Fig 1: Investigational mode: FNIR(N, 1, 0) vs. most accurate (yitu_4)




Fig 3: Identification mode: FNIR(N, L+1, T) vs. most accurate (nec_3)




Fig 2: DETs by enrollment type




Fig 4: DET for various N. Links connect points of equal threshold.





Fig 5: Dependence on T by number enrolled identities

Rank, R

Fig 6: FPIR dependence on T by probe type

False Positive Idenification Rate, FPIR(T)



3e-05 **-**2e-05 **-**

Fig 10: Template duration; search duration vs. N




Fig 11: Datasheet

Algorithm: pixelall_003
Developer: Guangzhou Pixel Solutions Co Ltd
Submission Date: 2019_11_05
Template size: 2560 bytes
Template time (2.5 percentile): 696 msec
Template time (median): 704 msec
Template time (97.5 percentile): 770 msec

Investigation rank 19 — FNIR(1600000, 0, 1) = 0.0021 vs. lowest 0.0010 from sense Identification rank 19 — FNIR(1600000, T, L+1) = 0.0218

FPIR = 0.001 vs. lowest 0.0018 from sensetime_003