1. Report for algorithm vigilantsolutions_6 2020-03-20 13:27:33

Fig 1: Investigational mode: FNIR(N, 1, 0) vs. most accurate (yitu_4)




Fig 3: Identification mode: FNIR(N, L+1, T) vs. most accurate (nec_3)




Fig 2: DETs by enrollment type





Fig 5: Dependence on T by number enrolled identities

Fig 6: FPIR dependence on T by probe type



3. Report for algorithm vigilantsolutions_6 2020-03-20 13:27:33

Fig 10: Template duration; search duration vs. N




Fig 11: Datasheet

Algorithm: vigilantsolutions 6
Developer: Vigilant Solutions
Submission Date: 2018_10_30
Template size: 1544 bytes
Template time (2.5 percentile): 810 msec
Template time (median): 817 msec
Template time (97.5 percentile): 892 msec
Investigation rank 99 — FNIR(1600000, 0, 1) = 0.0099 vs. lowest 0.0010 from sensil dentification rank 171 — FNIR(1600000, T, L+1) = 0.3446
FPIR = 0.001 vs. lowest 0.0018 from sensetime_003