Fig 1: Investigational mode: FNIR(N, 1, 0) vs. most accurate (yitu\_4)




Fig 3: Identification mode: FNIR(N, L+1, T) vs. most accurate (nec\_3)




Fig 2: DETs by enrollment type




Fig 4: DET for various N. Links connect points of equal threshold.





Fig 5: Dependence on T by number enrolled identities

Fig 6: FPIR dependence on T by probe type



## 3. Report for algorithm shaman\_4 2020-03-20 13:20:34

Fig 10: Template duration; search duration vs. N




Fig 11: Datasheet

Algorithm: shaman\_4

Developer: Shaman Software

Submission Date: 2018\_06\_30

Template size: 2048 bytes

Template time (2.5 percentile): 634 msec

Template time (median): 639 msec

Template time (97.5 percentile): 703 msec

Investigation rank 214 — FNIR(1600000, 0, 1) = 0.2221 vs. lowest 0.0010 from sen

Identification rank 199 — FNIR(1600000, T, L+1) = 0.6150

FPIR = 0.001 vs. lowest 0.0018 from sensetime\_003