they would escape meaningful punishment. Centralization of political and judicial power has been a main priority for Progressives for more than a century.

Second, by helping to create scores of new agencies staffed by "experts" who then plied their "expertise" in courts and convinced jurors that the charges—however absurd they seemed to be—really were true, the government ensured an increase in the prison population, thus strengthening the Prison-Industrial Complex that exists in this country.

Third, the federal government was able to eviscerate the precious "Rights of the Accused" that Americans supposedly enjoyed, thus further empowering government agents. All of these things have come from the playbooks of Progressive writers and politicians such as Herbert Croly and Woodrow Wilson.

American criminal law, be it at the state or federal level, is a mere shadow of what it used to be. The vast growth of federal crimes and the evisceration of the rules of evidence at all levels have turned what the famed English jurist William Blackstone once termed as a "shield for the innocent" into a system of governmental tyranny.

This is no accident. The tyrannical criminal law regime that grips America is what the Progressives wanted all along. They wanted Americans to have to live in fear of a powerful state that could and should tell them how to live, what to believe, and what to do. And the police state is what we have.^{*15}

"No American government can take away all our freedoms at one time. But a slow and steady erosion of freedom can accomplish the same thing on the installment plan. We have already gone too far down that road. F.A. Hayek called it 'the road to serfdom.' —*Thomas Sowell*

Dear America, Stop Putting Up With Evil

Donald Trump's aggressive truth-telling revealed all manner of evil in the political and media establishments. With his outspokenness and gut sense, and with the John Podesta emails released by WikiLeaks, Donald Trump and other whistleblowers have revealed shocking wickedness and collusion between the media, government and both political parties. We truly need to *drain the swamp*.

'Hate crime' hoaxes vs. real violence

We've seen vicious assaults on Trump supporters—male and female victims of many races and ages, including even the homeless.

Yet the media harp on about "hate crimes" (many of which are hoaxes), Nazi salutes and David Duke. But black-on-white crime and cop killing are the real out-of-control crimes of bias in America. These are incited by the left, not Donald Trump.

Still, we all should self-police as the tea party did, shunning overreaction and agitation. We should not join in slander, violence and denial as the left does. Fight as hard as they do, and call out their lies. Be cunning, organized and relentless, but do not hate. You will only make the dishonest media appear *right*.

The liberal media fawn over corrupt, tyrannical people like Fidel Castro, Hillary Clinton and Barack Obama, but cry that Trump is a "fascist" and "authoritarian"! Now they want us to believe their conspiracy theories about "fake news," which they blame for Trump's election. What could the liberal media consider to be "fake news"? Legitimate concerns such as Hillary's health proved right despite all their denial. The mainstream media despise independent-thinking Americans like Donald Trump, and think We the People are stupid.

Evil in high places

The media and social media establishments are attempting to suppress investigation into "PizzaGate"—alleged pedophilia and Satanism practiced by political insiders and elites. These suspicions were bolstered by WikiLeaks' "Podesta" emails.

Investigative journalist David Seaman says WikiLeaks has not released a fraudulent email in its 10 years of whistleblowing.

Seaman is leaving Twitter over apparent censorship and bias by CEO Jack Dorsey. Prominent conservative actor James Woods also famously quit Twitter over its attempts to suppress Trump supporters, prominent "alt-right" personalities, conservative opinions and anti-Hillary hashtags.

Seaman urges people to search terms like "Podesta pizza" on WikiLeaks ("cheese pizza" is apparently a commonly known code word for "child pornography")—sick stuff that makes Anthony Weiner's disgraceful issues seem almost innocent in comparison.

Remember when everyone was shocked by the cover-up of homosexual Catholic priests having sex with little boys? Early on, many of us did not know what to believe.

Now we have a liberal media quick to cover up for powerful people we all know are corrupt, and who may be affiliated with the sickest cruelties against the most innocent human beings.

And by now, we well know Democrats are not the only evil people in Washington. Many, if not most, Republicans in power have proven to be as wicked and phony as the shameless liberals.

Americans, keep watch

Let honest people be wary. Investigate and expose evil—but do not rush to judgment or falsely accuse anyone, no matter who it is. And do not overreact.

This week proved controversial for Trump's transition team. Trump appointed Gov. Nikki Haley of South Carolina (whose political correctness and pandering to liberals disappointed many) as U.N. ambassador.

I personally want America out of the U.N. and wish Haley were not rewarded after her past behavior, but I suspend judgment because I don't know all that goes on, and Donald has proven right in ways that have surprised me.

The Trump transition team has also caught huge blowback from many Trump supporters for appearing to consider Gov. Mitt Romney as secretary of state.

Like many, I felt extremely disappointed by Romney's cowardice in his failed 2012 campaign against Barack Obama, and then his sudden fake bravery in 2016, speaking out against Donald Trump.

