1. Report for algorithm vigilantsolutions_5 2020-03-20 13:27:21

Fig 1: Investigational mode: FNIR(N, 1, 0) vs. most accurate (yitu_4)




Fig 3: Identification mode: FNIR(N, L+1, T) vs. most accurate (nec_3)




Fig 2: DETs by enrollment type





Fig 5: Dependence on T by number enrolled identities

Fig 6: FPIR dependence on T by probe type



3. Report for algorithm vigilantsolutions_5 2020-03-20 13:27:21

Fig 10: Template duration; search duration vs. N




Fig 11: Datasheet

Algorithm: vigilantsolutions 5
Developer: Vigilant Solutions
Submission Date: 2018_10_30
Template size: 1544 bytes
Template time (2.5 percentile): 757 msec
Template time (median): 762 msec
Template time (97.5 percentile): 861 msec
Investigation rank 93 — FNIR(1600000, 0, 1) = 0.0092 vs. lowest 0.0010 from sensil dentification rank 178 — FNIR(1600000, T, L+1) = 0.3861
FPIR = 0.001 vs. lowest 0.0018 from sensetime_003