Fig 1: Investigational mode: FNIR(N, 1, 0) vs. most accurate (yitu_4)




Fig 3: Identification mode: FNIR(N, L+1, T) vs. most accurate (nec_3)




Fig 2: DETs by enrollment type




Fig 4: DET for various N. Links connect points of equal threshold.





Fig 5: Dependence on T by number enrolled identities

Rank, R

Fig 6: FPIR dependence on T by probe type

False Positive Idenification Rate, FPIR(T)



7e-05 = 5e-05 =

3. Report for algorithm microsoft_2 2020-03-20 13:16:53

Fig 10: Template duration; search duration vs. N




Fig 11: Datasheet

Algorithm: microsoft_2
Developer: Microsoft
Submission Date: 2018_02_12
Template size: 1024 bytes
Template time (2.5 percentile): 546 msec
Template time (median): 554 msec
Template time (97.5 percentile): 575 msec
Investigation rank 44 -- FNIR(1600000, 0, 1) = 0.0040 vs. lowest 0.0010 from sense Identification rank 54 -- FNIR(1600000, T, L+1) = 0.0474
FPIR = 0.001 vs. lowest 0.0018 from sensetime_003