Donald Trump does not think in the same box that most of us do. He does things I wouldn't do. But often he turns out right, and he *wins*. Until he proves wrong, I trust Trump.

Look at how the liberals fear-monger over Steve Bannon and others. We needed a great outcry over Barack Obama's appointments, like Eric Holder and Loretta Lynch, whose DOJ proved disastrous for justice in the country.

Let's treat our incoming administration with the scrutiny great Americans like Sean Hannity and Rush Limbaugh gave Obama, but also with a sense of real hope and change.

Christians and all men, keep watch

I strongly urge all Americans to practice this watchfulness and fight for good in your own lives. We the People are to blame for our corrupt government, the masses of brainwashed young people and many "minorities" who vote for the enemies of good—even at times duped ourselves.

At my church services, I've said even in Christian families, there is acceptance of sin and anger, which hurts children and instills weak character and weak personalities. It's a sure way to lose a country.

We need a return to a "no-excuse" mindset, no longer ignoring wrong.

If our country is to survive, if we want to restore freedom, We the People must return to eternal vigilance within.^{*16}

MSNBC... Media's Job to 'Control Exactly What People Think'

MSNBC anchor Mika Brzezinski boldly declared that it's the media's job to "control exactly what people think" during an on-air discussion about President Donald Trump.

Brzezinski made the flippant remark while responding to a comment from her co-host Joe Scarborough during a panel discussion on 'Morning Joe' about Trump's efforts to "*undermine the media*".

The TV host who is the daughter of Zbigniew Brzezinski, former National Security Advisor to President Jimmy Carter and counselor to President Lyndon B. Johnson, voiced her concerns that the president was "*trying to undermine the media and trying to make up his own facts*".

"*And it could be that while unemployment and the economy worsens, he could have undermined the messaging so much that he can actually control exactly what people think. And that, that is our job*," she continued.

The remark sparked no reaction from the panel but received a blunt "*yeah*" from colleague Scarborough, who then went on to equate Trump with Mussolini and Lenin, as reported by Grabien News.

Former GOP Representative Scarborough said that Trump's description of the media as the "*enemy of the people*" echoed Mussolini and Lenin and "*makes him sound more like a dictator in training*".

The clip has sparked a growing reaction online with many trolling Brzezinski and rejoicing that the "*truth*" is finally out.

MSNBC have been consistently condemned by Trump alongside other mainstream news outlets and branded "*fake news*."¹⁷

Donald J. Trump 
@realDonaldTrump

The fake news media is going crazy with their conspiracy theories and blind hatred. [@MSNBC](#) & [@CNN](#) are unwatchable. [@foxandfriends](#) is great!

7:40 AM - Feb 15, 2017

98.6K  59.8K  people are talking about this

"The reason I talk to myself is that I'm the only one whose answers I accept." —George Carlin



PRISONS

- Everyone is treated equally
- Free food
- Free medical / healthcare
- Only the police and guards have guns

LIBERAL PARADISE

ABOVE: MEME ACCURATELY REPRESENTS UTOPIAN SOCIETY DIVORCED FROM CONSEQUENCES OF INDIVIDUAL SELF-RESPONSIBILITY. *18

The Place of Reason in Spiritual Development

Reason is a powerful energy that accomplishes much in the world, but Love has the capacity to heal without effort. In the soul's "ascent" to God, mystics usually locate rationality at the highest level of what is possible for humans "by nature." It is generally placed about half way up the ladder to the Ultimate. Beyond reason, there is the opening of the heart, the "third eye" (spiritual discernment) and the higher levels of illumination. *19

"The true law... is right reason, conformable to the nature of things, constant, eternal, diffused through all, which calls us to duty by commanding; deters us from sin by forbidding; which never loses its influence with the good; nor ever preserves it with the wicked. This law cannot be over-ruled ,any other, nor abrogated in whole, or in part; nor can we be absolved from it, either by the senate or by the people; nor are we to seek any other comment, or interpreter of it

but itself: nor can there be one law at Rome, and another at Athens; one now and another thereafter; but the same eternal immutable, law comprehends all nations, at all times, under one common master and governor of all—God. He is the inventor, propounder, enactor of this law; and whoever will not obey it, must first renounce himself, and throw off the nature of man; by doing which, he will suffer the greatest punishments though he should escape all the other torments which are commonly believed to be prepared for the wicked.” *20

