Fig 1: Investigational mode: FNIR(N, 1, 0) vs. most accurate (yitu_4)




Fig 3: Identification mode: FNIR(N, L+1, T) vs. most accurate (nec_3)




Fig 2: DETs by enrollment type




Fig 4: DET for various N. Links connect points of equal threshold.





Fig 5: Dependence on T by number enrolled identities

Fig 6: FPIR dependence on T by probe type



3. Report for algorithm vigilantsolutions_3 2020-03-20 13:27:38

Fig 10: Template duration; search duration vs. N




Fig 11: Datasheet

Algorithm: vigilantsolutions_3

Developer: Vigilant Solutions

Submission Date: 2018_06_21

Template size: 1544 bytes

Template time (2.5 percentile): 794 msec

Template time (median): 825 msec

Template time (97.5 percentile): 885 msec

Investigation rank 183 — FNIR(1600000, 0, 1) = 0.0694 vs. lowest 0.0010 from sen

Identification rank 183 — FNIR(1600000, T, L+1) = 0.4079

FPIR = 0.001 vs. lowest 0.0018 from sensetime_003