Fig 1: Investigational mode: FNIR(N, 1, 0) vs. most accurate (yitu\_4)




Fig 3: Identification mode: FNIR(N, L+1, T) vs. most accurate (nec\_3)




Fig 2: DETs by enrollment type




Fig 4: DET for various N. Links connect points of equal threshold.





Fig 5: Dependence on T by number enrolled identities

Fig 6: FPIR dependence on T by probe type



## 

Fig 10: Template duration; search duration vs. N




Fig 11: Datasheet

Algorithm: cyberlink\_001

Developer: Cyberlink Corp

Submission Date: 2019\_10\_07

Template size: 2052 bytes

Template time (2.5 percentile): 423 msec

Template time (median): 424 msec

Template time (97.5 percentile): 464 msec

Investigation rank 35 — FNIR(1600000, 0, 1) = 0.0035 vs. lowest 0.0010 from sensildentification rank 66 — FNIR(1600000, T, L+1) = 0.0534

FPIR = 0.001 vs. lowest 0.0018 from sensetime\_003