"If man is not to do more harm than good in his efforts to improve the social order, he will have to learn that in this, as in all other fields where essential complexity of an organized kind prevails, he cannot acquire the full knowledge which would make mastery of the events possible. He will therefore have to use what knowledge he can achieve, not to shape the results as the craftsman shapes his handiwork, but rather to cultivate a growth by providing the appropriate environment, in the manner in which the gardener does this for his plants. There is danger in the exuberant feeling of ever growing power which the advance of the physical sciences has engendered and which tempts man to try, "dizzy with success", to use a characteristic phrase of early communism, to subject not only our natural but also our human environment to the control of a human will. The recognition of the insuperable limits to his knowledge ought indeed to teach the student of society a lesson of humility which should guard him against becoming an accomplice in men's fatal striving to control society—a striving which makes him not only a tyrant over his fellows, but which may well make him the destroyer of a civilization which no brain has designed but which has grown from the free efforts of millions of individuals." *21

When I named my blog "Very Dumb Government" I didn't realize how much material I was going to be able to write about; just within fifteen months. Given the recent sexual scandals of various politicians and other celebrities, I have been tempted to throw in my two cents. The problem is that it would not help anyone and just the act of pointing out what is wrong with government and religion would take just too long and accomplish nothing.

When I was at my free sabbatical in a federally-funded gated community, I decided to make it a point to work with God's commandments and simply try to keep them the best I could. Nothing else was working, so I asked for and received some of the early Christian writings that were not in the bible. I found some very simple writings that were actually more instructive and actually helped me to improve my own behavior and gave me the strength to overcome temptations when they came my way. I learned to have an incredible amount of patience when I didn't have any, and I am able to suppress evil thoughts when they come my way...

The purpose of this is to help anyone who is interested in improving their life. It has been my experience, that my life gets better when I keep the commandments. Keeping the commandments is the only safe haven that I know of against stupid government and religion. It is the only place where I can think rationally. *22

In the almost 70 years since 1950, normals haven't changed much. We still believe in God, pay taxes, get up and go to work, and keep to ourselves. We're raising families, after all, and that matters more than anything else. Unlike the [progressive] caricature of us, we're responsible people. When we gather in large numbers, you almost can't tell we were ever there because we clean up behind ourselves. We speak quietly and respectfully, treat our women like ladies, and control our kids. Nobody fears normals because nobody has any reason to.

This contrasts starkly with [progressives], who gather in large numbers to riot, scream obscenities, carry signs, and trash the place. They get up in others' faces and scream and rage as if out of control, sometimes putting a normal person in fear for his life. All this is intended to communicate public unhappiness over this issue or that, but all it really puts across is that a bunch of wilding lunatics are loose again. *23

No One Wants to Hear About America's Christian Heritage

... Jefferson wrote in his original 1777 draft of the Virginia Statute of Religious Freedom: "Almighty God hath created the mind free, and ... all attempts to influence it by temporal punishments ... tend only to beget habits of hypocrisy and meanness, and are a departure from the plan of the Holy Author of religion, who being Lord both of body and mind, yet chose not to propagate it by coercions on either, as was in his Almighty power to do, but to extend it by its influence on reason alone."

... In 1776, a year before Jefferson drafted his statute, another Virginian, George Mason, drafted the Virginia Declaration of Rights, which was later revised by James Madison and referred to in his Memorial and Remonstrance, 1785.

The Virginia Declaration of Rights stated: "Religion, or the duty we owe to our Creator, and manner of discharging it, can be directed only by reason and conviction, not by force or violence; and, therefore, that all men are equally entitled to the free exercise of religion, according to the dictates of conscience, and that it is the mutual duty of all to practice Christian forbearance, love and charity toward each other."

James Madison made a journal entry, June 12, 1788: "There is not a shadow of right in the general government to inter-meddle with religion. ... The subject is, for the honor of America, perfectly free and unshackled. The government has no jurisdiction over it."

... After the Constitution went into effect, the 13 original states ratified the first Ten Amendments which were specifically intended to limit the power of the new federal government.

The First Amendment begins: "Congress shall make no law respecting an establishment of religion or prohibiting the free exercise thereof..."

Congress

The word "Congress" meant the Federal Congress.

Shall make no law

"Shall make no law" meant the Federal Congress could not introduce, debate, vote on or send to the president any bill respecting an establishment of religion. This also would imply the federal courts "shall make no law"—something the founders could have never imagined or thought proper, but nevertheless what recent activist justices have become adept at.

Respecting

The word "respecting" meant "concerning" or "pertaining to." It was simply telling the federal government to keep its "hands off" all religious issues. When anything regarding religion came before the federal government, the response was to be nothing, as the federal government was not given jurisdiction to decide on that issue, neither for nor against.

Establishment

"Establishment" did not mean "acknowledgment." It did not mean the mere mentioning of God, Judeo-Christian beliefs, or prayer.

Establishment was a clearly understood term, as nearly every country in Europe, as well as most of the colonies, had establishments of religion where one particular Christian denomination had its organization, hierarchy and staff structure recognized exclusively by the government.

There was a distinct difference between "general" Christianity and Christianity "with an established church," as the U.S. Supreme Court's *Church of the Holy Trinity v. United States* (1892) cited Pennsylvania's *Updegraph v. The Commonwealth* (1824): "Christianity, general Christianity, is, and always has been, a part of the common law of Pennsylvania; Christianity, without the spiritual artillery of European countries; for this Christianity was one of the considerations of the royal charter, and the very basis of its great founder, William Penn; not Christianity founded on any particular religious tenets; not Christianity with an established church, and tithes, and spiritual courts; but Christianity with liberty of conscience to all men."

... Or prohibiting the free exercise thereof

To make the purpose of the First Amendment unquestionably clear, they went on to state that the federal Congress could make no laws "prohibiting the free exercise" of religion.

Ronald Reagan stated in a radio address, 1982: "Founding Fathers ... enshrined the principle of freedom of religion in the First Amendment. ... The purpose of that Amendment was to protect religion from the interference of government and to guarantee, in its own words, 'the free exercise of religion.'" *24



ABOVE: "BROCKEN SPECTRE ON SZPIGLASOWY WIERCH" (CROP) PHOTOGRAPH BY KAROL NIEARTOWICZ — 'A LIGHT CREATED HALO' *25

Sources

- *1 = <https://i.4cdn.org/pol/1503907639731.jpg>
- *2 = <https://www.drjohndiamond.com/miscellaneous-papers/youll-be-better/>
- *3 = http://sneakykitchen.com/Humor/cowboy_wisdom.htm
- *4 = <https://www.zerohedge.com/news/2016-09-22/bakers-unite-increasing-number-poor-greeks-cant-even-afford-loaf-bread>
- *5 = <https://conspiracyanalyst.org/2013/03/01/the-international-bankers-famous-quotes/>
- *6 = <https://web.archive.org/web/20101010030709/http://www.theoccidentalobserver.net:80/authors/Darkmoon-Sex-Plague.html>
- *7 = <http://www.unz.com/isteve/the-megaphone-in-one-graph/>
- *8 = https://www.usgovernmentspending.com/spending_chart_1900_2023USr_19s2li011lcn_H0t
- *9 = <https://www.zerohedge.com/news/2016-09-15/harvard-debunks-obama-recovery-farce>
- *10 = <https://joeyl.com/blog/all/post/new-holy-men-photo-series-varanasi-india>
- *11 = <https://web.archive.org/web/20130820161957/http://deanhenderson.wordpress.com/2013/08/15/the-federal-reserve-cartel-part-iv-a-financial-parasite> (user comment)
- *12 = <http://www.spiked-online.com/spiked-review/article/interview-roger-scruton>
- *13 = <http://robertjrgraham.com/david-r-hawkins/>
- *14 = <https://www.thedailybell.com/all-articles/news-analysis/madness-swirls-at-house-judiciary-committee-as-comey-attempts-to-justify-hillary-innocence/>
- *15 = <https://www.lewrockwell.com/2014/02/william-l-anderson/when-accusations-are-proof/>
- *16 = <http://www wnd com/2016/11/dear-america-stop-putting-up-with-evil/>
- *17 = <https://www.rt.com/viral/378300-msnbc-media-controls-thoughts/>
- *18 = <https://www.facebook.com/225698830077/photos/a.234503140077.284760.225698830077/10152991453940078/?type=3&theater>
- *19 = http://www.ijhssnet.com/journals/Vol_1_No_13_Special_Issue_September_2011/19.pdf
- *20 = <https://books.google.com/books?id=h3pbAAAAQAAJ&pg=PA48>
- *21 = https://www.nobelprize.org/nobel_prizes/economic-sciences/laureates/1974/hayek-lecture.html
- *22 = <http://verydumbgovernment.blogspot.com/2011/06/teaching-and-power-part-one.html>
- *23 = https://www.americanthinker.com/blog/2018/08/this_isnt_culture_war_its_worse.html
- *24 = <https://www wnd com/2017/01/proof-no-one-wants-to-hear-about-americas-christian-heritage/>
- *25 = <https://www.boredpanda.com/landscape-mountain-photography-karol-nienartowicz-poland/>

This research paper was created without commercial intent—all materials were discovered online.

Acknowledgments

To all uncredited authors (*Sources*), whose work was sampled for compilation into this document:

Thank you.

God

The *All* that *IS*.

[**Donate**](#)

[**Yearly**](#)

AISF welcomes non-tax deductible donations to continue spiritual research and fund development of a Light in Life™ Online app, to enable guides and users to measure their Self-healing progress.

[Donate](#) via PayPal (if/when research helps you) or [Yearly](#) (contributing to the foundation's future).

Contact

* PDF Documents may be freely shared/copied only if preserved entirely/unedited. © *All IS Foundation*, 2018.